

Table S2. Template for FGM with SIMS-Trial interviewing physicians.

Description of data: Template for FGM with SIMS-Trial interviewing physicians.

Probes are reported in italics. FGM, Focus Group Meeting.

1. Can you describe the main interactions occurring during the personal interview?
(Who did or said what, what happened in succession during the time that was available?)
2. Which arguments, in terms of contents, did the patient prefer during CD navigation and during the personal interview?
(Which information attracted more attention? What seemed to be more important in using the time on hand?...)
3. Did you experience positively this way of interacting with the patient or there were shortcomings?
(How did you feel during the personal interview? at ease, uncomfortable?... Was the personal interview an useful means?)
4. Which aspects of the personal interview left a more positive impression on you?
(Are there elements such as contents, setting or method that you might think of expanding if you should use this tool in the future?...)
5. Which aspects of the interview left a more negative impression on you?
(Are there elements such as contents, setting or method that you might think of changing or eliminating if you should use this tool in the future?...)
6. What are the strong and the weak points of this approach, which includes the physician's presence, informative material navigation on a CD and booklet presentation, according to your direct experience during the trial?
(Are there single components of the CD that you deem positive or negative? Are there any procedural issues that you evaluate positively or negatively?...)
7. After your own direct experience, do you think the physician's role in the interview is necessary, or that other professionals could be involved?
(Do you think that the choice of a doctor is the best choice in terms of cost/benefits? Do you think that other professionals could be included? If so, specify: Psychologists, other patients in a "peer" role, nurses?...)
8. With improvement as the objective, would you have any suggestions to offer for increasing the informative system's efficacy for your patients, if it should be used in the future?
(The question can refer to organization, contents, or informative support quality, etc...).