APPENDIX A: *Analytical calculation of SPEN diffusion effects upon employing linearly-swept 180˚ pulses*

 This Appendix presents the results involved in calculating diffusion effects when employing 180˚ sweeps for performing the SPEN encoding, rather than the 90˚ chirp pulse used in the main text. Presenting such analysis is important, as 180˚ sweeps appear as the most promising way of decoupling the diffusion and the imaging gradients cross-coupling terms (*cf.* Fig. 4). By contrast to sequences using chirp 90˚ pulses to excite the spin packets, 180˚ sweeps in SPEN schemes are tuned to invert the spins in the transverse plane; excitation is thus created at the beginning of the experiment by a (usually slice-selective) homogeneous 90˚ pulse. As a result of this, the phase accumulated by spins during a gradient G_e of period T_e and a gradient G_a of duration T_a (*cf.* Fig. 2C)

$$
K_{local}^{180^{\circ}-SPEN}(t,z)=\frac{d(\phi_{e}(z)+\phi_{a}(t,z))}{dz}=\frac{d}{dz}\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \gamma G_{e}zt & 0\leq t\leq t_{180}(z)\\ \phi_{rf}\left[t_{180}(z)\right]+\left[\phi_{rf}\left[t_{180}(z)\right]-\gamma G_{e}z[t_{180}(z)]\right]+\gamma G_{e}z[t-t_{180}(z)], & t_{180}(z)\leq t\leq T_{e}\\ 2\phi_{rf}\left[t_{180}(z)\right]-\gamma G_{e}z\left[t_{180}(z)-t\right]+\gamma G_{a}zt, & T_{e}\leq t\leq T_{a} \end{array} \right.\eqno(A1)
$$

Expressions for the timing $t_{180}(z)$ when the adiabatic RF sweep reaches the resonance frequency of spin packet at a particular *z* coordinate, as well as for the phase ϕ_{RF} taken by the B₁ field at the time of this inversion, are similar to those given in the main text for the chirp 90˚ pulse. Taking the spatial derivatives involved in Eq. $(A1)$ yields the relevant K_{local} wavenumbers,

$$
K_{local}^{180^{\circ}-SPEN}(t,z) = \begin{cases} \gamma G_{e}t & 0 \le t \le t_{180}(z) \\ \gamma G_{e}[t-2t_{180}(z)], & t_{180}(z) \le t \le T_{e} \\ \gamma G_{e}[T_{e} - 2t_{180}(z)] + \gamma G_{a}t, & T_{e} \le t \le T_{a} \end{cases}
$$
(A2)

Substituting this expression into Eq. 8 provides the full argument of the exponential attenuation function,

$$
A_{180^{\circ}-SPEN}(t,z) = \exp\left[-D\gamma^{2}\left(\frac{t_{180}^{3}(z)G_{e}^{2}}{3} + \frac{G_{e}^{2}(t_{180}^{3}(z) + (-2t_{180}(z) + T_{e})^{3})}{3} + \frac{(2t_{180}(z)G_{e} - G_{e}T_{e})^{3} + (-2t_{180}(z)G_{e} + G_{a}T_{a} + G_{e}T_{e})^{3}}{3G_{a}}\right)\right]
$$
(A3)

These K_{local} and attenuation functions still have to account for the diffusion gradients G_d , and for the purging gradients *Gpr* that in 180˚-encoded SPEN MRI are needed for shifting the symmetric phase parabola that the adiabatic sweep imparts, to one corner of the FOV (*cf.* Fig. 2C). Taking these additional factors into account, the total K_{local} wavenumber becomes

$$
K_{local}(t,z) = \begin{cases}\n-\gamma G_{pr}t & 0 \le t \le T_{pr} \\
-\gamma G_{pr}T_{pr} + \gamma G_d(t - T_{pr}) & T_{pr} \le t \le T_{pr} + \delta \\
-\gamma G_{pr}T_{pr} + \gamma G_d \delta + \gamma G_e(t - (T_{pr} + \delta)) & T_{pr} + \delta \le t \le T_{pr} + \delta + t_{180}(z) \\
-\gamma G_{pr}T_{pr} + \gamma G_d \delta + \gamma G_e(t - 2t_{180}(z)) & T_{pr} + \delta + t_{180}(z) \le t \le T_{pr} + \delta + T_e \\
-\gamma G_{pr}T_{pr} + \gamma G_d \delta + \gamma G_e(T_e - 2t_{180}(z)) - \gamma G_d(t - (T_{pr} + \delta + T_e)) & T_{pr} + \delta + T_e \le t \le T_{pr} + \delta + \Delta \\
-\gamma G_{pr}T_{pr} + \gamma G_e(T_e - 2t_{180}(z)) + \gamma G_d t & T_{pr} + \delta + \Delta \le t \le T_{pr} + \delta + \Delta + T_a\n\end{cases} (A4)
$$

is

And the ensuing exponential attenuation function

$$
A(t,z) = \exp\left(-D\gamma^{2}\left[\frac{G_{pr}^{2}T_{pr}^{3}}{3} + \frac{\delta(G_{d}^{2}\delta^{2} - 3G_{d}\delta G_{pr}T_{pr} + 3G_{pr}^{2}T_{pr}^{2})}{3}\right] + \frac{G_{a}\delta + t_{180}(z)G_{e} - G_{pr}T_{pr}^{3}}{3G_{e}} + \frac{G_{180}(z)G_{e} - \delta(G_{d} + G_{e}) + (-G_{e} + G_{pr})T_{pr}^{3}}{3G_{e}} + \frac{G_{180}(z)G_{e} - \delta(G_{d} + G_{e}) + (-G_{e} + G_{pr})T_{pr}^{3}}{3G_{e}} + \frac{G_{280}(z)G_{e} - G_{pr}T_{pr} + G_{e}(\Delta + T_{pr})^{3}}{3G_{d}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{2t_{180}(z)G_{e} + G_{d}T_{e} + G_{d}(-\Delta + 2\delta + T_{e}) - G_{pr}T_{pr}^{3}}{3G_{d}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{2t_{180}(z)G_{e} + G_{d}(\Delta - \delta - T_{e}) - G_{e}T_{e} + G_{pr}T_{pr}^{3}}{3G_{a}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{G_{180}(z)G_{e} + G_{a}T_{a} + G_{e}T_{e} - G_{pr}T_{pr}^{3}}{3G_{a}}
$$

(A5)

APPENDIX B: *Experimental validation of exact vs. PGSE-based b-value effects in dSPEN sequence*

Figure B.1 assesses experimentally the efficiency of all the DW pulse sequences variants explored, with a series of single-scan 2D MRI comparisons conducted for water samples. Diffusionsensitizing gradients were applied separately along the readout, the low-bandwidth (phase-encode or SPEN) and the slice-selection directions, such that the final ADC map is a geometric mean of the three directions. In all cases, a high consistency is evidenced by the diffusion coefficient values arising over the entire phantom sample for all five sequences –regardless of the diffusion-gradient's encoding axis– once the correct *b*-values have been computed and are taken into account for computing the corresponding maps.

Figure B1. ADC maps derived from b^{Exact} - (top) and from b^{PGSE} -values (bottom) for a neat H₂O sample scanned by the five pulse sequences described in Fig. 2. PGSE parameters were δ = 3ms, Δ = 14ms (except for Fig. 1D where Δ = 11.4ms), 0.8 \le G_d \le 3.1 G/cm gradients applied along all three measurements directions (readout, PE/SPEN and slice-selection). The maps represent an average of these three directions. The mean diffusion values arising over the entire phantom from b^{PGSE} - / b^{Exact} -values are: 2.16 \pm 0.03 / 1.9 \pm 0.03 (A); 2.15 \pm 0.03 / 1.99±0.03 (B); 2.03±0.03 / 1.97±0.03 (C); 1.96.03±0.03 / 1.96±0.03 (D); 1.99±0.03 / 1.98 \pm 0.03 (E) (all values x10⁻³ mm²/s). Minor features disturbing the flatness of the images reflect artifacts arising from the various ultrafast imaging modalities. Common scanning parameters: square $FOV = 30x30$ mm², nominal resolution = 0.4×0.4 mm², 2 mm slice. Other gradient and timing values: (A) $T_{90} = T_{180} = 2 \text{ms}$, $T_a = 21 \text{ms}$, total scan duration = 51.5ms; (B) $T_{90} = T_a = 21 \text{ms}$, $G_e = 1.2$ G/cm, $G_a = 4.5$ G/cm, total scan duration = 60ms; (C) $T_{90} = 2 \text{ms}$, $T_{180} = T_a/2 = 10.5$ ms, G_e=0.8 G/cm, G_a=3 G/cm, total scan duration = 51ms; (D) $T_{90} = 2$ ms, $T_{180} = T_a/2 = 15.4 \text{ ms}$, G_e=0.4 G/cm, G_a=4.4 G/cm, total scan duration = 65ms; (E) $T_{90} = 2 \text{ ms}$, $T_{180} = T_a/2 = 10.5$ ms, $G_e = 0.8$ G/cm, $G_a = 3$ G/cm, total scan duration = 62ms.