Evidence that the 5p12 Variant rs10941679 Confers Susceptibility to Estrogen-Receptor-Positive Breast Cancer through FGF10 and MRPS30 Regulation

Maya Ghoussaini,^{1,98} Juliet D. French,^{2,98} Kyriaki Michailidou,^{3,4} Silje Nord,⁵ Jonathan Beesley,² Sander Canisus,⁶ Kristine M. Hillman,² Susanne Kaufmann,² Haran Sivakumaran,² Mahdi Moradi Marjaneh,² Jason S. Lee,² Joe Dennis,³ Manjeet K. Bolla,³ Qin Wang,³ Ed Dicks,¹ Roger L. Milne,^{7,8} John L. Hopper,⁸ Melissa C. Southey,⁹ Marjanka K. Schmidt,⁶ Annegien Broeks,⁶ Kenneth Muir,^{10,11} Artitaya Lophatananon,^{10,11} Peter A. Fasching,^{12,13} Matthias W. Beckmann,¹² Olivia Fletcher,^{14,15} Nichola Johnson,^{14,15} Elinor J. Sawyer,¹⁶ Ian Tomlinson,¹⁷ Barbara Burwinkel,^{18,19} Frederik Marme,^{18,20} Pascal Guénel,²¹ Thérèse Truong,²¹ Stig E. Bojesen,^{22,23,24} Henrik Flyger,²⁵ Javier Benitez, [26,27](#page-1-0) Anna González-Neira, 26 M. Rosario Alonso, 28 Guillermo Pita, 28 Susan L. Neuhausen,²⁹ Hoda Anton-Culver,^{[30](#page-1-0)} Hermann Brenner,^{[31](#page-1-0),32,33} Volker Arndt,³¹ Alfons Meindl,^{[34](#page-1-0)} Rita K. Schmutzler, $35,36,37$ Hiltrud Brauch, $32,38,39$ Ute Hamann, 40 Daniel C. Tessier, 41 Daniel Vincent, 41 Heli Nevanlinna,^{[42](#page-1-0)} Sofia Khan,⁴² Keitaro Matsuo,⁴³ Hidemi Ito,⁴⁴ Thilo Dörk,⁴⁵ Natalia V. Bogdanova, [45,46](#page-1-0) Annika Lindblom, [47](#page-1-0) Sara Margolin, 48 Arto Mannermaa, [49,50,51](#page-1-0)

(Author list continued on next page)

Genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have revealed increased breast cancer risk associated with multiple genetic variants at 5p12. Here, we report the fine mapping of this locus using data from 104,660 subjects from 50 case-control studies in the Breast Cancer Association Consortium (BCAC). With data for 3,365 genotyped and imputed SNPs across a 1 Mb region (positions 44,394,495– 45,364,167; NCBI build 37), we found evidence for at least three independent signals: the strongest signal, consisting of a single SNP rs10941679, was associated with risk of estrogen-receptor-positive (ER⁺) breast cancer (per-g allele OR ER⁺ = 1.15; 95% CI 1.13–1.18; $p = 8.35 \times 10^{-30}$). After adjustment for rs10941679, we detected signal 2, consisting of 38 SNPs more strongly associated with ER-negative (ER⁻) breast cancer (lead SNP rs6864776: per-a allele OR ER⁻ = 1.10; 95% CI 1.05-1.14; p conditional = 1.44 \times 10⁻¹²), and a single signal 3 SNP (rs200229088: per-t allele OR ER⁺ = 1.12; 95% CI 1.09–1.15; p conditional = 1.12 \times 10⁻⁰⁵). Expression quantitative trait locus analysis in normal breast tissues and breast tumors showed that the g (risk) allele of rs10941679 was associated with increased expression of FGF10 and MRPS30. Functional assays demonstrated that SNP rs10941679 maps to an enhancer element that physically interacts with the FGF10 and MRPS30 promoter regions in breast cancer cell lines. FGF10 is an oncogene that binds to FGFR2 and is overexpressed in ~10% of human breast cancers, whereas MRPS30 plays a key role in apoptosis. These data suggest that the strongest signal of association at 5p12 is mediated through coordinated activation of FGF10 and MRPS30, two candidate genes for breast cancer pathogenesis.

Strong evidence for the existence of a breast cancer (MIM: 114480) susceptibility locus at 5p12 has been observed through a GWAS in Iceland (SNP $rs7703618$ $rs7703618$ $rs7703618$),¹ in the Breast

Cancer Association Consortium (BCAC; SNP rs981782, 371 Kb centromeric), 2 2 and in the Cancer GEnetic Markers of Susceptibility study (CGEMS; SNP rs4866929; 352 Kb

¹Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB1 8RN, UK; ²Cancer Division, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD 4006, Australia; ³Centre for Cancer Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB1 8RN, UK; ⁴Department of Electron Microscopy/Molecular Pathology, The Cyprus Institute of Neurology and Genetics, Nicosia 1683, Cyprus; ⁵Department of Cancer Genetics, Institute for Cancer Research, Oslo University Hospital Radiumhospitalet, 0310 Oslo, Norway; ⁶Netherlands Cancer Institute, Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, 1066 CX Amsterdam, the Netherlands; ⁷Cancer Epidemiology Centre, Cancer Council Victoria, Melbourne, VIC 3004, Australia; ⁸Centre for Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Melbourne School of Population and Global health, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia; ⁹Department of Pathology, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia;
¹⁰Institute of Population Health, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 University, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK; ¹²Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Comprehensive Cancer Center Erlangen-EMN, 91054 Erlangen, Germany; ¹³David Geffen School of Medicine, Department of Medicine Division of Hematology and Oncology, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA; ¹⁴Toby Robins Breast Cancer Now Research Centre, The Institute of Cancer Research, London SW3 6JB, UK; ¹⁵Division of Breast Cancer Research, The Institute of Cancer Research, London SW7 3RP, UK; ¹⁶Research Oncology, Guy's Hospital, King's College London, London SE1 9RT, UK; ¹⁷Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics and Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7BN, UK; 18Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany; ¹⁹Molecular Epidemiology Group, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), 69120 Heidelberg, Germany; ²⁰National Center for Tumor Diseases, University of Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany; 21Cancer & Environment Group, Center for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health (CESP), INSERM, University Paris-Sud, University Paris-Saclay, 94807 Villejuif, France; ²²Copenhagen General Population Study, Herlev and Gentofte Hospital, Copenhagen University Hospital, 2730 Herlev, Denmark; ²³Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Herlev and Gentofte Hospital, Copenhagen University Hospital, 2730 Herlev, Denmark; ²⁴Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, 2200 Copenhagen, Denmark;

(Affiliations continued on next page)

2016 The Author(s). This is an open access article under the CC BY license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Veli-Matti Kosma, ^{49,50,51} kConFab/AOCS Investigators, Anna H. Wu, ⁵² David Van Den Berg, ⁵² Diether Lambrechts,53,54 Giuseppe Floris,55 Jenny Chang-Claude,56,57 Anja Rudolph,56 Paolo Radice,58 Monica Barile,⁵⁹ Fergus J. Couch,⁶⁰ Emily Hallberg,⁶¹ Graham G. Giles,^{7,8} Christopher A. Haiman,⁵² Loic Le Marchand,⁶² Mark S. Goldberg,^{63,64} Soo H. Teo,^{65,66} Cheng Har Yip,⁶⁶ Anne-Lise Borresen-Dale,⁵ NBCS Collaborators, Wei Zheng,⁶⁷ Qiuyin Cai,⁶⁷ Robert Winqvist, ^{68,69} Katri Pylkäs, ^{68,69} Irene L. Andrulis,^{70,71} Peter Devilee,^{72,73} Rob A.E.M. Tollenaar,⁷⁴ Montserrat García-Closas,⁷⁵ Jonine Figueroa,75[,76](#page-2-0) Per Hall,[77](#page-2-0) Kamila Czene[,77](#page-2-0) Judith S. Brand,[77](#page-2-0) Hatef Darabi[,77](#page-2-0) Mikael Eriksson,[77](#page-2-0) Maartje J. Hooning,⁷⁸ Linetta B. Koppert,^{[79](#page-2-0)} Jingmei Li,^{[77](#page-2-0)} Xiao-Ou Shu,⁶⁷ Ying Zheng,^{[80](#page-2-0)} Angela Cox,⁸¹ Simon S. Cross, [82](#page-2-0) Mitul Shah,^{[1](#page-0-0)} Valerie Rhenius,¹ Ji-Yeob Choi, [83,84](#page-2-0) Daehee Kang, [83,84,85](#page-2-0) Mikael Hartman, 86,87 Kee Seng Chia,^{[86](#page-2-0)} Maria Kabisch,⁴⁰ Diana Torres,^{40,[88](#page-2-0)} Craig Luccarini,^{[1](#page-0-0)} Don M. Conroy,¹ Anna Jakubowska,^{[89](#page-2-0)}

(Author list continued on next page)

centromeric; $r^2 = 0.18$.^{[3](#page-7-0)} A subsequent study, using 22 SNPs in ~5,000 case subjects and ~33,000 control subjects of European ancestry, reported that risk at this locus could be explained by two SNPs: $rs4415084$ $rs4415084$ $rs4415084$ and $rs10941679.⁴$ More recently, a BCAC study confirmed that rs10941679 was associated with risk of lower-grade, progesterone receptor (PGR [MIM: 607311])-positive breast cancer tumors.^{[5](#page-7-0)}

Here, we report the comprehensive fine-scale mapping of this locus in 104,660 subjects from 50 case-control studies participating in BCAC, including 41 studies from populations of European ancestry and nine of East Asian ancestry, and we explore the functional mechanisms underlying the associations in this region. Genotyping was conducted with the COGS array, a custom array comprising approximately $200,000$ SNPs.^{[6](#page-7-0)} After qualitycontrol exclusions, we analyzed data from 48,155 case subjects and 43,612 control subjects of European ancestry and 6,269 case subjects and 6,624 control subjects of Asian ancestry. Estrogen receptor (ESR1 [MIM: 133430]) status of the primary tumor was available for 27,748 European and 4,997 Asian case subjects; of these, 7,646 (22%) European and 1,623 (32%) Asian case subjects were $\mathbb{E}{\rm R}^{-}$.

We examined a 1 Mb region (positions 44,394,495– 45,364,167; NCBI build 37 assembly) in which the 1000 Genomes Project cataloged 1,811 variants (March 2010 Pilot version 60 CEU project data). We aimed to genotype all 628 SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF) $>$ 2% and correlated with rs981782 and rs10941679 at $r^2 > 0.1$ (n = 424), plus a set of SNPs designed to tag all remaining

²⁵Department of Breast Surgery, Herlev and Gentofte Hospital, Copenhagen University Hospital, 2730 Herlev, Denmark; ²⁶Human Cancer Genetics Program, Spanish National Cancer Research Centre, 28029 Madrid, Spain; ²⁷Centro de Investigación en Red de Enfermedades Raras, 46010 Valencia, Spain; ²⁸Human Genotyping-CEGEN Unit, Human Cancer Genetic Program, Spanish National Cancer Research Centre, 28029 Madrid, Spain; ²⁹Department of Population Sciences, Beckman Research Institute of City of Hope, Duarte, CA 92697, USA; 30Department of Epidemiology, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697, USA; ³¹Division of Clinical Epidemiology and Aging Research, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), 69120 Heidelberg, Germany; 32 German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), 69120 Heidelberg, Germany; 33 Division of Preventive Oncology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) and National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT), 69120 Heidelberg, Germany; ³⁴Division of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Technische Universität München, 81675 Munich, Germany; ³⁵Center for Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer, University Hospital of Cologne, 50931 Cologne, Germany; ³⁶Center for Integrated Oncology (CIO), University Hospital of Cologne, 50937 Cologne, Germany; ³⁷Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), University of Cologne, 50931 Cologne, Germany; ³⁸Dr. Margarete Fischer-Bosch-Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, 70376 Stuttgart, Germany; ³⁹University of Tübingen, 72074 Tübingen, Germany; ⁴⁰Molecular Genetics of Breast Cancer, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), 69120 Heidelberg, Germany; ⁴¹McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre, Montréal, QC H3A OG1, Canada; ⁴²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Helsinki University Hospital, University of Helsinki, 00029 Helsinki, Finland; 43Division of Molecular Medicine, Aichi Cancer Center Research Institute, Nagoya 464-8681, Japan; 44Division of Epidemiology and Prevention, Aichi Cancer Center Research Institute, Nagoya 464-8681, Japan; 45Gynaecology Research Unit, Hannover Medical School, 30625 Hannover, Germany; ⁴⁶Department of Radiation Oncology, Hannover Medical School, 30625 Hannover, Germany; 47Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, 17177 Stockholm, Sweden; 48Department of Oncology-Pathology, Karolinska Institutet, 17177 Stockholm, Sweden; ⁴⁹Cancer Center of Eastern Finland, University of Eastern Finland, 70211 Kuopio, Finland; ⁵⁰Institute of Clinical Medicine, Pathology and Forensic Medicine, University of Eastern Finland, 70211 Kuopio, Finland; ⁵¹Imaging Center, Department of Clinical Pathology, Kuopio University Hospital, 70210 Kuopio, Finland; ⁵²Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90033, USA; ⁵³Vesalius Research Center, VIB, 3000 Leuven, Belgium; ⁵⁴Laboratory for Translational Genetics, Department of Oncology, University of Leuven, 3000 Leuven, Belgium; ⁵⁵University Hospital Gashuisberg, 3000 Leuven, Belgium; ⁵⁶Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), 69120 Heidelberg, Germany; ⁵⁷University Cancer Center Hamburg (UCCH), University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, 20246 Hamburg, Germany; ⁵⁸Unit of Molecular Bases of Genetic Risk and Genetic Testing, Department of Preventive and Predictive Medicine, Fondazione IRCCS (Istituto Di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico) Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori (INT), 20133 Milan, Italy; ⁵⁹Division of Cancer Prevention and Genetics, Istituto Europeo di Oncologia, 20141 Milan, Italy; ⁶⁰Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, USA; ⁶¹Department of Health Sciences Research, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, USA; ⁶²University of Hawaii Cancer Center, Honolulu, HI 96813, USA; ⁶³Department of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3G 2M1, Canada; ⁶⁴Division of Clinical Epidemiology, Royal Victoria Hospital, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 1A8, Canada; ⁶⁵Cancer Research Initiatives Foundation, Subang Jaya, 47500 Selangor, Malaysia; ⁶⁶Breast Cancer Research Unit, Cancer Research Institute, University Malaya Medical Centre, 59100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia; ⁶⁷Division of Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN 37203, USA; ⁶⁸Laboratory of Cancer Genetics and Tumor Biology, Cancer Research and Translational Medicine, Biocenter Oulu, University of Oulu, 90220 Oulu, Finland; ⁶⁹Laboratory of Cancer Genetics and Tumor Biology, Northern Finland Laboratory Centre Oulu, 90220 Oulu, Finland; ⁷⁰Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute of Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, ON M5G 1X5, Canada; ⁷¹Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 1A8, Canada; ⁷²Department of Pathology, Leiden University Medical Center, 2300 RC Leiden, the Netherlands;⁷³Department of Human Genetics, Leiden University Medical Center, 2300 RC Leiden, the Netherlands; ⁷⁴Department of Surgery, Leiden University Medical Center, 2300 RC Leiden, the Netherlands; ⁷⁵Division of Cancer Epidemiology and

Jan Lubinski,⁸⁹ Suleeporn Sangrajrang,⁹⁰ Paul Brennan,⁹¹ Curtis Olswold,^{[62](#page-1-0)} Susan Slager,⁶² Chen-Yang Shen, 92,93 Ming-Feng Hou, 94 Anthony Swerdlow, [15](#page-0-0),95 Minouk J. Schoemaker, 95 Jacques Simard, 96 Paul D.P. Pharoah,^{1,[3](#page-0-0)} Vessela Kristensen,^{5,97} Georgia Chenevix-Trench,² Douglas F. Easton,^{1,3} Alison M. Dunning, ^{[1,](#page-0-0)99,*} and Stacey L. Edwards^{[2](#page-0-0),99,*}

SNPs with $r^2 > 0.9$ (n = 184), but we managed to include 563 SNPs with a designability score $(DS) > 0.9$ and which passed QC. $⁶$ $⁶$ $⁶$ IMPUTE v.2.0 was used to impute genotypes</sup> of all known SNPs in the region using the 1000 Genome Project data (March 2012 version) as a reference panel.

Case-control analyses were conducted on 3,365 SNPs (563 genotyped and 2,776 imputed at $r^2 > 0.3$). In European-ancestry women, 461 of these SNPs were associated with overall breast cancer risk, 489 with $ER⁺$ and 38 with ER^- breast cancer risk ($p < 10^{-4}$; Table S1). SNP rs10941679 showed the strongest overall association (MAF = 0.27, per-minor (g) allele: OR = 1.12; 95% CI 1.10–1.14; $p = 2.55 \times 10^{-26}$; [Figure 1,](#page-3-0) [Tables 1](#page-3-0) and S1). To identify additional association signals at this region, we conducted a forward stepwise logistic regression examining SNPs with univariate $p < 0.1$ (n = 1,040).^{[6](#page-7-0)} The most parsimonious model included three variants: SNP1 rs10941679 (signal 1), SNP2 rs6864776 (signal 2; conditional $p = 6.22 \times 10^{-11}$), and SNP3 rs200229088 (signal 3; conditional $p = 1.12 \times 10^{-5}$, borderline significance; Table S2). SNP1 and SNP3 are weakly correlated ($r^2 = 0.15$) but SNP2 was uncorrelated with the other two $(r^2 = 0.07)$ and 0.05).

The top signal, SNP1 rs10941679, is markedly more significant than any other SNP in the locus (likelihood ratio > 10,000:1). Hence, the most parsimonious explanation is that this SNP is causally related to risk. The next most strongly associated SNP, after adjustment for signal 1 SNP rs10941679, was rs6864776, representing signal 2 (OR per minor allele = 1.04; 95% CI 1.02–1.06; p = 7.84 \times 10^{-4} ; conditional $p = 1.44 \times 10^{-12}$). Within signal 2, a further 37 SNPs correlated with rs6864776 at $r^2 > 0.6$, had likelihood ratios of <100:1 relative to rs6864776, and hence could not be excluded from being causative

statistically (Table S2). After adjustment for both signal 1 SNP rs10941679 and signal 2 top SNP rs6864776, a single SNP remained: rs200229088 (OR overall = 1.09 , 95%; CI 1.07-1.12; $p = 2.28 \times 10^{-12}$; conditional $p = 1.12 \times$ 10⁻⁵). There are no other SNPs correlated with rs200229088 that could explain this association. All other SNPs were excluded from causality (likelihood ratio > 10,000:1; Table S2). Two of the excluded variants had been previously postulated as likely causative variants^{[4,7](#page-7-0)} and so we investigated these in more depth. We found both SNPs to be partially correlated with all three signals and consequently display initially inflated effects, which are adjusted by the conditional analyses. Thus, SNP $rs4415084^4$ $rs4415084^4$ $rs4415084^4$ (r^2 with signal 1 SNP $rs10941679 = 0.51$, with signal 2 SNP rs6864776 $= 0.11$, and with signal 3 SNP rs200229088 = 0.37) has odds against causality $>$ 10 million:1 versus signal 1 candidate rs10941679. Similarly, SNP rs7716600, which is an eQTL for MRPS30 expression⁷ (r^2 with SNP rs10941679 = 0.77, with SNP $rs6864776 = 0.05$, and with SNP $rs200229088 = 0.12$ has odds against causality >160,000:1 versus signal 1 candidate rs10941679. These exclusions of former causal candidates highlight the need for fine-mapping studies before conducting functional analyses.

Haplotype analyses were conducted using the above three signal-representative variants, which generated eight haplotypes [\(Table 2](#page-4-0)). Haplotypes carrying the rare allele of signal 3 SNP rs200229088 conferred higher risks than corresponding haplotypes carrying the common allele, consistent with this allele having an independent effect. Haplotype G, carrying the minor alleles of both the signal 1 and 2 representative SNPs, is very rare and reveals that their risk alleles are negatively correlated, which is also consistent with our finding that signal 2 top SNP

Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Rockville, MD 20850, USA; ⁷⁶Usher Institute of Population Health Sciences and Informatics, The University of Edinburgh Medical School, Edinburgh EH8 9AG, UK;⁷⁷Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, 17177 Stockholm, Sweden; ⁷⁸Department of Medical Oncology, Family Cancer Clinic, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, 3008 AE Rotterdam, the Netherlands; ⁷⁹Department of Surgical Oncology, Family Cancer Clinic, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, 3008 AE Rotterdam, the Netherlands; ⁸⁰Shanghai Municipal Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 200336 Shanghai, China; ⁸¹Sheffield Cancer Research, Department of Oncology and Metabolism, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2RX, UK; ⁸²Academic Unit of Pathology, Department of Neuroscience, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2HQ, UK; ⁸³Department of Biomedical Sciences, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul 110-799, Korea; ⁸⁴Cancer Research Institute, Seoul National University, Seoul 110-799, Korea; ⁸⁵Department of Preventive Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul 110-799, Korea; ⁸⁶Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117597, Singapore; ⁸⁷Department of Surgery, National University Health System, Singapore 117597, Singapore; 88Institute of Human Genetics, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogota, DC 11001000, Colombia; ⁸⁹Department of Genetics and Pathology, Pomeranian Medical University, 70-115 Szczecin, Poland; ⁹⁰National Cancer Institute, Bangkok 10400, Thailand; ⁹¹International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon Cedex 08, France; ⁹²School of Public Health, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan; ⁹³Taiwan Biobank, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei 115, Taiwan; ⁹⁴Department of Surgery, Kaohsiung Municipal Hsiao-Kang Hospital, Kaohsiung 812, Taiwan; ⁹⁵Division of Genetics and Epidemiology, The Institute of Cancer Research, London SM2 5NG, UK; ⁹⁶Genomics Center, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec Research Center, Laval University, Québec City, QC G1V 4G2, Canada; ⁹⁷Department of Clinical Molecular Biology, Oslo University Hospital, University of Oslo, 0450 Oslo, Norway

⁹⁹These authors contributed equally to this study

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.07.017.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.07.017)

⁹⁸These authors contributed equally to this study

^{*}Correspondence: amd24@medschl.cam.ac.uk (A.M.D.), stacey.edwards@qimrberghofer.edu.au (S.L.E.)

Figure 1. Manhattan Plot of the 5p12 Breast Cancer Susceptibility Locus

SNPs are plotted according to their chromosomal position on the x axis and their overall p values (log₁₀ values, likelihood ratio test) from the European BCAC studies (48,155 case and 43,612 control subjects) on the y axis. The purple dotted line intersects the y axis at $p = 10^{-8}$ and indicates genome-wide significance. Candidate SNPs in signal 1 (rs10941679), signal 2 (38 SNPs), and signal 3 (rs200229088) are shown as short vertical lines. The locations of annotated genes and putative lncRNA transcripts from GENCODE and enhancers predicted in Corradin et al.^{[13](#page-8-0)} and Hnisz et al.^{[12](#page-8-0)} from breast cancer cell lines are shown in the bottom panels.

rs6864776 increases in significance after conditioning on signal 1 SNP rs10941679 (Table 1).

We examined the associations of these three SNPs in the Asian case-control studies within BCAC. SNP1 and SNP3 both replicated in the Asian studies and the relative risk estimates with overall breast cancer were consistent with those seen in the European population: per g -allele OR $(rs10941679) = 1.09; 95\% \text{ CI } 1.04-1.15; \text{p} = 0.0009, \text{condi-1}$ tional $p = 0.0859$ and per *t*-allele OR (rs200229088) = 1.09;

95% CI 1.02–1.15; $p = 0.0065$, conditional $p = 0.9149$ (Table 1). SNP2 was not replicated in Asians (per *a*-allele $OR =$ 0.94; 95% CI 0.89–1.00; $p = 0.034$, conditional $p = 0.8901$) (Table 1).

We investigated the associations of these three signals with tumor subtypes based on ER status. SNP1 rs10941679 was largely associated with $ER⁺$ breast cancer (OR ER⁺ = 1.15; 95% CI 1.13–1.18; p = 8.35 \times 10⁻³⁰ versus OR ER⁻ disease = 1.04; 95% CI 1.00–1.08; $p = 0.059$;

Abbreviations are as follows: Com, common alleles; Min, minor alleles; MAF, minor allele frequency; OR, per-allele odds ratios (OR); 95% CI, 95% confidence intervals and 1 degree of freedom; p, significance levels for overall breast cancer are indicated in European and Asian case-control studies, and separately for ER^+ and ER^- disease.

Each haplotype was compared to the ancestral haplotype carrying the common alleles of signal 1 SNP rs10941679, signal 2 SNP rs6864776, and signal 3 SNP rs200229088 (haplotype A).

p heterogeneity = 1.5×10^{-5} ; [Table 1](#page-3-0)) as was SNP3 rs200229088 (OR $ER^+ = 1.12$; 95% CI 1.09–1.15; p = 7.51 \times 10⁻¹⁴ versus OR ER⁻ = 1.03; 95% CI 0.99-1.09; $p = 0.11$, p heterogeneity = 0.02). By contrast, SNP2 $rs6864776$ was moderately associated with ER^- but not ER^+ tumors (OR $ER^- = 1.10$; 95% CI 1.05–1.14; p = 2.55×10^{-5} versus OR ER⁺ = 1.02; 95% CI 0.99–1.05; $p = 0.08$; p heterogeneity = 0.01; [Table 1](#page-3-0)).

Candidate SNPs 1–3 span a 1.7 Mb region on 5p12 that includes three annotated genes—FGF10 (MIM: 602115), MRPS30 (MIM: 611991), and HCN1 (MIM: 602780)—and several putative long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs; [Figure 1\)](#page-3-0). To identify potential target gene(s), we examined the associations of the three lead SNPs with expression levels of genes located within 1 Mb in three different studies: (1) 116 normal breast samples and 241 breast tumors from the Norwegian Breast Cancer Study (NBCS), $8(2)$ $8(2)$ 93 normal and 765 breast cancer tissues from the TCGA study (germline genotype data from Affymetrix SNP 6 array were obtained from TCGA dbGAP data portal 9 9), and (3) 183 normal breast samples from the Genotype-Tissue Expres-sion (GTEx) project.^{[10](#page-8-0)} The SNP1 rs10941679 risk-associated g-allele was moderately associated with increased FGF10 mRNA expression in NBCS normal breast ($p =$ 0.013, p corrected $= 0.39$ and breast tumors (p $= 0.005$, p corrected $= 0.38$) as well as in GTEx normal breast (p corrected $= 0.02$; [Figures 2](#page-5-0)A and S1A). The effect in TCGA was in the same direction, though not significant (normal breast $p = 0.353$, p corrected $= 0.95$ and breast tumors $p = 0.057$, p corrected $= 0.41$; Figure S1B). The g-allele was also associated with increased expression of MRPS30 in the NBCS normal ($p = 0.002$, p corrected $= 0.36$) and breast tumors ($p = 0.049$, p corrected $= 0.43$), in GTEx normal breast (p corrected $= 0.002$), and in TCGA (normal breast $p = 6.86 \times 10^{-5}$, p corrected = 5.31 \times 10^{-3} and breast tumors p = 7.21 \times 10⁻⁶, p corrected = 9.35 \times 10^{-4} ; [Figures 2](#page-5-0)B, S1A, and S1C). No associations were observed with SNP2 rs6864776 or SNP3 variant rs200229088. We also measured endogenous levels of FGF10, MRPS30, and nearby lncRNAs FGF10-AS1,

BRCAT54, RP11-503D12.1, and RP11-473L15.3 mRNA in breast cell lines homozygous (A/A or G/G) or heterozygous (A/G) for the common allele of SNP1 (Table S3, [Figures 2](#page-5-0)C, 2D, S2, and S3). Total RNA from cell lines was extracted using Trizol and complementary DNA synthesized using random primers as per manufacturers' instructions. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) were performed using TaqMan assays for FGF10 and MRPS30 normalized against beta-glucuronidase (GUSB [MIM: 611499]) or with SYTO9 for lncRNAs normalized against TATA box-binding protein (TBP [MIM: 600075]; primers are listed in Table S4). Although the number of ER^+ breast cell lines carrying the risk allele was limited, FGF10 and MRPS30 mRNA levels were significantly higher in the BT474 heterozygous cell line ([Figures 2](#page-5-0)C and 2D). BRCAT54 was detected in the majority of cell lines but its expression appears to be genotype independent (Figure S3A). FGF10-AS1, RP11-503D12.1, and RP11-473L15.3 transcripts were either expressed at very low levels or not detected in the cell lines analyzed (Figures S3B–S3D). Therefore, although we cannot rule out the possibility that the risk SNPs may influence local lncRNA expression, the low or absent transcript levels precluded any further evaluation.

Candidate causal SNPs were then explored using publicly available datasets from $ENCODE$,^{[11](#page-8-0)} which includes information such as the location of promoter and enhancer histone marks, open chromatin, bound proteins, and altered motifs for the MCF7 breast cancer cell line, and from Hnisz et al.^{[12](#page-8-0)} and Corradin et al.^{[13](#page-8-0)} to identify the location of likely enhancers and their gene targets in a cell-specific context. Analysis of cis enhancer-gene interactions via PreSTIGE 13 13 13 showed evidence of putative regulatory elements (PREs) surrounding the top risk-associated SNPs in MCF7 breast cancer cells, but no histonemarked elements harboring a risk SNP in this cell line or in a range of cell lines and tissues analyzed in Roadmap ([Figures 1](#page-3-0) and S4). However, it is possible that certain epigenetic marks may be detected only in a specific cell subtype such as breast stem cells or in response to an external stimulus.

Figure 2. Association of rs10941679 with FGF10 and MRPS30 Expression in Normal Breast Tissues, Breast Tumors, and Breast Cancer Cell Lines

(A and B) FGF10 (A) or MRPS30 (B) expression in normal breast (n = 116) or breast tumors from NBCS dataset (n = 241). SNP genotypes are shown on the x axis and log2-normalized gene expression values on the y axis. p values are presented before and after correction for multiple testing using FDR as implemented in p.adjust function in R. Each box plot shows the median rank normalized gene expression (horizontal line), the first through third quartiles (box), and $1.5\times$ the interquartile range (whiskers).

(C and D) Endogenous FGF10 (Hs00610298_m1) (C) or MRPS30 (Hs00169612_m1) (D) expression measured by qPCR in untreated breast cell lines and normalized to GUSB (4326320E). Error bars denote SEM ($n = 3$). p values were determined with a two-tailed t test. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

To identify target gene(s), we performed chromatin conformation capture (3C) assays in ER^+ MCF7, BT474, and MDA-MB-361 and ER^- MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines and Bre[8](#page-8-0)0 normal breast cells (Table S5).⁸ 3C libraries were created by cross-linking the chromatin from cell lines; DNA was then digested with EcoRI, which flanks 12 contiguous fragments that cover the PRE, and the FGF10, MRPS30, and HCN1 promoters (Table S6); DNA was religated and decrosslinked; and qPCR with primers for the bait (gene promoters) and interactors (12 PRE fragments) was performed to detect the presence of ligation products, representing gene loops. BAC clones covering the regions of interest were used to normalize for PCR efficiency. These assays showed that the PRE containing SNP1 frequently interacted with the FGF10 and MRPS30 promoter regions in MCF7 and BT474 breast cancer cell lines, but only with MRPS30 in the MDA-MB-361, MDA-MB-231, and Bre80 cell lines. This latter result was expected because FGF10 is not expressed or expressed at very low levels in these cell lines (Figures 2C, [3](#page-6-0)A, S5, and S6). Notably, both genes share a bidirectional promoter with the lncRNAs FGF10-AS1 and BRCAT54, raising the

possibility that these transcripts are also targets of the PRE [\(Figure 3A](#page-6-0)). No additional interactions were detected between the PRE and other annotated genes within 1 Mb of the PRE, including HCN1 (Figure S5). To assess the potential impact of SNP1 on the identified chromatin interactions, allele-specific 3C was performed in heterozygous BT474 cell lines.⁸ However, the sequence profiles revealed that SNP1 had no significant effect on chromatin looping (Figure S7).

The regulatory capability of the PRE, combined with the effect of SNP1, was further examined in reporter assays. Promoter-driven luciferase reporter constructs were generated by the insertion of PCR-amplified fragments containing FGF10, FGF10-AS1, MRPS30, or BRCAT54 promoters into pGL3-Basic. 14 14 14 A 1,736-bp PRE fragment (containing either the common or minor allele of rs10941679) was then generated by PCR and cloned downstream of the modified pGL3-promoter constructs (Table S7). MCF7 and BT474 breast cancer cell lines plus Bre80 normal breast cells were transfected with the reporter plasmids and luciferase activity was measured 24 hr after transfection. To correct for any differences in transfection efficiency or

Figure 3. Distal Regulation of FGF10 and MRPS30 at the 5p12 Risk Region

(A) 3C interaction profiles between the FGF10/FGF10AS-1 or MRPS30/BRCAT54 bidirectional promoters and the putative regulatory element (PRE; gray bar) containing SNP rs10941679. Anchor points are set at the promoters. Graphs represent one of three independent experiments (see Figure S5B). Error bars denote SD.

(B) Luciferase reporter assays after transient transfection of ER^+ BT474 breast cancer cell lines. The PRE containing the major SNP allele was cloned downstream of target gene promoter-driven luciferase constructs (Ref PRE). The risk g-allele was engineered into the constructs and designated by the rs ID. Primers are listed in Table S7. Error bars denote 95% confidence intervals from three independent experiments. p values were determined by 2-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test (***p < 0.001). (C) EMSA for oligonucleotides containing SNP rs1094617 with the $A =$ common allele and $G =$ minor allele as indicated below the panel, assayed using BT474 nuclear extracts. Primers are listed in Table S8. Labels above each lane indicate inclusion of competitor oligonucleotides at 30- and 100-fold molar excess, respectively: (-) no competitor and control denotes a non-specific competitor. A red arrowhead shows a band of different mobility detected between the common and minor alleles.

cell lysate preparation, Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to Renilla. Notably, the ''Ref PRE'' acted as a transcriptional enhancer, leading to a 2- to 3-fold increase in FGF10, MRPS30, and BRCAT54 promoter activity, but had no effect on the FGF10-AS1 promoter in MCF7 and BT474 cells (Figures 3B and S8). The enhancer activity was also observed for the MRPS30 and BRCAT54 promoters in Bre80 cells (Figure S8). In all cell lines, inclusion of the SNP1 risk (g) allele had no significant effect on the PRE enhancer activity. Although this appears to rule out an effect of this SNP on transactivation, it is possible that SNP1 affects the recruitment of key proteins required for the epigenetic modification of the enhancer, which would not be observed in a reporter assay. Another possibility is that the SNP effect may be observed only under certain biological conditions such as growth factor stimulation.

To seek further evidence that SNP1 lies within an enhancer element, we performed electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) for both the protective (a) and risk (g) alleles.¹⁵ Nuclear lysates were prepared from ER^+ BT474, MCF7, and MDA-MB-361 or ER⁻ MDA-MB-231 and Hs578T cells using the NE-PER nuclear and cytoplasmic extraction reagents. Biotinylated oligonucleotide duplexes were prepared by combining sense and antisense oligonucleotides, heat annealing, and slow cooling. Duplex-bound complexes were transferred onto Zeta-Probe positively charged nylon membranes by semi-dry transfer then cross-linked onto the membranes. Membranes were processed with the LightShift Chemiluminescent EMSA kit as per the manufacturer's instructions, and signals were visualized with the C-DiGit blot scanner. For SNP1, we observed allele-specific binding by nuclear proteins only in the ER^+ BT474, MCF7, and MDA-MB-361 extracts (Figures 3C and S9). The protein-DNA complexes were shown to be specific, as demonstrated by increasing amounts of cold self-competitor (Figures 3C and S9 and Table S8).

Further EMSAs using competitor DNA or antibody supershifts against predicted transcription factors (TFs) suggested four proteins bound to the SNP site including FOXA1, FOXA2, CEBPB, and OCT1 (Figure S10 and Table S9). To confirm TF binding in vivo, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) in heterozygous BT474 cells as previously described (Table $$10$).^{[15](#page-8-0)} When compared to an IgG control antibody, we observed a moderate enrichment in FOXA1 and OCT1 binding to DNA overlapping SNP rs10941679, but no difference between alleles in this cell line (Figure S11). In addition, western blot analysis indicated that FOXA1 protein expression was restricted to the ER^+ breast cancer cell lines analyzed, whereas OCT1 was more widely expressed (Figure S12). FOXA1 is a pioneer factor and master regulator of ER activity due to its ability to open local chromatin and recruit ER to target gene promoters.^{[16](#page-8-0)} Notably, breast cancer-associated SNPs are enriched for FOXA1 binding[17](#page-8-0) and several studies have linked cooperative binding of FOXA1, ER, and OCT1 to increased gene transcription.^{[18,19](#page-8-0)} Consistent with our eQTL data, it is tempting to speculate that in specific $ER⁺$ cell subtypes and/or conditions, rs10941679 alters FOXA1 affinity and OCT1 recruitment leading to target gene activation.

In conclusion, we have provided evidence for at least three independent causal SNPs with effects on the risk of breast cancer at this locus. The minor g-allele of signal 1 SNP rs10941679 conferred a 15% increased risk of ER^+ breast cancer and higher expression levels of the MRPS30 and FGF10 genes and was the most strongly associated SNP with MRPS30 expression in this 1 Mb region. MRPS30—also called PDCD9 (Programmed Cell Death protein 9)—encodes a mitochondrial ribosomal protein involved in apoptosis.^{[20](#page-8-0)} Although the role of mitochondria in apoptosis remains unclear, it is well established that cytochrome c and other pro-apoptotic proteins are released during cell death initiation.^{[20](#page-8-0)} Clearly, further investigation of the function of this protein is now merited. By contrast, FGF10 is an extensively studied gene with compelling data suggesting its involvement in breast tumorigenesis. FGF10 is a member of the fibroblast growth factor (FGF) family and encodes a glycoprotein that specifically binds to FGFR2 (splice FGFR2IIIb) to control signaling pathways including cell differentiation, proliferation, and apoptosis. 21 21 21 Variants regulating FGFR2 (MIM: 176943) have the strongest association with ER^+ breast cancer susceptibility identified to date.^{[22](#page-8-0)} FGF10 is overexpressed in $~10\%$ of human breast cancers²³ and increased levels of FGF10 are highly correlated with proliferation rate of breast cancer cell lines and cancer cell invasion. $24,25$ It signals through multiple downstream pathways including MAPK and WNT and genes such as FGFR2, CCND1 (MIM: 168461), and TGFB1 (MIM: 190180), 21,24 21,24 21,24 all known to play key roles in breast cancer. Therapeutic targeting of FGFs and their receptors (FGFRs) is currently a major area of drug development research, and the identification of a subgroup of individuals diag-

nosed with breast cancer with alterations in these pathways may open new avenues for personalized medicine and pathway-targeted treatments.

Supplemental Data

Supplemental Data include Supplemental Acknowledgments, 12 figures, 10 tables, and consortia information and can be found with this article online at [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.07.017) [07.017.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.07.017)

Received: January 27, 2016 Accepted: July 16, 2016 Published: September 15, 2016

Web Resources

- 1000 Genomes, <http://www.1000genomes.org>
- Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE), [https://portals.](https://portals.broadinstitute.org/ccle/home) [broadinstitute.org/ccle/home](https://portals.broadinstitute.org/ccle/home)
- ENCODE, <https://www.encodeproject.org/>
- GEO, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>
- GTEx Portal, <http://www.gtexportal.org/home/>
- OMIM, <http://www.omim.org/>
- PreSTIGE, <http://genetics.case.edu/prestige/>
- The Cancer Genome Atlas, <http://cancergenome.nih.gov/>

References

- 1. [Stacey, S.N., Manolescu, A., Sulem, P., Rafnar, T., Gudmunds](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref1)[son, J., Gudjonsson, S.A., Masson, G., Jakobsdottir, M., Thorla](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref1)[cius, S., Helgason, A., et al. \(2007\). Common variants on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref1) [chromosomes 2q35 and 16q12 confer susceptibility to estro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref1)[gen receptor-positive breast cancer. Nat. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref1) 39, 865–869.
- 2. [Easton, D.F., Pooley, K.A., Dunning, A.M., Pharoah, P.D.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref2) [Thompson, D., Ballinger, D.G., Struewing, J.P., Morrison, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref2) [Field, H., Luben, R., et al.; SEARCH collaborators; kConFab;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref2) [AOCS Management Group \(2007\). Genome-wide association](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref2) [study identifies novel breast cancer susceptibility loci. Nature](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref2) 447[, 1087–1093.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref2)
- 3. [Hunter, D.J., Kraft, P., Jacobs, K.B., Cox, D.G., Yeager, M., Han](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref3)[kinson, S.E., Wacholder, S., Wang, Z., Welch, R., Hutchinson,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref3) [A., et al. \(2007\). A genome-wide association study identifies](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref3) [alleles in FGFR2 associated with risk of sporadic postmeno](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref3)[pausal breast cancer. Nat. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref3) 39, 870–874.
- 4. [Stacey, S.N., Manolescu, A., Sulem, P., Thorlacius, S., Gudjons](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref4)[son, S.A., Jonsson, G.F., Jakobsdottir, M., Bergthorsson, J.T.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref4) [Gudmundsson, J., Aben, K.K., et al. \(2008\). Common variants](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref4) [on chromosome 5p12 confer susceptibility to estrogen recep](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref4)[tor-positive breast cancer. Nat. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref4) 40, 703–706.
- 5. Milne, R.L., Goode, E.L., García-Closas, M., Couch, F.J., Severi, [G., Hein, R., Fredericksen, Z., Malats, N., Zamora, M.P., Arias](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref5) Pérez, J.I., et al.; GENICA Network; kConFab Investigators; [AOCS Group \(2011\). Confirmation of 5p12 as a susceptibility](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref5) [locus for progesterone-receptor-positive, lower grade breast](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref5) [cancer. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref5) 20, 2222–2231.
- 6. [Michailidou, K., Hall, P., Gonzalez-Neira, A., Ghoussaini, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref6) [Dennis, J., Milne, R.L., Schmidt, M.K., Chang-Claude, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref6) [Bojesen, S.E., Bolla, M.K., et al.; Breast and Ovarian Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref6) [Susceptibility Collaboration; Hereditary Breast and Ovarian](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref6) [Cancer Research Group Netherlands \(HEBON\); kConFab](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref6)

[Investigators; Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref6) [GENICA \(Gene Environment Interaction and Breast Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref6) [in Germany\) Network \(2013\). Large-scale genotyping iden](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref6)[tifies 41 new loci associated with breast cancer risk. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref6) Genet. 45[, 353–361, e1–e2](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref6).

- 7. [Quigley, D.A., Fiorito, E., Nord, S., Van Loo, P., Alnæs, G.G.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref7) [Fleischer, T., Tost, J., Moen Vollan, H.K., Tramm, T., Overgaard,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref7) [J., et al. \(2014\). The 5p12 breast cancer susceptibility locus af](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref7)[fects MRPS30 expression in estrogen-receptor positive tumors.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref7) [Mol. Oncol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref7) 8, 273–284.
- 8. [Ghoussaini, M., Edwards, S.L., Michailidou, K., Nord, S., Cow](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref8)[per-Sal Lari, R., Desai, K., Kar, S., Hillman, K.M., Kaufmann, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref8) [Glubb, D.M., et al.; Australian Ovarian Cancer Management](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref8) [Group; Australian Ovarian Cancer Management Group](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref8) [\(2014\). Evidence that breast cancer risk at the 2q35 locus is](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref8) [mediated through IGFBP5 regulation. Nat. Commun.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref8) 4, 4999.
- 9. [Li, Q., Seo, J.H., Stranger, B., McKenna, A., Pe'er, I., La](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref9)[framboise, T., Brown, M., Tyekucheva, S., and Freedman,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref9) [M.L. \(2013\). Integrative eQTL-based analyses reveal the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref9) [biology of breast cancer risk loci. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref9) 152, 633–641.
- 10. [Consortium, G.T.; GTEx Consortium \(2013\). The Genotype-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref10)[Tissue Expression \(GTEx\) project. Nat. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref10) 45, 580–585.
- 11. Birney, E., Stamatoyannopoulos, J.A., Dutta, A., Guigó, R., [Gingeras, T.R., Margulies, E.H., Weng, Z., Snyder, M., Dermit](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref11)[zakis, E.T., Thurman, R.E., et al.; ENCODE Project Con](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref11)[sortium; NISC Comparative Sequencing Program; Baylor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref11) [College of Medicine Human Genome Sequencing Center;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref11) [Washington University Genome Sequencing Center; Broad](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref11) [Institute; Children's Hospital Oakland Research Institute](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref11) [\(2007\). Identification and analysis of functional elements in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref11) [1% of the human genome by the ENCODE pilot project. Na](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref11)ture 447[, 799–816](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref11).
- 12. Hnisz, D., Abraham, B.J., Lee, T.I., Lau, A., Saint-André, V., [Sigova, A.A., Hoke, H.A., and Young, R.A. \(2013\). Super-en](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref12)[hancers in the control of cell identity and disease. Cell](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref12) 155, [934–947](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref12).
- 13. [Corradin, O., Saiakhova, A., Akhtar-Zaidi, B., Myeroff, L., Wil](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref13)[lis, J., Cowper-Sal lari, R., Lupien, M., Markowitz, S., and Sca](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref13)[cheri, P.C. \(2014\). Combinatorial effects of multiple enhancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref13) [variants in linkage disequilibrium dictate levels of gene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref13) [expression to confer susceptibility to common traits. Genome](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref13) Res. 24[, 1–13.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref13)
- 14. [Glubb, D.M., Maranian, M.J., Michailidou, K., Pooley, K.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref14) [Meyer, K.B., Kar, S., Carlebur, S., O'Reilly, M., Betts, J.A., Hill](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref14)[man, K.M., et al.; GENICA Network; kConFab Investigators;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref14) [Norwegian Breast Cancer Study \(2015\). Fine-scale mapping](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref14) [of the 5q11.2 breast cancer locus reveals at least three inde](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref14)[pendent risk variants regulating MAP3K1. Am. J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref14) 96[, 5–20](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref14).
- 15. [Dunning, A.M., Michailidou, K., Kuchenbaecker, K.B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref15) [Thompson, D., French, J.D., Beesley, J., Healey, C.S., Kar, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref15) [Pooley, K.A., Lopez-Knowles, E., et al.; EMBRACE; GEMO](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref15) [Study Collaborators; HEBON; kConFab Investigators \(2016\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref15) [Breast cancer risk variants at 6q25 display different phenotype](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref15) [associations and regulate ESR1, RMND1 and CCDC170. Nat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref15) Genet. 48[, 374–386.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref15)
- 16. [Hurtado, A., Holmes, K.A., Ross-Innes, C.S., Schmidt, D., and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref16) [Carroll, J.S. \(2011\). FOXA1 is a key determinant of estrogen re](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref16)[ceptor function and endocrine response. Nat. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref16) 43, 27–33.
- 17. [Cowper-Sal lari, R., Zhang, X., Wright, J.B., Bailey, S.D., Cole,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref17) [M.D., Eeckhoute, J., Moore, J.H., and Lupien, M. \(2012\). Breast](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref17) [cancer risk-associated SNPs modulate the affinity of chro](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref17)[matin for FOXA1 and alter gene expression. Nat. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref17) 44, [1191–1198](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref17).
- 18. [Meyer, K.B., Maia, A.T., O'Reilly, M., Teschendorff, A.E., Chin,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref18) [S.F., Caldas, C., and Ponder, B.A. \(2008\). Allele-specific up](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref18)[regulation of FGFR2 increases susceptibility to breast cancer.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref18) [PLoS Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref18) 6, e108.
- 19. [Belikov, S., Astrand, C., and Wrange, O. \(2009\). FoxA1 binding](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref19) [directs chromatin structure and the functional response of a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref19) [glucocorticoid receptor-regulated promoter. Mol. Cell. Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref19) 29[, 5413–5425.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref19)
- 20. [Cavdar Koc, E., Ranasinghe, A., Burkhart, W., Blackburn, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref20) [Koc, H., Moseley, A., and Spremulli, L.L. \(2001\). A new face](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref20) [on apoptosis: death-associated protein 3 and PDCD9 are mito](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref20)[chondrial ribosomal proteins. FEBS Lett.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref20) 492, 166–170.
- 21. [Turner, N., and Grose, R. \(2010\). Fibroblast growth factor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref21) [signalling: from development to cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref21) 10, [116–129](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref21).
- 22. [Meyer, K.B., O'Reilly, M., Michailidou, K., Carlebur, S., Ed](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref22)[wards, S.L., French, J.D., Prathalingham, R., Dennis, J., Bolla,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref22) [M.K., Wang, Q., et al.; GENICA Network; kConFab Investiga](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref22)[tors; Australian Ovarian Cancer Study Group \(2013\). Fine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref22)[scale mapping of the FGFR2 breast cancer risk locus: putative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref22) [functional variants differentially bind FOXA1 and E2F1. Am.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref22) [J. Hum. Genet.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref22) 93, 1046–1060.
- 23. [Theodorou, V., Boer, M., Weigelt, B., Jonkers, J., van der Valk,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref23) [M., and Hilkens, J. \(2004\). Fgf10 is an oncogene activated by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref23) [MMTV insertional mutagenesis in mouse mammary tumors](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref23) [and overexpressed in a subset of human breast carcinomas.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref23) Oncogene 23[, 6047–6055.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref23)
- 24. [Abolhassani, A., Riazi, G.H., Azizi, E., Amanpour, S., Muham](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref24)[madnejad, S., Haddadi, M., Zekri, A., and Shirkoohi, R. \(2014\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref24) [FGF10: type III epithelial mesenchymal transition and inva](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref24)[sion in breast cancer cell lines. J. Cancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref24) 5, 537–547.
- 25. [Chioni, A.M., and Grose, R. \(2009\). Negative regulation of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref25) [fibroblast growth factor 10 \(FGF-10\) by polyoma enhancer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref25) [activator 3 \(PEA3\). Eur. J. Cell Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0002-9297(16)30291-9/sref25) 88, 371–384.

The American Journal of Human Genetics, Volume 99

Supplemental Data

Evidence that the 5p12 Variant rs10941679 Confers

Susceptibility to Estrogen-Receptor-Positive

Breast Cancer through FGF10 and MRPS30 Regulation

Maya Ghoussaini, Juliet D. French, Kyriaki Michailidou, Silje Nord, Jonathan Beesley, Sander Canisus, Kristine M. Hillman, Susanne Kaufmann, Haran Sivakumaran, Mahdi Moradi Marjaneh, Jason S. Lee, Joe Dennis, Manjeet K. Bolla, Qin Wang, Ed Dicks, Roger L. Milne, John L. Hopper, Melissa C. Southey, Marjanka K. Schmidt, Annegien Broeks, Kenneth Muir, Artitaya Lophatananon, Peter A. Fasching, Matthias W. Beckmann, Olivia Fletcher, Nichola Johnson, Elinor J. Sawyer, Ian Tomlinson, Barbara Burwinkel, Frederik Marme, Pascal Guénel, Thérèse Truong, Stig E. Bojesen, Henrik Flyger, Javier Benitez, Anna González-Neira, M. Rosario Alonso, Guillermo Pita, Susan L. Neuhausen, Hoda Anton-Culver, Hermann Brenner, Volker Arndt, Alfons Meindl, Rita K. Schmutzler, Hiltrud Brauch, Ute Hamann, Daniel C. Tessier, Daniel Vincent, Heli Nevanlinna, Sofia Khan, Keitaro Matsuo, Hidemi Ito, Thilo Dörk, Natalia V. Bogdanova, Annika Lindblom, Sara Margolin, Arto Mannermaa, Veli-Matti Kosma, kConFab/AOCS Investigators, Anna H. Wu, David Van Den Berg, Diether Lambrechts, Giuseppe Floris, Jenny Chang-Claude, Anja Rudolph, Paolo Radice, Monica Barile, Fergus J. Couch, Emily Hallberg, Graham G. Giles, Christopher A. Haiman, Loic Le Marchand, Mark S. Goldberg, Soo H. Teo, Cheng Har Yip, Anne-Lise Borresen-Dale, NBCS Collaborators, Wei Zheng, Qiuyin Cai, Robert Winqvist, Katri Pylkäs, Irene L. Andrulis, Peter Devilee, Rob A.E.M. Tollenaar, Montserrat García-Closas, Jonine Figueroa, Per Hall, Kamila Czene, Judith S. Brand, Hatef Darabi, Mikael Eriksson, Maartje J. Hooning, Linetta B. Koppert, Jingmei Li, Xiao-Ou Shu, Ying Zheng, Angela Cox, Simon S. Cross, Mitul Shah, Valerie Rhenius, Ji-Yeob Choi, Daehee Kang, Mikael Hartman, Kee Seng Chia, Maria Kabisch, Diana Torres, Craig Luccarini, Don M. Conroy, Anna Jakubowska, Jan Lubinski, Sangrajrang, Paul Brennan, Curtis Olswold, Susan Slager, Chen-Yang Shen, Ming-Feng Hou, Anthony Swerdlow, Minouk J. Schoemaker, Jacques Simard, Paul D.P. Pharoah, Vessela Kristensen, Georgia Chenevix-Trench, Douglas F. Easton, Alison M. Dunning, and Stacey L. Edwards

SUPPLEMENTAL ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all the individuals who took part in these studies and all the researchers, clinicians, technicians and administrative staff who have enabled this work to be carried out. The procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and that proper informed consent was obtained. This study would not have been possible without the contributions of the following: Andrew Berchuck (OCAC), Rosalind A. Eeles, Ali Amin Al Olama, Zsofia Kote-Jarai, Sara Benlloch (PRACTICAL), Craig Luccarini and the staff of the Centre for Genetic Epidemiology Laboratory, the staff of the CNIO genotyping unit, Daniel C. Tessier, Francois Bacot, Daniel Vincent, Sylvie LaBoissière and Frederic Robidoux and the staff of the McGill University and Génome Québec Innovation Centre, Sune F. Nielsen, Borge G. Nordestgaard, and the staff of the Copenhagen DNA laboratory, and Julie M. Cunningham, Sharon A. Windebank, Christopher A. Hilker, Jeffrey Meyer and the staff of Mayo Clinic Genotyping Core Facility. Normal human tissues from the Susan G. Komen for the Cure® Tissue Bank at the IU Simon Cancer Center, Indianapolis were used in this study. We thank contributors, including Indiana University who collected samples used in this study, as well as donors and their families, whose help and participation made this work possible. Also NIHR Support to the Royal Marsden Biomedical Research Centre. Funding for the iCOGS infrastructure came from: the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement n° 223175 (HEALTH-F2- 2009-223175) (COGS), Cancer Research UK (C1287/A10118, C1287/A10710, C12292/A11174, C1281/A12014, C5047/A8384, C5047/A15007, C5047/A10692, C8197/A16565), the National Institutes of Health (CA128978) and Post-Cancer GWAS initiative (1U19 CA148537, 1U19 CA148065 and 1U19 CA148112 - the GAME-ON initiative), the Department of Defence (W81XWH-10-1-0341), the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) for the CIHR Team in Familial Risks of Breast Cancer, Komen Foundation for the Cure, the Breast Cancer Research Foundation, and the Ovarian Cancer Research Fund. The QIMR Berghofer group was supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia project grant (1058415). GCT is an NHMRC Senior Principal Research Fellow. SLE and JDF are supported by Fellowships from the National Breast Cancer Foundation (NBCF) Australia. SN is supported by a carrier grant from the Norwegian Regional Health authorities (Grant number 2014061). The funders have no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Supplementary Figure 1. Associations of SNP rs10941679 with expression of candidate target genes. (a) 183 normal breast samples from the GTEx database, **(b)** *FGF10* or **(c)** *MRPS30* in normal breast TCGA (n=93) and breast tumours TCGA (n=765). The *x*-axis of each plot corresponds to the three observed SNP genotypes and the *y*-axis represents log2-normalized gene expression values. *P*-values in the GTEx datasets are pre-corrected for multiple testing. *P*-values in the TCGA datasets are presented before and after correction for multiple testing using FDR as implemented in p.adjust function in R. Each box plot shows the median rank normalized gene expression (horizontal line), the first through third quartiles (box) and 1.5× the interquartile range (whiskers).

Supplementary Figure 2. Location of putative noncoding RNAs close to the top risk SNPs. The top risk SNPs from each signal are shown as small horizontal lines and labelled. Green boxes represent putative noncoding RNAs.

Supplementary Figure 3. Putative noncoding RNA expression measured by qPCR in untreated breast cell lines and normalised to *TBP*. Error bars denote SEM (n=3).

Active TSS Promoter Upstream TSS Promoter Downstream TSS with DNase Promoter Downstream TSS Franscription 5' Transcription Transcription 3' Weak transcription **Transcription Regulatory** Transcription 5' Enhancer **Transcription 3' Enhancer Transcription Weak Enhancer Active Enhancer 1** Active Enhancer 2 **Active Enhancer Flank** Weak Enhancer 1 Weak Enhancer 2 Enhancer Acetylation Only **DNase only** ZNF genes & repeats Heterochromatin Poised Promoter **Bivalent Promoter Repressed PolyComb**

Supplementary Figure 4. Genomic region (chr5:44276112-44866764) showing chromatin state annotations called by Roadmap Epigenomics Project Chromatin Hidden Markov Modelling (Imputed ChromHMM) relative to GENCODE V19 gene models. The 25 states of chromatin segmentation depicted as colors along the genomic axis are described in the key. The position of top risk SNPs for signals 1-3 are shown by the vertical black lines.

Supplementary Figure 5. **Chromatin interactions at 5p12 in breast cancer cell lines**. **(a)** Physical map of the region interrogated by 3C. 3C interaction profiles between the *FGF10/FGF10AS-1*, *MRPS30/BRCAT54* or *HCN1* promoters and the putative regulatory element (PRE, grey bars) containing SNP rs10941679 in (b) ER⁺/PR⁺ MCF7 and BT474, (c) ER⁺ /PR- MDA-MB-361 or ER- /PR- MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines and **(d)** ER- Bre80 normal breast cells. 3C libraries were generated with *Eco*RI*,* with the anchor points set at the promoters. Error bars denote SD.

Supplementary Figure 6. DNA sequencing to confirm chromatin interactions. Chromatogram of 3C products amplified between the **(a)** *FGF10* or **(b)** *MRPS30* promoters and the 5p12 putative regulatory element (PRE) containing SNP rs10941679.

Supplementary Figure 7. SNP rs10941679 does not influence chromatin looping between the PRE and *FGF10 or MRPS30* **promoters**. 3C followed by sequencing for the rs10941679-containing region in heterozygous BT474 ER+ breast cancer cells.

Supplementary Figure 8. Luciferase reporter assays in ER⁺ MCF7 and ER- Bre80 breast cell lines. The putative regulatory element containing the major SNP allele was cloned downstream of target gene promoter-driven luciferase constructs (Ref PRE). The minor SNP allele was engineered into the constructs and is designated by the rs ID. Error bars denote 95% confidence intervals (n=3). *P*-values were determined by 2-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. ***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.001.

Supplementary Figure 9. EMSAs for oligonucleotides containing SNP rs10941679. The A=common allele and G=minor allele as indicated below the panel, assayed using (a) ER⁺/PR⁻ MDA-MB-361, ER⁺/PR⁺ MCF7 and (b) ER⁻/PR⁻ MDA-MB-231 and Hs578T nuclear extracts. Primers are listed in **Table S8**. Labels above each lane indicate inclusion of competitor oligonucleotides at 100-fold molar excess, respectively: (-) no competitor and control denotes a non-specific competitor. Red arrowheads show bands of different mobility detected between the A and G alleles.

minor (G) allele

Supplementary Figure 10. Competition EMSAs for SNP rs10941679 to identify candidate nuclear proteins. Competitor oligonucleotides for predicted transcription factors (100-fold molar excess) were incubated with ER⁺ BT474 nuclear extracts (competitor sequences are listed in **Table S9**). Red arrowheads indicate bands that were competed for complex formation on either the **(a)** common or **(b)** minor alleles. **(c)** EMSA-supershift using the common DNA duplex and 2 µg of polyclonal antibody against FOXA1 (ab5089, abcam), FOXA2 (sc-20692, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), CEBPB (sc-150) or OCT1 (sc-232) with BT474 nuclear extracts. Rabbit IgG (sc-2027) was used as a negative control. The red arrowhead denotes supershifted complexes.

Supplementary Figure 11. FOXA1 and OCT1 binding *in vivo***.** ChIP-qPCR results against **(a)** FOXA1, **(b)** OCT1, **(c)** FOXA2 or **(d)** CEBPB in heterozygous BT474 breast cancer cells. Error bars denote SD (n=2). A region within the second intron of *ESR1* served as a negative (Neg) control. *P*-values were determined by two-tailed t-t test. ***P*<0.01, ****P*<0.001. **(e)** Sanger sequencing of the PCR fragment generated using primers flanking SNP rs10941679 following ChIP-qPCR. Primers are listed in **Table S10**.

Supplementary Figure 12. Western blot analysis of FOXA1 and OCT1 protein expression in breast cancer cell lines. Actin (Sigma A2066) served as a loading control.

Supplementary Table 1. Strongest Associated SNPs (n=461 *P*<10-4) with overall breast cancer risk from 41 European BCAC studies (n=104,660). * Type refers to either genotyped or imputed SNPs.

Supplementary Table 2. The three independent signals showing the lead SNP in each signal together with the correlated SNPs that could not be excluded from causality.

Supplementary Table 3. ER/PR status, genotype and copy number at 5p12 of breast cell lines used in functional assays.

^aEstrogen receptor-alpha, ^bProgesterone receptor, ^cCopy number status from array CGH data (segmentation of normalized log2 ratios) generated by the Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia (CCLE), Not det = Not determined.

Supplementary Table 4. Oligonucleotides used for qRTPCR of putative noncoding RNAs.

Supplementary Table 5. Cell lines used in the study.

¹ Cell lines used in experiments were within passages 15-30.
² Cell lines were routinely tested for mycoplasma and short tandem repeat (STR) profiled.

Supplementary Table 6. Oligonucleotides used in 3C assays.

Supplementary Table 7. Oligonucleotides used in cloning luciferase constructs.

Supplementary Table 8. Oligonucleotides used in EMSAs.

^a com: common allele, min: minor allele

^b BIO: 5' biotinylation (present on both the sense and antisense strands of the duplex)

Supplementary Table 9. EMSA competitor duplexes and their target DNA binding proteins.

Supplementary Table 10. Oligonucleotides used for ChIP-qPCR analyses.

CONSORTIA

For members of kConFab, see http://www.kconfab.org/Organisation/Members.aspx; for AOCS, see http://www.aocstudy.org/org_coll.asp.

Norwegian Breast Cancer Study (NBCS) members:

Kristine K.Sahlberg, Lars Ottestad, Rolf Kåresen, Anita Langerød, Ellen Schlichting, Marit Muri Holmen, Toril Sauer, Vilde Haakensen, Olav Engebråten, Bjørn Naume, Cecile E. Kiserud, Kristin V. Reinertsen, Åslaug Helland, Margit Riis, Ida Bukholm, Per Eystein Lønning, Jürgen Geisler, Grethe I. Grenaker Alnæs, OSBREAC

BCAC INVESTIGATING GROUPS:

The Australian Breast Cancer Family Study (ABCFS) would like to thank Maggie Angelakos, Judi Maskiell, Gillian Dite. ABCFS was supported by grant UM1 CA164920 from the National Cancer Institute (USA). The content of this manuscript does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the National Cancer Institute or any of the collaborating centers in the Breast Cancer Family Registry (BCFR), nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the USA Government or the BCFR. The ABCFS was also supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia, the New South Wales Cancer Council, the Victorian Health Promotion Foundation (Australia) and the Victorian Breast Cancer Research Consortium. J.L.H. is a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Australia Fellow and a Victorian Breast Cancer Research Consortium Group Leader. M.C.S. is a NHMRC Senior Research Fellow and a Victorian Breast Cancer Research Consortium Group Leader. The ABCS study was supported by the Dutch Cancer Society [grants NKI 2007-3839; 2009 4363]; BBMRI-NL, which is a Research Infrastructure financed by the Dutch government (NWO 184.021.007); and the Dutch National Genomics Initiative. The ACP study wishes to thank the participants in the Thai Breast Cancer study. Special Thanks also go to the Thai Ministry of Public Health (MOPH), doctors and nurses who helped with the data collection process. Finally, the study would like to thank Dr Prat Boonyawongviroj, the former Permanent Secretary of MOPH and Dr Pornthep Siriwanarungsan, the Department Director-General of Disease Control who have supported the study throughout. The ACP study is funded by the Breast Cancer Research Trust, UK. The BBCC study would like to thank Matthias Rübner, Alexander Hein, Michael Schneider. The work of the BBCC was partly funded by ELAN-Fond of the University Hospital of Erlangen. The BBCS would like to thank Eileen Williams, Elaine Ryder-Mills, Kara Sargus. The BBCS is funded by Cancer Research UK and Breast Cancer Now and acknowledges NHS funding to the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, and the National Cancer Research Network (NCRN). The BIGGS would like to thank Niall McInerney, Gabrielle Colleran, Andrew Rowan, Angela Jones. ES is supported by NIHR Comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre, Guy's & St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust in partnership with King's College London, United Kingdom. I.T. is supported by the Oxford Biomedical Research Centre and by core funding to the Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics from the Wellcome Trust (090532/Z/09/Z). The BSUCH would like to thank Peter Bugert, Medical Faculty Mannheim. The BSUCH study was supported by the

Dietmar-Hopp Foundation, the Helmholtz Society and the German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ). The CECILE study was funded by Fondation de France, Institut National du Cancer (INCa), Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer, Ligue contre le Cancer Grand Ouest, Agence Nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire (ANSES), Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR). The CGPS study would like to thank Staff and participants of the Copenhagen General Population Study. It would also like to thank Dorthe Uldall Andersen, Maria Birna Arnadottir, Anne Bank and Dorthe Kjeldgård Hansen for the excellent technical assistance: The Danish Breast Cancer Group (DBCG) is acknowledged for the tumor information. The Danish Cancer Biobank is acknowledged for providing infrastructure for the collection of blood samples for the cases. The CGPS was supported by the Chief Physician Johan Boserup and Lise Boserup Fund, the Danish Medical Research Council and Herlev Hospital. The CNIO-BCS would like to thank Guillermo Pita, Charo Alonso, Daniel Herrero, Nuria Álvarez, Pilar Zamora, Primitiva Menendez, the Human Genotyping-CEGEN Unit (CNIO). The CNIO-BCS was supported by the Genome Spain Foundation, the Red Temática de Investigación Cooperativa en Cáncer and grants from the Asociación Española Contra el Cáncer and the Fondo de Investigación Sanitario (PI11/00923 and PI081120). The Human Genotyping-CEGEN Unit (CNIO) is supported by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III. The CTS study would like to thank the CTS steering committee: Leslie Bernstein, Susan Neuhausen, James Lacey, Sophia Wang, Huiyan Ma, Yani Lu, and Jessica Clague DeHart at the Beckman Research Institute of the City of Hope, Dennis Deapen, Rich Pinder, Eunjung Lee, and Fred Schumacher at the University of Southern California, Pam Horn-Ross, Peggy Reynolds, Christina Clarke and David Nelson at the Cancer Prevention Institute of California, and Hoda Anton-Culver, Hannah Park, and Al Ziogas at the University of California Irvine. The CTS was initially supported by the California Breast Cancer Act of 1993 and the California Breast Cancer Research Fund (contract 97-10500) and is currently funded through the National Institutes of Health (R01 CA77398). Collection of cancer incidence data was supported by the California Department of Public Health as part of the statewide cancer reporting program mandated by California Health and Safety Code Section 103885. HAC receives support from the Lon V Smith Foundation (LVS39420). The ESTHER study would like to thank Hartwig Ziegler, Sonja Wolf, Volker Hermann. The ESTHER study was supported by a grant from the Baden Württemberg Ministry of Science, Research and Arts. Additional cases were recruited in the context of the VERDI study, which was supported by a grant from the German Cancer Aid (Deutsche Krebshilfe). The GENICA Network would like to thank Dr. Margarete Fischer-Bosch-Institute of Clinical Pharmacology, Stuttgart, and University of Tübingen, Germany; [HB, Wing-Yee Lo, Christina Justenhoven], Department of Internal Medicine, Evangelische Kliniken Bonn gGmbH, Johanniter Krankenhaus, Bonn, Germany [Yon-Dschun Ko, Christian Baisch], Institute of Pathology, University of Bonn, Germany [Hans-Peter Fischer], Molecular Genetics of Breast Cancer, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany [UH], Institute for Prevention and Occupational Medicine of the German Social Accident Insurance, Institute of the Ruhr University Bochum (IPA), Bochum, Germany [Thomas Brüning, Beate Pesch, Sylvia Rabstein, Anne Lotz]; and Institute of Occupational Medicine and Maritime Medicine, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany [Volker Harth]. The GENICA was funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) Germany grants 01KW9975/5, 01KW9976/8, 01KW9977/0 and 01KW0114, the Robert Bosch Foundation, Stuttgart, Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum

(DKFZ), Heidelberg, the Institute for Prevention and Occupational Medicine of the German Social Accident Insurance, Institute of the Ruhr University Bochum (IPA), Bochum, as well as the Department of Internal Medicine, Evangelische Kliniken Bonn gGmbH, Johanniter Krankenhaus, Bonn, Germany. The HEBCS would like to thank Carl Blomqvist, Kristiina Aittomäki, Taru A. Muranen and Irja Erkkilä. The HEBCS was financially supported by the Helsinki University Central Hospital Research Fund, Academy of Finland (266528), the Finnish Cancer Society, The Nordic Cancer Union and the Sigrid Juselius Foundation. The HERPACC was supported by MEXT Kakenhi (No. 170150181 and 26253041) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, Culture and Technology of Japan, by a Grant-in-Aid for the Third Term Comprehensive 10-Year Strategy for Cancer Control from Ministry Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan, by Health and Labour Sciences Research Grants for Research on Applying Health Technology from Ministry Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan, by National Cancer Center Research and Development Fund, and "Practical Research for Innovative Cancer Control (15ck0106177h0001)" from Japan Agency for Medical Research and development, AMED, and Cancer Bio Bank Aichi. The HMBCS would like to thank Natalia Antonenkova, Peter Hillemanns, Hans Christiansen and Johann H. Karstens. The HMBCS was supported by a grant from the Friends of Hannover Medical School and by the Rudolf Bartling Foundation. Financial support for KARBAC was provided through the regional agreement on medical training and clinical research (ALF) between Stockholm County Council and Karolinska Institutet, the Swedish Cancer Society, The Gustav V Jubilee foundation and Bert von Kantzows foundation. The KBCP would like to thank Eija Myöhänen, Helena Kemiläinen. The KBCP was financially supported by the special Government Funding (EVO) of Kuopio University Hospital grants, Cancer Fund of North Savo, the Finnish Cancer Organizations, the Academy of Finland and by the strategic funding of the University of Eastern Finland. The kConFab/AOCS study would like to thank Heather Thorne, Eveline Niedermayr, all the kConFab research nurses and staff, the heads and staff of the Family Cancer Clinics, and the Clinical Follow Up Study (which has received funding from the NHMRC, the National Breast Cancer Foundation, Cancer Australia, and the National Institute of Health (USA)) for their contributions to this resource, and the many families who contribute to kConFab. kConFab is supported by a grant from the National Breast Cancer Foundation, and previously by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC), the Queensland Cancer Fund, the Cancer Councils of New South Wales, Victoria, Tasmania and South Australia, and the Cancer Foundation of Western Australia. The LAABC study would like to thank all the study participants and the entire data collection team, especially Annie Fung and June Yashiki. LAABC is supported by grants (1RB-0287, 3PB-0102, 5PB-0018, 10PB-0098) from the California Breast Cancer Research Program. Incident breast cancer cases were collected by the USC Cancer Surveillance Program (CSP), which is supported under subcontract by the California Department of Health. The CSP is also part of the National Cancer Institute's Division of Cancer Prevention and Control Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program, under contract number N01CN25403. The LMBC would like to thank Gilian Peuteman, Dominiek Smeets, Thomas Van Brussel and Kathleen Corthouts. LMBC is supported by the 'Stichting tegen Kanker' (232-2008 and 196-2010). Diether Lambrechts is supported by the FWO and the KULPFV/10/016-SymBioSysII. The MARIE study would like to thank Petra Seibold, Dieter Flesch-Janys, Judith Heinz, Nadia Obi, Alina Vrieling, Sabine Behrens, Ursula Eilber, Muhabbet Celik, Til Olchers, Stefan

Nickels. The MARIE study was supported by the Deutsche Krebshilfe e.V. [70-2892-BR I], the Hamburg Cancer Society, the German Cancer Research Center and the genotype work in part by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) Germany [01KH0402]. The MBCSG (Milan Breast Cancer Study Group) would like to thank Paolo Peterlongo of the Fondazione FIRC Institute of Molecular Oncology (IFOM); Siranoush Manoukian, Bernard Peissel, Daniela Zaffaroni and Jacopo Azzollini of the Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori (INT); Bernado Bonanni and Irene Feroce of the Istituto Europeo di Oncologia (IEO); and the personnel of the Cogentech Cancer Genetic Test Laboratory. MBCSG is supported by grants from the Italian Association for Cancer Research (AIRC) and by funds from the Italian citizens who allocated the 5/1000 share of their tax payment in support of the Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale Tumori, according to Italian laws (INT-Institutional strategic projects "5x1000"). The MCBCS was supported by the NIH grants CA192393, CA116167, CA176785 an NIH Specialized Program of Research Excellence (SPORE) in Breast Cancer [CA116201], and the Breast Cancer Research Foundation and a generous gift from the David F. and Margaret T. Grohne Family Foundation. MCCS cohort recruitment was funded by VicHealth and Cancer Council Victoria. The MCCS was further supported by Australian NHMRC grants 209057, 251553 and 504711 and by infrastructure provided by Cancer Council Victoria. Cases and their vital status were ascertained through the Victorian Cancer Registry (VCR) and the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW), including the National Death Index and the Australian Cancer Database. The MEC was supported by NIH grants CA63464, CA54281, CA098758 and CA132839. The MTLGEBCS would like to thank Martine Tranchant (Cancer Genomics Laboratory, CHU de Québec Research Center), Marie-France Valois, Annie Turgeon and Lea Heguy (McGill University Health Center, Royal Victoria Hospital; McGill University) for DNA extraction, sample management and skillful technical assistance. J.S. is Chairholder of the Canada Research Chair in Oncogenetics. The work of MTLGEBCS was supported by the Quebec Breast Cancer Foundation, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research for the "CIHR Team in Familial Risks of Breast Cancer" program – grant # CRN- 87521 and the Ministry of Economic Development, Innovation and Export Trade – grant # PSR-SIIRI-701. The MYBRCA study would like to thank Phuah Sze Yee, Peter Kang, Kang In Nee, Kavitta Sivanandan, Shivaani Mariapun, Yoon Sook-Yee, Teh Yew Ching and Nur Aishah Mohd Taib for DNA Extraction and patient recruitment. MYBRCA is funded by research grants from the Malaysian Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI), Malaysian Ministry of Higher Education (UM.C/HlR/MOHE/06) and Cancer Research Initiatives Foundation (CARIF). Additional controls were recruited by the Singapore Eye Research Institute, which was supported by a grant from the Biomedical Research Council (BMRC08/1/35/19/550), Singapore and the National medical Research Council, Singapore (NMRC/CG/SERI/2010). The NBCS has received funding from the K.G. Jebsen Centre for Breast Cancer Research; the Research Council of Norway grant 193387/V50 (to A-L Børresen-Dale and V.N. Kristensen) and grant 193387/H10 (to A-L Børresen-Dale and V.N. Kristensen), South Eastern Norway Health Authority (grant 39346 to A-L Børresen-Dale) and the Norwegian Cancer Society (to A-L Børresen-Dale and V.N. Kristensen). The NBCS Collaborators are: Dr. Kristine K. Sahlberg, PhD (Department of Research, Vestre Viken Hospital, Drammen, Norway and Department of Cancer Genetics, Institute for Cancer Research, Oslo University Hospital-Radiumhospitalet, Oslo, Norway), Dr. Lars Ottestad, MD (Department of

Cancer Genetics, Institute for Cancer Research, Oslo University Hospital-Radiumhospitalet, Oslo, Norway), Prof. Em. Rolf Kåresen, MD (Institute of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway and Department of Breast- and Endocrine Surgery, Division of Surgery, Cancer and Transplantation, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway), Dr. Anita Langerød, PhD (Department of Cancer Genetics, Institute for Cancer Research, Oslo University Hospital-Radiumhospitalet, Oslo, Norway), Dr. Ellen Schlichting, MD (Section for Breast- and Endocrine Surgery, Department of Cancer, Division of Surgery, Cancer and Transplantation Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway), Dr. Marit Muri Holmen, MD (Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway), Prof. Toril Sauer, MD (Department of Pathology at Akershus University hospital, Lørenskog, Norway and Institute of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway), Dr. Vilde Haakensen, MD (Department of Cancer Genetics, Institute for Cancer Research, Oslo University Hospital-Radiumhospitalet, Oslo, Norway), Dr. Olav Engebråten, MD (Department of Tumor Biology, Institute for Cancer Research, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, Department of Oncology, Division of Surgery and Cancer and Transplantation Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway and Institute for Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway), Prof. Bjørn Naume, MD (Department of Oncology, Division of Surgery and Cancer and Transplantation Medicine, Oslo University Hospital-Radiumhospitalet, Oslo, Norway), Dr. Cecile E. Kiserud, MD (National Advisory Unit on Late Effects after Cancer Treatment, Department of Oncology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway and Department of Oncology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway), Dr. Kristin V. Reinertsen, MD (National Advisory Unit on Late Effects after Cancer Treatment, Department of Oncology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway and Department of Oncology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway), Assoc. Prof. Åslaug Helland, MD (Department of Cancer Genetics, Institute for Cancer Research and Department of Oncology, Oslo University Hospital-Radiumhospitalet, Oslo, Norway), Dr. Margit Riis, MD (Dept of Breast- and Endocrine Surgery, Oslo University Hospital, Ullevål, Oslo, Norway), Dr. Ida Bukholm, MD (Department of Breast-Endocrine Surgery, Akershus University Hospital, Oslo, Norway and Department of Oncology, Division of Cancer Medicine, Surgery and Transplantation, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway), Prof. Per Eystein Lønning, MD (Section of Oncology, Institute of Medicine, University of Bergen and Department of Oncology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway), OSBREAC (Oslo Breast Cancer Research Consortium; Oslo University Hospital) and Grethe I. Grenaker Alnæs, M.Sc. (Department of Cancer Genetics, Institute for Cancer Research, Oslo University Hospital-Radiumhospitalet, Oslo, Norway). The NBHS TN study would like to thank participants and research staff for their contributions and commitment to this study. The NBHS was supported by NIH grant R01CA100374. Biological sample preparation was conducted the Survey and Biospecimen Shared Resource, which is supported by P30 CA68485. The OBCS study would like to thank Meeri Otsukka, Kari Mononen, Mervi Grip, Saila Kauppila. The OBCS was supported by research grants from the Finnish Cancer Foundation, the Academy of Finland (grant number 250083, 122715 and Center of Excellence grant number 251314), the Finnish Cancer Foundation, the Sigrid Juselius Foundation, the University of Oulu, the University of Oulu Support Foundation and the special Governmental EVO funds for Oulu University Hospital -based research activities. The OFBCR study would like to thank Teresa Selander, Nayana Weerasooriya. The Ontario Familial Breast Cancer Registry

(OFBCR) was supported by grant UM1 CA164920 from the National Cancer Institute (USA). The content of this manuscript does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the National Cancer Institute or any of the collaborating centers in the Breast Cancer Family Registry (BCFR), nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the USA Government or the BCFR. The ORIGO study would like to thank E. Krol-Warmerdam, and J. Blom for patient accrual, administering questionnaires, and managing clinical information. The LUMC survival data were retrieved from the Leiden hospital-based cancer registry system (ONCDOC) with the help of Dr. J. Molenaar. The ORIGO study was supported by the Dutch Cancer Society (RUL 1997-1505) and the Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources Research Infrastructure (BBMRI-NL CP16). The PBCS study would like to thank Louise Brinton, Mark Sherman, Neonila Szeszenia-Dabrowska, Beata Peplonska, Witold Zatonski, Pei Chao, Michael Stagner. The PBCS was funded by Intramural Research Funds of the National Cancer Institute, Department of Health and Human Services, USA. The pKARMA study would like to thank The Swedish Medical Research Counsel. The pKARMA study was supported by Märit and Hans Rausings Initiative Against Breast Cancer. The RBCS study would like to thank Petra Bos, Jannet Blom, Ellen Crepin, Elisabeth Huijskens, Annette Heemskerk, the Erasmus MC Family Cancer Clinic. The RBCS was funded by the Dutch Cancer Society (DDHK 2004-3124, DDHK 2009- 4318). The SASBAC would like to thank The Swedish Medical Research Counsel. The SASBAC study was supported by funding from the Agency for Science, Technology and Research of Singapore (A*STAR), the US National Institute of Health (NIH) and the Susan G. Komen Breast Cancer Foundation. The SBCGS would like to thank participants and research staff for their contributions and commitment to this study. The SBCGS was supported primarily by NIH grants R01CA64277, R01CA148667, and R37CA70867. Biological sample preparation was conducted the Survey and Biospecimen Shared Resource, which is supported by P30 CA68485. The scientific development and funding of this project were, in part, supported by the Genetic Associations and Mechanisms in Oncology (GAME-ON) Network U19 CA148065. The SBCS would like to thank Sue Higham, Helen Cramp, Sabapathy Balasubramanian, Ian Borck and Dan Connley. The SBCS was supported by Yorkshire Cancer Research S295, S299, S305PA. The SEARCH study would like to thank The SEARCH and EPIC teams. SEARCH is funded by a programme grant from Cancer Research UK [C490/A10124] and supported by the UK National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre at the University of Cambridge. SEBCS was supported by the BRL (Basic Research Laboratory) program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2012-0000347). The SGBCC study would like to thank the participants and research coordinator Kimberley Chua. SGBCC is funded by the NUS start-up Grant, National University Cancer Institute Singapore (NCIS) Centre Grant and the NMRC Clinician Scientist Award. Additional controls were recruited by the Singapore Consortium of Cohort Studies-Multi-ethnic cohort (SCCS-MEC), which was funded by the Biomedical Research Council, grant number: 05/1/21/19/425. The SKKDKFZS study thanks all study participants, clinicians, family doctors, researchers and technicians for their contributions and commitment to this study. SKKDKFZS is supported by the DKFZ. The SZBCS was supported by Grant PBZ_KBN_122/P05/2004. The TBCS was funded by The National Cancer Institute Thailand. The TNBCC was supported by: a Specialized Program of Research Excellence (SPORE) in Breast Cancer (CA116201), a

grant from the Breast Cancer Research Foundation, a generous gift from the David F. and Margaret T. Grohne Family Foundation and the Ting Tsung and Wei Fong Chao Foundation, the Stefanie Spielman Breast Cancer fund and the OSU Comprehensive Cancer Center, DBBR (a CCSG Share Resource by National Institutes of Health Grant P30 CA016056), the Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group research grant (HR R_BG/04) and the Greek General Secretary for Research and Technology (GSRT) Program, Research Excellence II, the European Union (European Social Fund – ESF), and Greek national funds through the Operational Program "Education and Lifelong Learning" of the National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) - ARISTEIA. The TWBCS is supported by the Taiwan Biobank project of the Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taiwan. The UKBGS study would like to thank Breast Cancer Now and the Institute of Cancer Research (ICR) for support and funding of the Breakthrough Generations Study, and the study participants, study staff, and the doctors, nurses and other health care providers and health information sources who have contributed to the study. We acknowledge NHS funding to the Royal Marsden/ICR NIHR Biomedical Research Centre. The UKBGS is funded by Breast Cancer Now and the Institute of Cancer Research (ICR), London. ICR acknowledges NHS funding to the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre.