# Redox enzyme-mimicking activities of CeO<sup>2</sup> nanostructures: Intrinsic influence of exposed facets

Yushi Yang<sup>1</sup>, Zhou Mao<sup>1</sup>, Wenjie Huang<sup>1</sup>, Lihua Liu<sup>2</sup>, Junli Li<sup>2</sup>, Jialiang Li<sup>3</sup> & Qingzhi Wu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Advanced Technology for Materials Synthesis and Processing, and Biomedical Material and Engineering Center, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430070, China

<sup>2</sup>School of Chemical Engineering and Life Science, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430070, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Q.W. (email: wuqzh@whut.edu.cn.)

<sup>3</sup>School of Chemical Engineering, Shangdong University of Technology, Zibo 255000, China Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Q.Z.W. (email: wuqzh@whut.edu.cn)

#### **Michaelise-Menten constant calculations**

For the peroxidase mimetic reaction, the Michaelis-Menten kinetic equation was selected to describe the relation between the initial velocities  $(V<sub>init</sub>)$  and their relative substrate concentrations. The concentration of the product was calculated using equation:

$$
c = \frac{A}{\varepsilon \cdot \ell}
$$

Where, *c* is the concentration of TMB<sub>ox</sub>, *A* is the absorbance measured by the spectrometer,  $\varepsilon$  is the extinction coefficient, and  $\ell$  is the length of the light path. In our experiments,  $\ell = 1$  cm and  $\varepsilon$  $= 3.9 \times 10^{-4}$  M<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup> for TMB<sub>ox</sub> at 652 nm<sup>1</sup>. The initial velocity (*V<sub>init</sub>*) was calculated using equation:

$$
V_{init} = \frac{\Delta c}{\Delta t}
$$

Where,  $V_{init}$  is the initial reaction velocity,  $c$  is the concentration of TMB<sub>ox</sub>, and  $t$  is the reaction time. The kinetic parameters,  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$ , was determined by fitting  $V_{init}$  against substrate concentrations according to the Michaelis-Menten equation. The data points were directly fitted with the equation using Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm.

## **Annealing of CeO<sup>2</sup> nanorods and their peroxidase mimetic activities**

Fig. S1a and b show the TEM and HRTEM of  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  nanorods with  $\{110\}$  facets after annealing. It is clear that annealing treatment did not change the morphology and exposed facets of the  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$ nanorods. Fig. S1c shows the Williamson-Hall plots of CeO<sub>2</sub> nanorods before and after annealing. The fitted line of  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  nanorods after annealing displayed a zero slope, suggesting that the microstrain existed in the CeO<sub>2</sub> nanorods disappeared after annealing.



**Figure S1. a) TEM image shows uniform CeO<sup>2</sup> nanorods; b) HRTEM image shows the exposed {110} facets. The proposed 3D models were outlined in the image; c) Williamson-Hall plot of CeO<sup>2</sup> nanorods before and after annealing.** The slope of the line indicates the microstrain (a larger slope represents a larger microstrain), and the intercept indicates the crystallite size (a large intercept means a smaller size).

Fig. S2 shows the peroxidase mimetic activity of  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  nanorods with  ${110}$  facets before and after annealing. It is obvious that annealing did not increase the enzyme activity of  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  nanorods, suggesting that the peroxidase mimetic activity of  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  nanorods was independent on the microstrain.



**Figure S2. Peroxidase mimetic activity of CeO<sup>2</sup> nanorods before and after annealing.** The changes in absorbance at 652 nm represents the conversion from TMB to oxidized TMB (TMB<sub>ox</sub>).

## **SOD mimetic activities of the CeO<sup>2</sup> nanostructures**

Fig. S3 shows the SOD mimetic activity of  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  nanostructures. Compared with the peroxidaselike activities,  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  nanorods with exposed {110} facets exhibited higher SOD mimetic activity than that of  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  nanocubes with exposed {100} facets. The SOD activity of  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  nanorods was 57.1 U/mg, which was 4 times higher than that of  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  nanocubes. The SOD mimetic activity of CeO<sup>2</sup> nanorods was slightly decreased after annealing, but it was still significantly higher than that of  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$  nanocubes. These results indicate that the difference in SOD mimetic activity of  $CeO<sub>2</sub>$ nanostructures also originated from the exposed facets, instead of the microstrain.



**Figure S3. SOD mimetic activity of CeO<sup>2</sup> nanocubes and CeO<sup>2</sup> nanorods (before and after annealing).**

#### **Reference**

(1) Josephy, P. D., Eling, T. & Mason, R. P. The Horseradish Peroxidase-Catalyzed Oxidation of 3, 5, 3', 5'-Tetramethylbenzidine. *J. Biol. Chem.* **257**, 3669-3675 (1982).