Stem Cell Reports **Article**

Autonomous and Non-autonomous Defects Underlie Hyper trophic Cardiomyopathy in BRAF-Mutant hiPSC-Derived Cardiomyocytes

Rebecca Josowitz,^{[1](#page-0-0)} Sonia Mulero-Navarro,¹ Nelson A. Rodriguez,¹ Christine Falce,^{[2](#page-0-1)} Ninette Cohen,^{[3](#page-0-2)}

Erik M. Ullian, 5[,6](#page-0-4) Lauren A. Weiss, [7](#page-0-5) Katherine A. Rauen, [8](#page-0-6) Eric A. Sobie, [2](#page-0-1) and Bruce D. Gelb 1,3,4,* 1,3,4,* 1,3,4,* 1,3,4,* 1,3,4,*

1The Mindich Child Health and Development Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA

2Department of Pharmacology and Systems Therapeutics, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA

3Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA

6Department of Physiology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA

- 7Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA
- 8Department of Pediatrics, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2016.07.018>

SUMMARY

Germline mutations in BRAF cause cardio-facio-cutaneous syndrome (CFCS), whereby 40% of patients develop hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM). As the role of the RAS/MAPK pathway in HCM pathogenesis is unclear, we generated a human induced pluripotent stem cell (hiPSC) model for CFCS from three patients with activating BRAF mutations. By cell sorting for SIRP^a and CD90, we generated a method to examine hiPSC-derived cell type-specific phenotypes and cellular interactions underpinning HCM. BRAFmutant SIRPa⁺/CD90⁻ cardiomyocytes displayed cellular hypertrophy, pro-hypertrophic gene expression, and intrinsic calciumhandling defects. BRAF-mutant $SIRP\alpha^-/CD90^+$ cells, which were fibroblast-like, exhibited a pro-fibrotic phenotype and partially modulated cardiomyocyte hypertrophy through transforming growth factor β (TGF β) paracrine signaling. Inhibition of TGF β or RAS/MAPK signaling rescued the hypertrophic phenotype. Thus, cell autonomous and non-autonomous defects underlie HCM due to BRAF mutations. TGFB inhibition may be a useful therapeutic option for patients with HCM due to RASopathies or other etiologies.

INTRODUCTION

The RASopathies are developmental disorders caused by mutations in the RAS/MAPK pathway, characterized by pleomorphic developmental defects including facial dysmorphism, short stature, neurocognitive delay, and cardiac defects. One of the commonest cardiac manifestations is hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) [\(Tartaglia and Gelb,](#page-13-0) [2010\)](#page-13-0).

HCM is defined as thickening of the myocardium that occurs in the absence of an underlying insult, usually resulting from mutations in various genes encoding sarcomeric components. Histologically, there is cardiomyocyte (CM) enlargement and increased fibrosis. HCM is molecularly characterized by upregulation of a fetal gene program including increased expression of atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) and brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), often with dysregulated calcium handling [\(Konno et al., 2010](#page-13-1)). About 70% of patients with HCM develop obstruction, while other complications include arrhythmias, heart failure, and sudden cardiac death [\(Harris et al., 2006\)](#page-13-2).

The role of RAS/MAPK signaling in cardiac hypertrophy remains unclear. Cardiac-restricted overexpression of Mek1 caused compensated hypertrophy with increased cardiac function ([Bueno et al., 2000](#page-12-0)). In addition,

 $Erk1^{-/-}Erk2^{+/-}$ mice were not protected from pressure overload or agonist stimulation ([Purcell et al., 2007\)](#page-13-3). However, oncogenic Hras over-expression led to pathological hypertrophy with fibrosis and calcium-handling defects ([Hunter](#page-13-4) [et al., 1995; Zheng et al., 2004\)](#page-13-4), and dominant-negative Raf1 overexpression prevented pressure overload-induced cardiac hypertrophy [\(Harris et al., 2004](#page-13-5)). Some suggest that the pathological effects of RAS and RAF signaling may not be exclusively mediated by downstream MAPK signaling but rather by cross-activation of other pathways [\(Heineke and Molkentin, 2006\)](#page-13-6).

ISSCR

-OPEN ACCESS

Mice expressing the RASopathy $Raf1^{L613V}$ allele developed HCM, rescued by MEK inhibition [\(Wu et al., 2011\)](#page-14-0). However, mice with activating BRAF mutations did not exhibit pathological cardiac remodeling [\(Andreadi et al., 2012; Uro](#page-12-1)[sevic et al., 2011](#page-12-1)). Thus, the pathogenesis of HCM in cardiofacio-cutaneous syndrome (CFCS), whereby 75% of cases have germline BRAF mutations [\(Rodriguez-Viciana et al.,](#page-13-7) [2006](#page-13-7)) and 40% develop HCM [\(Armour and Allanson,](#page-12-2) [2008](#page-12-2)), is unclear. To study this, we generated an hiPSC model of CFCS and developed a method to examine hiPSC-derived cell type-specific phenotypes and cellular interactions underpinning HCM by cell sorting based on SIRPa and CD90 expression. We show that purified CMs derived from hiPSCs harboring the CFC-causing T599R or

⁴Department of Pediatrics, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA

⁵Department of Ophthalmology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA

^{*}Correspondence: bruce.gelb@mssm.edu

Figure 1. Differentiation and Purification of hiPSC-derived CMs

(A) Sequencing confirmed the heterozygous BRAF T599R (BRAF1) and the Q257R mutations (BRAF2, BRAF3) in hiPSCs. Red asterisks note the positions of the BRAF mutations.

(B) Cardiac differentiation protocol. hiPSCs were exposed to a series of cytokines to induce cardiogenesis. CMs were sorted for purification after day 25.

(C) Cell-sorting strategy to purify $SIRP\alpha^*/$ CD90 $^-$ cells and SIRP α^- /CD90 $^+$ cells.

(D) Staining for cTNT demonstrated purification of >95% CMs after sorting for SIRP $\alpha^*/$ $CD90^-$ cells.

See also Figures S1 and S2.

Q257R BRAF mutations display cellular hypertrophy and intrinsic calcium-handling defects. In addition, fibroblastlike cells (FLCs) derived from BRAF-mutant hiPSCs exhibit pro-fibrotic behavior and modulate the hypertrophic phenotype through paracrine transforming growth factor β (TGF β) signaling. Both TGF β and RAS/MAPK inhibition rescue the hypertrophic phenotype.

RESULTS

Generation and Purification of Wild-Type and BRAF-Mutant iPSC-Derived CMs

hiPSCs were generated from dermal fibroblasts from three unrelated patients with CFCS. Patient 1 (BRAF1) harbored the known BRAF T599R mutation ([Yoon et al., 2007\)](#page-14-1), which alters the activation segment of the kinase domain and is kinase activating ([Wan et al., 2004\)](#page-14-2). Patients 2 and 3 (BRAF2, BRAF3) harbored BRAF Q257R mutations, the commonest CFCS mutation, which alters the cysteine-rich domain of the conserved region 1 (CR1) and is also kinase activating ([Rodriguez-Viciana et al., 2006](#page-13-7)). Patients 1 and 2 displayed HCM while patient 3 did not. Wild-type (WT) hiPSC lines generated from three unrelated healthy individuals served as controls. DNA sequencing confirmed the heterozygous

356 Stem Cell Reports | Vol. 7 | 355-369 | September 13, 2016

BRAF mutations in mutant hiPSC lines [\(Figure 1A](#page-1-0)). Mutant lines had normal karyotypes, and pluripotency was confirmed with immunofluorescence, gene expression, and tri-lineage differentiation assays (Figure S1).

hiPSCs were differentiated along a cardiogenic lineage as 3D embryoid bodies (EBs) using a modification of an established protocol ([Yang et al., 2008\)](#page-14-3) [\(Figure 1](#page-1-0)B). In addition to three WT hiPSC lines, two clones from each mutant hiPSC line were used in subsequent experiments (Table S1). Spontaneous beating was observed between days 10 and 12 of differentiation. To detect potential molecular defects intrinsic to CMs and non-CMs, we purified these populations separately using flow cytometry based on their expression of SIRP α , a CM marker ([Dubois et al., 2011\)](#page-12-3), and CD90, a marker that labels the majority of non-CMs derived from PSCs ([Dubois et al., 2011; Kisselbach et al.,](#page-12-3) [2009](#page-12-3)). SIRP α^+ /CD90⁻ cells were >95% CMs based on cardiac troponin T (cTNT; TNNT2) expression ([Figures 1](#page-1-0)C and 1D). Expression of the cardiac-specific genes ANP, MYH6, and TNNT2 was restricted to the SIRP α^+ cells. Recultured SIRPa⁺/CD90⁻ cells formed synchronous monolayers that beat spontaneously and homogeneously expressed cTNT. While differentiation efficiency was variable among lines, no reproducible difference was observed between WT and BRAF-mutant lines (Figure S2).

BRAF-Mutant CMs Display a Cellular Hypertrophy Phenotype

To determine whether BRAF-mutant CMs displayed hypertrophy, we dissociated whole EBs and measured the cellular area of re-plated single cTNT⁺ cells. Across lines, BRAFmutant CMs were on average more than three times larger than WT CMs $(p < 0.0001)$ [\(Figure 2](#page-3-0)A). Using flow cytometry to analyze forward scatter (FSC) as a surrogate of 3D cellular size, BRAF-mutant CMs were also larger than WT CMs (Figure S3). Increased sarcomeric organization, a hallmark of cardiac hypertrophy [\(Aoki et al., 2000](#page-12-4)) demonstrated by a striated cTNT staining pattern, was apparent in BRAF-mutant CMs (58%) compared with WT (16%; p < 0.0001). The most pronounced sarcomere organization was observed in severely hypertrophied BRAF-mutant CMs [\(Figure 2](#page-3-0)B). Upregulation of a fetal gene program, classically observed in HCM ([Kuwahara et al., 2003](#page-13-8)), was also noted in purified BRAF-mutant CMs, which displayed >5 fold and >6-fold increased expression of ANP and BNP, respectively, compared with WT ($p < 0.0001$), as well as a >8-fold increased β -myosin heavy chain (MYH7) to α -myosin heavy chain (MYH6) ratio (p = 0.078) ([Figure 2C](#page-3-0)).

Purified BRAF-Mutant CMs Display Altered Calcium Handling

Dysregulation of calcium (Ca^{2+}) handling has been observed in many HCM models ([Molkentin, 2004\)](#page-13-9), including an hiPSC model of familial HCM [\(Lan et al.,](#page-13-10) [2013\)](#page-13-10). In purified BRAF-mutant CMs, phospholamban (PLN) expression was significantly decreased ($p = 0.016$) [\(Figure 2C](#page-3-0)) with a trend toward increased SERCA2a expression (data not shown). To assess whether BRAF-mutant CMs had impaired Ca^{2+} handling, we analyzed Ca^{2+} transients in paced cells. Compared with WT, BRAF-mutant CMs displayed a significantly increased frequency of irregular transients (28% versus 6%, $p < 0.0001$), defined as an extra Ca^{2+} transient peak during the decay of the previous transient, indicating unstable Ca^{2+} release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR). A small percentage of BRAF-mutant CMs also displayed discordance between the timing of electrical stimulation and resulting Ca^{2+} transient, further indicating underlying instability ([Figure 3A](#page-4-0)).

Consistent with increased Ca^{2+} release observed in mouse hearts overexpressing SERCA2a ([Baker et al.,](#page-12-5) [1998\)](#page-12-5), BRAF-mutant CMs also displayed increased Ca^{2+} release from the SR, as reflected by increased transient amplitude compared with WT (3.6 a.u. versus 2.6 a.u., p < 0.0001) ([Figure 3B](#page-4-0)). Exposure to the ryanodine receptor activator caffeine revealed that BRAF-mutant CMs contained increased stored Ca^{2+} compared with WT (6.2 a.u. versus 4.5 a.u., $p < 0.001$) ([Figure 3](#page-4-0)C), similar to observations during early cardiac hypertrophy ([Delbridge et al.,](#page-12-6) [1997; Sipido et al., 2000](#page-12-6)). Our results demonstrate that BRAF-mutant CMs possess intrinsic defects in Ca^{2+} handling, representative of early-stage HCM.

Multiple BRAF-Mutant Cell Types Display Activation of the RAS/MAPK Pathway

To assess RAS/MAPK pathway activation, we analyzed ERK activation basally and after stimulation with epidermal growth factor (EGF) or angiotensin II (AngII). ERK activation was sustained over time in BRAF-mutant hiPSCs compared with WT (Figure S5A). In contrast, ERK activation was not increased in BRAF-mutant CMs compared with WT CMs [\(Figure 4](#page-5-0)A).

The contribution of non-CMs as crucial to the hypertrophic response has been increasingly recognized [\(Fujiu and](#page-13-11) [Nagai, 2014\)](#page-13-11). To address whether BRAF-mutant non-CMs display activation of the RAS/MAPK pathway, we purified the SIRP α^- /CD90⁺ population ([Figure 1C](#page-1-0)). CD90 labeled the majority of non-CM cells across hiPSC lines (Figure S2C). To investigate the specific cell type to which the CD90⁺ cells are most closely related, we performed geneexpression profiling. CD90⁺ cells did not express markers of stem cells (REX1) or endothelial cells (CD31, CDH5), but robustly expressed three fibroblast markers (VIM, COL1A2, and DDR2), which were also expressed by human fetal SIRP α^- /CD90⁺ cells (Figure S4A). Within cardiac tissue, fibroblasts exclusively express DDR2 ([Camelliti et al., 2005\)](#page-12-7). In addition, hiPSC-derived CD90⁺ cells displayed a spindleshaped morphology similar to fibroblasts (Figure S4B). Thus, we henceforth refer to $CD90^+/SIRP\alpha^-$ cells as FLCs.

BRAF-mutant FLCs displayed increased ERK activation compared with WT FLCs, with significantly larger activation in the basal state $(1.8 \text{ a.u.} \text{ versus } 0.9 \text{ a.u.} \text{, } p = 0.0059)$ [\(Figure 4](#page-5-0)B). Importantly, activation of AKT was not observed in BRAF-mutant CMs or FLCs (Figures S5B and S5C), distinct from AKT/mTOR involvement in a related RASopathy syndrome due to PTPN11 mutations [\(Marin](#page-13-12) [et al., 2011](#page-13-12)), but similar to findings in mice with mutations in RAF isoforms ([Wu et al., 2011](#page-14-0)).

BRAF-Mutant FLCs Are Pro-fibrotic and Influence the Hypertrophic Phenotype

To investigate whether activated BRAF-mutant FLCs were modulating the CM hypertrophic phenotype, we cocultured purified WT CMs along with purified WT or BRAF-mutant FLC populations [\(Figure 5A](#page-6-0)). Strikingly, the cellular area of WT CMs doubled when co-cultured with BRAF-mutant FLCs compared with WT FLCs $(p < 0.0001)$ [\(Figures 5B](#page-6-0) and 5C).

Next, we examined whether BRAF-mutant FLCs displayed behavior similar to activated fibroblasts in the setting of fibrosis. Compared with WT, BRAF-mutant FLCs were hyperproliferative $(15\% \text{ versus } 7\% , p = 0.03)$ [\(Figure 5](#page-6-0)D). BRAF-mutant FLCs also expressed significantly

Figure 2. BRAF-Mutant hiPSC-Derived CMs Display Cellular Hypertrophy

(A) Increased cellular area of cTNT⁺ BRAF-mutant CMs (n = 35–54 per line; three independent experiments each) compared with WT (n = 22–58 per line; three independent experiments each). Box-and-whisker plots show the median to the first and third quartiles and the minimum and maximum values. ****p < 0.0001 for WT versus all individual BRAF lines. Scale bars, 200 μ m.

(B and C) Data are presented as mean ± SEM for compiled WT versus BRAF cell lines along with compiled statistical significance results. To the right of the compiled data, data points representing results for individual patient lines are presented, as shown in the accompanying legend. Filled symbols indicate lines with identical mutation (Q257R). (B) Increased percentage of striated sarcomeres in cTNT⁺ BRAFmutant CMs (n = 371) compared with WT (n = 579). Data represent three biological (WT1, 2, 3; BRAF1, 2, 3) and three technical replicates (compiled ****p < 0.0001; WT versus BRAF1, 2, or 3 all p < 0.0001). Scale bars, 200 μ m. (C) Increased expression of hypertrophyassociated genes and decreased PLN expression in BRAF-mutant CMs ($n = 6$) compared with WT ($n = 5$). Data represent three biological (WT1, 2, 3; BRAF1, 2, 3) and three technical replicates. For ANP expression, compiled ****p < 0.0001; WT versus BRAF1 p = 0.0001, WT versus BRAF2 p = 0.6644 (not significant), WT versus BRAF3 p = 0.0001. For BNP expression, compiled ***p < 0.001; WT versus BRAF1 p = 0.0001, WT versus BRAF2 p = 0.9969 (not significant), WT versus BRAF3 p = 0.0001. For MYH7/MYH6 expression, compiled $*$ p = 0.0078; WT versus BRAF1 p = 0.0073, WT versus BRAF2 p = 0.9999 (not significant), WT versus BRAF3 p = 0.0005. For PLN expression, compiled *p = 0.016; WT versus BRAF1 p = 0.3780 (not significant), WT versus BRAF2 p = 0.0750 (not significant), WT versus BRAF3 $p = 0.0210$. See also Figure S3.

Figure 3. Purified BRAF-Mutant CMs Display Dysregulated Calcium Handling

Data are presented as mean \pm SEM for compiled WT versus BRAF cell lines along with compiled statistical significance results and results for individual patient lines (see [Figure 2](#page-3-0) legend). Data represent three biological (WT1, 2, 3; BRAF1, 2, 3) and six technical replicates.

(A) Purified BRAF-mutant CMs (n = 123) displayed increased frequency of irregular $Ca²⁺$ transients compared with WT (n = 74) and a discordance between the timing of stimulation and the resulting $Ca²⁺$ transient. Compiled ****p < 0.0001; WT versus BRAF1 $p = 0.9908$ (not significant), WT versus BRAF2 $p = 0.0001$, WT versus BRAF3 p = 0.0001.

(B) Increased Ca^{2+} transient amplitude in purified BRAF-mutant CMs (n = 123) compared with WT $(n = 74)$. Compiled ****p < 0.0001; WT versus BRAF1 p = 0.0453, WT versus BRAF2 p = 0.0006, WT versus BRAF3 p = 0.0001.

(C) Purified BRAF-mutant CMs (n = 41) possessed increased stored $Ca²⁺$ content compared with WT ($n = 28$) as assessed by exposure to caffeine. Compiled ***p < 0.001; WT versus BRAF1 p = 0.0001, WT versus BRAF2 $p = 0.774$ (not significant), WT versus BRAF3 $p = 0.0015$.

increased levels of fibrosis-associated genes, including TGF β 1 (p = 0.002), periostin (POSTN) (p = 0.01), connective tissue growth factor (*CTGF*) ($p = 0.002$), and collagen type I $(COL1A2)$ ($p = 0.003$), and a trend toward increased expression of endothelin-1 $(ET-1)$ (p = 0.07) ([Figure 5](#page-6-0)E). Thus, the BRAF-mutant FLCs displayed a pro-fibrotic phenotype with upregulation of several TGFb pathway members, suggesting a role for $TGF\beta$ signaling in mediating the hypertrophic response.

BRAF-Mutant FLCs Influence CM Hypertrophy through Paracrine TGFβ1 Secretion

To determine whether the effect of the BRAF-mutant FLCs on the hypertrophic phenotype was mediated by a paracrine effect, we cultured purified WT and BRAF-mutant CMs with conditioned media from purified WT and BRAF-mutant FLCs ([Figure 6A](#page-7-0)). Remarkably, when WT CMs were exposed to BRAF-mutant FLC conditioned media, their cellular area nearly doubled ($p < 0.0001$) and was similar to that of BRAF-mutant CMs exposed to BRAF-mutant FLC conditioned media. Exposure to BRAFmutant FLC conditioned media also resulted in significantly increased AND expression in WT CMs ($p = 0.03$). When BRAF-mutant CMs were cultured with WT FLC conditioned media, their cellular area decreased by 30% $(p = 0.008)$ [\(Figures 6](#page-7-0)B–6D). Thus, we concluded that BRAF-mutant FLCs were modulating CM hypertrophy through a paracrine mechanism.

TGF_β has been implicated in signaling between fibroblasts and CMs, mediating hypertrophic growth [\(Gray](#page-13-13) [et al., 1998; Koitabashi et al., 2011\)](#page-13-13). Using an ELISA, we found that the amounts of active and total TGF β protein secreted by BRAF-mutant FLCs were increased compared with those from WT cells. No difference in $TGF\beta1$ gene expression or secreted TGF_B protein level was observed between BRAF-mutant and WT CMs (Figures S6A–S6C).

Figure 4. RAS/MAPK Pathway Activation in Purified hiPSC-Derived CMs and FLCs

Data are presented as mean \pm SEM for compiled WT versus BRAF cell lines along with compiled statistical significance results for WT versus BRAF at each time point and results for individual patient lines (see [Figure 2](#page-3-0) legend). Data represent three biological (WT1, 2, 3; BRAF1, 2, 3) and two technical replicates. Cells were stimulated with AngII for 0 or 15 min.

(A) BRAF-mutant CMs $(n = 8)$ did not have increased pERK activation compared with WT $(n = 6)$. At 0 min: compiled $p = 0.2944$ (not significant $[n.s.]$); WT versus BRAF1 $p =$ 0.9799, WT versus BRAF2 p = 0.2347, WT versus BRAF3 $p = 0.7139$ (all not significant). At 15 min: compiled $p = 0.6633$ (not significant); WT versus BRAF1 $p = 0.9982$, WT versus BRAF2 $p = 0.7438$, WT versus BRAF3 $p =$ 0.9897 (all not significant).

(B) BRAF-mutant FLCs (n = 8) displayed increased activation of pERK compared with WT ($n = 6$). At 0 min: compiled **p = 0.0059; WT versus BRAF1 $p = 0.0159$, WT versus BRAF2 $p = 0.0001$, WT versus BRAF3 $p =$ 0.1541 (not significant). At 15 min: compiled p = 0.1476 (not significant); WT versus

To verify whether the paracrine effect of the BRAFmutant FLCs was mediated by increased $TGF\beta$ secretion, we pre-incubated conditioned media with a pan-TGFb neutralizing antibody (TGFβ-NA). TGFβ-NA-treated conditioned media from BRAF-mutant FLCs failed to produce a hypertrophic effect on WT or BRAF-mutant CMs $(p =$ 0.01), and resulted in significantly decreased expression of BNP in BRAF-mutant CMs $(p = 0.008)$ [\(Figures 6](#page-7-0)B, 6C, and $6E$). Thus, we concluded that TGF β signaling was necessary for the hypertrophy observed in the BRAFmutant CMs.

To determine whether TGF_B signaling was sufficient for the induction of CM hypertrophy, we incubated CMs with recombinant human TGFβ (rhTGFβ). Upon exposure to rhTGFb, the cellular area of BRAF-mutant and WT CMs significantly increased ($p < 0.0001$ and $p = 0.03$, respectively) (Figure S6D). Exposure of purified CMs to TGF_B-NA demonstrated no significant change in their cellular area, further implicating the source of increased TGFb as derived from BRAF-mutant FLCs rather than BRAF-mutant CMs.

Together, our results reveal non-CM autonomous defects in BRAF-mutant FLCs, which contribute to the hypertrophic phenotype observed in BRAF-mutant CMs through increased TGF_B signaling.

Activation of the RAS/MAPK Pathway Induces the Hypertrophic Phenotype

To test whether MEK inhibition could ameliorate the hypertrophic phenotype in hiPSC-derived CMs, we exposed a mixed population of CMs and non-CMs to the MEK inhibitor U0126. While U0126 treatment had no effect on WT CM cellular area, the cellular area of BRAF-mutant CMs was reduced by 36% ($p < 0.0001$) to a size not significantly different from WT [\(Figure 7](#page-8-0)A), likely due to MEK inhibition affecting BRAF-mutant FLCs. In addition, treatment of purified BRAF-mutant CMs in the absence of FLCs with U0126 normalized their intrinsic Ca^{2+} -handling defects, including decreasing the percentage of irregular transients and SR Ca^{2+} content to levels not significantly different from WT ([Figures 7](#page-8-0)B and 7C).

To document further that the CM hypertrophy was due to BRAF gain of function, we exposed purified WT and BRAF-mutant CMs to conditioned media from WT or BRAF-mutant FLCs that had or had not been treated with the BRAF inhibitor GDC-0879 (GDC). Both WT and BRAF-mutant CMs displayed significantly reduced cellular area after exposure to conditioned media taken from BRAF-mutant FLCs treated with GDC ($p = 0.01$ and $p =$ 0.0006, respectively) (Figures S7A and S7B).

Figure 5. BRAF-Mutant FLCs Influence the Hypertrophic Phenotype

(A) Schematic of co-culture experiment. WT CMs and WT and BRAF-mutant FLCs (Fiblike) were sorted from EBs and re-cultured together.

(B) Representative images of co-culture treatment groups stained with cTNT. Scale bars, $200 \mu m$.

(C) Quantification of cellular area as depicted in (B). WT CMs became significantly larger upon co-culture with BRAF-mutant Fib-like cells ($n = 67$) compared with coculture with WT Fib-like cells $(n = 62)$ $(****p < 0.0001)$. Box-and-whisker plots show the median to the first and third quartiles and the minimum and maximum values. Data represent two biological (WT2, 3; BRAF1, 2) and three technical replicates. (D) Increased proliferation rate of purified BRAF-mutant Fib-like cells (n = 3) compared with WT ($n = 2$) as demonstrated by increased staining for Ki67 by flow cytometry (* p = 0.03). Data represent two (WT2, 3) or three (BRAF1, 2, 3) biological and two technical replicates. Data are presented as means \pm SEM.

(E) Purified BRAF-mutant Fib-like cells expressed increased levels of fibrosis-associated genes compared with WT; $TGF\beta1$ (BRAF $n = 24$, WT $n = 18$, $*$ $p = 0.002$), *POSTN* (BRAF $n = 15$, WT $n = 3$, $\star p = 0.01$), CTGF (BRAF $n = 18$, WT $n = 12$, **p = 0.002), COL1A2 (BRAF $n = 24$, WT $n = 15$, **p = 0.003), and $ET-1$ (BRAF n = 15, WT n = 8, p = 0.07, not significant). Data represent three biological (WT1, 2, 3; BRAF1, 2, 3) and three technical replicates. Data are presented as means \pm SEM.

To demonstrate whether activated BRAF was sufficient to induce CM hypertrophy, we overexpressed BRAF T599R cDNA in WT hiPSCs (WT-T599R). The presence of mutant cDNA was confirmed by Sanger sequencing, and increased expression of BRAF was confirmed by qPCR (Figure S7C). Compared with conditioned media from WT FLCs expressing only an empty vector, exposure to conditioned media from WT-T599R FLCs induced significantly increased cellular area ($p = 0.02$) and expression of ANP and BNP in WT CMs. Expression of those genes reached levels similar to those observed with BRAF-mutant CMs exposed to BRAF-mutant FLC conditioned media (Figures S7D–S7F). The largest enlargement in cellular area was observed in WT-T599R CMs exposed to WT-T599R FLC conditioned media ($p < 0.0001$). These data suggest that overactivation

of BRAF and the RAS/MAPK pathway can engender CM hypertrophy.

DISCUSSION

In this study we have shown that activating BRAF mutations leading to increased RAS/MAPK pathway signaling induce a hypertrophic phenotype in hiPSC-derived CMs. While BRAF-mutant CMs display intrinsic defects in $Ca²⁺$ handling, several aspects of their phenotype require paracrine TGFb secretion by activated, pro-fibrotic BRAFmutant FLCs. Inhibition of TGFB or RAS/MAPK signaling rescues the hypertrophic phenotype. Interestingly, while patient 3 did not show clinical evidence of HCM, we detected underlying defects indistinguishable from those

Figure 6. BRAF-Mutant FLCs Influence CM Hypertrophy through Paracrine TGFß1 Secretion

(A) Schematic of conditioned media experiment. WT and BRAF-mutant CMs and FLCs (Fib-like) were sorted from EBs and re-cultured separately. After 4 days, CMs were exposed to Fib-like conditioned media.

(B) Representative images of treatment groups stained with cTNT. TGFb-NA indicates pre-incubation of Fib-like conditioned media with a TGF β neutralizing antibody prior to CM exposure. Scale bars, 200 μ m.

(C) Quantification of cellular area as depicted in (B). WT CMs exposed to BRAF-mutant Fib-like conditioned media (n = 97) became significantly enlarged compared with exposure to WT Fib-like conditioned media (n = 91) (****p < 0.0001). This effect was prevented by pre-incubation with TGFß-NA (n = 92). Cellular hypertrophy in BRAF-mutant CMs (n = 86) was significantly reduced upon incubation with WT Fib-like conditioned media (n = 88) (**p = 0.008), or pre-incubation of BRAF-mutant Fib-like conditioned media with TGFß-NA $(n = 134)$ (*p = 0.01). Box-and-whisker plots show the median to the first and third quartiles and the minimum and maximum values. ns, not significant.

(D) WT CMs exposed to BRAF-mutant Fib-like conditioned media upregulated ANP ($n = 3$) compared with WT Fib-like conditioned media (n = 3) (*p = 0.03). Data are presented as means \pm SEM.

Figure 7. Inhibition of MEK Reverses the Hypertrophic Phenotype in BRAF-Mutant CMs

(A) Dissociated EBs were treated with the MEK inhibitor U0126 and cellular area of cTNT+ cells quantified. Exposure to U0126 (n = 130) significantly decreased the cellular area of BRAF-mutant CMs ($n = 142$) by 36% (****p < 0.0001), but had no significant effect (ns) on the cellular area of WT CMs ($n = 57$). Box-and-whisker plots show the median to the first and third quartiles and the minimum and maximum values. Scale bars, 200 um.

(B and C) Purified BRAF-mutant CMs exposed to U0126 displayed (B) decreased prevalence of irregular transients (n = 34) (****p < 0.0001) and (C) decreased SR Ca²⁺ content (n = 12) (***p < 0.001). Data are presented as means \pm SEM. n.s., not significant. Data represent two (WT2, 3) and three (BRAF1, 2, 3) biological and four technical replicates. See also Figure S7.

in patients 1 and 2, both diagnosed with HCM. Similar subclinical pathology has been demonstrated in other hiPSC models of HCM ([Lan et al., 2013](#page-13-10)). However, it is also possible that more complex factors active in 3D multi-organ systems such as hemodynamic load, which are inadequately modeled using the 2D hiPSC system, may play a role in disease progression. We recently generated a 3D human engineered cardiac tissue (hECT) model, in which BRAF-mutant hECTs displayed increased twitch force and contraction and relaxation rates, and a lower excitation threshold compared with WT ([Cashman et al.,](#page-12-8) [2016\)](#page-12-8). In the future, these hECT models may be helpful for investigating more complex factors such as tissue perfusion, flow dynamics, and mechanical stress, to

⁽E) BRAF-mutant CMs exposed to BRAF-mutant Fib-like conditioned media (n = 3) upregulated BNP expression (**p = 0.001), which was rescued upon exposure to conditioned media with TGFB-NA (n = 3) (**p = 0.008), to levels similar to those in WT CMs exposed to WT Fiblike conditioned media (n = 3). Data represent three biological replicates (WT1, 2, 3; BRAF1, 2, 3) for conditioned media experiments, and two biological replicates (WT2, 3; BRAF1, 2) for TGFβ-NA experiments.

Three technical replicates were performed for all conditions. See also Figure S6.

enable higher-fidelity physiologic measurements of muscle function.

Some aspects of the intrinsic CM phenotype we document have been associated with enhanced cellular maturation in culture, including organized sarcomeres and increased cellular area ([Yang et al., 2014](#page-14-4)). However, matured stem cell-derived CMs develop into elongated rods with myofibrils arranged parallel to the long axis of the cell, and do not display the irregular, generalized increase in cellular area that we observed. In addition, immature derived CMs possess sophisticated excitationcontraction coupling and do not display increased Ca^{2+} transient amplitude, irregularity, or increased SR Ca^{2+} stores upon maturation ([Lundy et al., 2013](#page-13-14)). Although genotype-specific influences on CM maturation may contribute to the CM phenotype, the HCM phenotype we observe in its totality cannot be attributed to them. In addition, the variations in cardiac differentiation efficiencies we document do not segregate WT and mutant populations and are unrelated to CM maturation, as SIRPa expression is detected in stem cell-derived CMs between days 7 and 8 of differentiation [\(Dubois et al., 2011\)](#page-12-3).

Our data also reveal variability in behavior among hiPSC lines ([Figures 2,](#page-3-0) [3](#page-4-0), and [4\)](#page-5-0), often attributed to variations that occur during re-programming ([Toivonen et al., 2013](#page-14-5)) and to distinct genetic backgrounds. This variability cannot be attributed to a single cell line and is not replicated across distinct experiments. To strengthen our conclusions, we have utilized six independent patient samples and provided inhibitory and overexpression studies, which support our claims.

To date, hiPSC models for cardiac disease have utilized mixed cell populations [\(Lan et al., 2013; Zanella et al.,](#page-13-10) [2014](#page-13-10)), obscuring possible contributions of neighboring cells to the disease phenotype. Here, we developed an effective dual-purification method to study cell interactions underpinning human HCM. By combining CM and non-CM markers, we increased hiPSC-derived CM purity from <70% ([Dubois et al., 2011](#page-12-3)) to >95% while simultaneously purifying the non-CM fraction. While CD90 is a well-described marker for human fibroblasts ([Kisselbach et al., 2009\)](#page-13-15), it has also been shown to label stem cells, lymphocytes, neurons, and activated endothelial cells ([Herrera-Molina et al.,](#page-13-16) [2013](#page-13-16)). As CM differentiation protocols direct mesodermal lineage formation [\(Mummery et al., 2012\)](#page-13-17), robust stem cell differentiation into many of these cell types is unlikely. The expression of multiple fibroblast- and fibrosis-associated genes in CD90⁺ cells supports their likely identity as FLCs.

The importance of the interaction between fibroblasts and CMs in cardiac hypertrophy has been previously demonstrated. In neonatal rat and mouse CMs, hypertrophy was dependent on exposure to fibroblasts or their

conditioned media, and was mediated by fibroblast paracrine TGFb1 release ([Fredj et al., 2005; Gray et al., 1998](#page-13-18)). AngII induces a pro-fibrotic phenotype in fibroblasts, stimulating proliferation, collagen synthesis, and extracellular matrix proteins [\(Bouzegrhane and Thibault, 2002\)](#page-12-9), mediated by upregulation and secretion of various factors including TGFβ [\(Kawano et al., 2000](#page-13-19)). In vivo, the hypertrophic response to AngII treatment or pressure overload was dependent on TGFβ signaling ([Kuwahara et al., 2002;](#page-13-20) [Schultz Jel et al., 2002](#page-13-20)). In these models, exogenous stimuli were utilized to induce cardiac hypertrophy, a phenomenon distinct from HCM. Here, we have shown in a human HCM model due to elevated RAS/MAPK signaling that paracrine TGFb signaling from FLCs to CMs directly stimulates the hypertrophic response. Indeed, it has been shown that AngII stimulates $TGF\beta$ synthesis in cardiac fibroblasts through activation of the MAPK substrate ELK-1 in humans ([Kawano et al., 2000\)](#page-13-19). In a mouse model of familial HCM due to aMHC mutations, cardiac fibrosis was mediated by non-myocyte proliferation and TGF_B signaling [\(Teekakiri](#page-14-6)[kul et al., 2010](#page-14-6)). In this model, mutant CMs were shown to activate the non-myocyte population, which then contributed to fibrosis. The ability of activated fibroblasts to directly stimulate cardiac hypertrophy, in addition to their well-known role in fibrosis, may be conserved in other etiologies of HCM, although the mechanism of fibroblast activation may be different.

Inhibition of $TGF\beta$ abolished the ability of BRAF-mutant FLCs to induce CM hypertrophy, suggesting that $TGF\beta$ inhibition may provide therapeutic benefit to CFCS patients with HCM. Few pre-clinical and clinical studies have demonstrated therapeutic efficacy of TGF_p inhibition in HCM. In mice with sarcomeric mutations, TGF_β pathway inhibition prior to or after the onset of HCM normalized several pathological features, such as non-myocyte proliferation, pro-fibrotic gene expression [\(Teekakirikul et al.,](#page-14-6) [2010](#page-14-6)), and interstitial fibrosis [\(Lim et al., 2001\)](#page-13-21). In small clinical studies, patients with existing HCM treated with losartan exhibited improved diastolic function ([Araujo](#page-12-10) [et al., 2005](#page-12-10)), decreased ventricular mass, and reduced fibrosis [\(Shimada et al., 2013](#page-13-22)). These studies suggest a potential therapeutic role for TGF β inhibition in HCM due to various etiologies. Our work also directly implicates activation of the RAS/MAPK pathway in HCM, as inhibition of MEK or BRAF rescued the hypertrophic phenotype while overexpression of activated BRAF induced CM hypertrophy. While there has been no clinical trial conducted to assess the effect of MEK inhibition on hypertrophy in RASopathy patients, MEK inhibition has been associated with an extensive side-effect profile in humans ([Akinleye](#page-12-11) [et al., 2013\)](#page-12-11). More work is necessary to determine whether TGF_β inhibition would be preferable to MEK inhibition in the treatment of RASopathy-associated HCM, particularly

in patients with well-established disease with significant fibrosis.

In summary, we have shown that activation of the RAS/ MAPK pathway leads to CM hypertrophy driven by CM autonomous and non-autonomous defects. We describe a potential therapeutic benefit of TGF_β inhibition or RAS/ MAPK inhibition for HCM in CFCS patients, for which no curative option exists. TGF β inhibition may find therapeutic efficacy in patients with HCM of various etiologies.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

hiPSC Generation and Maintenance

BRAF1 dermal fibroblasts were obtained from an 18-year-old female with HCM. BRAF1 hiPSCs were generated using retroviral pMXbased vectors encoding human OCT3/4, SOX2, KLF4, and c-MYC as previously published [\(Carvajal-Vergara et al., 2010](#page-12-12)) with modifications. Retrovirus was added to fibroblasts 24 and 48 hr after plating. After day 4 the medium was changed every 2 days, and hESC-like colonies were isolated after 30 days. BRAF2 and BRAF3 dermal fibroblasts were obtained from a 13-year-old female with HCM and a 2-year-old male without evidence of HCM, respectively. BRAF2 and BRAF3 hiPSC lines were generated using episomal-based vectors as previously described [\(Okita et al., 2011\)](#page-13-23), with modifications. One microgram of each plasmid pCXLE-hOCT3/4-shp53-F, pCXLE-hSK, pCXLE-hUL, and pCXLE-EGFP (Addgene) was mixed with Resuspension Buffer R (Life Technologies) and added to fibroblasts. Cells were electroporated using the Neon Transfection System (Life Technologies), re-plated, and placed at 37° C. After 5 days, fibroblasts were plated on MEFs and maintained in hiPSC medium. Clones were chosen based on morphology and growth. Pluripotency was verified by immunofluorescence, gene expression, southern blot, and teratoma formation or in vitro tri-lineage differentiation assays. Fully characterized retrovirally generated WT hiPSC lines were separately provided.

Human Fetal Heart and Immortalized Dermal Skin Fibroblast Samples

All samples were obtained according to the ethical guidelines provided by the institutional review board. Human fetal heart was dissociated in 1 mg/mL collagenase B (Roche) for 3 hr at 37° C and the centrifuged pellet resuspended in PBS + 0.1% BSA. Human dermal fibroblasts were immortalized using hTERT lentivirus with 6 μ g/mL polybrene. After 2 days, cells were selected with 10 μ g/mL blasticidin. Drug-resistant colonies were maintained in 10 µg/mL blasticidin and expanded for further use.

Southern Blot and Karyotyping

Two micrograms of gDNA from retrovirally generated hiPSCs was digested with BglII, separated on a 0.8% agarose gel, transferred to a positively charged nylon membrane, and hybridized with DIG-labeled human OCT4, SOX2, KLF4, and MYC cDNA probes. Membranes were washed, blocked, and incubated with anti-DIG-AP Fab fragments (Roche). Probe-target hybrids were incubated with chemiluminescent CDP-Star substrates (Roche) and detected by exposure to X-ray film. For karyotyping, hiPSCs were plated on Matrigel-coated glass coverslip dishes (MatTek), and karyotyping was performed as previously described ([Carvajal-Vergara et al.,](#page-12-12) [2010](#page-12-12)).

In Vitro Three-Germ-Layer Differentiation

hiPSCs generated with episomal vectors were differentiated into three germ layers in vitro using the d-Stem Tri-lineage Differentiation Kit (MicroStem) according to the manufacturer's instructions. hiPSCs were plated on matrix-coated 96-well plates, and day-1 medium was added after 24 hr. Cells were maintained for 3 days (mesoderm) or 6 days (endoderm, ectoderm) at 37°C before fixation. hiPSCs were stained with provided primary antibodies Brachyury (mesoderm), Sox17 (endoderm), or Sox1 (ectoderm) and corresponding Alexa Fluor secondary antibody.

Teratomas

Animal procedures were performed in accordance with the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Cells $(1-2 \times 10^6)$ were injected subcutaneously into the right hind leg of immunocompromised NOD-SCID mice (The Jackson Laboratory). Six to ten weeks after injection teratomas were excised, fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with H&E by the Histology Service Core Facility at the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai. Histological evaluation was performed using a Nikon TE2000-U microscope and ACT-1 software.

Mutation Analysis and Sequencing

hiPSC gDNA was purified using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen). PCR amplification of exons 6 and 15 was performed using primers listed in Table S2. Bioedit Sequence Alignment Editor version 7.0.5.3 was used for sequencing analysis.

hiPSC Differentiation

hiPSCs were differentiated as previously described ([Carvajal-Ver](#page-12-12)[gara et al., 2010](#page-12-12)) with the following modifications. hiPSCs were cultured on Matrigel-coated plates for 2 days for feeder depletion. For generation of EBs, hiPSCs were dissociated with 1 mg/mL collagenase B (Roche) for 15 min. Cell clumps were centrifuged at 200 \times g for 2 min, and resuspended to clusters of 50–100 cells in differentiation medium containing StemPro 34 (Life Technologies), 2 mM L-glutamine (Life Technologies), 4×10^{-4} M monothioglycerol (Sigma), 50 μ g/mL ascorbic acid (Sigma), and 150 μ g/mL transferrin (Roche). EBs were maintained in 6-well ultra-low attachment plates (Corning) at 37° C in 5% CO₂, 5% O₂, and 90% N₂. Differentiation medium was supplemented with 10 ng/mL BMP4 (R&D Systems) (day 0), 10 ng/mL BMP4 (R&D Systems) and 15 ng/mL Activin A (Peprotech) (day 1), and $1.5 \mu M$ IWR-1 (Sigma) (day 4). After day 8, the medium was changed every 5 days to medium without supplements. EBs were prepared for cell sorting on day 25 of differentiation.

Flow Cytometry

EBs were dissociated in 1 mg/mL collagenase B (Roche) overnight at 37°C. CMs were stained with 1:500 anti-human SIRPa-PE/Cy7

(BioLegend #323807) and 1:250 anti-human CD90-FITC (BD Pharmingen #555595) for 1 hr at 4° C in PBS + 10% FBS staining buffer. Gates were set using appropriate isotype control antibodies (Biolegend #400125, BD Pharmingen #MOPC-31C). Sorting was performed on an AriaII cell sorter (BD Biosciences). For determination of CM purity, dissociated single cells were fixed and stained with mouse-anti-human cTNT (Thermo Fisher Scientific #MA5-12960) conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 in vitro using the Zenon Mouse IgG Labeling Kit (Life Technologies), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were analyzed on an LSR-II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Data were analyzed using FlowJo software, Version 9.3.2.

Immunocytochemistry

Single cells were cultured on Matrigel-coated tissue culture plates (Falcon), fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at 25° C, and incubated with primary antibodies anti-human cTNT (1:100, Thermo Fisher #MA5-12960) and SSEA4 (1:25, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank #MC-813-70) in 0.01% Triton permeabilization buffer overnight at 4° C. Secondary antibodies were goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 or 594 (1:400, Life Technologies #A-11001, #A-11032). Cells were stained with DAPI (1:1,000) for 20 min at 25°C. Fluorescence was detected on the EVOS FL digital inverted fluorescent microscope (Life Technologies).

Cellular Size and Sarcomeric Organization

Single cells were analyzed 4 days after sorting. The 2D cellular area of CMs was determined using ImageJ software by manual outlining of single cTNT⁺ cells. The 3D cellular area was quantified using the mean fluorescence intensity of the FSC channel in $SIRP\alpha^+$ /CD90⁻ cells using FlowJo software, version 9.3.2. Sarcomeric organization was defined as the presence of a striated pattern of cTNT immunofluorescence in >50% of the cellular area, indicating the development of organized contractile filaments. Measurements were blinded and replicated by several independent observers.

Gene Expression

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Life Technologies) and RNeasy Plus mini kit (Qiagen). Total RNA was reverse transcribed using oligo(dT) primers with the Superscript II Synthesis Kit (Life Technologies). qPCR was performed using Fast SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Expression levels were calculated using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method and normalized to GAPDH. Real-time qPCR was performed on a StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) and analyzed with the StepOne Software v2.2.2. Primers used in qPCR assays are listed in Table S2.

Calcium Transient Analysis

Ca²⁺ transients were recorded from CMs 7 days post sorting. Cells were loaded with the Ca^{2+} indicator fluo-3-AM (acetomethoxy form) at 10μ M for 30 min and de-esterified. Cells were superfused with Tyrode's solution containing 140 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES, 1 mM NaH_2PO_4 , 1 mM MgCl_2 , 2 mM CaCl_2 , and 5 mM glucose (pH 7.4). Fluorescence was recorded by a confocal microscope. CMs were paced to steady state at 1 Hz, and transients recorded at 37° C using the line-scan mode of the micro-

scope. Irregularity was defined as the percentage of transients with extra peaks. For SR Ca^{2+} content, cells were exposed to 20 mM caffeine. Recordings were processed and analyzed using custom MATLAB scripts.

Immunoblotting

Single cells were plated on Matrigel-coated tissue-culture plates. hiPSCs were treated with 10 ng/mL EGF for 0, 5, 15, 30, or 60 min at 37° C, and purified CMs or FLCs were treated with 10 ng/mL AngII for 0 or 15 min at 37°C. Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Protein concentration was determined with a Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit using a BSA standard (Thermo Scientific). Twenty micrograms of protein was separated on 4%–20% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. Blots were probed with antibodies to p-ERK1/2 T202/Y204 (#4370), ERK1/2 (#4695), pAKT S473 (#4060), AKT (#4691), and GAPDH (#5174) (all 1:2000, Cell Signaling Technology) overnight at 4° C. Blots were washed in PBS with Tween 20 and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-coupled secondary antibody for 1 hr at 25° C. Blots were developed using SuperSignal Extended Duration Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific).

TGF_B ELISA

Secretion of total and active TGF_B into conditioned medium was quantified using ELISA assays according to the manufacturer's instructions (BosterBio). rhTGFβ (R&D systems #240-B-002) was used for the standards. For active TGFB levels, conditioned medium was incubated with 1 N HCl and 1.2 N NaOH/0.5 M HEPES. Samples and standards were added to TGF_B monoclonal antibody pre-coated 96-well plates and incubated for 90 min at 37°C. Biotinylated antibodies were added and incubated at 37° C for 1 hr. After washing with 0.01 M PBS, ABC working solution was added and incubated at 37° C for 30 min. After washing, TMB color developing agent was added and the plate incubated at 37° C in the dark for 30 min. TMB stop solution was added and the OD absorbance at 450 nm was recorded in a microplate reader (Promega).

Co-culture and Conditioned Media Studies

For co-culture studies, cells were mixed and re-plated on Matrigelcoated tissue-culture plates at a ratio of 60% FLCs/40% CMs in basic differentiation medium and fixed after 4 days. For conditioned media studies, 2.5 \times 10⁴ purified CMs and 10⁵ purified FLCs were re-plated separately on Matrigel-coated 24-well tissueculture dishes in basic differentiation medium. GDC-0897 (Selleck-Chem) or DMSO at 10μ M was added to FLCs for 4 days. Conditioned medium was then collected from FLCs and added to CMs. Ten micrograms of TGFß-NA (R&D Systems #MAB1835) was incubated with FLC conditioned medium for 90 min prior to CM exposure. U0126 (Promega) or DMSO at 5 μ M was added directly to co-cultured cells. After an additional 4 days, CMs were fixed to analyze cell area and gene expression. Ca^{2+} transients were analyzed 5 days after U0126 treatment. For analysis of the direct effect of TGFß on cell size, purified CMs were plated at 2.5 \times 10⁴ cells per well and treated with either 1 ng/mL rhTGF_β or 10 ng/mL TGFβ-NA, and cell size was analyzed after 4 days.

Proliferation Analysis

Cells were resuspended in PBS at 10^6 cells/mL. One microliter of reconstituted LIVE/DEAD Fixable Blue stain (Life Technologies) was added to the cells at 25° C for 30 min in the dark. Cells were fixed and stained with 5 μ L of Alexa Fluor 647 mouse anti-human Ki-67 (BD Pharmingen #561126) for 30 min at 25° C in the dark and analyzed on an LSR-II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).

Lentiviral Overexpression

The pSIN-EF2-LIN28-PURO transfer plasmid (Addgene #16580) was used for lentiviral production. LIN28 coding region was removed by restriction enzyme digestion and replaced with BRAF T599R cDNA or nothing (empty vector). Packaging plasmid pCMV-dR8.2-dvpr (Addgene #8455) and envelope plasmid pCMV-VSV-G (Addgene #8454) were used for subsequent viral production. HEK293T cells were transfected with transfer, packaging, and envelope plasmids using the Superfect Transfection Reagent (Qiagen), and maintained at 37° C. At 48 and 72 hr post transfection, medium containing viral particles was collected and used for two rounds of infection of WT1 and WT2 iPSC lines. Clones were selected by puromycin resistance and verified for viral integration via PCR, Sanger sequencing, and qPCR for overexpression of BRAF.

Statistics

The t test was used for single comparisons. One-way ANOVA was used for multiple comparisons, with individual differences analyzed by Tukey's or Dunnett's post test. p Values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. The number of asterisks indicates the significance level: $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p < 0.001$, and ****p < 0.0001. Data are presented as means ± SEM. Box-andwhisker plots show the median to the first and third quartiles and the minimum and maximum values.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, seven figures, and two tables and can be found with this article online at [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2016.07.018) [2016.07.018.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2016.07.018)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Sunita D'Souza and the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai Stem Cell Core Facility for their technical assistance and donation of WT hiPSC lines. We thank Deepak Srivastava for the donation of WT hiPSC lines. We thank Keren Messing-Guy for her assistance with subject recruitment, and Wandong Zhang, Erika Yeh, and Zhi Yong Wu for generating the BRAF2 and BRAF3 hiPSC lines. We thank Huaising Cindy Ko for technical assistance, and Nihir Patel and Allan Just for help with data visualization. This work was supported by grants from the Empire State Stem Cell Fund through New York State Department of Health (NYSTEM) (N08T-020) and the NIH (RO1 HL113499) to B.D.G., and the American Heart Association (12PRE12060254) to R.J. Additional funding was provided by the NIH (RO1 HL076230 to E.A.S, DP2 OD007449 to L.A.W., and 5RO1AR062165 to K.A.R.).

Received: December 28, 2014 Revised: July 24, 2016 Accepted: July 25, 2016 Published: August 25, 2016

REFERENCES

[Akinleye, A., Furqan, M., Mukhi, N., Ravella, P., and Liu, D. \(2013\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref1) [MEK and the inhibitors: from bench to bedside. J. Hematol. Oncol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref1) 6[, 27](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref1).

[Andreadi, C., Cheung, L.K., Giblett, S., Patel, B., Jin, H., Mercer, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref2) [Kamata, T., Lee, P., Williams, A., McMahon, M., et al. \(2012\). The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref2) [intermediate-activity \(L597V\)BRAF mutant acts as an epistatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref2) [modifier of oncogenic RAS by enhancing signaling through the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref2) [RAF/MEK/ERK pathway. Genes Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref2) 26, 1945–1958.

[Aoki, H., Sadoshima, J., and Izumo, S. \(2000\). Myosin light chain](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref3) [kinase mediates sarcomere organization during cardiac hypertro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref3)[phy in vitro. Nat. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref3) 6, 183–188.

[Araujo, A.Q., Arteaga, E., Ianni, B.M., Buck, P.C., Rabello, R., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref4) [Mady, C. \(2005\). Effect of Losartan on left ventricular diastolic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref4) [function in patients with nonobstructive hypertrophic cardiomy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref4)[opathy. Am. J. Cardiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref4) 96, 1563–1567.

[Armour, C.M., and Allanson, J.E. \(2008\). Further delineation of car](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref5)[dio-facio-cutaneous syndrome: clinical features of 38 individuals](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref5) [with proven mutations. J. Med. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref5) 45, 249–254.

[Baker, D.L., Hashimoto, K., Grupp, I.L., Ji, Y., Reed, T., Loukianov,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref6) [E., Grupp, G., Bhagwhat, A., Hoit, B., Walsh, R., et al. \(1998\). Tar](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref6)[geted](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref6) [overexpression](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref6) [of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref6) [the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref6) [sarcoplasmic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref6) [reticulum](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref6) $Ca²⁺$ -ATPase [increases cardiac contractility in transgenic mouse hearts. Circ.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref6) Res. 83[, 1205–1214.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref6)

[Bouzegrhane, F., and Thibault, G. \(2002\). Is angiotensin II a prolif](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref7)[erative factor of cardiac fibroblasts? Cardiovasc. Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref7) 53, 304–312. [Bueno, O.F., De Windt, L.J., Tymitz, K.M., Witt, S.A., Kimball, T.R.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref8) [Klevitsky, R., Hewett, T.E., Jones, S.P., Lefer, D.J., Peng, C.F., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref8)

[\(2000\). The MEK1-ERK1/2 signaling pathway promotes compen](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref8)[sated cardiac hypertrophy in transgenic mice. EMBO J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref8) 19, 6341– [6350](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref8).

[Camelliti, P., Borg, T.K., and Kohl, P. \(2005\). Structural and func](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref9)[tional characterisation of cardiac fibroblasts. Cardiovasc. Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref9) 65, [40–51](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref9).

[Carvajal-Vergara, X., Sevilla, A., D'Souza, S.L., Ang, Y.S., Schaniel,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref10) [C., Lee, D.F., Yang, L., Kaplan, A.D., Adler, E.D., Rozov, R., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref10) [\(2010\). Patient-specific induced pluripotent stem-cell-derived](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref10) [models of LEOPARD syndrome. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref10) 465, 808–812.

[Cashman, T.J., Josowitz, R., Johnson, B.V., Gelb, B.D., and Costa,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref11) [K.D. \(2016\). Human engineered cardiac tissues created using](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref11) [induced pluripotent stem cells reveal functional characteristics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref11) [of BRAF-mediated hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. PLoS One](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref11) 11, [e0146697.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref11)

[Delbridge, L.M., Satoh, H., Yuan, W., Bassani, J.W., Qi, M., Gins](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref12)[burg, K.S., Samarel, A.M., and Bers, D.M. \(1997\). Cardiac myocyte](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref12) [volume,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref12) Ca^{2+} [fluxes, and sarcoplasmic reticulum loading in pres](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref12)[sure-overload hypertrophy. Am. J. Physiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref12) 272, H2425–H2435.

[Dubois, N.C., Craft, A.M., Sharma, P., Elliott, D.A., Stanley, E.G.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref13) [Elefanty, A.G., Gramolini, A., and Keller, G. \(2011\). SIRPA is a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref13)

[specific cell-surface marker for isolating cardiomyocytes derived](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref13) [from human pluripotent stem cells. Nat. Biotechnol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref13) 29, 1011– [1018](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref13).

[Fredj, S., Bescond, J., Louault, C., and Potreau, D. \(2005\). Interac](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref14)[tions between cardiac cells enhance cardiomyocyte hypertrophy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref14) [and increase fibroblast proliferation. J. Cell Physiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref14) 202, 891–899.

[Fujiu, K., and Nagai, R. \(2014\). Fibroblast-mediated pathways in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref15) [cardiac hypertrophy. J. Mol. Cell Cardiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref15) 70C, 64–73.

[Gray, M.O., Long, C.S., Kalinyak, J.E., Li, H.T., and Karliner, J.S.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref16) [\(1998\). Angiotensin II stimulates cardiac myocyte hypertrophy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref16) [via paracrine release of TGF-beta 1 and endothelin-1 from fibro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref16)[blasts. Cardiovasc. Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref16) 40, 352–363.

[Harris, I.S., Zhang, S., Treskov, I., Kovacs, A., Weinheimer, C., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref17) [Muslin, A.J. \(2004\). Raf-1 kinase is required for cardiac hypertro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref17)[phy and cardiomyocyte survival in response to pressure overload.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref17) [Circulation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref17) 110, 718–723.

[Harris, K.M., Spirito, P., Maron, M.S., Zenovich, A.G., Formisano,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref18) [F., Lesser, J.R., Mackey-Bojack, S., Manning, W.J., Udelson, J.E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref18) [and Maron, B.J. \(2006\). Prevalence, clinical profile, and signifi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref18)[cance of left ventricular remodeling in the end-stage phase of hy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref18)[pertrophic cardiomyopathy. Circulation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref18) 114, 216–225.

[Heineke, J., and Molkentin, J.D. \(2006\). Regulation of cardiac hy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref19)[pertrophy by intracellular signalling pathways. Nat. Rev. Mol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref19) Cell Biol. 7[, 589–600.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref19)

[Herrera-Molina, R., Valdivia, A., Kong, M., Alvarez, A., Cardenas,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref20) [A., Quest, A.F., and Leyton, L. \(2013\). Thy-1-interacting molecules](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref20) [and cellular signaling in cis and trans. Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref20) 305, [163–216](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref20).

[Hunter, J.J., Tanaka, N., Rockman, H.A., Ross, J., Jr., and Chien, K.R.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref21) [\(1995\). Ventricular expression of a MLC-2v-ras fusion gene induces](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref21) [cardiac hypertrophy and selective diastolic dysfunction in trans](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref21)[genic mice. J. Biol. Chem.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref21) 270, 23173–23178.

[Kawano, H., Do, Y.S., Kawano, Y., Starnes, V., Barr, M., Law, R.E.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref22) [and Hsueh, W.A. \(2000\). Angiotensin II has multiple profibrotic ef](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref22)[fects in human cardiac fibroblasts. Circulation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref22) 101, 1130–1137.

[Kisselbach, L., Merges, M., Bossie, A., and Boyd, A. \(2009\). CD90](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref23) [Expression on human primary cells and elimination of contami](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref23)[nating fibroblasts from cell cultures. Cytotechnology](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref23) 59, 31–44.

[Koitabashi, N., Danner, T., Zaiman, A.L., Pinto, Y.M., Rowell, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref24) [Mankowski, J., Zhang, D., Nakamura, T., Takimoto, E., and Kass,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref24) [D.A. \(2011\). Pivotal role of cardiomyocyte TGF-beta signaling in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref24) [the murine pathological response to sustained pressure overload.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref24) [J. Clin. Invest.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref24) 121, 2301–2312.

[Konno, T., Chang, S., Seidman, J.G., and Seidman, C.E. \(2010\). Ge](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref25)[netics of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Curr. Opin. Cardiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref25) 25, [205–209](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref25).

[Kuwahara, F., Kai, H., Tokuda, K., Kai, M., Takeshita, A., Egashira,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref26) [K., and Imaizumi, T. \(2002\). Transforming growth factor-beta func](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref26)[tion blocking prevents myocardial fibrosis and diastolic dysfunc](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref26)[tion in pressure-overloaded rats. Circulation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref26) 106, 130–135.

[Kuwahara, K., Saito, Y., Takano, M., Arai, Y., Yasuno, S., Nakagawa,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref27) [Y., Takahashi, N., Adachi, Y., Takemura, G., Horie, M., et al. \(2003\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref27) [NRSF regulates the fetal cardiac gene program and maintains](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref27) [normal cardiac structure and function. EMBO J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref27) 22, 6310–6321.

[Lan, F., Lee, A.S., Liang, P., Sanchez-Freire, V., Nguyen, P.K., Wang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref28) [L., Han, L., Yen, M., Wang, Y., Sun, N., et al. \(2013\). Abnormal cal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref28)[cium handling properties underlie familial hypertrophic cardio](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref28)[myopathy pathology in patient-specific induced pluripotent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref28) [stem cells. Cell Stem Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref28) 12, 101–113.

[Lim, D.S., Lutucuta, S., Bachireddy, P., Youker, K., Evans, A., Ent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref29)[man, M., Roberts, R., and Marian, A.J. \(2001\). Angiotensin II](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref29) [blockade reverses myocardial fibrosis in a transgenic mouse](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref29) [model of human hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Circulation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref29) ¹⁰³, [789–791](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref29).

[Lundy, S.D., Zhu, W.Z., Regnier, M., and Laflamme, M.A. \(2013\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref30) [Structural and functional maturation of cardiomyocytes derived](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref30) [from human pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cells Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref30) 22, 1991– [2002](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref30).

[Marin, T.M., Keith, K., Davies, B., Conner, D.A., Guha, P., Kalaitzi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref31)[dis, D., Wu, X., Lauriol, J., Wang, B., Bauer, M., et al. \(2011\). Rapa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref31)[mycin reverses hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in a mouse model of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref31) [LEOPARD syndrome-associated PTPN11 mutation. J. Clin. Invest.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref31) 121[, 1026–1043](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref31).

[Molkentin, J.D. \(2004\). Calcineurin-NFAT signaling regulates the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref32) [cardiac hypertrophic response in coordination with the MAPKs.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref32) [Cardiovasc. Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref32) 63, 467–475.

[Mummery, C.L., Zhang, J., Ng, E.S., Elliott, D.A., Elefanty, A.G.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref33) [and Kamp, T.J. \(2012\). Differentiation of human embryonic stem](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref33) [cells and induced pluripotent stem cells to cardiomyocytes: a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref33) [methods overview. Circ. Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref33) 111, 344–358.

[Okita, K., Matsumura, Y., Sato, Y., Okada, A., Morizane, A., Oka](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref34)[moto, S., Hong, H., Nakagawa, M., Tanabe, K., Tezuka, K., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref34) [\(2011\). A more efficient method to generate integration-free hu](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref34)[man iPS cells. Nat. Methods](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref34) 8, 409–412.

[Purcell, N.H., Wilkins, B.J., York, A., Saba-El-Leil, M.K., Meloche, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref35) [Robbins, J., and Molkentin, J.D. \(2007\). Genetic inhibition of car](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref35)[diac ERK1/2 promotes stress-induced apoptosis and heart failure](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref35) [but has no effect on hypertrophy in vivo. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref35) USA 104[, 14074–14079](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref35).

[Rodriguez-Viciana, P., Tetsu, O., Tidyman, W.E., Estep, A.L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref36) [Conger, B.A., Cruz, M.S., McCormick, F., and Rauen, K.A. \(2006\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref36) [Germline mutations in genes within the MAPK pathway cause car](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref36)[dio-facio-cutaneous syndrome. Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref36) 311, 1287–1290.

[Schultz Jel, J., Witt, S.A., Glascock, B.J., Nieman, M.L., Reiser, P.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref37) [Nix, S.L., Kimball, T.R., and Doetschman, T. \(2002\). TGF-beta1 me](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref37)[diates the hypertrophic cardiomyocyte growth induced by angio](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref37)[tensin II. J. Clin. Invest.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref37) 109, 787–796.

[Shimada, Y.J., Passeri, J.J., Baggish, A.L., O'Callaghan, C., Lowry,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref38) [P.A., Yannekis, G., Abbara, S., Ghoshhajra, B.B., Rothman, R.D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref38) [Ho, C.Y., et al. \(2013\). Effects of losartan on left ventricular hyper](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref38)[trophy and fibrosis in patients with nonobstructive hypertrophic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref38) [cardiomyopathy. JACC Heart Fail.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref38) 1, 480–487.

[Sipido, K.R., Volders, P.G., de Groot, S.H., Verdonck, F., Van de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref39) [Werf, F., Wellens, H.J., and Vos, M.A. \(2000\). Enhanced Ca\(2+\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref39) [release and Na/Ca exchange activity in hypertrophied canine ven](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref39)[tricular myocytes: potential link between contractile adaptation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref39) [and arrhythmogenesis. Circulation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref39) 102, 2137–2144.

[Tartaglia, M., and Gelb, B.D. \(2010\). Disorders of dysregulated](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref40) [signal traffic through the RAS-MAPK pathway: phenotypic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref40)

[spectrum and molecular mechanisms. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref40) 1214, [99–121.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref40)

[Teekakirikul, P., Eminaga, S., Toka, O., Alcalai, R., Wang, L., Waki](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref41)[moto, H., Nayor, M., Konno, T., Gorham, J.M., Wolf, C.M., et al.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref41) [\(2010\). Cardiac fibrosis in mice with hypertrophic cardiomyopa](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref41)[thy is mediated by non-myocyte proliferation and requires TGF](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref41)[beta. J. Clin. Invest.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref41) 120, 3520–3529.

[Toivonen, S., Ojala, M., Hyysalo, A., Ilmarinen, T., Rajala, K., Pek](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref42)[kanen-Mattila, M., Aanismaa, R., Lundin, K., Palgi, J., Weltner, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref42) [et al. \(2013\). Comparative analysis of targeted differentiation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref42) [human induced pluripotent stem cells \(hiPSCs\) and human em](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref42)[bryonic stem cells reveals variability associated with incomplete](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref42) [transgene silencing in retrovirally derived hiPSC lines. Stem Cells](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref42) [Transl. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref42) 2, 83–93.

[Urosevic, J., Sauzeau, V., Soto-Montenegro, M.L., Reig, S., Desco,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref43) [M., Wright, E.M., Canamero, M., Mulero, F., Ortega, S., Bustelo,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref43) [X.R., et al. \(2011\). Constitutive activation of B-Raf in the mouse](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref43) [germ line provides a model for human cardio-facio-cutaneous syn](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref43)[drome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref43) 108, 5015–5020.

[Wan, P.T., Garnett, M.J., Roe, S.M., Lee, S., Niculescu-Duvaz, D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref44) [Good, V.M., Jones, C.M., Marshall, C.J., Springer, C.J., Barford,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref44) [D., et al. \(2004\). Mechanism of activation of the RAF-ERK signaling](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref44) [pathway by oncogenic mutations of B-RAF. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref44) 116, 855–867.

[Wu, X., Simpson, J., Hong, J.H., Kim, K.H., Thavarajah, N.K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref45) [Backx, P.H., Neel, B.G., and Araki, T. \(2011\). MEK-ERK pathway](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref45) [modulation ameliorates disease phenotypes in a mouse model of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref45) [Noonan syndrome associated with the Raf1\(L613V\) mutation.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref45) [J. Clin. Invest.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref45) 121, 1009–1025.

[Yang, L., Soonpaa, M.H., Adler, E.D., Roepke, T.K., Kattman, S.J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref46) [Kennedy, M., Henckaerts, E., Bonham, K., Abbott, G.W., Linden,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref46) [R.M., et al. \(2008\). Human cardiovascular progenitor cells develop](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref46) [from a KDR+ embryonic-stem-cell-derived population. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref46) 453, [524–528](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref46).

[Yang, X., Pabon, L., and Murry, C.E. \(2014\). Engineering adoles](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref47)[cence: maturation of human pluripotent stem cell-derived cardio](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref47)[myocytes. Circ. Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref47) 114, 511–523.

[Yoon, G., Rosenberg, J., Blaser, S., and Rauen, K.A. \(2007\). Neuro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref48)[logical complications of cardio-facio-cutaneous syndrome. Dev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref48) [Med. Child Neurol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref48) 49, 894–899.

[Zanella, F., Lyon, R.C., and Sheikh, F. \(2014\). Modeling heart dis](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref49)[ease in a dish: from somatic cells to disease-relevant cardiomyo](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref49)[cytes. Trends Cardiovasc. Med.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref49) 24, 32–44.

[Zheng, M., Dilly, K., Dos Santos Cruz, J., Li, M., Gu, Y., Ursitti, J.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref50) [Chen, J., Ross, J., Jr., Chien, K.R., Lederer, J.W., et al. \(2004\). Sarco](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref50)[plasmic reticulum calcium defect in Ras-induced hypertrophic car](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref50)[diomyopathy heart. Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref50) 286, H424– [H433](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2213-6711(16)30142-4/sref50).

Stem Cell Reports, Volume 7

Supplemental Information

Autonomous and Non-autonomous Defects Underlie Hypertrophic Car-

diomyopathy in BRAF-Mutant hiPSC-Derived Cardiomyocytes

Rebecca Josowitz, Sonia Mulero-Navarro, Nelson A. Rodriguez, Christine Falce, Ninette Cohen, Erik M. Ullian, Lauren A. Weiss, Katherine A. Rauen, Eric A. Sobie, and Bruce D. Gelb

Supplemental Information

Supplemental Figures

Figure S1 (refers to Figure 1). Pluripotency analysis of BRAF-mutant hiPSCs. (A) Karyotype Gbanding analysis of all BRAF-mutant hiPSC lines demonstrated normal diploid chromosomes. (B) Gene expression for the pluripotency marker *NANOG* demonstrated similar expression levels in BRAF-mutant hiPSCs to hESCs. Barr graphs show mean \pm standard error of the mean. (C) BRAF-mutant hiPSCs were positive for the stem cell surface marker SSEA4 by immunocytochemistry (magnification 10x). BRAFmutant hiPSCs could be differentiated into three germ layers, as assessed by BRACHYURY (mesoderm), SOX17 (endoderm) and SOX1 (ectoderm) immunocytochemistry in BRAF2 and BRAF3 samples (magnification 10x). Haematoxylin and eosin staining demonstrated the presence of the three germ layers in teratomas generated from BRAF1 hiPSCs (magnification 4x). (D) Exogenous transgenes were silenced in BRAF1 hiPSCs generated with retrovirus. Positive controls introduced GP2 cells transfected with individual factors (TRANS GP2) and original skin fibroblast lines transfected with all four factors (INFECT FIBR 4F). Bar graphs show mean ± standard error of the mean. (E) Southern blot demonstrating integrations of exogenous transgenes in BRAF1 hiPSCs generated with retrovirus compared to hESCs and original un-infected skin fibroblast samples. For (A-E), n=3 technical replicates for each condition.

Figure S2 (refers to Figure 1). Purification of hiPSC-derived CM. (A) Expression of cardiac-specific genes AND , $MYH6$, and $TNNT2$ were up-regulated in the $SIRPa⁺/CD90⁻$ population. (B) Immunostaining for cTNT in monolayers generated from sorted and re-cultured $SIRP\alpha^+$ /CD90⁻ cells (scale bars 200 μ m). (C) Representative FACS plots demonstrating the percentage of $SIRPa⁺/CD90°$ cells across all hiPSCs. (D) Quantification of the $SIRP\alpha^+$ /CD90⁻ population across all hiPSCs lines (n=3 independent experiments per group). Bar graphs show mean± standard error of the mean.

Figure S3 (refers to Figure 2). Three-dimensional cellular area of hiPSCs-derived CM. Threedimensional cellular area was quantified by comparing the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of the FSC property for WT (n=2) and BRAF-mutant (n=2) $\overline{SIRP\alpha}^+$ /CD90⁻ populations. BRAF-mutant CMs displayed increased three-dimensional area compared to WT. Data represents 2 biological replicates (WT2, WT3, BRAF2, and BRAF3) and 2 technical replicates. Bar graphs show mean± standard error of the mean.

Figure S4 (refers to Figure 5). Purified SIRP!⁺ /CD90- cells are fibroblast-like. (A) Expression of pluripotency (*REX1)*, endothelial (*CD31, CDH5)*, and fibroblast-associated (*Vimentin; VIM, Collagen Type I*; *COL1A2*, and *Discoidin Domain Receptor Tyrosine Kinase 2; DDR2*) genes in purified SIRP α /CD90⁺ non-myocytes. hiPS cells, human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs), immortalized primary fibroblasts (IMM FIB), and $SIRP\alpha/CD90^+$ cells derived from human fetal hearts (HFH NON-MYOCYTES) were used for comparison. All samples relative to gene expression in hiPS cells. Nonmyocytes exclusively expressed fibroblast-associated genes, which were also highly expressed by $SIRP\alpha$ /CD90+ cells purified from human fetal hearts. Non-myocyte cell lines used were BRAF1 and BRAF2. Data represents 3 independent experiments. Bar graphs show mean± standard error of the mean. (B) Spindleshaped morphology of purified $SIRPa$ /CD90⁺ non-myocytes (scale bars 400 µm).

Figure S5 (refers to Figure 4). Signaling pathway activation in hiPSCs and derived cells. (A) BRAF hiPSCs stimulated with EGF over time displayed prolonged activation of pERK compared to WT by western blot. (B) Levels of pAKT were not different from WT in purified BRAF-mutant cardiomyocytes or fibroblast-like cells (C) with or without AngII stimulation, indicating a lack of AKT pathway activation. Cell lines shown are WT1 and BRAF1.

Figure S6 (refers to Figure 6). BRAF-mutant FLCs secrete increased levels of TGF" protein and TGF" is sufficient to induce cellular hypertrophy in hiPSC-derived CM. (A-B) Levels of active and total TGF β protein in conditioned media from purified BRAF-mutant and WT FLCs (Fib-like) and CMs were measured by ELISA. (A) Purified BRAF-mutant Fib-like cells secreted increased levels of active and total TGF β protein compared to WT (BRAF n=3, WT n=3). (B) Purified BRAF-mutant CMs secreted similar levels of active and total TGF β protein to WT CMs (BRAF n=3, WT n=3). (C) Purified BRAFmutant CMs expressed similar levels of TGF β 1 gene compared to WT CMs (BRAF n=12, WT n=12). Bar graphs show mean \pm standard error of the mean. Data in (A-C) represent 2 biological replicates (WT1, WT3, BRAF1, and BRAF3) and 3 technical replicates. (D) Purified BRAF-mutant and WT CMs were incubated with recombinant human TGF β (rhTGF β). BRAF-mutant CMs exposed to rhTGF β (n=67) were significantly larger than BRAF-mutant CMs without treatment (n=70) (p=0.0001). WT CMs exposed to rhTGF β (n=30) were also significantly larger than WT CMs without treatment (n=29) (p=0.03). Treatment of BRAF-mutant ($n=25$) or WT ($n=36$) CMs with TGF NA did not alter their cellular size ($p=n.s$). Data in (D) represents 2 biological replicates (WT2, WT3, BRAF 1, and BRAF3) and 2 technical replicates. Boxand-whisker plots show the median to the first and third quartiles and the minimum and maximum values.

Figure S7 (refers to Figure 7). Activation of BRAF induces the hypertrophic phenotype. (A) Purified WT CMs exposed to BRAF-mutant FLC (Fib-like) conditioned media (n=99) significantly increased in cellular area compared to exposure to WT Fib-like conditioned media (n=84) (p=0.0005), but cell size was normalized upon addition of BRAF inhibitor GDC-0879 (GDC) (n=86) (p=0.01). WT CM cell size was unchanged when GDC was added to WT Fib-like conditioned media (n=56). Cellular area of BRAF-mutant CMs was significantly reduced upon exposure to BRAF-mutant Fib-like conditioned media with GDC $(n=105)$ compared to BRAF-mutant Fib-like conditioned media alone $(n=80)$ $(p=0.0006)$. (B) Representative images of conditions depicted in (A). (C) Integration of *BRAF* T599R lentivirus in WT1 and WT2 hiPSC clones was confirmed by Sanger sequencing for presence of the mutation in *BRAF* cDNA, as well as increased expression of *BRAF* by qPCR. (D) WT CMs exposed to conditioned media from WT Fiblike cells over-expressing an empty vector (WT-EV) (n=45) displayed no change in cellular area compared to un-transduced WT Fib-like cells (n=67). Cellular area of WT CMs significantly increased upon exposure to conditioned media from WT Fib-like cells over-expressing the *BRAF* T599R mutation (WT-T599R) (n=75) (p=0.02), similar in size to BRAF-mutant CMs exposed to BRAF-mutant Fib-like conditioned media (n=44). The largest increase in cellular area was observed in WT-T599R CMs exposed to conditioned media from WT-T599R Fib-like cells $(n=50)$ $(p<0.0001)$. (E) Representative images of conditions depicted in (D). (F) WT CMs expressed increased levels of *ANP* and *BNP* when exposed to WT-T599R Fib-like conditioned media compared to WT-EV Fib-like conditioned media or media from untransduced WT Fib-like cells (n=3 for all conditions). Scale bars 200µm. For each graph data represents 2 (WT1, WT2) or 3 (BRAF1, BRAF2, and BRAF3) biological replicates and 2 technical replicates. Bar graphs show mean \pm standard error of the mean. Box-and-whisker plots show the median to the first and third quartiles and the minimum and maximum values.

Supplemental Tables

Experiment	WT1	WT ₂	WT3	BRAF1_1	BRAF1_2	BRAF2_1	BRAF2_2	BRAF3_1	BRAF3_2
Cellular size	v	\checkmark	\checkmark	v	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Sarcomeric organization	v	v	\checkmark	\mathbf{v}	\mathbf{v}	v		v	
Cardiomyocyte gene expression	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\mathbf{v}	\mathbf{v}	\mathbf{v}		\mathbf{v}	
Calcium studies	v	v	\checkmark	$\mathbf v$	\mathbf{v}	v	✓	$\mathbf v$	
Western blots	v	v	\checkmark	\mathbf{v}	\mathbf{v}	\mathbf{v}		\mathbf{v}	
Co-culture		v	\checkmark	v		v			
Fibroblast-like cell gene expression	v	v	\mathbf{v}	\mathbf{v}		\mathbf{v}	v	v	
Fibroblast-like cell proliferation		v	v	$\mathbf v$		v		v	
Fibroblast-like cell TGFß ELISA	v		\checkmark	$\mathbf v$				$\mathbf v$	
Conditioned media cross- culture	v	✓	v	\mathbf{v}	v	✓		\checkmark	
TGFß-NA studies		v	\checkmark	v		\checkmark			
MEK-inhibitor studies		v	v	\mathbf{v}	\mathbf{v}	\checkmark	v	v	
BRAF inhibitor studies	v	v		v		$\mathbf v$		$\mathbf v$	
BRAFT599R over-expression studies	v	v		$\mathbf v$		v		v	

Table S1: hiPSC lines used in experimental procedures.

Table S2: Primers used in PCR and qRT-PCR experiments.

Supplemental Experimental Procedures

hiPSC generation and maintenance. To generate BRAF1 hiPSCs, $8x10⁵$ fibroblasts were plated onto 10 cm gelatin-coated dishes. After 24 and 48h (Day 0,1), equal amounts of retroviruses were filtered and added to fibroblasts with 6 μ g/ml polybrene. On Day 3, media was changed to DMEM (Life Technologies). On Day 4, fibroblasts were trypsinized and re-plated at $5x10^4$ cells on 10 cm dishes coated with 1.3x10⁶ mitotically inactivated mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs). Media was changed every two days using hiPSC maintenance media composed of DMEM/F12 (Cellgro, Mediatech) with 20% (vol/vol) knockout serum replacement (Life Technologies), 5% (vol/vol) MEF-conditioned medium, penicillin/streptomycin, L-glutamine (L-Gln), non-essential amino acids (Life Technologies), βmercaptoethanol (β -ME, Sigma) and 10 ng/ml bFGF (R&D Systems). From Day 6-13 valproic acid was added to hiPSC medium at a final concentration of 2 mM. After 30 days, hESC-like colonies were mechanically isolated and re-plated onto 48-well plates coated with MEFs. Colonies were passaged and maintained in hiPSC media on MEF-coated plates. Rho Kinase inhibitor $(10 \mu M)(Y-27632)$; Tocris, Bristol, UK) was added to hiPSC media for 24h after passaging.

To generate BRAF 2 and 3 hiPSCs, fibroblasts at passage 5 were trypsinized, centrifuged at 500 x g for 5 min, and resuspended in DMEM High-Glucose media (Life Technologies). $3.6x10^5$ cells were used per reprogramming. 1 µg of each plasmid pCXLE-hOCT3/4-shp53-F, pCXLE-hSK, pCXLE-hUL, and pCXLE-EGFP (Addgene) was mixed with 100 µl Resuspension Buffer R (Life Technologies), and added to fibroblasts. 100 µL of the cell suspension was dispensed into the Neon Transfection System (Life Technologies) and electroporated with three pulses of 1,650 V for 10 ms, and transfered into gelatincoated dishes containing pre-warmed fibroblast medium and incubated in a 37 °C , 5% CO₂ incubator. After Day 5, transfected fibroblasts were detached and plated onto mitomycin C-treated MEFs (Millipore) at $1x10^5$ cells/cm² and maintained in hiPSC medium.

In vitro three germ layer differentiation. $2.5x10^4$ hiPSCs were plated on matrix-coated 96-well plates in 100 µl hiPSC medium. After 24 h, Day 1 media for the three lineages was added. Cells were maintained for two additional days (mesoderm) or five additional days (endoderm, ectoderm) at 37 °C in 5% CO₂, 5% O_2 , and 90% N₂ before fixation. hiPSCs were blocked for 1 h at room temperature in 3% milk, 1% BSA, and 0.1% Triton-X100 in PBS and stained with provided primary antibodies Brachyury (mesoderm), Sox17 (endoderm), or Sox1 (ectoderm) for 2 h at room temperature, followed by corresponding secondary antibody - Alexa Fluor® 488 goat-anti-rabbit IgG (mesoderm), Alexa Fluor® 488 goat-anti-mouse IgG (endoderm), Alexa Fluor® 594 donkey-anti-goat IgG (ectoderm) (Life Technologies) at 1:400 dilution for 1 h at room temperature.

Flow cytometry. Cardiomyocytes were stained with 1:500 anti-human SIRP α -PE/Cy7 (BioLegend) and 1:250 anti-human CD90-FITC (BD Pharmingen) for 1 h at 4 °C in PBS+10% FBS staining buffer. Cells were filtered and resuspended at 10⁶ cells/mL in staining buffer for cell sorting. Sorting was performed on an AriaII cell sorter (BD Biosciences). Flow cytometric gates were set using control cells stained with the appropriate isotype control antibody. Gates were determined for each differentiation independently to account for variability in differentiation and staining efficiencies. To determine cardiomyocyte purity, dissociated single cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 15 min at room temperature. The primary antibody mouse-anti-human cTNT (ThermoScientific, clone 13-11) was conjugated to AlexaFluor 488 *in vitro* using the Zenon Mouse IgG Labeling Kit (Life Technologies), according to manufacturer's instructions. Cells were incubated with the conjugated primary antibody at 1:100 final dilution of cTNT antibody for 2 h at room temperature. Cells were analyzed on an LSR-II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Data were analyzed using FlowJo software, Version 9.3.2.

Calcium transient analysis. Calcium (Ca^{2+}) transients were recorded from cardiomyocytes 7 days post sort on matrigel-coated coverslips. Cells were loaded with the acetomethoxy (AM) form of the $Ca²$ indicator fluo-3 at 10 μ M for 30 min at room temperature (Biotium, Hayward, CA), washed, and allowed 30 min for dye de-esterification. During experiments, cells were superfused with Tyrode's solution containing (in mM): NaCl 140, KCl 5.4, HEPES 10, NaH₂PO₄ 1, MgCl₂ 1, CaCl₂ 2, glucose 5 (pH 7.4). Fluo-3 was excited at 488 nm, and fluorescence above 505 nm was recorded by a confocal microscope (LSM 5 Exciter Carl Zeiss AG, Jena, Germany) at 40x magnification. Cardiomyocytes were paced to steady-state at 1Hz, and transients recorded at 37 °C using the line-scan mode of the microscope. To quantify irregularity, the percentage of transients with extra peaks was calculated (10 transients per recording). For SR Ca²⁺ content, a solution of 0 Na⁺ 0 Ca²⁺ Tyrode with 20 mM caffeine was rapidly washed on to the cells (NaCl replaced with equimolar LiCl). Analysis of data from line scan recordings

consisted of: (1) averaging across the cell length, and (2) converting to relative units (F/F₀) by normalizing to fluorescence prior to stimulation. $Ca²⁺$ transient decay time constants were calculated by fitting exponential functions to the declining phase of the transient. Recordings were processed and analyzed using custom MATLAB scripts.

Lentiviral over-expression. The pSIN-EF2-LIN28-PURO transfer plasmid (Addgene #16580) was used for lentiviral production. *LIN28* coding region was removed via restriction enzyme digestion, and *BRAF* T599R cDNA synthesized from patient iPSCs, or nothing (empty vector) was subcloned into the transfer plasmid. Packaging plasmid pCMV-dR8.2-dvpr (Addgene #8455) and envelope plasmid pCMV-VSV-G (Addgene #8454) were used for subsequent viral production. HEK 293T cells were transfected with 8 µg transfer plasmid, 6 µg packaging plasmid, and 2 µg envelope plasmid at 90% confluence using the Superfect Transfection Reagent (Qiagen), and maintained at 37 °C. 48 h and 72 h post-transfection, media containing viral particles was collected and pelleted via ultra-centrifugation at 16000rpm for 4 h at 4 °C. Viral pellets were re-suspended in iPSC media supplemented with 6µg/mL polybrene (Sigma), and used for two rounds of infection of 70-80% confluent WT1 and WT2 iPSC lines. 48 h after the second infection, media was replaced with fresh iPSC media supplemented with 1 ug/mL puromycin. Puromycin-resistant clones were isolated and verified for viral integration via PCR. Presence of *BRAF* T599R mutant cDNA was verified via Sanger sequencing, and over-expression of *BRAF* verified via qPCR according to previously described methods.