Cell Metabolism

The Pentose Phosphate Pathway Regulates the Circadian Clock

Graphical Abstract



Authors

Guillaume Rey, Utham K. Valekunja, Kevin A. Feeney, ..., Vidya Velagapudi, John S. O'Neill, Akhilesh B. Reddy

Correspondence

areddy@cantab.net

In Brief

Current models of circadian clock control emphasize transcriptional networks. Here, Rey et al. identify the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), which generates NADPH, as an important regulator of redox and transcriptional oscillations. Inhibition of this highly conserved metabolic pathway affects circadian rhythms in flies, mice, and human cells.

Highlights

- Pentose phosphate pathway regulates circadian oscillations through NADPH metabolism
- Inhibition of pentose phosphate pathway remodels circadian gene expression
- NRF2 connects redox oscillations to transcriptional rhythms
- Pentose phosphate pathway modulation alters rhythmic behavior and tissue clocks

Accession Numbers

GSE74439



Rey et al., 2016, Cell Metabolism 24, 462–473 September 13, 2016 © 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2016.07.024



The Pentose Phosphate Pathway Regulates the Circadian Clock

Guillaume Rey,¹ Utham K. Valekunja,¹ Kevin A. Feeney,^{1,3} Lisa Wulund,¹ Nikolay B. Milev,¹ Alessandra Stangherlin,¹ Laura Ansel-Bollepalli,¹ Vidya Velagapudi,² John S. O'Neill,^{1,3} and Akhilesh B. Reddy^{1,4,*}

¹University of Cambridge Metabolic Research Laboratories, Wellcome Trust-MRC Institute of Metabolic Science, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, UK

²Metabolomics Unit, Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland (FIMM), 00290 Helsinki, Finland

³Present address: Cell Biology Division, MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Francis Crick Avenue, Cambridge CB2 0QH, UK ⁴Lead Contact

*Correspondence: areddy@cantab.net

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2016.07.024

SUMMARY

The circadian clock is a ubiquitous timekeeping system that organizes the behavior and physiology of organisms over the day and night. Current models rely on transcriptional networks that coordinate circadian gene expression of thousands of transcripts. However, recent studies have uncovered phylogenetically conserved redox rhythms that can occur independently of transcriptional cycles. Here we identify the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), a critical source of the redox cofactor NADPH, as an important regulator of redox and transcriptional oscillations. Our results show that genetic and pharmacological inhibition of the PPP prolongs the period of circadian rhythms in human cells, mouse tissues, and fruit flies. These metabolic manipulations also cause a remodeling of circadian gene expression programs that involves the circadian transcription factors BMAL1 and CLOCK, and the redox-sensitive transcription factor NRF2. Thus, the PPP regulates circadian rhythms via NADPH metabolism, suggesting a pivotal role for NADPH availability in circadian timekeeping.

INTRODUCTION

Mammalian models of the circadian clock center on transcription-translation feedback loop mechanisms, involving the core transcription factors BMAL1 and CLOCK (Bass, 2012). However, recent evidence has uncovered the existence of transcription-independent mechanisms of circadian timekeeping (Cho et al., 2014; Nakajima et al., 2005; O'Neill and Reddy, 2011; O'Neill et al., 2011). These likely preceded the existence of transcriptional oscillations during evolution, as highlighted by rhythms in the oxidation and reduction of peroxiredoxin proteins in a range of phylogenetically disparate organisms ranging from bacteria to humans (Edgar et al., 2012). In a simple model of non-transcriptional circadian oscillations, the red blood cell, oxidation cycles occur in association with robust circadian oscillations of the core cellular reductants NADH and NADPH (O'Neill and Reddy, 2011). In central carbon metabolism, glycolysis and the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) oxidize glucose to produce NADH and NADPH, respectively (Figure 1A). These pathways are common to most aerobic organisms and produce an important fraction of the cellular pool of NAD(P)H (Fan et al., 2014). Since the peroxiredoxin oxidation cycle is directly influenced by the availability of NADPH (Wood et al., 2003), we hypothesized that these cellular reduction pathways might regulate redox and transcriptional oscillations in nucleated cells.

Using a combination of pharmacologic and genetic approaches, we found that inhibition of the PPP altered circadian rhythms in human cells. We observed similar effects in mouse tissues, and we also found that PPP inhibition affected the pattern of rhythmic behavior in *Drosophila*. Our study indicates that the interplay between redox and transcriptional cycles relies on the circadian transcription factors BMAL1/ CLOCK and the redox-sensitive transcription factor NRF2. Moreover, we identify the histone acetyltransferase P300 as a redox-dependent modulator of BMAL1/CLOCK transactivation ability.

RESULTS

Inhibition of the PPP Alters Circadian Redox and Transcriptional Oscillations

In red blood cells, peroxiredoxin oxidation rhythms resonate with NADPH oscillations (O'Neill and Reddy, 2011). NADPH powers intracellular redox defense and is used by the peroxiredoxin system during its catalytic cycle to remove harmful reactive oxygen species (Wood et al., 2003). We therefore measured NADPH accumulation in human osteosarcoma (U2OS) cells, an established and robust cellular clock model (Liu et al., 2008), and found similar redox oscillations to those seen in red blood cells previously (O'Neill and Reddy, 2011) (Figure 1B).

Given that the PPP is a major source of NADPH in the cell (Fan et al., 2014), we hypothesized that inhibiting its metabolic flux would affect redox oscillations. To disrupt NADPH production, we used 6-aminonicotinamide (6AN). This compound is metabolized into an analog of NADP⁺, thus competitively inhibiting the critical NADPH-producing enzymes 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (PGD) and glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) (Köhler et al., 1970). Consistent with our hypothesis,





Figure 1. The PPP Regulates Redox and Transcriptional Oscillations in Human Cells (A) Schematic of glucose metabolism showing

glycolysis, the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), and oxidative phosphorylation in mitochondria.

(B) NADPH levels in *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells treated with 5 mM 6-aminonicotinamide (6AN) versus control (DMSO) for 2 consecutive days (mean \pm SEM, n = 3–4).

(C) Representative immunoblots showing overoxidized peroxiredoxin (PRDX-SO_{2/3}) monomers with loading controls (β -actin, ACTB) for *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells treated with 5 mM 6AN versus control (DMSO). Molecular weights (kDa) shown on right side of blots.

(D) Quantification by densitometry of immunoblots from (C). Values were normalized to the average for each blot (mean \pm SEM, n = 3).

(E) NADP*:NADPH ratio of cells treated with 6AN (mean \pm SEM, n = 3–4; two-tailed Student's t test; ***p < 0.001).

(F) Bioluminescence traces for *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells treated with 5 mM 6AN versus control (DMSO), followed by wash off after 96 hr. (mean values shown, n = 3-6).

(G) Quantifications of the period length from (F) before and after wash off (mean \pm SEM, n = 3–6; two-tailed Student's t test; ***p < 0.001, *p < 0.05). (H and I) Heatmaps showing bioluminescence traces for *Per2:luc* U2OS cells treated at the indicated time points with 5 mM 6AN (I) or control (DMSO) (H) until the end of the experiment. Each row represents a different time of treatment. (J) Phase-response curve showing the phase shifts caused by treatment with 6AN compared to control (DMSO) at different time of the day (mean \pm SEM, n = 3–6).

changed (Figure S1C) and treatment with 6AN did not acutely affect glycolysis or mitochondrial respiration rates (Figures S1D and S1E).

We then investigated the effect of PPP inhibition on transcriptional oscillations using U2OS cells stably expressing the *Bmal1:luciferase* (*Bmal1:luc*) reporter construct (Liu et al., 2008). Treatment of *Bmal1:luc* cells with 6AN caused a strong and reversible effect on transcriptional oscillations. Oscillation period was lengthened by 3 hr (Figures 1F, 1G, and S1F; 5 mM 6AN, 28.48 ± 0.16 hr versus control, 25.50 ± 0.05 hr), and after 96 hr of treat-

6AN treatment prolonged the period of NADPH oscillation to \sim 30 hr (Figure 1B). We next measured peroxiredoxin oxidation in U2OS cells and found that these rhythms were similarly affected by inhibition of the PPP (Figures 1C, 1D, S1A, and S1B, available online), indicating that the availability of NADPH regulates circadian redox oscillations. 6AN treatment indeed drove the NADP⁺:NADPH redox poise in favor of oxidation by decreasing NADPH by ~50%, consistent with its expected effect (Figure 1E). In contrast, NAD⁺:NADH ratio remained un-

ment, removal of the drug restored almost normal oscillations (5 mM 6AN, 25.65 \pm 0.10 hr versus control, 24.97 \pm 0.21 hr). We further validated this effect by using a genetic approach to repress PPP activity. We used RNA interference to knock down expression of the NADPH-producing enzymes G6PD and PGD in *Bmal1:luc* cells (Figures S2A–S2F). In agreement with pharmacological manipulations, we observed an increase in the NADP⁺:NADPH redox ratio (Figure S2D) and a significant period lengthening for both genes (Figure S2E).



Figure 2. Manipulation of the PPP Affects Circadian Oscillations through NADPH

(A) Bioluminescence traces for *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells treated with 50 μ M dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) versus control (DMSO), followed by wash off after 96 hr. (mean values shown, n = 3–6)

(B) Quantifications of the period length from (A) before and after wash off (mean \pm SEM, n = 3–6; two-tailed Student's t test; ***p < 0.001).

(C) NADP⁺:NADPH ratio of cells treated with DHEA (mean \pm SEM, n = 3–4; two-tailed Student's t test; ^{**}p < 0.01).

(D) Treatment of *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells with 5 mM 6AN decreases the levels of total NAD, while incubation with 50 μ M DHEA has no effect. NAD levels in presence of 6AN can be restored by addition of 500 μ M NMN (control [DMSO] versus treated cells; mean \pm SEM, n = 3–4; two-tailed Student's t test; ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01).

(E) Treatment with 500 μ M NMN does not restore NADP⁺:NADPH ratio to normal levels (two-tailed Student's t test, control [DMSO] versus treated cells; mean \pm SEM, n = 3–4, ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01).

(F) Bioluminescence traces for *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells treated with 6AN, or 6AN and NMN, versus control (DMSO) (mean values shown, n = 8).

(G) Quantifications of the period length from (F) (two-tailed Student's t test; mean \pm SEM, n = 8, ***p < 0.001, *p < 0.05).

might potentially contribute to the period phenotype seen with PPP inhibition. Therefore, we tested the effect of dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), a noncompetitive inhibitor of G6PD (Raineri and Levy, 1970), and again found a reversible period lengthening (Figures 2A, 2B, and S3A–S3D) and an increase in the NADP⁺:NADPH redox ratio (Fig-

Having shown that tonic inhibition of the PPP modulated the period of redox and transcriptional oscillations, we tested whether such metabolic perturbation could also reset the phase of circadian oscillations in a time-of-day-dependent manner. To this end, we administered 6AN treatment around the clock and assessed the phase of oscillations following treatment, generating a "phase-response curve." Inhibiting the PPP had a strong resetting effect, inducing large phase advances or delays in rhythms depending on the time of day when the treatment started (Figures 1H–1J). Together, these results implicate the PPP as a regulator of two key facets of circadian pacemaker function (period and phase of oscillation).

The PPP Affects Circadian Oscillations via NADPH Metabolism

Since there is cellular interconversion of NAD⁺ and its phosphorylated form (NADP⁺), we next investigated if the effects of PPP perturbation could involve this pathway. This is important because NAD⁺ metabolism forms a feedback loop with the core circuitry of the circadian transcriptional network (Nakahata et al., 2009; Ramsey et al., 2009), and therefore changes in NAD⁺ ure 2C). In contrast to 6AN treatment, DHEA did not affect the accumulation of total NAD (Figure 2D), showing that the effect on period does not depend on NAD levels and is specific to the change in NADP⁺:NADPH redox ratio. Importantly, we also determined conditions under which we could rescue NAD⁺ levels in 6AN-treated cells, using nicotinamide mononucleotide (NMN), a precursor of NAD⁺ (Figures 2D and 2E). When NAD levels were restored close to normal with NMN in the presence of 6AN, there was a rescue of the amplitude of oscillations, but the effect on period was not abolished (Figures 2F, 2G, and S3E). This therefore suggested that inhibition of the PPP differentially affects circadian oscillations through direct and indirect redox mechanisms, and that the prolonged period is specific to NADPH metabolism.

In addition to its redox role, the PPP is a key contributor to biosynthetic function, especially for nucleic acid synthesis. In order to globally assess the effect of inhibition by 6AN or DHEA, we performed metabolomics profiling of U2OS cells treated with the PPP inhibitors (Figure S3F; Table S3). We observed only mild perturbations in the levels of 90 metabolites, and the changes correlated between the 6AN and DHEA treatments (Figure S3G).



Figure 3. PPP Inhibition Remodels Circadian Gene Expression

(A) Time course of mRNA expression determined by RNA-seq in *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells incubated with 5 mM 6AN or control (DMSO). The heatmap shows the Pearson's correlation coefficient between time points for log-transformed fragments per kilobase of transcript per million (FPKM) of the 14,686 expressed transcripts. *Bmal1:luc* cells were synchronized with a dexamethasone shock and total RNA was collected at the indicated time points.

(B) Heatmap representation of the temporal accumulation of mRNA for circadian transcripts in the 6AN (453) and control (414) conditions. The RAIN algorithm (Thaben and Westermark, 2014) was used to detect circadian transcripts ($p \le 0.01$) in each dataset.

(C) Overlap between the rhythmic transcripts detected in the 6AN and control conditions (Fisher test on contingency table, p = 0.002).

(D) Phase histogram of rhythmic transcripts shown in (B).

(E) Boxplot representation of period length for 6AN and control mRNA profiles for circadian transcripts (RAIN algorithm, $p \leq 0.01$) and clock gene transcripts (list of 20 well-described circadian genes; Table S4) (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, with p values as shown).

(F) Boxplot representation of phase differences between 6AN and control mRNA profiles for circadian transcripts (detected in the 6AN or control condition with RAIN algorithm, $p \leq 0.01$) and clock gene transcripts (Kuiper's one-sample test of uniformity; **p < 0.01).

(G) Profiles of mRNA accumulations for the six clock genes that are detected as circadian in both conditions.

(H) mRNA accumulation of clock gene transcripts in *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells following siRNA knockdown with 50 nM *G6PD* or control siRNA (negative control #1) (mean \pm SEM, n = 3; two-tailed Student's t test; ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01).

Remodeling of Circadian Gene Expression by NADPH Metabolism

How are perturbations in redox oscillations transduced into alterations in circadian gene expression and, ultimately, to organism behavior? To probe this, we performed time course analyses of U2OS cells and determined their gene expression profiles by RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) (Figures 3A and S4A). 6AN

Although the levels of ribose-5-phosphate (R5P), which is important for nucleotide synthesis, were slightly decreased in the both conditions, the levels of nucleotides and nucleosides were largely unchanged, indicating that the non-oxidative branch of the PPP, downstream of the NADPH-producing enzymes, was sufficient to provide substrates for synthetic pathways. Thus, the effects of both 6AN and DHEA appear specific to the oxidative (NADPH-producing) branch of the PPP, without a significant impact on its biosynthetic functions. treatment did not globally affect the transcriptome, as we observed high correlation between control and 6AN-treated samples (Pearson correlation coefficient >0.94 between all time points; Figure 3A). However, perturbation of NADPH metabolism caused a profound change in circadian gene expression (Figures 3B–3D). Using the RAIN algorithm (Thaben and Westermark, 2014), we detected 414 and 453 circadian transcripts in the control and 6AN condition, respectively (Figure 3B), with 26 common transcripts (Figure 3C). We validated these analyses

using two other algorithms, Fisher test (Rey et al., 2011) and ARSER (Yang and Su, 2010), and found a considerable overlap, as 147 and 169 genes were detected by the three methods in the control and 6AN condition, respectively (Figure S4B). Gene ontology (GO) analysis of rhythmic transcripts revealed that genes involved in metabolic processes were enriched in both conditions, while GO annotations related to circadian rhythms were highly enriched in the 6AN condition (Figure S4C). Our results thus indicate that perturbation of the PPP was able to extensively remodel circadian gene expression, as highlighted by the altered phase distribution of mRNA expression (Figure 3D).

This led us to investigate further how the period and phase of circadian transcripts were changed following PPP inhibition. We found that the median period of oscillations was increased by treatment with 6AN (Figure 3E; control versus 6AN, 23.2 hr versus 24.1 hr). Interestingly, the effect on clock genes was especially pronounced (control versus 6AN, 21.8 hr versus 27.2 hr) but only marginally contributed to the shift in the period distributions of all circadian transcripts (Figure S4D). Similarly, we computed the distribution of phase differences between 6AN and control conditions. We observed a phase delay for both circadian and clock gene sets (Figures 3F and S4E), again with a stronger effect on clock genes. Accordingly, NR1D1, NR1D2, TEF, DBP, BMAL1, and PER3, the six clock genes that are rhythmic in both conditions, displayed prolonged periods and phase delays in their mRNA accumulation profiles (Figure 3G). Most other clock genes (Table S4) had similar effects on circadian gene expression, even if they were not necessarily detected as statistically rhythmic (Figure S4F). In order to validate the effect of PPP inhibition on circadian gene expression. we silenced the expression of the enzyme G6PD by small interfering RNA (siRNA) knockdown. In agreement with treatment with 6AN, we observed a perturbation of the circadian gene network, since the expression of clock genes was severely disrupted (Figure 3H). Therefore, inhibition of the PPP remodels circadian expression by changing the period and phase of circadian transcripts, with an effect especially prominent on clock genes.

The Circadian Transcription Factors BMAL1 and CLOCK Are Activated by a Change in Redox Environment

Since the core circadian transcription factors BMAL1 and CLOCK regulate the expression of most of the clock genes, we hypothesized that perturbation in circadian gene expression may involve a change in BMAL1/CLOCK DNA-binding activity. This response could indeed result from an altered NADP+: NADPH (or NAD⁺:NADH) ratio, since these dinucleotides have been reported to affect the binding affinity of several circadian PAS-domain transcription factors in vitro (Rutter et al., 2001). We therefore performed chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) in Bmal1:luc U2OS cells to delineate genome-wide binding patterns of these transcription factors. We found that the number of shared BMAL1/CLOCK genomic binding sites increased from 147 to 439 (3-fold increase) following 6AN treatment (Figures 4A and 4B). CLOCK was mostly affected, since we observed a more than 4-fold rise in genomic binding peaks (Figure 4C). Moreover, BMAL1 and CLOCK binding strengths significantly increased at 439 shared peaks following PPP inhibition (Figure 4D), indicating enhanced DNA-binding activity that is consistent with elevated expression of several BMAL1/CLOCK targets upon 6AN treatment (Figures 3G and S4F).

Increased DNA-binding activity of BMAL1/CLOCK was accompanied by changes in chromatin state at their genomic binding sites (Figures S5A and S5B). We measured two epigenetic marks of transcriptionally active chromatin by ChIP-seq: histone H3 lysine 9 acetylation (H3K9ac) and histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation (H3K4me3) (Figures 4E and 4F). While H3K4me3 profiles remained unchanged, H3K9 showed a local increase around BMAL1/CLOCK sites (Figure 4E). This effect was not due to a widespread increase in H3K9 acetylation near active promoters, as H3K9ac profiles around transcription start sites (TSSs) of expressed genes were not affected (Figure 4F). Moreover, elevated H3K9 acetvlation was specific to 6AN peaks, as we did not observe similar effects at BMAL1/CLOCK peaks from the control condition (Figure S5C). Notably, BMAL1/ CLOCK binding and H3K9 acetylation were associated with rhythmic expression of nearby transcripts. Indeed, we found that the fraction of rhythmic transcripts increased with the fold change in BMAL1/CLOCK binding and H3K9 acetylation following 6AN treatment (Figures S5D and S5E).

We next investigated the mechanism by which redox imbalance could affect chromatin states. First, we excluded the NAD⁺-dependent deacetvlase SIRT1 as a mechanism driving this change, since SIRT1-/- mouse embryonic fibroblasts exposed to 6AN still exhibited alterations in clock gene mRNA patterning (Figure S5F). Moreover, rescue of NAD⁺ levels with NMN did not restore normal DNA-binding activity of BMAL1/ CLOCK or levels of H3K9ac (Figure S5G). These results indicate that SIRT1 and other NAD⁺-dependent deacetylases, including SIRT6, are not likely to significantly contribute to the chromatin state changes we saw with redox perturbation. Therefore, we hypothesized that the archetypal histone acetyltransferase P300 might mediate these effects, since it is able to form disulphide bridges with the FOXO transcription factors by a redox-dependent mechanism (Dansen et al., 2009) and has been shown to interact with clock proteins (Etchegaray et al., 2003). We measured P300 protein accumulation in the nucleus and observed increased levels following 6AN treatment (Figures 4G and 4H). Furthermore, ChIP analyses revealed that clock gene loci exhibited elevated P300 binding upon PPP inhibition (Figure 4I), strongly implicating redox-dependent acetylation by P300 at these genomic regions. Interestingly, increased P300 binding and H3K9ac were specific to direct BMAL1/CLOCK targets-those with mRNA expression in phase with DNA-binding activity (Rey et al., 2011) (Figures 4I and 4J; Table S4). Furthermore, we investigated if P300 knockdown by siRNA could antagonize the effect of 6AN on circadian oscillations. Consistent with its role in activating circadian transcription, P300 knockdown caused a strong decrease of the amplitude of circadian oscillations (Figure S5H). However, at low siRNA concentrations, we found that P300 knockdown was able to partially reverse the strong period lengthening effect of 6AN, as it reduced the period difference to only 1 hr compared to control (Figure S5I). These results thus indicate that PPP inhibition leads to a redox-dependent activation of BMAL1/CLOCK that is mediated by the histone acetyltransferase P300.



Figure 4. BMAL1/CLOCK Are Activated by Inhibition of the PPP

(A and B) BMAL1 and CLOCK ChIP-seq binding profiles around the 147 and 439 BMAL1/CLOCK peaks bound, respectively, in the control (A) and 6AN conditions (B). *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells were treated with 5 mM 6AN or control (DMSO) and chromatin was extracted after 24 hr of incubation.

(C) Venn diagram showing the overlap of ChIP-seq peaks for BMAL1 and CLOCK. The total number of peaks for each set is given.

(D) Distributions of number of tags per peak in the 439 peaks shared between 6AN BMAL1 and CLOCK ChIP-seq for BMAL1 (top) and CLOCK (bottom) (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test performed between the indicated distributions, with p values as shown).

(E and F) Genomic profiles of H3K9ac (top) and H3K4me3 (bottom) densities around BMAL1/CLOCK 6AN peaks (E) and transcription start sites (TSSs) of the 14,686 expressed transcripts (F).

(G) Immunoblot showing P300 nuclear accumulation in cells treated with 6AN or control (DMSO). U2AF65 is shown as loading control. Molecular weights (kDa) shown on right side of blots.

(H) Densitometric quantification of blots from (G) (two-tailed Student's t test, *p < 0.05).

(I) ChIP followed by quantitative real-time PCR of P300 following 6AN treatment or control (DMSO) (mean \pm SEM, n = 3; two-tailed Student's t test, *p < 0.05). (J) Distribution of fold changes in H3K9ac density (6AN versus control) for direct and indirect BMAL1/CLOCK target genes (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, with p values as shown).

NRF2 Signaling Links Changes in Redox Balance to Circadian Gene Expression

Overlap between circadian and BMAL1/CLOCK-bound genes was significant for the control, but not the 6AN, condition (Fisher test; control, $p < 1 \times 10^{-3}$; 6AN, p = 0.05; Figure S6A), suggesting that additional transcription factors were likely to contribute to the remodeling of circadian gene expression.

Consistent with this observation, we found enriched DNA motifs for other transcription factors in the 6AN condition (Figure 5A) and, in particular, a motif corresponding to the redox-sensitive transcription factor NRF2 (Chorley et al., 2012) (Figure S6B). NRF2-like motifs showed a positional correlation with the canonical BMAL1/CLOCK binding motif (E-box) when 6AN-treated cells were assessed (Figure 5B). Importantly, we



Figure 5. NRF2 Mediates the Effect of Redox Perturbation on Circadian Oscillations

(A) De novo HOMER motif analysis of the indicated sets of BMAL1/CLOCK peaks.

(B) Histogram of E-box and NRF2-like motif positions around BMAL1/CLOCK peaks bound only the 6AN condition.

(C) Immunoblot showing NRF2 nuclear accumulation in cells treated with 6AN or control (DMSO). U2AF65 is shown as loading control. Molecular weights (kDa) shown on right side of blots.

(D) Densitometric quantification of blots from (C) (mean \pm SEM, n = 3; two-tailed Student's t test, **p < 0.01).

(E) ChIP followed by quantitative real-time PCR of NRF2 following 6AN treatment or control (DMSO) (mean ± SEM, n = 3; one-tailed Student's t test, *p < 0.05). HMOX1, here oxygenase 1.

(F) mRNA accumulation of clock gene transcripts in *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells following knockdown with 20 nM *NRF2* siRNA or control (non-targeting siRNA #1). *Bmal1:luc* cells were synchronized 72 hr after transfection with a dexamethasone shock, and total RNA was collected after 24 hr incubation with 5 mM 6AN or control (DMSO) (mean \pm SEM, n = 3; two-tailed Student's t test; ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01). NS, not statistically significant by t test.

(G and H) Bioluminescence recordings of *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells transfected with 20 nM *NRF2* siRNA or control (non-targeting siRNA #1) combined with 6AN treatment at 1.25 mM (G) or control (DMSO) (H) (left; mean, n = 8). Quantifications of circadian period length of bioluminescence traces (right; mean \pm SEM, n = 8; two-tailed Student's t test; **p < 0.01).

observed a significant increase in NRF2 nuclear accumulation following 6AN treatment (Figures 5C and 5D), indicating that PPP inhibition leads to the activation of NRF2. H3K9ac genomic profiles around NRF2 ChIP-seq peaks (Chorley et al., 2012) were not altered by 6AN treatment, indicating that NRF2 activation is not associated with H3K9 acetylation (Figure S6C).

We next investigated whether *NRF2* could mediate the interaction between redox balance and circadian oscillations. First, we observed that a significant fraction of circadian transcripts in the control and 6AN conditions were NRF2 targets (44 and 48 genes, respectively), implicating this redox transcription factor in the control of circadian gene expression (Figures S6D and S6E). Two important NRF2 targets, glutathione reductase (*GSR*) and thioredoxin reductase 1 (*TXNRD1*), which both use NADPH as reducing agent for cellular redox defense, also displayed rhythmic mRNA accumulation, even though they were not statistically detected as circadian (Figure S6F). Importantly, the circadian transcriptional repressor *NR1D1* was among NRF2 targets, with inducible binding sites at its promoter and in its first intron (Figure S6G) (Chorley et al., 2012). Accordingly, we found that perturbation of the PPP caused an increase in NRF2



Figure 6. PPP Perturbation Disrupts Circadian Oscillations in Mouse Tissues

(A and B) Bioluminescence recordings of suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) (A) and liver slices (B) from $mPer2^{Luc/iferase}$ ($mPer2^{Luc}$) mice treated with the indicated concentration of 6AN or control (DMSO) (left), followed by wash off (right) with control medium.

(C and D) Quantifications of the period length from SCN (C) and liver (D) slices treated with 6AN or control (DMSO) before and after wash off (mean \pm SEM, n = 3–7; two-tailed Student's t test; 500 μM versus control; ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01). NS, not statistically significant by t test.

(E) Single-cell bioluminescence traces of SCN slices from $mPer2^{Luc}$ mice incubated either with 500 μ M 6AN or control (DMSO) (n > 100).

(F) Violin plot representing the difference in the distribution of circadian period lengths in the 6AN and control (DMSO) conditions (n > 100; two-tailed Student's t test, $p < 1 \times 10^{-16}$).

Inhibition of the PPP Modulates Circadian Oscillations in Mouse Tissues

Having explored how inhibition of the PPP leads to altered circadian gene expression, we set out to study the effect of these metabolic perturbations on circadian behavior, an important output of the clockwork. Destruction of erythrocytes (hemolysis) when the 6AN target G6PD is deficient (Cappellini and Fiorelli, 2008) indicated that an in vivo approach in live mice would not yield meaningful results. We therefore next analyzed the effects of PPP inhibition on circadian rhythmicity in primary tissues ex vivo. Treatment of or-

DNA binding to *NR1D1* and its known target gene heme oxygenase 1 (*HMOX1*) (Figure 5E), suggesting that the PPP-dependent activation of NRF2 could relay redox signals to the circadian network through *NR1D1*.

In order to functionally validate the role of NRF2 in mediating the effect of 6AN on circadian gene expression, we silenced NRF2 expression using siRNA and measured the mRNA expression of several clock genes (Figures 5F and S6H). We found that both NR1D1 and PER3 lost their responsiveness to 6AN treatment when NRF2 was silenced, indicating that NRF2 knockdown can reverse the effects caused by inhibition of the PPP. Bioluminescence recordings of Bmal1:luc U2OS cells confirmed this hypothesis, since NRF2 silencing in 6AN-treated cells reduced the period by 2 hr compared to control siRNA (Figure 5G; siCTRL, 30.6 ± 0.5 hr; siNRF2, 28.0 ± 0.2 hr). In contrast, NRF2 silencing in control cells did not affect the period of oscillations (Figure 5H; siCTRL, 26.6 ± 0.1 hr; siNRF2, 27.1 ± 0.2 hr). We further validated this effect using DHEA and found again that NRF2 silencing reversed the period lengthening caused by inhibition of the PPP (Figures S6I and S6J). Our results thus identify NRF2 as a key connection between redox and circadian oscillations.

ganotypic slice cultures of the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) and a key metabolic tissue, the liver, from *mPer2^{Luciferase}* (*mPer2^{Luc}*) mice (Yoo et al., 2004) with 6AN elicited a period lengthening at the highest concentration (500 μ M 6AN; SCN, 26.6 \pm 0.2 hr; liver, 26.0 \pm 0.5 hr versus control SCN, 24.9 \pm 0.2 hr and liver, 23.4 \pm 0.4 hr), similar to the effect in human cells (Figures 6A–6D, S7A, and S7B). Importantly, removal of 6AN after 4 days of incubation restored a normal period length in both tissues, illustrating the reversible nature of the redox perturbations.

Given that an ensemble of oscillators may exhibit comparable behavior due to dispersion in the phase of individual oscillators within the tissue, we performed single-cell imaging of $mPer2^{Luc}$ SCN slices to investigate the effect on period length in individual cells. Similar to the effects at the population level, single cells exhibited a period lengthening of >2 hr when treated with 6AN (500 μ M 6AN, 27.5 \pm 0.2 hr versus control, 25.0 \pm 0.1 hr) (Figures 6E and S7C), together with a higher damping rate (Figure S7D). Notably, we obtained similar results when measuring single-cell oscillations in *Per2:luc* U2OS cells treated with 6AN (Figures S7E–S7G), and we did not observe deleterious changes in cell morphology upon exposure to 6AN or DHEA for several days



Figure 7. PPP Inhibition Affects Behavioral Rhythms in Flies

5 7

(A) Median activity plots of *Drosophila melanogaster* (Canton-S strain) behavioral activity with concentrations of 6AN ranging from 1 to 15 mM in their usual growth medium. As a control, DMSO was used at the specified concentration to directly match the concentration experienced with the 6AN dose.

(Figure S7H). This highlights the significant and specific effect that perturbing central cellular metabolism has on cell-autonomous circadian oscillations.

Fly Behavioral Rhythms Respond to Redox Perturbation Similarly to Mammals

In order to circumvent the systemic effects associated with 6AN in mice, we measured the effect of PPP inhibition on Drosophila melanogaster locomotor activity. Flies are ideal organisms to study the effect of metabolic inhibitors because they lack red blood cells, which are sensitive to such treatment when administered to rodents. In addition, their transcriptional clockwork is largely similar in architecture to mammals, with orthologs of BMAL1 and CLOCK driving gene expression of repressors (Young and Kay, 2001). We therefore recorded locomotor behavior of flies using a video recording system (Figure S7I). Behavioral recordings of flies fed 1-15 mM 6AN in their agar growth medium revealed a dose-dependent effect on behavioral rhythms (Figure 7A). PPP inhibition caused only a mild reduction in the amplitude of activity rhythms at all concentrations, enabling us to measure their behavioral rhythms over the course of several days. Treatment with 6AN lengthened the period up to 2.3 hr at the highest dose (15 mM) (Figure 7B), and this effect was visible in the locomotor activity of individual flies (Figures 7C and S7J). These results thus show that inhibition of the PPP not only affects cell-autonomous circadian oscillations but also complex behavioral rhythms controlled by the circadian clock.

DISCUSSION

Models for circadian timekeeping in all species currently incorporate similar transcriptional mechanisms. However, each species' clock relies on a different set of clock genes in its timing system, given that these are not evolutionarily conserved between kingdoms (Young and Kay, 2001). Recently, an alternative type of circadian oscillation, the oxidation of peroxiredoxins, has been reported in a diverse range of species (Edgar et al., 2012), implying that redox oscillations could be a more fundamental timekeeping mechanism. We set out to investigate how such non-transcriptional oscillations may be connected to circadian transcriptional rhythms. Our results demonstrate that manipulation of the PPP, a key pathway in NADPH metabolism, affects circadian oscillations in human cells, mouse tissues, and living flies. Identification of the PPP as a modulator of redox oscillations indicates that the overoxidation pattern of peroxiredoxin may be a reporter of more fundamental oscillations in the form of NADPH rhythms (Figure 7D). It also suggests that NADPH metabolism may be an important parameter in the generation of circadian redox oscillations, in light of previous findings showing similar NADPH rhythmicity in non-transcriptional models (O'Neill and Reddy, 2011). Moreover, these redox rhythms may have physiological importance, since several studies have described rhythms in NADP+:NADPH ratio in rodents (Reddy and Rey, 2014).

We found that redox perturbations increased the DNA-binding activity of BMAL1/CLOCK, which in turn led to profound qualitative and quantitative changes in circadian gene expression. The effects were especially prominent for clock genes, but inhibition of the PPP also caused a switch in the set of output circadian genes. Indeed, the sets of genes being rhythmic with or without PPP inhibition diverged considerably. Perturbation of NADPH metabolism also led to an increased density of histone H3K9 acetylation near BMAL1/CLOCK sites, indicating redox-dependent chromatin remodeling. We showed that the redox-sensitive histone acetyltransferase P300 accumulated in the nucleus after PPP inhibition and subsequently displayed increased binding at BMAL1/CLOCK sites. Interestingly, this effect was more pronounced at direct BMAL1/CLOCK target genes-those with mRNA expression corresponding to BMAL1/CLOCK binding in mouse liver (Rey et al., 2011)-suggesting that P300 is mainly associated with transcriptionally active BMAL1/CLOCK complexes. This is consistent with the fact that the genome-wide binding of P300 is in phase with BMAL1/CLOCK binding in mouse liver (Koike et al., 2012). Thus, our study indicates that P300 links redox rhythms to circadian transcription by modulating BMAL1/ CLOCK transactivation ability in a redox-dependent fashion.

Our study also revealed the important role of NRF2 in the interplay between redox and circadian oscillations. Previous studies have shown clock-controlled activity of Nrf2 in the mouse lung (Pekovic-Vaughan et al., 2014) and proposed that Nr1d1 may respond to oxidative stress signals through an NRF2 binding site in its promoter (Yang et al., 2014). Here we find that NRF2 and BMAL1/CLOCK have overlapping transcriptional regulatory programs, likely through cooperative binding to common genomic sites, and may therefore contribute to circadian transcription, as suggested by the number of NRF2 target genes rhythmically expressed. Moreover, our data strengthen the notion that NR1D1 could integrate circadian and redox signals, but most importantly reveal the role of NRF2 as an important regulatory node between redox rhythms and circadian transcriptional oscillations in nucleated cells. Indeed, we found that NRF2 is necessary for relaying redox perturbation caused by inhibition of the PPP to the circadian clockwork. These findings will be of great importance in building an integrated model of the circadian clock that encompasses its transcriptional and metabolic components. In addition, these results also provide a novel molecular mechanism by which redox imbalance, as experienced in cancer, cardiovascular disease, and neurodegenerative disease, could lead to circadian disruption.

In conclusion, we show that the PPP is an important regulator of circadian redox and transcriptional oscillations. We also identify P300 and NRF2 as two parallel mechanisms that connect redox oscillations to BMAL1/CLOCK-mediated transcriptional oscillations in nucleated cells. In a physiological context, the PPP is a fundamental player in anabolic cellular processes and is emerging as a determinant in cancer because of its role in curbing oxidative stress (Masri et al., 2015; Patra and Hay, 2014; Tsouko et al., 2014). Since the circadian transcriptional

⁽B) Mean autocorrelation of activity plots highlights the period difference between 6AN and DMSO conditions. The 95% confidence interval (white noise) is shown as a shaded gray area and autocorrelation values outside these boxes are significant at p < 0.05 (n = 24 male flies per group).

⁽C) Representative actograms of individual flies following treatment with the indicated concentration of 6AN or control (DMSO).

⁽D) Schematic showing how perturbation of the PPP regulates circadian redox and transcriptional oscillations.

network rhythmically regulates over 40% of all protein-coding genes in the body (Zhang et al., 2014), an implication of our results is that disruption of metabolic pathways as occurs in many metabolic disorders and cancers could impact significantly on tissue gene expression programs and associated organ physiology via its effect on the clockwork.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Culture and Bioluminescence Assays

Bmal1:luc U2OS and *Per2:luc* U2OS cells were a gift from Dr. Andrew Liu, University of Memphis (Liu et al., 2008). U2OS cells were cultured in standard conditions. For bioluminescence recordings, U2OS cells were synchronized by changing medium to "Air Medium" (Hastings et al., 2005). Bioluminescence assays were performed at 37°C using 12-well and 96-well plates in custommade bioluminescence recording systems (Cairn Research Ltd) composed of a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Andor iKon-M 934) mounted on the top of an Eppendorf Galaxy 170R CO₂ incubator. Bioluminescence data traces were analyzed using a modified version of the R script "CellulaRhythm" (Hirota et al., 2008).

Gel Electrophoresis and Immunoblotting

Bmal1:luc U2OS cells treated with 5 mM 6AN or control (DMSO) were synchronized with a dexamethasone shock and lysed in 1 × SDS sample buffer at the indicated time points. NuPAGE Novex 10% Bis-Tris gradient gels were run according to the manufacturer's protocol with a nonreducing MES SDS buffer system. Protein transfer to nitrocellulose for blotting was performed and membranes were incubated in anti-PRDX-SO3 (LF-PA0004, Thermo Fisher Scientific) or anti-ACTB (sc-47778, Santa Cruz) overnight at 4°C. Immunoblot signals were first normalized with loading control (actin) and then normalized to the average for each replicate.

siRNA Transfections

For bioluminescence experiments, $90 \ \mu$ L cell suspension (0.5–1 × 10⁵ cells per mL) were seeded in 96-well plates. Cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs (see Table S1 for details) 20–24 hr after seeding using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Life Technologies) according to manufacturer's instructions. The medium of transfected cells was changed to "Air Medium" for bioluminescence recording 72 hr after transfection. When combined with drug experiments, solvent (DMSO) was kept at a concentration of 0.25% for control and treatment conditions. For gene expression analyses after siRNA knockdown, siRNA transfections were performed as described above, except that they were performed in 12-well plates, keeping the ratio between cell number and transfection reagent constant. Cells were synchronized with dexamethasone and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 5 mM 6AN or control (DMSO) 72 hr after transfection. After 24 hr incubation, RNA was extracted with TRI-Reagent in triplicate and purified with Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep kit (Zymo Research).

RNA-Seq

For mRNA expression time course, *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells were synchronized with dexamethasone (Figure S4A) and cultured in DMEM as described above, supplemented with 5 mM 6AN or a matched amount of DMSO (0.5%) as a control. At the time points indicated in the main text, RNA was extracted with TRI-Reagent in triplicate and purified with Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep kit (Zymo Research). RNA-seq libraries were prepared as described in the detailed protocol provided in Supplemental Experimental Procedures. Sequencing using a HiSeq platform with single-end 50 bp reads and subsequent quality filtering of reads was performed according to manufacturer's instructions (Illumina).

ChIP-Seq

ChIP was performed on *Bmal1:luc* U2OS using a modified version of an established protocol (Mortazavi et al., 2006) provided in Supplemental Experimental Procedures. ChIP-seq libraries were prepared as described for RNA-seq samples, except that fragment size selection was performed after end repair using AMPure XP Magnetic Beads. Sequencing using a HiSeq platform with pairedend 101 bp reads and subsequent quality filtering of reads was performed according to manufacturer's instructions (Illumina).

Nuclear Fractions

Nuclear fractions were prepared from *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells treated with 6AN or control (DMSO) for 24 hr using the NE-PER reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to manufacturer instructions. Nuclear lysates were diluted with denaturing LDS sample buffer (Invitrogen) with 50 mM TCEP and heated to 70°C for 10 min before loading on gels. Nuclear extracts were analyzed by immunoblotting as described in the Supplemental Experimental Procedures, except that NuPAGE Novex 4%–12% Bis-Tris gradient gels were used. The following antibodies were used: anti-p300 (N-15), sc-584, Santa Cruz; anti-NFE2L2, Antibody EP1808Y, OriGene Technologies; and anti-U2AF65 U4758, Sigma.

Organotypic Slice Culture and Bioluminescence

All animal experimentation was licensed by the UK Home Office under the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, and according to the European Parliament and Council of the European Union Directive 2010/63/EU. Local Ethical Review was also conducted by the University of Cambridge. Prior to use in experiments, animals were group housed in individually ventilated cages under a 12:12 light:dark (LD) cycle with food and water available ad libitum. SCN and liver slices were extracted from 8- to 12-week-old adult $mPer2^{Luc}$ mice (Yoo et al., 2004). Slices were cultured on a membrane (Merck Millipore, PICM0RG50) in a sealed dish. Slices were then transferred to custom-imaging incubators for whole-explant bioluminescence recording, or microscopes for single-cell bioluminescence imaging. Whole-explant imaging of SCN and liver slices was performed using an Andor iKon-M 934 cooled CCD camera mounted CO2 incubator at 37°C. Single-cell images were recorded from SCN slices placed into an Okolab stage-top heated chamber (37°C) mounted on an inverted Nikon Eclipse Ti-E microscope equipped with an electron-multiplied CCD (EM-CCD) camera (Hamamatsu ImagEM 1K, C9100-14).

Fly Behavioral Assays

Wild-type Canton-S flies were bred and grown on standard yeast cornmeal agar medium at 25°C in 12 hr:12 hr LD cycles. For behavioral recording experiments, individual flies were placed into wells of a 96-well plate following brief exposure to CO_2 anesthesia. Each well contained an equal volume of assay medium (5% sucrose, 1% agar), supplemented with 6AN or DMSO (control) at concentrations indicated in the main text. Although the concentrations of drug were high in comparison to those used in our cell and tissues studies, it is important to note that the *Drosophila* were ingesting agar dosed with the drug and therefore received a much lower effective concentration. Using a custom-made infrared video recording system, the locomotor activity of individual 4- to 7-day-old flies was recorded in constant darkness (DD) following 2 days of entrainment in LD cycles (which were not recorded). The videos were processed using Ethovision XT v10 software (Noldus) to quantify the locomotor activity of the flies.

ACCESSION NUMBERS

The GEO (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) accession number for the RNAseq and ChIP-seq data reported in this paper is GEO: GSE74439.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, seven figures, and four tables and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2016.07.024.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

A.B.R. and G.R. designed and planned experiments with contributions from J.S.O. G.R., K.A.F., N.B.M., U.K.V., and A.S. performed cell experiments. U.K.V. performed fruit fly experiments. G.R. and U.K.V. performed and analyzed RNA-seq and ChIP-seq experiments. V.V. supervised the metabolomics analyses and performed data analyses, and V.V. and G.R. analyzed results. L.W. and L.A.-B. performed mouse experiments. G.R., A.B.R., N.B.M., L.W., U.K.V., and V.V. analyzed the data. A.B.R. and G.R. wrote the manuscript with contributions from all of the authors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.B.R. acknowledges funding from the Wellcome Trust (100333/Z/12/Z, 100574/Z/12/Z), the European Research Council (ERC Starting Grant No. 281348, MetaCLOCK), EMBO Young Investigators Programme, the Lister Institute of Preventive Medicine, and the Medical Research Council (MRC_UU_12012/5). J.S.O. is supported by the Medical Research Council (MC_UP_1201/4) and the Wellcome Trust (093734/Z/10/Z). G.R. is supported by an SNSF Postdoctoral Mobility Fellowship and an EMBO Long-Term Fellowship. We thank A. Liu (University of Memphis) for stable *Bmal:luc* and *Per2:luc* U2OS cells, L. Guarante (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) for *SIRT1^{-/-}* mouse embryonic fibroblasts, and N. Matthews and colleagues (Francis Crick Institute, Advanced Sequencing Facility) for assistance with high-throughput sequencing.

Received: February 20, 2016 Revised: May 24, 2016 Accepted: July 28, 2016 Published: August 18, 2016

REFERENCES

Bass, J. (2012). Circadian topology of metabolism. Nature 491, 348–356.

Cappellini, M.D., and Fiorelli, G. (2008). Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency. Lancet 371, 64–74.

Cho, C.-S., Yoon, H.J., Kim, J.Y., Woo, H.A., and Rhee, S.G. (2014). Circadian rhythm of hyperoxidized peroxiredoxin II is determined by hemoglobin autoxidation and the 20S proteasome in red blood cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *111*, 12043–12048.

Chorley, B.N., Campbell, M.R., Wang, X., Karaca, M., Sambandan, D., Bangura, F., Xue, P., Pi, J., Kleeberger, S.R., and Bell, D.A. (2012). Identification of novel NRF2-regulated genes by ChIP-seq: influence on retinoid X receptor alpha. Nucleic Acids Res. *40*, 7416–7429.

Dansen, T.B., Smits, L.M.M., van Triest, M.H., de Keizer, P.L.J., van Leenen, D., Koerkamp, M.G., Szypowska, A., Meppelink, A., Brenkman, A.B., Yodoi, J., et al. (2009). Redox-sensitive cysteines bridge p300/CBP-mediated acetylation and FoxO4 activity. Nat. Chem. Biol. *5*, 664–672.

Edgar, R.S., Green, E.W., Zhao, Y., van Ooijen, G., Olmedo, M., Qin, X., Xu, Y., Pan, M., Valekunja, U.K., Feeney, K.A., et al. (2012). Peroxiredoxins are conserved markers of circadian rhythms. Nature *485*, 459–464.

Etchegaray, J.P., Lee, C., Wade, P.A., and Reppert, S.M. (2003). Rhythmic histone acetylation underlies transcription in the mammalian circadian clock. Nature *421*, 177–182.

Fan, J., Ye, J., Kamphorst, J.J., Shlomi, T., Thompson, C.B., and Rabinowitz, J.D. (2014). Quantitative flux analysis reveals folate-dependent NADPH production. Nature *510*, 298–302.

Hastings, M.H., Reddy, A.B., McMahon, D.G., and Maywood, E.S. (2005). Analysis of circadian mechanisms in the suprachiasmatic nucleus by transgenesis and biolistic transfection. Methods Enzymol. *393*, 579–592.

Hirota, T., Lewis, W.G., Liu, A.C., Lee, J.W., Schultz, P.G., and Kay, S.A. (2008). A chemical biology approach reveals period shortening of the mammalian circadian clock by specific inhibition of GSK-3beta. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *105*, 20746–20751.

Köhler, E., Barrach, H., and Neubert, D. (1970). Inhibition of NADP dependent oxidoreductases by the 6-aminonicotinamide analogue of NADP. FEBS Lett. 6, 225–228.

Koike, N., Yoo, S.-H., Huang, H.-C., Kumar, V., Lee, C., Kim, T.-K., and Takahashi, J.S. (2012). Transcriptional architecture and chromatin landscape of the core circadian clock in mammals. Science 338, 349–354.

Liu, A.C., Tran, H.G., Zhang, E.E., Priest, A.A., Welsh, D.K., and Kay, S.A. (2008). Redundant function of REV-ERBalpha and beta and non-essential role for Bmal1 cycling in transcriptional regulation of intracellular circadian rhythms. PLoS Genet. *4*, e1000023.

Masri, S., Kinouchi, K., and Sassone-Corsi, P. (2015). Circadian clocks, epigenetics, and cancer. Curr. Opin. Oncol. 27, 50–56. Mortazavi, A., Leeper Thompson, E.C., Garcia, S.T., Myers, R.M., and Wold, B. (2006). Comparative genomics modeling of the NRSF/REST repressor network: from single conserved sites to genome-wide repertoire. Genome Res. *16*, 1208–1221.

Nakahata, Y., Sahar, S., Astarita, G., Kaluzova, M., and Sassone-Corsi, P. (2009). Circadian control of the NAD+ salvage pathway by CLOCK-SIRT1. Science *324*, 654–657.

Nakajima, M., Imai, K., Ito, H., Nishiwaki, T., Murayama, Y., Iwasaki, H., Oyama, T., and Kondo, T. (2005). Reconstitution of circadian oscillation of cyanobacterial KaiC phosphorylation in vitro. Science *308*, 414–415.

O'Neill, J.S., and Reddy, A.B. (2011). Circadian clocks in human red blood cells. Nature *469*, 498–503.

O'Neill, J.S., van Ooijen, G., Dixon, L.E., Troein, C., Corellou, F., Bouget, F.-Y., Reddy, A.B., and Millar, A.J. (2011). Circadian rhythms persist without transcription in a eukaryote. Nature *469*, 554–558.

Patra, K.C., and Hay, N. (2014). The pentose phosphate pathway and cancer. Trends Biochem. Sci. 39, 347–354.

Pekovic-Vaughan, V., Gibbs, J., Yoshitane, H., Yang, N., Pathiranage, D., Guo, B., Sagami, A., Taguchi, K., Bechtold, D., Loudon, A., et al. (2014). The circadian clock regulates rhythmic activation of the NRF2/glutathione-mediated antioxidant defense pathway to modulate pulmonary fibrosis. Genes Dev. 28, 548–560.

Raineri, R., and Levy, H.R. (1970). On the specificity of steroid interaction with mammary glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase. Biochemistry 9, 2233–2243.

Ramsey, K.M., Yoshino, J., Brace, C.S., Abrassart, D., Kobayashi, Y., Marcheva, B., Hong, H.-K., Chong, J.L., Buhr, E.D., Lee, C., et al. (2009). Circadian clock feedback cycle through NAMPT-mediated NAD+ biosynthesis. Science *324*, 651–654.

Reddy, A.B., and Rey, G. (2014). Metabolic and nontranscriptional circadian clocks: eukaryotes. Annu. Rev. Biochem. *83*, 165–189.

Rey, G., Cesbron, F., Rougemont, J., Reinke, H., Brunner, M., and Naef, F. (2011). Genome-wide and phase-specific DNA-binding rhythms of BMAL1 control circadian output functions in mouse liver. PLoS Biol. *9*, e1000595.

Rutter, J., Reick, M., Wu, L.C., and McKnight, S.L. (2001). Regulation of clock and NPAS2 DNA binding by the redox state of NAD cofactors. Science 293, 510–514.

Thaben, P.F., and Westermark, P.O. (2014). Detecting rhythms in time series with RAIN. J. Biol. Rhythms *29*, 391–400.

Tsouko, E., Khan, A.S., White, M.A., Han, J.J., Shi, Y., Merchant, F.A., Sharpe, M.A., Xin, L., and Frigo, D.E. (2014). Regulation of the pentose phosphate pathway by an androgen receptor-mTOR-mediated mechanism and its role in prostate cancer cell growth. Oncogenesis *3*, e103.

Wood, Z.A., Schröder, E., Robin Harris, J., and Poole, L.B. (2003). Structure, mechanism and regulation of peroxiredoxins. Trends Biochem. Sci. 28, 32–40.

Yang, R., and Su, Z. (2010). Analyzing circadian expression data by harmonic regression based on autoregressive spectral estimation. Bioinformatics *26*, i168–i174.

Yang, G., Wright, C.J., Hinson, M.D., Fernando, A.P., Sengupta, S., Biswas, C., La, P., and Dennery, P.A. (2014). Oxidative stress and inflammation modulate Rev-erb α signaling in the neonatal lung and affect circadian rhythmicity. Antioxid. Redox Signal. *21*, 17–32.

Yoo, S.-H., Yamazaki, S., Lowrey, P.L., Shimomura, K., Ko, C.H., Buhr, E.D., Siepka, S.M., Hong, H.-K., Oh, W.J., Yoo, O.J., et al. (2004). PERIOD2: LUCIFERASE real-time reporting of circadian dynamics reveals persistent circadian oscillations in mouse peripheral tissues. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *101*, 5339–5346.

Young, M.W., and Kay, S.A. (2001). Time zones: a comparative genetics of circadian clocks. Nat. Rev. Genet. *2*, 702–715.

Zhang, R., Lahens, N.F., Ballance, H.I., Hughes, M.E., and Hogenesch, J.B. (2014). A circadian gene expression atlas in mammals: implications for biology and medicine. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *111*, 16219–16224.

Cell Metabolism, Volume 24

Supplemental Information

The Pentose Phosphate Pathway

Regulates the Circadian Clock

Guillaume Rey, Utham K. Valekunja, Kevin A. Feeney, Lisa Wulund, Nikolay B. Milev, Alessandra Stangherlin, Laura Ansel-Bollepalli, Vidya Velagapudi, John S. O'Neill, and Akhilesh B. Reddy

Figure S1











Figure S3



Α

С

DEX entrainment 800-Raw bioluminescence (AU) DAY Cells NIGHT Cells 700-600 500-400 24 48 72 96 120 Time (h)

	RAIN (p<0.01)	Fisher Test (p<0.05)	Arser (p<0.01)	ALL
CTRL	414	820	458	147
6AN	453	875	483	169

CTRL

Panther GO-Slim Biological Process	Fold Enrichment	Corrected P-value (Bonferri)
transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter (GO:0006366)	1.68	3.67E-02
nucleobase-containing compound metabolic process (GO:0006139)	1.53	2.28E-03
primary metabolic process (GO:0044238)	1.3	1.00E-02
metabolic process (GO:0008152)	1.29	1.39E-03

6AN						
Panther GO Biological Process	Fold Enrichment	Corrected P-value (Bonferri)				
circadian rhythm (GO:0007623)	5.17	3.09E-03				
rhythmic process (GO:0048511)	3.3	3.88E-02				
cellular macromolecule metabolic process (GO:0044260)	1.34	2.45E-03				
regulation of metabolic process (GO:0019222)	1.33	2.40E-02				
macromolecule metabolic process (GO:0043170)	1.29	1.61E-02				





F



50



PER1





0.0 24 36 48 60 72

CLOCK

10-

8





Time (h)

15



CIPC

14

12

10

8



0 24 36 48 60 72





CTRL -O-

6AN

В



Figure S6

I









Figure S7



Activity (AU

Activity (AU 0.0 1.5

0.0 1.5 -

Activity Distance

Time

Time (days)

3

dia Manakiti.

3 Time (days)

h ditte

الراهيل بن فالتقور بي التقاور ب

add -

فلاسل

Activity (AU 0:0 1:0

Activity (AU 0.0 1.5

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure S1. Characterisation of 6AN, related to Figure 1.

(A-B) Immunoblots showing overoxidised peroxiredoxin (PRDX-SO_{2/3}) monomers with loading controls (β -actin, ACTB) for *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells treated with 5mM 6AN vs. control (DMSO). Molecular weights (kDa) shown on left side of blots. The band specific to the PRDX-SO_{2/3} monomer is shown with an arrow on the right side of blots. The smile artefact observed between two wells in each blot is an artefact occurring during transfer of NuPAGE Novex Bis-Tris Midi-Gels onto nitrocellulose membranes.

(C) NAD⁺:NADH ratio of cells treated with 6AN (mean \pm SEM, n=3-4; two-tailed Student's t-test).

(D-E) Measurement of the extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) (C) and oxygen consumption rate (OCR) (D) in *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells shows that acute treatment with 6AN does not affect glycolysis or oxidative phosphorylation (mean \pm SEM, n=6-8; two-tailed Student's t-test; not significant).

(F) Raw bioluminescence recordings of *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells treated with 5mM 6AN vs. control (DMSO), followed by wash off after 96h. (mean values shown, n=3-6).

Figure S2. siRNA knockdown of PPP enzymes, related to Figure 1.

(A-C) Bioluminescence recordings of *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells transfected with the indicated siRNAs or control (negative control #1) at a final concentration of 50nM (mean, n=8).

(D) NADP⁺:NADPH ratio of *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells transfected with the indicated siRNAs (mean \pm SEM, n=3-4; two-tailed Student's *t*-test; ; *** *P* < 0.001).

(E) Quantifications of circadian period length of bioluminescence traces from (F-H) (mean \pm SEM, n = 8; two-tailed Student's *t*-test; *** *P* < 0.001, ** *P* < 0.01).

(F) qPCR validation of siRNA-mediated knock down efficiency in *Bmal1:luc* U2OS. The relative levels of each mRNA were calculated by the $2^{-\Delta \Delta Ct}$ method and normalized to the corresponding *ACTB* mRNA levels (mean ± SEM, n=3; two-tailed Student's *t*-test; *** *P* < 0.001, ** *P* < 0.01).

Figure S3. Characterisation of DHEA and metabolomics analyses, related to Figure 2.

(A) Raw bioluminescence traces for *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells treated with 50 μ M dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) vs. control (DMSO), followed by wash off after 96h (mean values shown, n=3-6).

(B) Bioluminescence recordings of *Per2:luc* U2OS cells treated with the indicated drugs. Treatment with 200nM Bicalutamide (BT), an anti-androgen drug, does not suppress the effect of DHEA on circadian oscillations (mean values shown, n=8).

(C) Quantifications of the period length from (B) (mean \pm SEM, n=8; two-tailed Student's *t*-test; *** P < 0.001).

(D) NAD⁺:NADH ratio of cells treated with DHEA (mean \pm SEM, n=3-4; two-tailed Student's *t*-test; * P < 0.05).

(E) Raw bioluminescence traces for *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells treated with 6AN, or 6AN and NMN, vs. control (DMSO) (mean values shown, n=8).

(F) Metabolomics profiling in *Bmal1:luc* U2OS treated with 5mM 6AN vs. control (DMSO) (top) or 50 μ M DHEA vs. control (bottom) (mean \pm SEM, n=3).

(G) The log fold change exhibits a significant correlation between the 6AN and DHEA conditions (linear regression in log space, $R^2 = 0.33$, p<1x10⁻⁴). R5P, Ribose 5-phosphate.

Figure S4. RNA-Seq in *Bmal1:Luc* U2OS cells, related to Figure 3.

(A) For mRNA time course experiments, *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells were treated with 100 nM dexamethasone at 12 h intervals to differentially entrain the cells (i.e. a population of Day vs. Night cells). Bioluminescence recordings confirm that the two sets of cells exhibit antiphasic oscillation of the reporter gene (median, n=24).

(B) Comparison of different algorithms to detect circadian transcripts in the control (DMSO) and 6AN RNA-Seq datasets. For each algorithm, the number of rhythmic genes found at the given threshold is given. In the last column, the number of genes common to the three methods is shown.

(C) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of 414 and 453 circadian genes in the control (DMSO) and 6AN conditions respectively. Enrichment of GO terms for biological processes was performed with PANTHER database.

(D) Distribution of period length for 6AN and control mRNA profiles for circadian transcripts (RAIN algorithm, $p \le 0.01$) excluding clock gene transcripts (Table S4). (Wilcoxon rank sum test, with p-values as shown).

(E) Distribution of phase differences between 6AN and control mRNA profiles for circadian transcripts (RAIN algorithm, $p \le 0.01$) excluding clock gene transcripts (Kuiper's one-sample test of uniformity, * P < 0.01).

(F) Profiles of mRNA accumulations for indicated clock genes. FPKM, Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million.

Figure S5. BMAL1/CLOCK ChIP analyses and P300 siRNA, related to Figure 4.

(A-B) ChIP-Seq data at the *DBP* (A) and *NR1D1* (B) loci (visualized in the UCSC Genome Browser) showing BMAL1, CLOCK, H3K9ac and H3K4me3 tracks with control and 6AN conditions.

(C) Genomic profiles of H3K9ac densities around BMAL1/CLOCK peaks specific to the control condition.

(D-E) Fraction of circadian genes depending of the fold change in BMAL1/CLOCK binding (D) and H3K9ac levels (E). Genes near BMAL1/CLOCK 6AN peaks were stratified in four groups using quartiles Q1, Q2 and Q3 (respectively p=0.25, 0.5 and 0.75) using the distribution of fold change for BMAL1/CLOCK 6AN vs. CTRL (D) and H3K9ac 6AN vs. CTRL (E). For each group, the fraction of genes with p<0.05 using the RAIN algorithm is shown. Boxplot of fold changes for BMAL1/CLOCK and H3K9ac are shown (right).

(F) Time course of mRNA expression determined by RT-qPCR in $SIRT1^{-/-}$ MEFs incubated with 5mM 6AN (red) or control (DMSO, grey) (mean \pm SEM, n=3). Cells were synchronized with a dexamethasone shock and total RNA was collected at the indicated time points.

(G) BMAL1, CLOCK, H3K9ac and H3K4me3 ChIP-qPCR in *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells following 24 h control (DMSO), 6AN or 6AN+NMN treatment are shown. (mean \pm SEM, n=3; two-tailed Student's *t*-test; *** *P* < 0.001, ** *P* < 0.01, * *P* < 0.05).

(H) Bioluminescence recordings of *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells transfected with *P300* siRNA at a final concentration of 20nM. As a control, Non-Targeting siRNA #1 was used at the same concentration (mean, n=8-16).

(I) Bioluminescence recordings of *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells transfected with *P300* siRNA at a final concentration of 0.5nM treated with 6AN or control (DMSO) (left; mean, n=8). Quantifications of circadian period length of bioluminescence traces (right; mean \pm SEM, n = 8; two-tailed Student's *t*-test; *** *P* < 0.001).

Figure S6. NRF2 targets exhibit circadian gene expression, related to Figure 5.

(A) Venn diagrams showing the overlap between circadian genes and genes bound by BMAL1/CLOCK in the control and 6AN conditions (Fisher test on contingency table, with p-values as shown).

(B) NRF2-binding motif determined by ChIP-Seq analyses from (Chorley et al., 2012).

(C) Genomic profiles of H3K9ac densities around the 849 NRF2 ChIP-Seq peaks from (Chorley et al., 2012).

(D) Heat map representation of the temporal accumulation of mRNA for NRF2 target genes detected as circadian in the 6AN and control conditions.

- (E) Phase histogram of rhythmic transcripts shown in (D).
- (F) Profiles of mRNA accumulations for *Glutathione Reductase* (*GSR*) and *Thioredoxin Reductase 1* (*TXNRD1*). FPKM, Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million.

(G) ChIP-Seq data at the *NR1D1* locus (visualized in the UCSC Genome Browser) showing two peaks located at the promoter and in the first intron. Both sites are bound by BMAL1/CLOCK and NRF2. NRF2 data are from (Chorley et al., 2012).

(H) qPCR validation of *NRF2* siRNA-mediated knock down efficiency in *Bmal1:luc* U2OS. The relative levels of *NRF2* were calculated by the $2^{-\Delta \Delta Ct}$ method and normalized to the corresponding *ACTB* mRNA levels (mean ± SEM, n=3; two-tailed Student's *t*-test).

(I-J) Bioluminescence recordings of *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells transfected with 20nM *NRF2* siRNA or control (Non-Targeting siRNA #1) combined with DHEA treatment at 25 μ M (I) or with control (DMSO) (J) (left; mean, n=8). Quantifications of circadian period length of bioluminescence traces (right; mean ± SEM, n = 8; two-tailed Student's *t*-test; *** *P* < 0.001).

Figure S7. Bioluminescence data in mouse tissues and U2OS cells, and fly behavioural recording system, related to Figure 6 and Figure 7.

(A-B) Raw bioluminescence traces of suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) (A) and liver slices (B) from $mPer2^{Luciferase}$ ($mPer2^{Luc}$) mice treated with the indicated concentration of 6AN or control (DMSO).

(C) Rayleigh plot showing the distribution of circadian phases at the beginning of the traces shown in Figure 6E.

(D) Boxplot showing the difference in estimated damping rate between 6AN and control cells (two-tailed Student's *t*-test, $P = 1x10^{-16}$).

(E-F) Single-cell bioluminescence traces of *Per2:luc* U2OS cells treated with control (DMSO, (E)) or 6AN (F). The average of the whole population is shown in red.

(G) Quantifications of the period length from (E-F) (mean \pm SEM, n>10; two-tailed Student's *t*-test; *** *P* < 0.001).

(H) Brightfield images of *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells treated with 5mM 6AN or control (0.5% DMSO), and 50μ M DHEA or control (0.5% EtOH) for 68h.

(I) Schematic showing the workflow for high-throughput fly behavioural recordings. Individual flies were placed into wells of a 96-well plate and, using a custom made infra-red video recording system, the locomotor activity of individual 4-7 day old flies was recorded in constant darkness (DD). The videos were processed to quantify the locomotor activity of the flies and the distance travelled per minute was the measure of the flies' locomotor activity.

(J) For comparison with Figure 7C, representative activity plots of individual flies following treatment with 6AN or control.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

Table S1. List of siRNAs used in this study. Related to Figure S2, Figure S5, Figure 5 and Figure S6.

Gene Symbol	Catalog Number	Supplier
Negative Control No. 1	4390843	Life Technologies
Non-Targeting siRNA No. 1	D-001810-01	Dharmacon
CRY2	L-014151-01	Dharmacon
G6PD	L-008181-02	Dharmacon
PGD	L-008371-00	Dharmacon
NRF2	L-003755-00	Dharmacon
EP300	L-003486-00	Dharmacon

RT-qPCR					
Gene	Species	Detection	Assay ID	Supplier	
ACTB	Human	Taqman	Hs01060665_g1	Life Technologies	
CRY2	Human	Taqman	Hs00323654_m1	Life Technologies	
G6PD	Human	Taqman	Hs00166169_m1	Life Technologies	
PGD	Human	Taqman	Hs00427230_m1	Life Technologies	
BMAL1	Human	Taqman	Hs00154147_m1	Life Technologies	
DBP	Human	Taqman	Hs00609747_m1	Life Technologies	
NR1D1	Human	Taqman	Hs00253876_m1	Life Technologies	
NR1D2	Human	Taqman	Hs00233309_m1	Life Technologies	
PER3	Human	Taqman	Hs00213466_m1	Life Technologies	
TEF	Human	Taqman	Hs01115720_m1	Life Technologies	
NRF2	Human	Taqman	Hs00975961_g1	Life Technologies	
ACTB	Mouse	Taqman	Mm00607939_s1	Life Technologies	
NR1D1	Mouse	Taqman	Mm00520708_m1	Life Technologies	
ARNTL	Mouse	Taqman	Mm00500226_m1	Life Technologies	
PER2	Mouse	Taqman	Mm00478113_m1	Life Technologies	
ChIP-qPCR					
Gene	Species	Туре	Sequence (5' -> 3')		Detection
NR1D1	Human	Forward	CTACGTTCCCTCGGCA	AGTAA	SYBR Green
NR1D1	Human	Reverse	TCACATGGTACCTGC	ГССАG	SYBR Green
NR1D1 1st intron	Human	Forward	CGCTTCCCGTCAATCO	GAGA	SYBR Green
NR1D1 1st intron	Human	Reverse	GTTGTCCTGGCCCTG	CTATC	SYBR Green
PER1	Human	Forward	AGACCTCTCAGCCTA	TGAGAAAGC	SYBR Green
PER1	Human	Reverse	CCCGACCTGCCAAGA	TTG	SYBR Green
CRY2	Human	Forward	TGGGTAAGAGATCCG	CTGTC	SYBR Green
CRY2	Human	Reverse	CCCTCACGTTCCTACC	CATGT	SYBR Green
HMOX1	Human	Forward	ATTTCCTCATCCCCTC	CGTGC	SYBR Green
HMOX1	Human	Reverse	AGCAAAATCCGCCTT	TCCCTT	SYBR Green
DBP	Human	Forward	AAACACGGACCAATC	GTCTC	SYBR Green
DBP	Human	Reverse	GAAAGGCAAGGCAAG	CTTCAC	SYBR Green
ACTB	Human	Forward	CACCGTCCGTTGTAT	GTCTG	SYBR Green
ACTB	Human	Reverse	GCTTTGAGTTCCTAG	CACCG	SYBR Green

 Table S2. List of primers used in this study. Related to Figure 3 and 5, and Figure S2, S5, and S6.

Table S3. Metabolomics data. Related to Figure S3.

Metabolite	DMSO_A	DMSO_B	DMSO_C	6AN_A	6AN_B	6AN_C	DHEA_A	DHEA_B	DHEA_C
UDP-Glucose	6.10E+02	6.04E+02	5.72E+02	6.44E+02	6.41E+02	5.88E+02	6.10E+02	6.22E+02	6.15E+02
Choline	5.68E+01	7.03E+01	4.17E+01	6.46E+01	2.67E+01	3.92E+01	3.05E+01	4.63E+01	6.69E+01
Glycine	1.81E+02 1.22E+02	1.71E+02 1.06E+02	1.35E+02 8.59E+01	1.94E+02 1.16E+02	1.45E+02 8.66E+01	9.89E+02	1.3/E+02 1.03E+02	1.41E+02 9.47E+01	9.33E+01
L-Glutamic Acid	2.75E+02	2.56E+02	2.29E+01	2.82E+02	2.40E+01	2.31E+02	2.29E+02	2.31E+02	2.45E+02
Myoinositol	6.64E+01	6.88E+01	6.22E+01	6.88E+01	6.86E+01	7.77E+01	5.71E+01	6.04E+01	6.01E+01
Alanine	7.89E+01	7.95E+01	6.58E+01	9.43E+01	8.26E+01	7.42E+01	7.28E+01	8.01E+01	8.20E+01
Creatine	1.24E+02	1.28E+02	1.01E+02	1.43E+02	1.32E+02	1.04E+02	1.14E+02	1.19E+02	1.13E+02
Aspartate	5.55E+01 6.21E+01	5.2/E+01 6.48E+01	2.61E+01 6.84E+01	5.90E+01 6.81E+01	2.64E+01 7.78E+01	2.33E+01 6.95E+01	2.48E+01 7.39E+01	2./2E+01 7.78E+01	4.69E+01 5.67E+01
Homocysteine	5.25E+01	4.80E+01	4.34E+01	3.52E+01	4.45E+01	4.95E+01	3.89E+01	5.11E+01	4.61E+01
AMP	8.24E+00	8.03E+00	2.06E+01	9.53E+00	1.00E+01	1.41E+01	1.41E+01	2.82E+01	1.99E+01
Cysteine	2.25E+01	2.30E+01	2.17E+01	2.39E+01	2.23E+01	2.14E+01	2.11E+01	2.23E+01	2.31E+01
Spermidine	3.83E+01	4.12E+01	4.11E+01	3.90E+01	3.66E+01	3.99E+01	4.12E+01	4.36E+01	3.55E+01
Valine A cetoacetic acid	1.82E+01 5.44E+01	1.91E+01 7.71E+01	1./5E+01 5.81E+01	1.97E+01 7.27E+01	2.02E+01 3.92E+01	1.82E+01 4.52E+01	1.84E+01 5.95E+01	2.01E+01 7.88E+01	1.59E+01 4.15E+01
Serine	2.03E+01	2.19E+01	1.55E+01	2.12E+01	1.86E+01	1.73E+01	1.70E+01	1.57E+01	1.74E+01
Threonine	2.25E+01	2.60E+01	1.82E+01	2.22E+01	2.02E+01	2.15E+01	2.39E+01	2.59E+01	2.01E+01
Leucine	1.39E+01	1.55E+01	1.41E+01	1.59E+01	1.66E+01	1.48E+01	1.51E+01	1.76E+01	1.43E+01
Ornithine	8.70E+00	9.67E+00	8.76E+00	9.19E+00	9.65E+00	8.94E+00	8.51E+00	9.02E+00	8.06E+00
IMP deoxycytidine	2.02E+00 7.26E+00	2.48E+00 7.46E+00	7.38E+00 5.33E+00	5.52E+00 7.88E+00	5.84E+00 6.27E+00	5.72E+00 5.26E+00	4.86E+00 5.75E+00	9.78E+00 5.91E+00	6./1E+00 7.21E+00
Glyceraldehyde	1.36E+01	1.36E+01	9.92E+00	1.14E+01	1.43E+01	1.31E+01	1.05E+01	1.03E+01	7.80E+00
Arginine	8.44E+00	8.58E+00	8.57E+00	9.35E+00	9.15E+00	8.91E+00	9.13E+00	9.45E+00	7.52E+00
Histidine	1.11E+01	1.16E+01	1.12E+01	1.13E+01	9.38E+00	1.03E+01	1.06E+01	1.18E+01	9.32E+00
Lysine	8.66E+00	9.84E+00	7.56E+00	9.97E+00	1.13E+01	8.38E+00	1.00E+01	1.15E+01	9.35E+00
S-5-Adenosyl-L-Methionine Betaine	0.08E+00 1.55E+00	1.0/E+01 1.18E+00	0.29E+00 1.69E+00	5.22E+00 1.45E+00	0.0/E+00 1.81E+00	1.85E+01 1.43E+00	5.71E+00 1.38E+00	0.56E+00 1.67E+00	0.12E+00 1.39E+00
Isoleucine	5.63E+00	5 13E+00	5 48E+00	5 80E+00	5 96E+00	5.61E+00	5.51E+00	5 79E+00	4 77E+00
D-Ribose 5-phosphate	4.16E+00	3.79E+00	4.91E+00	3.38E+00	3.38E+00	2.57E+00	2.92E+00	4.45E+00	3.83E+00
Phenylalanine	5.86E+00	5.82E+00	5.82E+00	6.27E+00	6.60E+00	5.96E+00	5.81E+00	6.30E+00	5.16E+00
Sorbitol	1.80E+00	1.58E+00	1.56E+00	1.67E+00	1.70E+00	1.74E+00	1.63E+00	1.55E+00	1.31E+00
NAD	4.13E+00 1.52E+01	4.44E+00 1.20E+01	3.46E+00	4.53E+00	3.59E+00	3.54E+00	3.21E+00	3.22E+00 1.44E+01	3./8E+00 1.42E±01
Tyrosine	4 31E+00	4 42E+01	4.58E+00	4 77E+00	5 21E+00	4 74E+00	4 89E+00	4 61E+00	3.86E+00
Glycocholic Acid	2.64E+00	2.28E+00	1.63E+00	2.90E+00	2.07E+00	1.60E+00	1.65E+00	1.69E+00	2.30E+00
Succinate	8.52E+00	8.69E+00	5.73E+00	1.07E+01	1.12E+01	5.84E+00	6.48E+00	9.54E+00	9.66E+00
Asparagine	3.54E+00	3.77E+00	2.92E+00	3.81E+00	4.24E+00	3.16E+00	3.38E+00	3.50E+00	3.45E+00
Citrulline	3.13E+00 4.77E+00	5.88E+00 6.13E+00	5.30E+00	5.52E+00	5.4/E+00	5.45E+00 5.19E+00	2.80E+00 4.23E+00	3.40E+00 4.31E+00	5.36E+00 5.21E+00
L-Methionine	2.23E+00	2.47E+00	2.35E+00	2.64E+00	2.75E+00	2.20E+00	2.49E+00	2.83E+00	2.02E+00
Carnitine	1.51E+00	1.36E+00	1.12E+00	1.49E+00	1.56E+00	1.08E+00	1.24E+00	1.26E+00	1.24E+00
Aminodipic Acid	1.23E+00	1.94E+00	8.24E-01	1.88E+00	6.76E-01	8.39E-01	2.09E+00	1.80E+00	1.39E+00
Propionylcarnitine	1.13E+00	1.16E+00	7.66E-01	1.24E+00	9.28E-01	7.17E-01	8.10E-01	8.65E-01	1.03E+00
Allantoin	1.11E+00 1.69E+00	1.02E+00 1.64E+00	1.39E+00 1.77E+00	1.03E+00 1.41E+00	1.08E+00 2.09E+00	1.25E+00 1.60E+00	1./3E+00 1.84E+00	1.15E+00 1.88E+00	1./2E+00 1.52E+00
2-deoxyuridine	6.97E-01	3.39E-01	3.30E-01	3.94E-01	3.04E-01	2.80E-01	3.12E-01	3.91E-01	5.18E-01
D-Glucuronic acid	1.51E+00	2.08E+00	1.52E+00	1.66E+00	1.29E+00	1.73E+00	1.10E+00	1.48E+00	1.36E+00
S-Adenosyl-L-Homocysteine	8.59E-01	1.10E+00	8.81E-01	9.61E-01	9.64E-01	1.55E+00	8.60E-01	9.45E-01	9.44E-01
Niacinamide	4.33E+00	5.41E+00	5.10E+00	6.38E+00	5.60E+00	4.16E+00	6.43E+00	9.03E+00	3.97E+00
A cetylcarnitine	1.25E+00 3.12E-01	1.03E+00 3.46E-01	6.72E-01	1.15E+00 3.37E-01	6.69E-01	7.34E-01	1.58E+00 6.86E-01	7.61E-01	2.93E-01
Asymmetric dimethylarginine	8.62E-01	8.59E-01	7.72E-01	1.31E+00	1.01E+00	8.73E-01	7.18E-01	1.05E+00	9.33E-01
Carnosine	3.76E-01	3.84E-01	3.91E-01	3.89E-01	3.80E-01	3.62E-01	4.34E-01	4.77E-01	4.13E-01
Adenosine	5.85E-02	4.76E-02	1.96E-01	8.84E-02	9.17E-02	1.41E-01	1.23E-01	2.86E-01	1.82E-01
Cystathionine	1.59E+00	1.93E+00	1.41E+00	2.06E+00	1.74E+00	1.52E+00	1.49E+00	1.67E+00	1.42E+00
Nicotinic Acid	4.10E-02 1.45E-01	3.84E-02 1.61E-01	0.36E-02	5.70E-02 1.54E-01	4.16E-02 8.45E-02	8.57E-02 8.62E-02	9.02E-02	1.03E-01	3.77E-02 1.89E-01
GABA	1.36E-01	1.38E-01	1.94E-01	2.01E-01	2.60E-01	1.72E-01	7.65E-02	2.89E-01	8.87E-02
Creatinine	4.01E-01	2.45E-01	3.63E-01	3.07E-01	3.03E-01	2.54E-01	3.02E-01	3.20E-01	2.83E-01
3-Hydroxyanthranilic acid	1.15E-01	1.08E-01	1.33E-01	1.48E-01	2.61E-01	2.82E-01	1.76E-01	3.16E-01	1.88E-01
Symmetric dimethylarginine	1.25E-01	5.29E-02	2.06E-01	5.71E-02	9.73E-02	9.14E-02	1.49E-01	1.52E-01	8.21E-02
L-Kynurenine	1.38E-02	9.91E-02 1 58E-01	9.72E-02	1.22E-01 1.80E-01	1.39E-01	4.90E-02	1.24E-01 1.51E-01	1.25E-01 1.80E-01	1 34E-01
Gamma-Glutamylcysteine	8.86E-02	1.67E-01	9.94E-02	8.83E-02	6.71E-02	7.06E-02	1.09E-01	9.28E-02	5.59E-02
Palmitoyl Carnitine	1.09E-01	1.17E-01	7.53E-02	1.17E-01	7.10E-02	8.71E-02	8.84E-02	1.16E-01	1.13E-01
Isovalerylcarnitine	1.01E-01	9.91E-02	4.84E-02	1.05E-01	6.06E-02	4.80E-02	5.21E-02	5.86E-02	8.67E-02
3-rrydroxyindole-3-acetic acid Isobutyrylcarnitine	7.59E-02 2.13E-01	9.20E-02 1.91E-01	0.14E-02 2.09E-01	1.14E-01 2.32E-01	7.49E-02 3.06E-01	3.37E-02 2.55E-01	4.02E-02 2.30E-01	0.51E-02 2.63E-01	7.59E-02 2.06F-01
Hypoxanthine	1.73E-02	1.09E-02	7.69E-01	1.43E-02	3.52E-02	1.65E-02	1.83E-02	5.48E-02	1.78E-02
Stearoyl Carnitine	6.85E-02	7.28E-02	7.07E-02	7.86E-02	6.51E-02	8.17E-02	7.43E-02	8.77E-02	7.07E-02
Xanthosine	8.46E-02	6.71E-02	8.07E-02	7.20E-02	6.42E-02	7.40E-02	4.69E-02	7.08E-02	6.60E-02
Folic Acid	6.15E-02	4.66E-02	5.67E-02	6.37E-02	3.56E-02	3.37E-02	3.81E-02	4.66E-02	5.94E-02
DimethylGlycine	2.78E-02 3.38E-02	3.84E-02 2.69E-02	1.39E-02 3.99E-02	1.65E-02 2.74E-02	3.36E-02 3.48E-02	1.41E-02 4.35E-02	1.35E-02 3.33E-02	1.35E-02 3.69E-02	4.07E-02 2.30E-02
Taurocholic Acid	2.02E-02	2.09E-02	4.53E-02	2.11E-02	4.99E-02	5.11E-02	4.79E-02	4.74E-02	1.84E-02
Arachidyl Carnitine	2.33E-02	2.25E-02	2.29E-02	2.33E-02	2.33E-02	2.30E-02	2.29E-02	2.24E-02	2.19E-02
Cytidine	1.00E-02	1.55E-02	2.99E-02	1.27E-02	3.43E-02	2.40E-02	2.82E-02	3.01E-02	1.36E-02
Hippuric acid	1.02E-02	6.80E-03	1.27E-02	4.52E-03	1.04E-02	1.30E-02	9.09E-03	2.70E-03	1.08E-02
aurocnenodeoxycholic Acid	1.74E-02 7.86E-03	1.1/E-02 7 70E-03	0.09E-03 2.89E-02	1.45E-02 1.28E-02	0.33E-03 6.93E-03	7.01E-03 7.44E-03	9.76E-03 1.48E-02	5.52E-03 1.40E-02	1.05E-02 6.88E-03
Myristoyl Carnitine	1.72E-02	1.75E-02	1.32E-02	1.80E-02	1.25E-02	1.48E-02	1.57E-02	1.60E-02	1.67E-02
2-Aminoisobutyric acid	8.87E-03	4.51E-03	8.87E-03	7.11E-03	6.48E-03	1.02E-02	8.06E-03	8.66E-03	4.48E-03
cAMP	1.38E-02	9.87E-03	1.03E-02	2.11E-02	7.24E-03	6.67E-03	6.47E-03	1.60E-02	9.04E-03
Dodecanoyi Carnitine	5.88E-03	5.38E-03	4.85E-03	5.55E-03	4.59E-03	5.59E-03	5.45E-03	5.06E-03	5.96E-03
Pyridoxine	6.21E-03	6.90E-03	5.10E-03	7.59E-03	4.27E-03	4.00E-05 5.75E-03	5.88E-03	4.17E-03 5.00E-03	7.15E-03
1-methylhistamine	5.90E-04	7.62E-04	1.06E-03	8.85E-04	9.10E-04	7.62E-04	4.43E-04	8.12E-04	7.62E-04
Decanoylcarnitine	1.21E-03	6.34E-04	9.37E-04	9.47E-04	5.27E-04	1.03E-03	8.59E-04	9.47E-04	6.54E-04
Kynurenic Acid	1.95E-04	5.37E-04	1.95E-04	4.88E-04	4.56E-04	2.44E-04	2.03E-03	2.51E-03	2.03E-03

Clock genes	Direct BMAL1/CLOCK genes	Indirect BMAL1/CLOCK genes
NR1D1	NR1D1	PER1
NR1D2	NR1D2	PER2
DBP	DBP	PER3
TEF	TEF	CRY1
HLF	HLF	CRY2
PER1	BHLHE40	RORA
PER2	BHLHE41	RORB
PER3		RORC
CRY1		NFIL3
CRY2		
ARNTL		
CLOCK		
NPAS2		
NFIL3		
BHLHE40		
BHLHE41		
RORA		
RORB		
RORC		
CIPC		

Table S4. List of clock genes. Related to Figure 3 and Figure 4.

SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell culture and bioluminescence assays

Bmall:luc U2OS and Per2:luc U2OS cells were a gift from Dr Andrew Liu, University of Memphis, USA (Liu et al., 2008). U2OS cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing 4.5 g/l glucose (Sigma D6546), 10% (v/v) Newborn Calf Serum (Sigma 12023C), 1X GlutaMAX[™], 100 U penicillin/ml and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Penicillin-Streptomycin Solution, Sigma P0781), 1X MycoZap[™] Plus-PR (Lonza) and blasticidin 2 µg/ml. Cells were cultured at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in a standard humidified incubator. For bioluminescence recordings, U2OS cells were grown to confluence and synchronised by changing medium to "Air Medium" (Hastings et al., 2005): DMEM (Sigma D5030) supplemented with 5 g/l glucose (Sigma G8644), 20mM HEPES (Sigma H0887), 100 U penicillin/ml and 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 0.035% NaHCO3 (Sigma S8761), 10% Newborn Calf Serum (Sigma 12023C), 1X GlutaMAX[™] (Life Technologies 35050-038), 0.5X B-27® Supplement (Life Technologies 17504-044), 1 mM Luciferin (Biosynth L8220), 1X Non-Essential Amino acids (Sigma M7145), 1X MycoZap™ Plus-PR (Lonza) and blasticidin 2 µg/ml. 6AN was made up as a 1M stock in DMSO solvent by briefly heating at 37°C. DHEA was made up in DMSO or EtOH. Bicalutamide (Sigma B9061) was made up as a 4mM stock in DMSO. Drugs were then added the Air medium to reach a final concentration of 5mM (6AN), 50μM (DHEA) and 200nM (Bicalutamide). β-Nicotinamide mononucleotide (NMN) (Sigma N3501) was directly in dissolved in cell culture media at the indicated concentration.

Bioluminescence assays were performed at 37°C using 12-well and 96-well plates in custom-made bioluminescence recording systems (Cairn Research Ltd, Faversham, UK) composed of a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Andor iKon-M 934) mounted on the top of an Eppendorf Galaxy 170R CO₂ incubator. The camera was cooled to -95°C to minimize dark noise as much as possible. Images were formed from integrated photon counts over 25 minutes every 30 minutes using Metamorph Software (Molecular Devices). Exported images were composed into image stacks and regions of interest (each well of the plate) were quantified in a time series using a custom script in NIH ImageJ software, using the "Multi-measure" plugin. Bioluminescence data traces were analysed using a modified version of the R script "CellulaRhythm" (Hirota et al., 2008), which allows baseline subtraction and detrending using polynomial fitting to the signal.

NAD(P)/NAD(P)H assays

NAD⁺/NADH and NADP⁺/NADPH were measured using the NAD/NADH-Glo[™] Assay and NADP/NADPH-Glo[™] Assay kits respectively (Promega). U2OS cells were grown to confluence in 96-well plates and, 24 h before measurement, spent medium was changed to fresh medium and the concentration of drugs indicated in the main text were added to the cells. Just before NAD(P)/NAD(P)H assays, cell medium was removed and replaced by 50 µl of PBS solution. The NAD⁺/NADH and NADP⁺/NADPH ratios were then measured from the same set of cells following manufacturer's instructions. For the NADPH time course, Bmal1:luc U2OS cells were grown to confluence, synchronized with 100 nM dexamethasone for 15 min (Balsalobre et al., 2000). At the indicated time points, cells were collected, flash-frozen and stored at -80°C until biochemical analysis.

Gel electrophoresis and immunoblotting

For time course experiments, *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells treated with 5mM 6AN or control (DMSO) were synchronized with a dexamethasone shock and lysed in 1x SDS sample buffer at the indicated time points. Lysates were incubated at 95 °C for exactly 10 min, flash-frozen and transferred to -80 °C until immunoblot analysis. NuPAGE Novex 10% Bis-Tris gradient gels were run according to the manufacturer's protocol with a nonreducing MES SDS buffer system. Protein transfer to nitrocellulose for blotting was performed and the membrane was then washed briefly and then blocked for 60 min in 0.5% wt/wt BSA/nonfat dried milk (Marvel) in Tris-buffered saline/0.05% Tween-20 (TBST). After three brief washes in TBST, membranes were incubated in anti-PRDX-SO3 (LF-PA0004, Thermo Fisher Scientific) or anti-ACTB (sc-47778, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) diluted in blocking buffer (0.5% milk/BSA) overnight at 4 °C. The following day, membranes were washed for 5 min three times (in TBST) and then incubated with 1:10,000 HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) for 60 min. Three more 5-min washes were then performed before performing chemiluminescence detection. Densitometric quantification of images was performed using NIH ImageJ software. Immunblot signals were first normalized with loading control (actin) and then normalized to the average for each replicate.

Metabolic rate measurements

Extracellular acidification rate (ECAR), a surrogate for glycolysis rate, and oxygen consumption rate (OCR), an indication of mitochondrial activity, were measured in a Seahorse XF24 Bioanalyser using the manufacturer's

instructions. Briefly, U2OS cells were grown to confluence in Seahorse Biosciences 24-well culture plates and, 1 h before measurement, growth medium was replaced by XF Assay Medium (Seahorse Biosciences) supplemented with 25 mM glucose and 1 mM pyruvate, containing 5mM 6AN or control (DMSO).

Metabolomics

Around 100 Metabolites from *Bmal1:luc* U2OS samples were separated using Acquity ultra pressure liquid chromatography (UPLC) and analyzed using XEVO-TQ-S Triple Quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters, USA). Approximately 1 million cells incubated with 0.5% DMSO, 5mM 6AN or 50 μ M of DHEA for 24 hours (N=3 replicates per group) were washed with PBS and deionized water very briefly, and subsequently quenched in liquid nitrogen. Metabolites were extracted by adding 20 μ L of labeled internal standard mix and 1ml of cold extraction solvent (80/20 acetonitrile/H2O:1% formic acid). Extracts were vacuum filtered (pressure 300–400 mbar for 2.5 min; Hamilton micro lab robot) and injected 5 μ L into the LC system. A detailed description of instrument parameters is given elsewhere (Roman-Garcia et al., 2014).

RNA isolation and quantitative PCR

For mRNA expression time course, *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells were synchronized with dexamethasone (Figure S4A) and cultured in DMEM as described above, supplemented with 5 mM 6AN or a matched amount of DMSO (0.5%) as a control. At the time points indicated in the main text, RNA was extracted with TRI-Reagent[®] in triplicate and purified with Direct-zolTM RNA MiniPrep kit (Zymo Research). The RNA samples were reverse-transcribed into cDNA using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Life Technologies), following the manufacturer's instructions, using 0.3-1µg total RNA per reaction. The resulting cDNAs were used in duplicate 7 µl PCR reactions, set up as follows: 3.5 µl TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix (Life Technologies), 0.35 µl validated Taqman Gene Expression Assay (Life Technologies) (see Table S2 for complete list), 1.15 µl nuclease-free water and 2 µl cDNA. Real-time PCR was performed with an ABI 7900HT (Applied Biosystems) system. The relative levels of each mRNA were calculated by the 2^{- $\Delta \Delta Ct$} method and normalized to the corresponding *ACTB* levels. For straightforward comparison, relative expression for each gene was then scaled across the treatment and control conditions.

RNA-seq library preparation

Ribosomal RNA was removed from total RNA using selective depletion of abundant RNA (Adiconis et al., 2013; Morlan et al., 2012). RNA was then purified using Serapure beads and fragmented by incubation for 15 minutes at 94°C in 1X NEBNext First Strand Synthesis Reaction Buffer and cDNA was made according to suppliers instructions (NEB #B6117S). Second strand synthesis was performed by incubating the cDNA reaction with 200 µM dNTP mix, 1.3U E. coli DNA Ligase (NEB# M0209L), 0.5U E. coli DNA Polymerase I (NEB# M0205L), 1U RNAse H (NEB# M0297S). For library preparation, Illumina TrueSeq platform compatible dual-indexed multiplex library protocol was followed. Briefly, the end repair was performed by incubating at 20°C for 30 minutes with 0.7U T4 Polynucleotide Kinase (NEB# M0201L), 2.3U T4 DNA Polymerase (NEB# M0203L), 0.2U DNA Polymerase I, Large (NEB# Klenow) Fragment (NEB# M0210L) followed by a clean-up with 1.8X AMPure XP Magnetic Beads. dA-tailing of end-repaired fragments was then performed by incubation for 30 minutes at 37°C in 1X NEB Buffer 2, 0.2mM dATP, 1.25µl Klenow 3'->5' exo-(NEB# M0212L) in a 50ul reaction. Immediately, adaptor ligation was performed by incubation for 20 minutes at 20°C in 1X Quick ligase buffer, 50mM EDTA, 0.6µM TruSeq dual index adapter, 1.5µl Quick ligase (NEB# M2200L) in a 50µl reaction. Adapter-ligated DNA was subsequently cleaned-up by 1X AMPure XP Magnetic Beads twice. Finally, libraries were amplified using the following reaction: 20µl adapter-ligated DNA, 5µl Truseq Primer Cocktail (5µM) and 25µl 2X Kapa Hifi Hotstart Ready Mix. Reactions were amplified with 15 cycles of amplifications and were cleaned-up using AMPure XP Magnetic Beads.

RNA-seq analysis

Sequencing reads were filtered for rRNA sequences by removing all reads mapping to the 45S precursor rRNA sequence using Bowtie v1.1.1 (Langmead et al., 2009). Filtered reads were aligned to the human reference genome (hg38) using TopHat v2.1.0 (Kim et al., 2013). Reads were assembled into transcripts using UCSC known genes as reference and their abundance estimated using Cufflinks/Cuffmerge/Cuffquant/Cuffnorm v2.2.1 (Trapnell et al., 2010). For estimation of circadian parameters, we restricted our analysis to transcripts with an abundance greater than 0.05 FPKM. Temporal profiles were linearly detrended and the RAIN algorithm was used to detect rhythmic transcripts using the following parameters: minimal period=20, maximal period=28, method=longitudinal and p-value=0.01 (Thaben and Westermark, 2014). As validation, two alternative algorithms, Fisher test (Rey et al., 2011) and ARSER (Yang and Su, 2010) (minimal period=20, maximal period=28, default period=24), were used to detect circadian transcripts. To estimate the phase and period of transcripts, we performed nonlinear least square regression of cosine waves, similarly to the R script "CellulaRhythm" (Hirota et al., 2008). GO analyses were performed using the Gene List Analysis Tool from the

PANTHER database (Mi et al., 2016). The list of NRF2 target genes was compiled by assembling the list of genes assigned to the 849 NRF2 ChIP-Seq peaks from (Chorley et al., 2012) and the human homologs of NRF2 basal and inducible genes identified in (Malhotra et al., 2010).

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed on *Bmal1:luc* U2OS using a modified version of an established protocol (Mortazavi et al., 2006). *Bmal1:luc* U2OS cells were grown to confluence in 150 mm dishes and one dish was used per immunoprecipitation. 24 h after treatment with 5 mM 6AN or control, cells were cross-linked by adding formaldehyde to a final concentration of 1% for 5 min. Cross-linking was stopped by adding glycine to a final concentration of 0.125 M. Then, cells were washed once with PBS, scraped off the dish and re-suspended in lysis buffer (5 mM 1,4-piperazine-bis-[ethanesulphonic acid] pH 8.0, 85 mM KCl, 0.5% NP-40, Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche)) and centrifuged to collect the crude nuclear preparation. Nuclei were washed once with lysis buffer and then re-suspended in RIPA buffer (1X PBS, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche)). Cells were then sonicated in a Bioruptor (Diagenode) on the HIGH setting for a total time of 60 minutes (30 seconds ON, 30 seconds OFF). Samples were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm in a bench-top microfuge for 15 min at 4°C and the supernatant containing the sonicated chromatin was collected.

For immunoprecipitation, primary antibodies were coupled to Protein G magnetic beads (Dynal/Invitrogen) for 4 h at 4°C on a rotating wheel. The following validated ChIP-grade antibodies were used: anti-CLOCK (NB100-126; Novus Biologicals), anti-BMAL1 (ab3350; Abcam), anti-H3K9ac (ab4441; Abcam), anti-H3K4me3 (#39159; Active Motif), anti-p300 ((C-20) sc-585 Santa Cruz Biotechnology and anti-NFE2L2 Antibody EP1808Y OriGene Technologies). Antibody-coupled beads were then added to the sonicated chromatin preparation and incubated at 4°C overnight on a rotating wheel. A small aliquot of the chromatin preparation was kept as "input" chromatin. The magnetic beads were washed five times with wash buffer (100 mM Tris, 500 mM LiCl, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate) and washed once with TE (10 mM Tris at pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA). After washing, the bound DNA was eluted by heating the beads to 65°C in elution buffer (100 mM NaHCO3 and 1% SDS) for 1 h. The eluted DNA and input DNA were incubated at 65°C overnight to reverse formaldehyde cross-links. QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) was used to purify DNA from the chromatin preparation. Quantitative real-time PCR using the SYBR Green detection system was performed with an ABI 7900HT (Applied Biosystems) system. The amount of immunoprecipitated DNA at each genomic locus was normalized to the input DNA and expressed as percentage input. Primers used are listed in Table S2.

ChIP-Seq analysis

DNA reads were mapped to the human genome (hg38 from UCSC database) using Bowtie2 v2.2.1 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). If several reads mapped at the same genomic position, we considered this as a PCR duplicate and only one read was kept for the rest of the analysis. To normalize for differences in sequencing depth among the time points, the number of tags was rescaled by the total number of mapped tags. HOMER software suite was used to detect, quantify and annotate ChIP-Seq peaks (Heinz et al., 2010). HOMER was also used to analyze enriched motifs in ChIP-Seq peaks.

Organotypic slice culture and bioluminescence

All animal experimentation was licensed by the UK Home Office under the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, and according to the European Parliament and Council of the European Union Directive 2010/63/EU. Local Ethical Review was also conducted by the University of Cambridge. Prior to use in experiments, animals were group-housed in individually-ventilated cages under a 12:12 light:dark cycle with food and water available ad libitum. Ambient temperature was monitored daily, and maintained at 22±2°C. SCN slices were extracted from 8-12 week old adult $mPer2^{Luc}$ mice (Yoo et al., 2004). Mice were humanely sacrificed by cervical dislocation, and the brain immersed in cold dissection medium (Gey's Balanced Salt Solution [Sigma G9779], 5.0 g/l glucose, 100 nM MK-801 [Sigma M107], 50 µ M DL-AP5 [Tocris 0105], and 3 mM MgCl₂ [Fluka 63020]). Liver slices were immersed in cold Hank's Balanced Salt Solution without Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ (Life Technologies 14170-122), and sectioned at a thickness of 500 µm on a tissue chopper (McIlwain, Mickle Laboratory Engineering). SCN slices were cut in the coronal plane at a thickness of 200 μ m on a vibrating microtome (Campden Instruments, 7000smz-2). Slices were cultured on a membrane (Merck Millipore, PICM0RG50) in a sealed dish containing "modified Air Medium" (DMEM (Sigma) with 30 mM glucose, 20 mM HEPES, 0.035% NaHCO3, 25% (v/v) Heat-inactivated Horse Serum (Life Technologies 26050-088), 1X GlutaMAXTM (Life Technologies 35050-038), 1X B-27® Supplement (Life Technologies 17504-044), 1 mM Luciferin (Biosynth L8220), and 1X MycoZap[™] Plus-PR (Lonza)) with either 500 µM 6AN or 0.5% DMSO (control). Slices were then transferred to custom imaging incubators for whole explant bioluminescence recording, or microscopes for single-cell bioluminescence imaging.

Whole explant imaging of SCN and liver slices was performed using an Andor iKon-M 934 cooled CCD camera mounted CO₂ incubator at 37°C (see "Cell culture and bioluminescence assays section" above for further details). Single-cell images were recorded from SCN slices placed into an Okolab stage-top heated chamber (37°C) mounted on an inverted Nikon Eclipse Ti-E microscope equipped with an electron- multiplied CCD (EM-CCD) camera (Hamamatsu ImagEM 1K, C9100-14). Images were captured on NIS Elements AR v4 software (Nikon). Luminescence recordings proceeded for 4-5 days, after which the culture medium was exchanged with "modified Air Medium" (without 6AN or DMSO), and recording resumed for another 5 days. All images were exported into ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health) for analysis. Bioluminescence data traces were analysed using a modified version of the R script "CellulaRhythm" (Hirota et al., 2008). Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software).

Single-cell bioluminescence assays

For single-cell bioluminescence recordings, *Per2:luc* U2OS cells were plated to confluency on 35mm glassbottom dishes (FD35-100, WPI Inc., Sarasota, FL) and synchronised by changing medium to "Air Medium." Dishes were sealed and placed into a heated Okolab chamber (37°C) mounted on an inverted Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope equipped with an EM-CCD camera (Hamamatsu ImagEM-1K). Images were captured on NIS Elements (Nikon) software continuously for 5 days. Images were formed from integrated photon counts over 22 minutes every hour. Exported images were composed into image stacks and regions of interest around individual cells were quantified as described above.

Fly behavioural assays

Wild type Canton-S flies were bred and grown on standard yeast cornmeal agar medium at 25°C in 12h:12h light:dark cycles (LD). For behavioural recording experiments, individual flies were placed into wells of a 96well plate following brief exposure to CO_2 anaesthesia. Each well contained an equal volume of assay medium (5% Sucrose, 1% Agar), supplemented with 6AN or control (DMSO) at concentrations indicated in the main text. Since the 6AN was dissolved in DMSO (1M stock solution), DMSO proportionally increased as 6AN dose increased, and therefore control flies were dosed with equivalent (matched) concentrations of DMSO to account for this. Although the concentrations of drug were high in comparison to those used in our cell and tissues studies, it is important to note that the Drosophila were ingesting agar dosed with the drug and therefore received a much lower effective concentration. Using a custom made infra-red video recording system, the locomotor activity of individual 4-7 day old flies was recorded in constant darkness (DD) following 2 days of entrainment in LD cycles (which were not recorded). The videos were processed using Ethovision XT v10 software (Noldus) to quantify the locomotor activity of the flies. We measured the distance travelled per minute as a measure of the flies' locomotor activity (with a cut off of 0.2 mm of movement in any direction as a significant movement). The activity data of our custom-made system closely matches that produced by the beam crossing method used in the Drosophila Activity Monitoring System (TriKinetics). Individual or experimental median activity records, periodic activity profiles, and autocorrelation were generated using custom R scripts.

SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

Adiconis, X., Borges-Rivera, D., Satija, R., DeLuca, D.S., Busby, M.A., Berlin, A.M., Sivachenko, A., Thompson, D.A., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., et al. (2013). Comparative analysis of RNA sequencing methods for degraded or low-input samples. Nat Methods *10*, 623–629.

Balsalobre, A., Marcacci, L., and Schibler, U. (2000). Multiple signaling pathways elicit circadian gene expression in cultured Rat-1 fibroblasts. Curr Biol *10*, 1291–1294.

Hastings, M.H., Reddy, A.B., McMahon, D.G., and Maywood, E.S. (2005). Analysis of circadian mechanisms in the suprachiasmatic nucleus by transgenesis and biolistic transfection. Methods Enzymol *393*, 579–592.

Heinz, S., Benner, C., Spann, N., Bertolino, E., Lin, Y.C., Laslo, P., Cheng, J.X., Murre, C., Singh, H., and Glass, C.K. (2010). Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. Mol Cell *38*, 576–589.

Kim, D., Pertea, G., Trapnell, C., Pimentel, H., Kelley, R., and Salzberg, S.L. (2013). TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol 14, R36.

Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S.L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9, 357–359.

Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M., and Salzberg, S.L. (2009). Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol *10*, R25.

Mi, H., Poudel, S., Muruganujan, A., Casagrande, J.T., and Thomas, P.D. (2016). PANTHER version 10: expanded protein families and functions, and analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res *44*, D336–D342.

Morlan, J.D., Qu, K., and Sinicropi, D.V. (2012). Selective depletion of rRNA enables whole transcriptome profiling of archival fixed tissue. PLoS ONE 7, e42882.

Roman-Garcia, P., Quiros-Gonzalez, I., Mottram, L., Lieben, L., Sharan, K., Wangwiwatsin, A., Tubio, J., Lewis, K., Wilkinson, D., Santhanam, B., et al. (2014). Vitamin B₁₂-dependent taurine synthesis regulates growth and bone mass. J. Clin. Invest. *124*, 2988–3002.

Trapnell, C., Williams, B.A., Pertea, G., Mortazavi, A., Kwan, G., van Baren, M.J., Salzberg, S.L., Wold, B.J., and Pachter, L. (2010). Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. Nat Biotechnol *28*, 511–515.