

Supplementary Table S1. Genotyping methods applied in each proband

Case No.	Sample ID	Sequence analysis of all exons										LCA APEX	ABCA4 APEX	USH APEX	CEP290 intronic mutation	Nijmegen screening	
		AIPL1	CEP290	CRB1	CRX	GUCY2D	LRAT	RDH12	RPE65	RPGRIP1	TULP1						
1	063070	R			R	R(-)/N			R								N
2	063071			N/R													N
3	063072	R				R	R		R								N
4	063073	R	N	R	R	R	R		R		R						N
5	063074	R	N	R	R	R	R		R		R						N
6	063075	R		R	R	R	R		R		R						N
7	063076				R	R						R; 2006					N
8	063077	R		R	R	R	R		R	R							N
9	063078	R			R	R	R		R								N
10	063079																N
11	063080	R		R	R	R	R		R				R				N
12	063081								R	R							N
12	063082											R; 2009					N
13	063083	R			R	R(-)/N	R		R	R		R; 2006					N
14	063084								N			R; 2011					N
15	063086	R		R	R	R	R		R	R		R; 2006			R		N
16	063087																N
17	063088		N		R	R	R					R; 2006					N
18	063089			R	R	R	R		R			R; 2006			R		N
19	063090	R		R	R	R			R			R; 2006					N
20	063091	R		N	R	R	R		R								N
21	063092	R			R	R	R		R(-)/N			R; 2012					N
22	063093	R		R	R	R			R		R						N
23	063094	R	N	R	R	R	R		R		R						N

24	063095	R		R	R	R	R		R	R						N
25	063096	R				R	R		R		R					N
26	063097					N						R; 2006				N
27	063098		N									R; 2006				N
28	063099	R/N		R	R	R	R		R	R					R	N
29	063101				R	R	R		R/N			R; 2006				N
30	063103	R	N			R			R							N
31	063104	R			R	R			R/N		R					N
32	063105											R; 2009				N
33	063106								N			R; 2012				N
34	063107											R; 2012				N
35	063108											R; 2012				N
36	063109															N
37	063111															N
38	063112								N							N
39	063113															N
40	063114															N
41	063115															N
42	063116								N							N
43	063117	N														N
44	063118															N
45	063119			N												N
46	063120								N			R; 2012				N
47	063121															N
48	063122															N
49	063123					N										N
50	063124											R; 2011		R		N
51	063126								N			R; 2008				N
52	063127								N							N

53	063128																	N
54	063129											R; 2010						N
55	063130								N			R; 2011						N
56	063131											R; 2010						N
57	063132											R; 2010						N
58	063133					N						R; 2011						N
59	063134	N																N
60	063135																	N
61	063136																	N
62	064259											R; 2007						
63	064260	R			R	R	R		R									
64	064261	R		R	R	R	R		R	R								
65	064262											R; 2010						
66	064263	R		R	R	R	R		R	R								
67	064264											R; 2009					R	
68	064265					R						R; 2009						
69	064266											R; 2009						
70	064267	R		R	R	R	R	R	R				R					
71	064268	R			R	R	R			R		R; 2006						
72	064287					R			R									
73	064269	R				R	R		R		R							
74	064270				R	R			R									
75	064271	R				R			R									
75	064272	R				R			R									
76	064273	R				R	R		R									
77	064275								R									
77	064276								R									
78	064277	R							R	R								
79	064278	R		R	R	R	R		R			R; 2006						

80	064279	R				R	R		R				R			
81	064281	R		R	R	R	R		R	R		R; 2006				
82	064282											R; 2012				
83	064283											R; 2012				
84	064284	R			R	R	R		R			R; 2012				
85	064285	R		R	R		R		R			R; 2006				
86	064286								R	R		R; 2010				

R: Genotyping analysis performed in Regensburg, Germany (former affiliation of MNP and BL)

N: Genotyping screening performed in Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Supplementary Table S2. Primers used for mutation hotspot screening and second allele identification

<i>Gene</i>	Exon	Forward primer (5'-3')	Reverse primer (5'-3')
<i>AIPL1</i>	Exon 1	TCTCAGCCGCCTAAGTGTCTTCC	TCCCTTTCTTCTCACTCAACATTTAGG
	Exon 2	CGGGCCTTGAACAGTGTGTCTAG	CGCACCAGAACTCGGCCAC
	Exon 3	GCCTGGCACACAGTTAACCACAG	GTCCCTCTCCAGTGTGTCAC
	Exon 4-5	AGGGAGATGTGCCACAGGGTC	TGGCAGGTGTCTCCGTGGC
	Exon 6	TGCTCTGAGGCTGGGAAGG	CACGATCCTGGTCAATCGAACC
<i>CEP290</i>	Exon 1	AGGCCTTACCTCCTTCT	GCCCTCAGGCTAAAGAACCT
	Exon 2-3	AAAATGTAAGGTGCTAGAAAACCAA	CCAGGTATTTTACAAAAGATTACCTTA
	Exon 4	TTGAGGACTTGAGGTA AAAAGGA	CCATAATAAACTTTTTCCAAGGTG
	Exon 5	GGATCTGCCATATAATACTTTTTGTT	CCCTTTCGTCACTATTCTCA
	Exon 6	TGCTTGTGTTGACTCATTTGAA	CCAATAATAATAAAAAGCCAGGTAA
	Exon 7	CATTTTCTACTTTGTTAGAGAGGATTT	GAAGACTCCAGTCCTGGTTAAAA
	Exon 8-9	AATATGCATCATTTTCCCAA	AGGAACCATTGCTCTGAATTG
	Exon 10	GGACACTTATGGCTGCGTTT	AAGACAGACAAAAATTCACATCC
	Exon 11	TTCCAGGATGACTTCAATGAT	CCCTAATAAACGTGTTATAAACAG
	Exon 12	TGCCAGTAGTTGCTCATACTTTC	TTCCAAGTGAATAAAAAGTTGATA
	Exon 13	AAAAGGCATACTTGTACCCACA	GAAAATGCATCCATCATTTACAA
	Exon 14	TGGGATCACTGATTTGAAGGA	CAGAATAGTCTGTGAATGGCAAG
	Exon 15	GGATTTGGTTTATAAAAAGCACAAATAAA	CACTTCAATCAGGTTAGCTCCA
	Exon 16-17	TGACATTTTTGCAGCTTATTTGA	TTCATATCCAGACAACACTCACTTATCA
	Exon 18	TGGAGGGATTTTGGAAACAA	TTTTCTTTACTCTCTTTGCAATAC
	Exon 19	TTTTTGTGTTGACTTTAACATCAG	CCCTTTTAGGCCATGATTT
	Exon 20	TGTGAAAAGATCTGATCATCCA	TGGCTAAATCTTTCTTGTAGCAAT
	Exon 21	TTATCATGCTTGGCAATGAA	ACATTCTTATGTTTAGCATTTTCTTTT
	Exon 22	TGGCAGGGCATTACATATC	TTGAGAAAAGTACTATCTGCATGCTT
	Exon 23	TGTGTTGCTTACAGATTTGGTGA	TTCAACAATAACAAGAATATACTGCAA
	Exon 24	GCTATGATACCTCTTGTGTTGAGAAA	TCAGAAAAAGCAAAAATAAGAATCAGA
	Exons 25-26	TCTTTCTCAAAGTGGCTAGTGC	CCCCCAAACACAAAAATATTGA
	Exon 27	TGGATTGTGAGTTTTAAGGAGATG	TTGGTGGGGTTAAGTACAGGA
	Exon 28	TGGTACAGGCATCACACAGG	TTTCAGAGATCCAGACAAACCA
	Exon 29	GGCCAAGTAAAGAGGATTGC	GAATTGTATACCTGTAATTGGGTTTC
	Exon 30	TGAAAACGAATGGCTTTTTG	AACATATCCCCTCCCAACA
	Exon 31	AACTTTCACTGGAAAAATTTGAAAC	GCCTGGGAAACAGATCAAGA
	Exon 32	TCATCAATGGAGGAATGTTATTTG	GTCATTTGTGCAATATTCTTGTTT
	Exon 33	TTTCTTCAATGTGTTGTGAGGA	TCTGTGAGTTAACACTCTAGACTATGC
	Exon 34	AAGAGGGGTTTAATCTATGTTTATCA	CATTCTATGCATTGCCCTCA
	Exon 35	AAGCATGCAAATAACTGCTGTC	TCCAATCACATGCAAGTAACAA
	Exon 36	GAGGGGACATGCATACCAGT	TTTTGGCAACAAAAGGGTAA
	Exon 37	TTTGATCATTTGAGGAACCAAA	GCCTGGCATAGCAAACACTT
	Exon 38	TGAATTGCAGCAACCACTCT	AAAAGCAATCTACCACATATTTTTCC
	Exon 39	TTTGTGAAATATGTTCCATTA AACTCA	CACCAACAGTGCATTATAAATTCC
	Exon 40	TGGAAAAATATATGTAGTTTGTGGTT	TTTGAAAGTCAGTTTAAACAAATACCA
	Exon 41	AAAATGCAGAAGCAGCTACCA	AGCCAGGTCATCAAATTAAGG
	Exon 42	TCAACCTGTATAGCAAAAATGAACA	CGAGATCACAGGAAAATCCA
	Exon 43	TGGATTTTCTGTGATCTCG	CCCAAGCTTAAGACAACACACA
	Exon 44	CATTTAAAGGAGGCCTTCAGTG	TGCTTTTGGCCAGATTAAGAA
	Exon 45	CCTTTTGACAGTATTTTCTTATCCA	AAAAGTAAACATAACA ACTAAGGAAGG
	Exon 46	TTTTCAATGGCTGTAGCTATGTT	CAGGGCACTTGTAGAGGCATA

	Exon 47	AGAATTGTTTTAAGGATGAATTGAA	AACCCTTAGCCTTGCCTCTC
	Exon 48	AACGTTGGGAACTTCGTTCT	CTTCCAGTTTTTCCAAGAGGT
	Exon 49	GCATTTAGAGCCCCAGGTTA	CAGGAAGAAACCAGGTTATCCA
	Exon 50	AGCTAAAACTTTGACTAGAAGAAACAG	GGTGCCCTTCAGTTAGATGG
	Exon 51	ACGCTTTGTTAAAAATGTGTATCTT	TCTCTAGTTGTAGCAATTCGGAGT
	Exon 52	CGATAAAGGCTAGCTTGTCTGA	GATCAGAAATCTGAGCCAAAAA
	Exon 53	CATCCAGTGTTCCTCAGGTC	TTTTTAGGATACGTAGTTAAAGATGGT
	Exon 54	TTGCTGTATTTGACCATTCAGG	TCACCAGAGCTCACTGCCTA
CRBI	Exon 1	CGCTCCTCTCTGAGACAGAC	TTTTATAGAACATGCAACATTATCC
	Exon 2.1	AATGAGTTTGGTTGAGGCAG	ATATCCAGCAGGGCAGATG
	Exon 2.2	CAGTGGGACAATCTGTGAAAC	AATGTCACCTCTGCTTCTGC
	Exon 3.1	GCTCTGGTAAACAAAGCATTG	GAATCCAGGGGCACAGTCG
	Exon 3.2	GACGAATGTTGGTCCCAGC	CAGAGTGGTAAAATAGTTCATG
	Exon 4	GAAACAGTATAAAGATATCTGATC	GCTATAAGCGATATGTGTATTC
	Exon 5	AACCTCCTTTTAGGCAAATG	GGTTAAAGCCATGGTCTGC
	Exon 6.1	GAGCTATTCATGCACTTCTGC	GCCTCTGCAAATATTACCTCC
	Exon 6.2	GAAGCTGGAGCTGCTAAGTG	TTTGCTGTTTCTGCTCTGC
	Exon 7.1	TCCATCCCTTCTGTCTTTTG	TCCTAGGTTTTGTGAAGACTGA
	Exon 7.2	GCAATGCTGACTCCAAACTC	TGGTGGGTCAGTAACATCATC
	Exon 8	CAACATTTTTCTATTTAGTTGCC	CTCAAATGTCGCAACTTAACTG
	Exon 9.1	AATGATCATTACTATTAATAACGG	GTGTTTCGTTGTCCACTTCC
	Exon 9.2	TTGCAGTCAGTGAATGATGG	GGGACAGGAGCAATGATAAG
	Exon 10	CTTTTCTTGAATGAGATGAACAAG	GAACCTTGAGTAATCCCATCATTC
	Exon 11	TTCACAACCAATGTATTCAACAG	TCATACGCAAATGAGGTAAG
	Exons 12-13	TTCCTGAGTAGTTCCATTGTCC	CCCAGTTGCAGATTAACATTG
	Exon 14	GCTGTTCCAGAGAGATAAGGC	CTCAACAACCTGGCTCGTCAT
GUCY2D	Exon 1	TCAGCTTGGGGAGATTAAGG	GAGGGCCTCTGACATTTAC
	Exon 2.1	TACTCGGGCTTGGAGAAAC	CTTCTTCGGCGAGCAGC
	Exon 2.2	GACCCCATCTTCTCTCGG	ATGATCACTGCTGCGGAC
	Exon 3	AGGTAGGCTCCCTTGACG	GGCTGTCCTCTCCCCTC
	Exon 4	GAATCTGGTCTGTCTGTGGG	CTCTCTTTCATCACTTTGTGG
	Exons 5-6	GAAGAGGCCTCCCCTGG	GGAAGGAACCAAATTTACGG
	Exon 7	TCAACCCAGGACTCTGACAC	AGGGCCTGAGCATTCTTC
	Exon 8	CCCATCGTGGGATTTTAAG	TACCCAGCTGCAAAGAGAAG
	Exons 9-10	TCTTGATTAACAGCCCCTTC	GAGAAGCCCTTGAAATAATGG
	Exon 11	AACCTGGGCTTTCTGGTG	CTTTTCTAACTGCAGGGTGC
	Exon 12	AGAGGCAGCCTTTGTGTTT	AGCTGTCTCAGGTTGCTGAC
	Exons 13-14	GGTTCAGAGTGAACAGCCC	AGATTGATTGGGCAGGTAGG
	Exon 15	CGCTTCGTGTACTCGGG	CCTCTACAGGAAATCTGGGG
	Exons 16-17	GGAGATAATGGGTGCGAAG	AGGTCAGAAGGGTGAGCTG
	Exons 18-19	AGCTACCCTTCTGACCTG	GACACCTGGCTTGGGTG
	Exon 20	CTCTGTAGCTGGCAGAGCAG	AAAGCAGCACACACCACAAC
LRAT	Exon 1.2	TTTGCCCTCCTCTCTCCTCAG	CTGGCCACTTTGACAATAACG
	Exon 1.2	ATGCCCGACATCCTGTTG	AAGAGAAAAGGTCAGGGATGG
	Exon 2	TCTTGGGTTTAGCCACCTTTC	GTAAGCACTTTGCGTGATTCC
RPE65	Exon 1	CAACTTCTGTTCCCCCTCCCTCAG	CTCTTCAGGAGCCCTTGAATAGC
	Exon 2	TCTATCTCTGCGGACTTTGAGCAT	ATAGGAAGCCAGAGAAGAGAGACT
	Exon 3	CCCAAGGCAGGGATAAGAAGCAAT	AAGCTAGGCCCTACTTTGAGGAGG
	Exon 4	ACATGGGCTGTACGGATTGCTCCT	GAGAGAAAAAGGGCTAATATAAAA
	Exon 5	ATGGCTTGAAAATTACTGGACTGA	TGGTGAATTAATTTAAGTTCCAA
	Exon 6	AGGTATAATGTATCTTCTCTCT	TTATCTTCTCACAATACAGTAAC

Exon 7	CTGTTCTAAATGCTTTGTATTA	TAGGCCAAGCCAATCTTTAACTT
Exon 8	TGTGGCTTGAGAATCAGCCCTTC	GTGTACATTATTAACACATCTTC
Exon 9	GTACACTTTTTTCTTTTAAATG	ACCCCGTAATTTCCAGGAACAATG
Exon 10	AGAATCATCTCTCTAAAATTATTT	CTGAGAGAGATGAAACATTCTGGT
Exon 11	GAATTCCTTCTGCTCACTGAGGT	GAGCACATGCTTAGGAAACTCTT
Exon 12	ACTTCACACGGGAGTGAACAAATG	GTCAATATGCTTTACTTGACTAGC
Exon 13	GCTAGTCAAGTAAAGCATATTGAC	CATACAGAGCTGCAGTAAGAAGAG
Exon 14	TGACTCAATCTATAGCTTCGGC	GATTGCAGACCTGAAGCTGATTTT

ARMS-primers used for screening *CEP290* c.2991+1655A>G mutation

ARMS Primer	Sequence (5'-3')
ARMS <i>CEP290</i> c.2991+1655A>G wild type - forward	ACCGCACCTGGCCCCAGTTGTAATTGTGGA
ARMS <i>CEP290</i> c.2991+1655A>G mutant - forward	ACCGCACCTGGCCCCAGTTGTAATTGTGGG
ARMS <i>CEP290</i> c.2991+1655A>G reverse	AGTAAGGAGGATGTAAGACTGGAGATAGAG

Supplementary Table S3. Estimated carrier frequencies of causative variants in LCA genes

Gene name	%	LCA		arRP	
		q ²	2pq	q ²	2pq
<i>AIPL1</i>	5.3	1/943396	1/486		
<i>CEP290</i>	15	1/333333	1/289		
<i>CRB1</i>	9.9	1/5050501	1/356	1/175000	1/210
<i>GUCY2D</i>	11.7	1/427350	1/327		
<i>LRAT</i>	0.5	1/10000000	1/1582	1/233333	1/242
<i>RPE65</i>	6	1/833333	1/457	1/175000	1/242
		~1/103306	~1/70	~1/77	~1/37

The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ($p^2 + 2pq + q^2 = 1$) was used to estimate the carrier frequencies for autosomal recessive traits. p, frequency of the wild type allele; q, frequency of the recessive mutant allele; q², prevalence of a homozygous recessive allele; 2pq, carrier frequency. Percentage of patients affected by mutations in the gene was calculated by assuming a prevalence LCA of 1:50,000.

Supplementary Table S4. Allele frequencies of identified variants in this study

Gene	Nucleotide change	Amino acid change	Number of alleles	Reference
<i>AIPL1</i>	c.815G>C	p.(R272P)	1	novel
<i>AIPL1</i>	c.834G>A	p.(W278*)	7	1
<i>CEP290</i>	c.1219_1220delAT	p.(M407Efs*14)	1	2
<i>CEP290</i>	c.2119_2123dupCAGCT	p.(Q709Sfs*11)	2	novel
<i>CEP290</i>	c.2991+1655A>G	p.(C998*)/p.=#	8	3
<i>CEP290</i>	c.5364+2T>G	p.(?)	2	novel
<i>CRB1</i>	c.584G>T	p.(C195F)	1	4
<i>CRB1</i>	c.613_619delATAGGAA	p.(I205Dfs*13)	3	5
<i>CRB1</i>	c.2290C>T	p.(R764C)	1	6
<i>CRB1</i>	c.2401A>T	p.(K801*)	2	6
<i>CRB1</i>	c.2549G>T	p.(G850V)	1	novel
<i>CRB1</i>	c.2843G>A	p.(C948Y)	1	6
<i>CRB1</i>	c.4006G>A	p.(V1336I)	1	novel
<i>CRB1</i>	c.4121_4130del10	p.(A1374Nfs*20)	2	6
<i>GUCY2D</i>	c.779T>C	p.(L260P)	2	7
<i>GUCY2D</i>	(c.380C>T)	p.(P127L)	1	novel
<i>GUCY2D</i>	c.1561C>T	p.(R521*)	2	novel
<i>GUCY2D</i>	c.1694T>C	p.(F565S)	1	8
<i>GUCY2D</i>	c.1877T>C	p.(S626F)	2	novel
<i>GUCY2D</i>	c.1957G>A	p.(G653R)	1	7
<i>GUCY2D</i>	c.2234delC	p.(P745Lfs*39)	1	7
<i>GUCY2D</i>	c.2135_2136delinsTC	p.(E712V)	1	novel
<i>GUCY2D</i>	c.2302C>T	p.(R768W)	5	9
<i>GUCY2D</i>	c.2513G>C	p.(R838P)	1	10
<i>GUCY2D</i>	c.2849C>T	p.(A950V)	2	7
<i>GUCY2D</i>	c.3043+5G>A	p.(?)	1	novel
<i>RDH12</i>	c.2T>C	p.(M1T)	1	11
<i>RDH12</i>	c.379G>T	p.(G127*)	2	12
<i>RDH12</i>	c.582C>G	p.(Y194*)	1	11
<i>RPE65</i>	c.11+5G>A	p.(?)	4	13

<i>RPE65</i>	c.94G>T	p.(G32C)	1	novel
<i>RPE65</i>	c.130C>T	p.(R44*)	4	novel
<i>RPE65</i>	c.272G>A	p.(R91Q)	1	14
<i>RPE65</i>	c.271C>T	p.(R91W)	2	15
<i>RPE65</i>	c.329A>G	p.(D110G)	7	novel
<i>RPE65</i>	495+1dup	p.(?)	2	novel
<i>RPE65</i>	c.769T>G	p.(Y239D)	1	16
<i>RPE65</i>	c.963T>G	p.(N321K)	2	17
<i>RPE65</i>	c.1088C>G	p.(P363R)	1	novel
<i>RPE65</i>	c.1102T>C	p.(Y368H)	4	18
<i>RPE65</i>	c.1328T>C	p.(V443A)	1	19
<i>RPE65</i>	c.1374G>A	p.(W458*)	1	7
<i>RPE65</i>	c.1543C>T	p.(R515W)	1	20
<i>RPGRIPI</i>	c.930+3A>G	p.(?)	1	novel
<i>RPGRIPI</i>	c.3618-5del	p.(?)	1	novel
<i>TULP1</i>	c.1495_1496dup	p.(D500Vfs*4)	1	novel

Supplementary Table S5. RPE65 variants registered in LOVD

Exon/Intron	cDNA Change	Protein Change	Allele Count	Coding Effect	Classification	Reference
1	c.-4G>A	p.(?)	1	Unknown	Variant of unknown significance	21
1	c.2T>C	p.(Met1?)	5	Start loss	Affects function	15,22
2	c.16G>T	p.(Glu6*)	2	Nonsense	Affects function	23,24
2	c.57_58del	p.(Glu20Glyfs*31)	4	Frameshift	Affects function	21,25
2	c.65T>C	p.(Leu22Pro)	2	Missense	Variant of unknown significance	26,27
2	c.74C>T	p.(Pro25Leu)	2	Missense	Affects function	28
2	c.89dup	p.(Thr31Asnfs*21)	1	Frameshift	Affects function	13
2	c.89T>C	p.(Val30Ala)	1	Missense	Variant of unknown significance	29
2	c.90_91insT	p.(Thr31Tyrfs*21)	1	Frameshift	Affects function	22
3	c.95G>T	p.(Gly32Val)	1	Missense	Affects function	30
3	c.97_116del	p.(Ile34Glnfs*11)	2	Frameshift	Affects function	31
3	c.106_114del	p.(Leu36_Leu38del)	2	In-frame deletion	Affects function	30
3	c.118G>A	p.(Gly40Ser)	23	Missense	Affects function	15,19,23,24,31-40
3	c.131G>A	p.(Arg44Gln)	29	Missense	Affects function	14,19,31,32,34,37,39-43
3	c.133T>C	p.(Cys45Arg)	1	Missense	Variant of unknown significance	38
3	c.138del	p.(Pro47Glnfs*47)	4	Frameshift	Affects function	9,19,33,36
3	c.144_145insT	p.(Leu49Serfs*3)	1	Frameshift	Affects function	18
3	c.177C>G	p.(His59Gln)	1	Missense	Affects function	44
3	c.179T>C	p.(Leu60Pro)	10	Missense	Affects function	24,45
3	c.183dup	p.(Asp62*)	1	Frameshift	Affects function	44
3	c.190C>T	p.(Gln64*)	4	Nonsense	Affects function	43,46
3	c.200T>G	p.(Leu67Arg)	7	Missense	Affects function	25,47-49
3	c.201_202delGCinsTT	p.(His68Tyr)	1	Missense	Affects function	27
3	c.208T>G	p.(Phe70Val)	2	Missense	Affects function	26,50
3	c.219dup	p.(Glu74Argfs*14)	6	Frameshift	Affects function	51
3	c.235T>C	p.(Tyr79His)	1	Missense	Affects function	22
3	c.289dup	p.(Arg97Lysfs*34)	3	Frameshift	Affects function	19,52
4	c.249C>G	p.(Phe83Leu)	2	Missense	Affects function	25
4	c.253C>T	p.(Arg85Cys)	1	Missense	Variant of unknown significance	19

4	c.254G>A	p.(Arg85His)	1	Missense	Affects function	22
4	c.271C>T	p.(Arg91Trp)	100	Missense	Affects function	14,15,18,19,22,23,31,32,34-36,39,40,42,50,53-58
4	c.272G>A	p.(Arg91Gln)	17	Missense	Variant of unknown significance	19,22,25,31,35,37,39,40
4	c.272G>C	p.(Arg91Pro)	1	Missense	Affects function	26
4	c.289_309del	p.(Arg97_Phe103del)	6	In-frame deletion	Affects function	9,14,35
4	c.292_311del	p.(Ile98Hisfs*26)	20	Frameshift	Affects function	22,32,37 19,39,40,59
4	c.295G>A	p.(Val99Ile)	2	Missense	Probably no functional effect	60
4	c.297_308del	p.(Ile100_Phe103del)	1	In-frame deletion	Affects function	31
4	c.297del	p.(Ile100*)	1	Nonsense	Affects function	14
4	c.302C>T	p.(Thr101Ile)	3	Missense	Affects function	19,34
4	c.304G>A	p.(Glu102Lys)	3	Missense	Affects function	15,26
4	c.304G>T	p.(Glu102*)	21	Nonsense	Affects function	7,19,21,22,33,36,61
4	c.310G>C	p.(Gly104Arg)	2	Missense	Affects function	62
4	c.311G>A	p.(Gly104Asp)	1	Missense	Affects function	31
4	c.329A>T	p.(Asp110Val)	1	Missense	Affects function	24
4	c.344T>C	p.(p.Ile115Thr)	1	Missense	Affects function	63
5	c.354G>T	p.(Arg118Ser)	3	Missense	Affects function	19,64
5	c.361del	p.(Ser121Leufs*6)	12	Frameshift	Affects function	29,41,45,65
5	c.361dup	p.(Ser121Phefs*10)	2	Frameshift	Affects function	65
5	c.370C>T	p.(Arg124*)	11	Nonsense	Affects function	15,19,22,23,26,33,36,41,66
5	c.382G>C	p.(Val128Leu)	1	Missense	Probably no functional effect	22
5	c.394G>A	p.(Ala132Thr)	13	Missense	Probably no functional effect	15,19,22,55,65,67
5	c.395C>A	p.(Ala132Asp)	2	Missense	Affects function	31
5	c.406G>T	p.(Val136Phe)	1	Missense	Probably no functional effect	64
5	c.427T>G	p.(Tyr143Asp)	2	Missense	Variant of unknown significance	68
5	c.430T>C	p.(Tyr144His)	1	Missense	Affects function	47
5	c.430T>G	p.(Tyr144Asp)	13	Missense	Affects function	14,19,26,31,37,39,69
5	c.434C>A	p.(Ala145Asp)	2	Missense	Affects function	49
5	c.440_441del	p.(Thr147Argfs*9)	2	Frameshift	Affects function	26
5	c.444G>T	p.(Glu148Asp)	1	Missense	Variant of unknown significance	43
5	c.484A>C	p.(Thr162Pro)	1	Missense	Affects function	19

6	c.499G>T	p.(Asp167Tyr)	6	Missense	Affects function	19,22-24,26,51
6	c.500del	p.(Asp167Valfs*41)	4	Frameshift	Affects function	31
6	c.540C>A	p.(His180Gln)	1	Missense	Affects function	53
6	c.542C>T	p.(Pro181Leu)	2	Missense	Affects function	41
6	c.544C>A	p.(His182Asn)	1	Missense	Affects function	43
6	c.544C>T	p.(His182Tyr)	9	Missense	Affects function	15,19,31-33,36,37
6	c.545A>G	p.(His182Arg)	8	Missense	Affects function	14,31,39,55
6	c.565G>A	p.(Val189Ile)	1	Missense	Variant of unknown significance	55
6	c.615_616del	p.(Ile206Cysfs*27)	2	Frameshift	Affects function	22,43
7	c.668_669del	p.(Ser223*)	1	Nonsense	Affects function	22
7	c.700C>T	p.(Arg234*)	11	Nonsense	Affects function	22,26,41,43,46,51,70
7	c.715T>G	p.(Tyr239Asp)	12	Missense	Affects function	19,31-34,36,50,71
8	c.731G>T	p.(Gly244Val)	2	Missense	Affects function	55
8	c.746A>G	p.(Tyr249Cys)	2	Missense	Affects function	24
8	c.751G>T	p.(Val251Phe)	2	Missense	Affects function	72
8	c.778_785del	p.(Asn260Glnfs*18)	4	Frameshift	Affects function	13,22,51
9	c.859G>T	p.(Val287Phe)	14	Missense	Affects function	9,19,31,32,39,40,55
9	c.864G>T	p.(Trp288Cys)	1	Missense	Affects function	55
9	c.868C>T	p.(His290Tyr)	3	Missense	Affects function	65
9	c.871A>G	p.(Ile291Val)	1	Missense	Probably no functional effect	55
9	c.881A>C	p.(Lys294Thr)	4	Missense	Probably no functional effect	22,34,73
9	c.886dup	p.(Arg296Lysfs*7)	1	Frameshift	Affects function	41
9	c.893del	p.(Lys298Serfs*27)	7	Frameshift	Affects function	9,19,24,37,39,74
9	c.907A>T	p.(Lys303*)	5	Nonsense	Affects function	14,19,31,39,75
9	c.912C>A	p.(Tyr304*)	1	Nonsense	Affects function	76
9	c.917C>T	p.(Thr306Ile)	1	Missense	Variant of unknown significance	76
9	c.938A>G	p.(His313Arg)	1	Missense	Affects function	26
9	c.939T>A	p.(His313Gln)	2	Missense	Affects function	65
9	c.952T>A	p.(Tyr318Asn)	3	Missense	Affects function	19,34
9	c.962dup	p.(Asn321Lysfs*15)	1	Frameshift	Affects function	15
9	c.963_964insA	p.(Gly322Argfs*14)	1	Frameshift	Affects function	36
9	c.963T>G	p.(Asn321Lys)	12	Missense	Probably no functional effect	22,24,29,34,37,52,55,77,78

9	c.989G>A	p.(Cys330Tyr)	8	Missense	Variant of unknown significance	43,46,79
9	c.991_993dup	p.(Trp331dup)	5	In-frame duplication	Affects function	41,80
9	c.993G>A	p.(Trp331*)	2	Nonsense	Affects function	25
9	c.997G>C	p.(Gly333Arg)	1	Missense	Affects function	60
10	c.1022T>C	p.(Leu341Ser)	29	Missense	Affects function	14,15,19,25,31,32,39-41,64,81
10	c.1028T>A	p.(Leu343*)	1	Nonsense	Affects function	14
10	c.1059dup	p.(Lys354Glufs*11)	7	Frameshift	Affects function	32,33,35,39,40,60
10	c.1067del	p.(Asn356Metfs*17)	13	Frameshift	Affects function	18,19,22,43,46,51,70,82
10	c.1067dup	p.(Asn356Lysfs*9)	7	Frameshift	Affects function	19,31,32,36,53
10	c.1069_1070insT	p.(Ala357Valfs*8)	2	Frameshift	Affects function	9
10	c.1078G>C	p.(Ala360Pro)	3	Missense	Variant of unknown significance	29,34
10	c.1087C>A	p.(Pro363Thr)	16	Missense	Affects function	13,22,24,43,46,69
10	c.1102T>C	p.(Tyr368His)	69	Missense	Affects function	14,18,19,22-24,31,32,39,42,50,68,83-85
10	c.1103A>G	p.(Tyr368Cys)	4	Missense	Affects function	25,48
11	c.1178C>A	p.(Ala393Glu)	7	Missense	Affects function	9,19,33,36
11	c.1205G>A	p.(Trp402*)	2	Nonsense	Affects function	19,81
11	c.1207_1210dup	p.(Glu404Alafs*4)	4	Frameshift	Affects function	15
11	c.1220T>C	p.(Val407Ala)	1	Missense	Variant of unknown significance	15
11	c.1223T>C	p.(Leu408Pro)	10	Missense	Affects function	19,31,34,39,40
12	c.1244_1338del	p.(Phe416Leufs*2)	1	Frameshift	Affects function	34
12	c.1249G>C	p.(Glu417Gln)	12	Missense	Affects function	14,31,32,37,39,42
12	c.1292A>G	p.(Tyr431Cys)	5	Missense	Affects function	14,19,31,75
12	c.1301C>T	p.(Ala434Val)	11	Missense	Probably no functional effect	9,24,34,43,46,55
12	c.1304A>G	p.(Tyr435Cys)	1	Missense	Affects function	30
12	c.1307G>T	p.(Gly436Val)	2	Missense	Affects function	22,24
12	c.1328T>C	p.(Val443Ala)	3	Missense	Affects function	19,64
12	c.1336dup	p.(Arg446Lysfs*4)	1	Frameshift	Affects function	29
13	c.1355T>G	p.(Val452Gly)	1	Missense	Affects function	15
13	c.1370C>A	p.(Thr457Asn)	2	Missense	Probably no functional effect	18,22
13	c.1379G>A	p.(Trp460*)	1	Nonsense	Affects function	31
13	c.1380G>A	p.(Trp460*)	1	Nonsense	Affects function	19

13	c.1380G>C	p.(Trp460Cys)	1	Missense	Affects function	64
13	c.1384G>T	p.(Glu462*)	4	Nonsense	Affects function	9,19
13	c.1409C>T	p.(Pro470Leu)	2	Missense	Affects function	17
13	c.1418T>A	p.(Val473Asp)	5	Missense	Affects function	15,36,43,46
13	c.1430A>G	p.(Asp477Gly)	23	Missense	Affects function	86
14	c.1451G>A	p.(Gly484Asp)	1	Missense	Affects function	64
14	c.1459_1460del	p.(Leu487Glufs*25)	2	Frameshift	Affects function	62
14	c.1501_1505del	p.(Tyr501Profs*10)	5	Frameshift	Affects function	19,39
14	c.1543C>T	p.(Arg515Trp)	8	Missense	Affects function	19,20,38,44
14	c.1583G>T	p.(Gly528Val)	1	Missense	Affects function	22
14	c.1590C>A	p.(Phe530Leu)	1	Missense	Affects function	87
14	c.1590del	p.(Phe530Leufs*40)	7	Frameshift	Affects function	23,41,66
14	c.1597T>A	p.(Ser533Thr)	2	Missense	Probably no functional effect	19,29
1i	c.11+1G>T	p.(?)	2	Splice site variant	Affects function	32
1i	c.11+5G>A	p.(?)	62	Splice site variant	Affects function	9,13,14,18,19,22-24,31-33,36,37,39,41,43,46,63,64,83-85,88
1i	c.12-2A>G	p.(?)	1	Splice site variant	Affects function	23
2i	c.94+10A>G	p.(?)	1	Splice site variant	Probably no functional effect	24
2i	c.95-1G>A	p.(?)	8	Splice site variant	Affects function	45
2i	c.95-2A>C	p.(?)	4	Splice site variant	Affects function	31,40
2i	c.95-2A>T	p.(?)	6	Splice site variant	Affects function	26,32,43
2i	c.95-3C>G	p.(?)	6	Splice site variant	Affects function	89
6i	c.643+1G>C	p.(?)	10	Splice site variant	Affects function	54
6i	c.643+4A>G	p.(?)	1	Splice site variant	Affects function	22
6i	c.644-2A>T	p.(?)	3	Splice site variant	Affects function	15,43
7i	c.725+4A>G	p.(?)	5	Splice site variant	Affects function	19,31,37,39
7i	c.726-3C>A	p.(?)	1	Splice site variant	Affects function	90
7i	c.858+1G>A	p.(?)	4	Splice site variant	Affects function	43,46
8i	c.858+1G>T	p.(?)	10	Splice site variant	Affects function	13,56
11i	c.1243+2T>A	p.(?)	1	Splice site variant	Affects function	87
Total alleles			914			
Probably no functional effect			50			

Heterozygous Cases	140
Dominant Cases	23
Homozygous Cases	231
Compound Heterozygous Cases	144
Uniparental Isodisomy	1
Total Cases	539

Supplementary Table S6. In silico analysis *RPE65* missense variants

Exon	cDNA Change	Protein Change	AC	PhyloP	PolyPhen-2 score	PolyPhen-2 Prediction	SIFT Score	SIFT Prediction	ExAC (AC, TA, HZ, AF)	Classification	References
2	c.65T>C	p.(Leu22Pro)	2	4.48	0.089	Probably no functional effect	0.23	TOLERATED	6, 118912, 0, 5.046e-05	Variation of unknown significance	7,8
2	c.74C>T	p.(Pro25Leu)	2	5.33	0.988	Probably damaging	0.05	DAMAGING	3, 118980, 0, 2.521e-05	Affects function	9
2	c.89T>C	p.(Val30Ala)	1	1.06	0.655	Possibly damaging	0.42	TOLERATED	-	Variation of unknown significance	11
3	c.95G>T	p.(Gly32Val)	1	5.77	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	12
3	c.118G>A	p.(Gly40Ser)	23	5.77	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	3-5,13-23
3	c.131G>A	p.(Arg44Gln)	29	5.77	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	7, 121406, 0, 5.766e-05	Affects function	13,14,16,19,21-27
3	c.133T>C	p.(Cys45Arg)	1	1.06	0.536	Possibly damaging	0.26	TOLERATED	-	Variation of unknown significance	20
3	c.177C>G	p.(His59Gln)	1	1.58	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	30
3	c.179T>C	p.(Leu60Pro)	10	4.73	1	Probably damaging	0.26	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	5,31
3	c.200T>G	p.(Leu67Arg)	7	4.73	-	-	0	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	6,33-35
3	c.201_202delGCinsTT	p.(His68Tyr)	1	-0.36/5.77	1	Probably damaging	0.28	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	8
3	c.208T>G	p.(Phe70Val)	2	4.73	1	Probably damaging	0.22	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	7,36
3	c.235T>C	p.(Tyr79His)	1	4.73	0.97	Probably damaging	0.07	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	2
4	c.249C>G	p.(Phe83Leu)	2	0.77	0.776	Possibly damaging	0.04	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	6
4	c.253C>T	p.(Arg85Cys)	1	2.63	1	Probably damaging	0.06	TOLERATED	14, 120410, 0, 0.0001163	Variation of unknown significance	21
4	c.254G>A	p.(Arg85His)	1	3.19	0.887	Possibly damaging	0.04	DAMAGING	2, 120484, 0, 1.66e-05	Affects function	2
4	c.271C>T	p.(Arg91Trp)	100	1.09	0.999	Probably damaging	0.01	DAMAGING	10, 120738, 0, 8.282e-05	Affects function	2-4,13,14,16-18,21-23,25,26,29,36,39-44
4	c.272G>A	p.(Arg91Gln)	17	5.86	0.283	Probably no functional effect	0.52	TOLERATED	4, 120746, 0, 3.313e-05	Variation of unknown significance	2,6,13,17,19,21-23
4	c.272G>C	p.(Arg91Pro)	1	5.86	0.926	Possibly damaging	0.2	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	7

4	c.295G>A	p.(Val99Ile)	2	5.77	0.077	Probably no functional effect	0.12	TOLERATED	36, 120964, 1, 0.0002976	Probably no functional effect	46
4	c.302C>T	p.(Thr101Ile)	3	5.77	0.982	Probably damaging	0.25	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	16,21
4	c.304G>A	p.(Glu102Lys)	3	5.77	1	Probably damaging	0.19	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	3,7
4	c.310G>C	p.(Gly104Arg)	2	0.86	1	Probably damaging	0.01	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	49
4	c.311G>A	p.(Gly104Asp)	1	5.77	1	Probably damaging	0.01	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	13
4	c.329A>T	p.(Asp110Val)	1	4.73	0.991	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	5
4	c.344T>C	p.(p.Ile115Thr)	1	4.73	0.989	Probably damaging	0.07	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	50
5	c.354G>T	p.(Arg118Ser)	3	1.17	0.969	Probably damaging	0.04	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	21,51
5	c.382G>C	p.(Val128Leu)	1	1.25	0	Probably no functional effect	1	TOLERATED	-	Probably no functional effect	2
5	c.394G>A	p.(Ala132Thr)	13	3.6	0.012	Probably no functional effect	0.24	TOLERATED	348, 121220, 4, 0.002871	Probably no functional effect	2,3,21,41,52,54
5	c.395C>A	p.(Ala132Asp)	2	3.84	0.159	Probably no functional effect	0.03	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	13
5	c.406G>T	p.(Val136Phe)	1	0.21	0	Probably no functional effect	0.19	TOLERATED	1, 121256, 0, 8.247e-06	Probably no functional effect	51
5	c.427T>G	p.(Tyr143Asp)	2	1.06	0.995	Probably damaging	0.13	TOLERATED	-	Variant of unknown significance	55
5	c.430T>C	p.(Tyr144His)	1	4.81	1	Probably damaging	0.09	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	33
5	c.430T>G	p.(Tyr144Asp)	13	1.06	1	Probably damaging	0.01	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	7,13,19,21,22,26,56
5	c.434C>A	p.(Ala145Asp)	2	0.91	0.944	Possibly damaging	0.05	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	35
5	c.444G>T	p.(Glu148Asp)	1	2.47	0.028	Probably no functional effect	0.24	TOLERATED	-	Variant of unknown significance	27
5	c.484A>C	p.(Thr162Pro)	1	4.81	0.994	Probably damaging	0.25	TOLERATED	2, 121378, 0, 1.648e-05	Affects function	21
6	c.499G>T	p.(Asp167Tyr)	6	5.69	1	Probably damaging	0.07	TOLERATED	1, 119408, 0, 8.375e-06	Affects function	2,4,5,7,21,37
6	c.540C>A	p.(His180Gln)	1	0.61	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	39
6	c.542C>T	p.(Pro181Leu)	2	4	1	Probably damaging	0.03	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	24
6	c.544C>A	p.(His182Asn)	1	5.69	0.995	Probably damaging	0.1	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	27
6	c.544C>T	p.(His182Tyr)	9	5.69	0.995	Probably damaging	0.34	TOLERATED	1, 120778, 0, 8.28e-06	Affects function	3,13-15,18,19,21
6	c.545A>G	p.(His182Arg)	8	4.73	0.993	Probably damaging	0.32	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	13,22,26,41
6	c.565G>A	p.(Val189Ile)	1	5.69	0.46	Possibly damaging	0.49	TOLERATED	11, 120912, 0, 9.098e-05	Variant of unknown significance	41
7	c.715T>G	p.(Tyr239Asp)	12	4.73	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	-	Affects	13-16,18,21,36,58

										function	
8	c.731G>T	p.(Gly244Val)	2	6.26	1	Probably damaging	0.38	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	41
8	c.746A>G	p.(Tyr249Cys)	2	3.51	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	5, 120826, 1, 4.138e-05	Affects function	5
8	c.751G>T	p.(Val251Phe)	2	6.26	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	59
9	c.859G>T	p.(Val287Phe)	14	3.51	0.989	Probably damaging	0.02	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	13,14,21-23,28,41
9	c.864G>T	p.(Trp288Cys)	1	5.45	0.994	Probably damaging	0.16	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	41
9	c.868C>T	p.(His290Tyr)	3	5.45	1	Probably damaging	0.93	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	52
9	c.871A>G	p.(Ile291Val)	1	0.45	0	Probably no functional effect	1	TOLERATED	2, 121084, 0, 1.652e-05	Probably no functional effect	41
9	c.881A>C	p.(Lys294Thr)	4	4.56	1	Probably damaging	0.01	DAMAGING	486, 121148, 8, 0.004012	Probably no functional effect	2,16,60
9	c.917C>T	p.(Thr306Ile)	1	0.89	0.999	Probably damaging	0.29	TOLERATED	-	Variant of unknown significance	63
9	c.938A>G	p.(His313Arg)	1	4.4	1	Probably damaging	0.01	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	7
9	c.939T>A	p.(His313Gln)	2	-0.12	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	1, 121400, 0, 8.237e-06	Affects function	52
9	c.952T>A	p.(Tyr318Asn)	3	4.4	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	1, 121392, 0, 8.238e-06	Affects function	16,21
9	c.963T>G	p.(Asn321Lys)	12	0.12	0.013	Probably no functional effect	0.27	TOLERATED	651, 121402, 18, 0.005362	Probably no functional effect	2,5,11,16,19,38,41,64,65
9	c.989G>A	p.(Cys330Tyr)	8	2.47	0.998	Probably damaging	0.72	TOLERATED	1, 121412, 0, 8.236e-06	Variant of unknown significance	27,32,66
9	c.997G>C	p.(Gly333Arg)	1	5.29	1	Probably damaging	0.01	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	46
10	c.1022T>C	p.(Leu341Ser)	29	4.436	1	Probably damaging	0.02	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	3,6,13,14,21-24,26,51,68
10	c.1078G>C	p.(Ala360Pro)	3	5.77	0.047	Probably no functional effect	0.24	TOLERATED	-	Variant of unknown significance	11,16
10	c.1087C>A	p.(Pro363Thr)	16	5.77	0.64	Possibly damaging	0.41	TOLERATED	2, 121120, 0, 1.651e-05	Affects function	2,5,10,27,32,56
10	c.1102T>C	p.(Tyr368His)	69	4.73	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	8, 121144, 0, 6.604e-05	Affects function	2,4,5,13,14,21,22,25,26,29,36,55,70-72
10	c.1103A>G	p.(Tyr368Cys)	4	4.73	1	Probably damaging	0.1	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	6,34
11	c.1178C>A	p.(Ala393Glu)	7	5.45	1	Probably damaging	0.03	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	15,18,21,28
11	c.1220T>C	p.(Val407Ala)	1	4.48	0.426	Probably no functional effect	0.88	TOLERATED	1, 120798, 0, 8.278e-06	Variant of unknown significance	3
11	c.1223T>C	p.(Leu408Pro)	10	4.48	1	Probably damaging	0.01	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	13,16,21-23
12	c.1249G>C	p.(Glu417Gln)	12	5.45	1	Probably damaging	0.01	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	13,14,19,22,25,26
12	c.1292A>G	p.(Tyr431Cys)	5	4.48	1	Probably damaging	0.05	DAMAGING	1, 120968, 0, 8.267e-06	Affects function	13,21,26,62

12	c.1301C>T	p.(Ala434Val)	11	5.45	0.026	Probably no functional effect	1	TOLERATED	846, 120978, 25, 0.006993	Probably no functional effect	5,16,27,28,32,41	
12	c.1304A>G	p.(Tyr435Cys)	1	2.87	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	12	
12	c.1307G>T	p.(Gly436Val)	2	5.45	1	Probably damaging	0.07	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	2,5	
12	c.1328T>C	p.(Val443Ala)	3	4.48	0.871	Possibly damaging	0.38	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	21,51	
13	c.1355T>G	p.(Val452Gly)	1	4.89	0.996	Probably damaging	0.1	TOLERATED	-	Affects function	3	
13	c.1370C>A	p.(Thr457Asn)	2	0.85	0	Probably no functional effect	0.36	TOLERATED	1, 120960, 0, 8.267e-06	Probably no functional effect	2,29	
13	c.1380G>C	p.(Trp460Cys)	1	0.86	1	Probably damaging	0.03	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	51	
13	c.1409C>T	p.(Pro470Leu)	2	5.94	1	Probably damaging	0.01	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	73	
13	c.1418T>A	p.(Val473Asp)	5	4.97	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	3,18,27,32	
13	c.1430A>G	p.(Asp477Gly)	23	2.3	0	Probably no functional effect	1	TOLERATED	-	Affects function*	74	
14	c.1451G>A	p.(Gly484Asp)	1	5.94	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	2, 120516, 0, 1.66e-05	Affects function	51	
14	c.1543C>T	p.(Arg515Trp)	8	0.53	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	2, 120986, 0, 1.653e-05	Affects function	20,21,30,75	
14	c.1583G>T	p.(Gly528Val)	1	6.02	1	Probably damaging	0	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	2	
14	c.1590C>A	p.(Phe530Leu)	1	4.24	0.929	Possibly damaging	0.01	DAMAGING	-	Affects function	76	
14	c.1597T>A	p.(Ser533Thr)	2	0.37	0	Probably no functional effect	0.43	TOLERATED	2, 120712, 0, 1.657e-05	Probably no functional effect	11,21	
Total alleles			573									

AC, allele count; AF, allele frequency; ExAC, exome aggregation consortium; HZ, homozygous individuals; TA, total alleles

*Variant c.1430A>G; p.(Asp477Gly) is considered as **Affects function** due to its different mutational mechanism in an autosomal dominant manner

Supplementary Table S7. Splice prediction non-canonical splice site variants in *RPE65*

Exon	cDNA Change	Protein Change	Allele Count	Splice Site Finder-like	MaxEntScan	NNSPLICE	GeneSplicer	HSF	ExAC (AC, TA, HZ, AF)	Classification	Reference No.
				(0-100)	(0-12)	(0-1)	(0-15)	(0-100)			
				≥70	≥0	>0.4	≥0	≥60			
1i	c.11+5G>A	p.(?)	62	78.95 ⇒ —	9.09 ⇒ 1.53 (-83.2%)	0.96 ⇒ 0.61 (-36.4%)	6.82 ⇒ —	84.67 ⇒ 72.51 (-14.4%)	11, 120956, 0, 9.094e-05	Affects function	2,4,5,10,13-15,18,19,21,22,24,26-29,32,50,51,70-72,77
2i	c.94+10A>G	p.(?)	1	= 80.04	= 8.08	= 0.88	4.89 ⇒ 3.15 (-35.6%)	= 86.63	-	Probably no functional effect	5
2i	c.95-3C>G	p.(?)	6	84.15 ⇒ 73.33 (-12.9%)	9.37 ⇒ 0.62 (-93.3%)	0.97 ⇒ —	8.64 ⇒ —	91.38 ⇒ 81.08 (-11.3%)	-	Affects function	78
6i	c.643+4A>G	p.(?)	1	95.64 ⇒ 85.56 (-10.5%)	10.67 ⇒ 8.56 (-19.8%)	0.98 ⇒ 0.83 (-15.4%)	-	98.84 ⇒ 90.50 (-8.4%)	-	Affects function	2
7i	c.725+4A>G	p.(?)	5	83.18 ⇒ 73.10 (-12.1%)	6.43 ⇒ 3.16 (-50.8%)	-	0.70 ⇒ —	86.00 ⇒ 77.66 (-9.7%)	-	Affects function	13,19,21,22
7i	c.726-3C>A	p.(?)	1	78.75 ⇒ —	9.36 ⇒ 6.22 (-33.6%)	0.60 ⇒ —	-	84.53 ⇒ 75.14 (-11.1%)	2, 120388, 0, 1.661e-05	Affects function	79

References Supplementary Tables S4 - S7

1. Sohoki MM, Browne SJ, Sullivan LS *et al*: Mutations in a new photoreceptor-pineal gene on 17p cause leber congenital amaurosis. *Nat gen* 2000;24:79-83. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2000; **129**: 834-835.
2. Perrault I, Delphin N, Hanein S *et al*: Spectrum of NPHP6/CEP290 mutations in Leber congenital amaurosis and delineation of the associated phenotype. *Hum Mutat* 2007; **28**: 416.
3. den Hollander AI, Koenekoop RK, Yzer S *et al*: Mutations in the CEP290 (NPHP6) gene are a frequent cause of Leber congenital amaurosis. *Am J Hum Genet* 2006; **79**: 556-561.
4. den Hollander AI, Davis J, van der Velde-Visser SD *et al*: CRB1 mutation spectrum in inherited retinal dystrophies. *Hum Mutat* 2004; **24**: 355-369.
5. Lotery AJ, Jacobson SG, Fishman GA *et al*: Mutations in the CRB1 gene cause Leber congenital amaurosis. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2001; **119**: 415-420.
6. den Hollander AI, ten Brink JB, de Kok YJ *et al*: Mutations in a human homologue of *Drosophila* crumbs cause retinitis pigmentosa (RP12). *Nat Genet* 1999; **23**: 217-221.
7. Zernant J, Kulm M, Dharmaraj S *et al*: Genotyping microarray (disease chip) for Leber congenital amaurosis: detection of modifier alleles. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2005; **46**: 3052-3059.
8. Perrault I, Rozet JM, Calvas P *et al*: Retinal-specific guanylate cyclase gene mutations in Leber's congenital amaurosis. *Nat Genet* 1996; **14**: 461-464.
9. Lotery AJ, Namperumalsamy P, Jacobson SG *et al*: Mutation analysis of 3 genes in patients with Leber congenital amaurosis. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2000; **118**: 538-543.
10. Garcia-Hoyos M, Auz-Alexandre CL, Almoguera B *et al*: Mutation analysis at codon 838 of the Guanylate Cyclase 2D gene in Spanish families with autosomal dominant cone, cone-rod, and macular dystrophies. *Mol Vis* 2011; **17**: 1103-1109.

11. Thompson DA, Janecke AR, Lange J *et al*: Retinal degeneration associated with RDH12 mutations results from decreased 11-cis retinal synthesis due to disruption of the visual cycle. *Hum Mol Genet* 2005; **14**: 3865-3875.
12. Perrault I, Hanein S, Gerber S *et al*: Retinal dehydrogenase 12 (RDH12) mutations in leber congenital amaurosis. *Am J Hum Genet* 2004; **75**: 639-646.
13. Gu SM, Thompson DA, Srikumari CR *et al*: Mutations in RPE65 cause autosomal recessive childhood-onset severe retinal dystrophy. *Nat Genet* 1997; **17**: 194-197.
14. Jacobson SG, Aleman TS, Cideciyan AV *et al*: Identifying photoreceptors in blind eyes caused by RPE65 mutations: Prerequisite for human gene therapy success. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005; **102**: 6177-6182.
15. Morimura H, Fishman GA, Grover SA, Fulton AB, Berson EL, Dryja TP: Mutations in the RPE65 gene in patients with autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa or leber congenital amaurosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998; **95**: 3088-3093.
16. Redmond TM, Poliakov E, Kuo S, Chander P, Gentleman S: RPE65, visual cycle retinol isomerase, is not inherently 11-cis-specific: support for a carbocation mechanism of retinol isomerization. *J Biol Chem* 2010; **285**: 1919-1927.
17. Mamatha G, Srilekha S, Meenakshi S, Kumaramanickavel G: Screening of the RPE65 gene in the Asian Indian patients with leber congenital amaurosis. *Ophthalmic Genet* 2008; **29**: 73-78.
18. Lorenz B, Gyurus P, Preising M *et al*: Early-onset severe rod-cone dystrophy in young children with RPE65 mutations. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2000; **41**: 2735-2742.
19. Stone EM: Leber congenital amaurosis - a model for efficient genetic testing of heterogeneous disorders: LXIV Edward Jackson Memorial Lecture. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2007; **144**: 791-811.
20. Kondo H, Qin M, Mizota A *et al*: A homozygosity-based search for mutations in patients with autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa, using microsatellite markers. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2004; **45**: 4433-4439.

21. Dharmaraj SR, Silva ER, Pina AL *et al*: Mutational analysis and clinical correlation in Leber congenital amaurosis. *Ophthalmic Genet* 2000; **21**: 135-150.
22. Thompson DA, Gyurus P, Fleischer LL *et al*: Genetics and phenotypes of RPE65 mutations in inherited retinal degeneration. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2000; **41**: 4293-4299.
23. Ripamonti C, Henning GB, Ali RR *et al*: Nature of the visual loss in observers with Leber's congenital amaurosis caused by specific mutations in RPE65. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2014; **55**: 6817-6828.
24. Henderson RH, Waseem N, Searle R *et al*: An assessment of the apex microarray technology in genotyping patients with Leber congenital amaurosis and early-onset severe retinal dystrophy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2007; **48**: 5684-5689.
25. Koenekoop RK, Sui R, Sallum J *et al*: Oral 9-cis retinoid for childhood blindness due to Leber congenital amaurosis caused by RPE65 or LRAT mutations: an open-label phase 1b trial. *Lancet* 2014; **384**: 1513-1520.
26. Simonelli F, Ziviello C, Testa F *et al*: Clinical and molecular genetics of Leber's congenital amaurosis: a multicenter study of Italian patients. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2007; **48**: 4284-4290.
27. Marlhens F, Griffoin JM, Bareil C, Arnaud B, Claustres M, Hamel CP: Autosomal recessive retinal dystrophy associated with two novel mutations in the RPE65 gene. *Eur J Hum Genet* 1998; **6**: 527-531.
28. Lorenz B, Poliakov E, Schambeck M, Friedburg C, Preising MN, Redmond TM: A comprehensive clinical and biochemical functional study of a novel RPE65 hypomorphic mutation. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2008; **49**: 5235-5242.
29. Wang J, Zhang VW, Feng Y *et al*: Dependable and efficient clinical utility of target capture-based deep sequencing in molecular diagnosis of retinitis pigmentosa. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2014; **55**: 6213-6223.
30. Sitorus RS, Lorenz B, Preising MN: Analysis of three genes in Leber congenital amaurosis in Indonesian patients. *Vision Res* 2003; **43**: 3087-3093.

31. Jacobson SG, Aleman TS, Cideciyan AV *et al*: Defining the residual vision in leber congenital amaurosis caused by RPE65 mutations. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009; **50**: 2368-2375.
32. Jacobson SG, Cideciyan AV, Ratnakaram R *et al*: Gene therapy for leber congenital amaurosis caused by RPE65 mutations: safety and efficacy in 15 children and adults followed up to 3 years. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2012; **130**: 9-24.
33. Pasadhika S, Fishman GA, Stone EM *et al*: Differential macular morphology in patients with RPE65-, CEP290-, GUCY2D-, and AIPL1-related Leber congenital amaurosis. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2010; **51**: 2608-2614.
34. Philp AR, Jin M, Li S *et al*: Predicting the pathogenicity of RPE65 mutations. *Hum Mutat* 2009; **30**: 1183-1188.
35. Jacobson SG, Cideciyan AV, Aleman TS *et al*: RDH12 and RPE65, visual cycle genes causing leber congenital amaurosis, differ in disease expression. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2007; **48**: 332-338.
36. Galvin JA, Fishman GA, Stone EM, Koeneke RK: Evaluation of genotype-phenotype associations in leber congenital amaurosis. *Retina* 2005; **25**: 919-929.
37. Simovich MJ, Miller B, Ezzeldin H *et al*: Four novel mutations in the RPE65 gene in patients with Leber congenital amaurosis. *Hum Mutat* 2001; **18**: 164.
38. Oishi M, Oishi A, Gotoh N *et al*: Comprehensive molecular diagnosis of a large cohort of Japanese retinitis pigmentosa and Usher syndrome patients by next-generation sequencing. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2014; **55**: 7369-7375.
39. Jacobson SG, Aleman TS, Cideciyan AV *et al*: Human cone photoreceptor dependence on RPE65 isomerase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007; **104**: 15123-15128.
40. Jacobson SG, Cideciyan AV, Aleman TS *et al*: Photoreceptor layer topography in children with leber congenital amaurosis caused by RPE65 mutations. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2008; **49**: 4573-4577.

41. Coppieters F, Casteels I, Meire F *et al*: Genetic screening of LCA in Belgium: predominance of CEP290 and identification of potential modifier alleles in AHI1 of CEP290-related phenotypes. *Hum Mutat* 2010; **31**: E1709-1766.
42. Hauswirth WW, Aleman TS, Kaushal S *et al*: Treatment of leber congenital amaurosis due to RPE65 mutations by ocular subretinal injection of adeno-associated virus gene vector: short-term results of a phase I trial. *Hum Gene Ther* 2008; **19**: 979-990.
43. Hanein S, Perrault I, Gerber S *et al*: Leber congenital amaurosis: comprehensive survey of the genetic heterogeneity, refinement of the clinical definition, and genotype-phenotype correlations as a strategy for molecular diagnosis. *Hum Mutat* 2004; **23**: 306-317.
44. Katagiri S, Hayashi T, Kondo M *et al*: RPE65 Mutations in Two Japanese Families with Leber Congenital Amaurosis. *Ophthalmic Genet* 2014; 1-9.
45. Kabir F, Naz S, Riazuddin SA *et al*: Novel mutations in RPE65 identified in consanguineous Pakistani families with retinal dystrophy. *Mol Vis* 2013; **19**: 1554-1564.
46. Perrault I, Rozet JM, Ghazi I *et al*: Different functional outcome of RetGC1 and RPE65 gene mutations in Leber congenital amaurosis. *Am J Hum Genet* 1999; **64**: 1225-1228.
47. Chen Y, Zhang Q, Shen T *et al*: Comprehensive mutation analysis by whole-exome sequencing in 41 Chinese families with Leber congenital amaurosis. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2013; **54**: 4351-4357.
48. Xu F, Dong Q, Liu L *et al*: Novel RPE65 mutations associated with Leber congenital amaurosis in Chinese patients. *Mol Vis* 2012; **18**: 744-750.
49. Fu Q, Wang F, Wang H *et al*: Next-generation sequencing-based molecular diagnosis of a Chinese patient cohort with autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2013; **54**: 4158-4166.
50. Neveling K, Collin RW, Gilissen C *et al*: Next-generation genetic testing for retinitis pigmentosa. *Hum Mutat* 2012; **33**: 963-972.

51. Lorenz B, Wabbels B, Wegscheider E, Hamel CP, Drexler W, Preising MN: Lack of fundus autofluorescence to 488 nanometers from childhood on in patients with early-onset severe retinal dystrophy associated with mutations in RPE65. *Ophthalmology* 2004; **111**: 1585-1594.
52. den Hollander AI, Lopez I, Yzer S *et al*: Identification of novel mutations in patients with Leber congenital amaurosis and juvenile RP by genome-wide homozygosity mapping with SNP microarrays. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2007; **48**: 5690-5698.
53. Khan AO, Al-Mesfer S, Al-Turkmani S, Bergmann C, Bolz HJ: Genetic analysis of strictly defined Leber congenital amaurosis with (and without) neurodevelopmental delay. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2014; **98**: 1724-1728.
54. Li Y, Wang H, Peng J *et al*: Mutation survey of known LCA genes and loci in the Saudi Arabian population. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009; **50**: 1336-1343.
55. Bereta G, Kiser PD, Golczak M *et al*: Impact of retinal disease-associated RPE65 mutations on retinoid isomerization. *Biochemistry* 2008; **47**: 9856-9865.
56. Seong MW, Kim SY, Yu YS, Hwang JM, Kim JY, Park SS: Molecular characterization of Leber congenital amaurosis in Koreans. *Mol Vis* 2008; **14**: 1429-1436.
57. El Matri L, Ambresin A, Schorderet DF *et al*: Phenotype of three consanguineous Tunisian families with early-onset retinal degeneration caused by an R91W homozygous mutation in the RPE65 gene. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 2006; **244**: 1104-1112.
58. Eisenberger T, Neuhaus C, Khan AO *et al*: Increasing the yield in targeted next-generation sequencing by implicating CNV analysis, non-coding exons and the overall variant load: the example of retinal dystrophies. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e78496.
59. Poehner WJ, Fossarello M, Rapoport AL *et al*: A homozygous deletion in RPE65 in a small Sardinian family with autosomal recessive retinal dystrophy. *Mol Vis* 2000; **6**: 192-198.

60. Li L, Xiao X, Li S *et al*: Detection of variants in 15 genes in 87 unrelated Chinese patients with Leber congenital amaurosis. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**: e19458.
61. Silva E, Dharmaraj S, Li YY *et al*: A missense mutation in GUCY2D acts as a genetic modifier in RPE65-related Leber Congenital Amaurosis. *Ophthalmic Genet* 2004; **25**: 205-217.
62. Abu-Safieh L, Alrashed M, Anazi S *et al*: Autozygome-guided exome sequencing in retinal dystrophy patients reveals pathogenetic mutations and novel candidate disease genes. *Genome Res* 2013; **23**: 236-247.
63. Schatz P, Preising M, Lorenz B, Sander B, Larsen M, Rosenberg T: Fundus albipunctatus associated with compound heterozygous mutations in RPE65. *Ophthalmology* 2011; **118**: 888-894.
64. Weleber RG, Michaelides M, Trzuppek KM, Stover NB, Stone EM: The phenotype of Severe Early Childhood Onset Retinal Dystrophy (SECORD) from mutation of RPE65 and differentiation from Leber congenital amaurosis. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011; **52**: 292-302.
65. Verma A, Perumalsamy V, Shetty S, Kulm M, Sundaresan P: Mutational screening of LCA genes emphasizing RPE65 in South Indian cohort of patients. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e73172.
66. Yzer S, Leroy BP, De Baere E *et al*: Microarray-based mutation detection and phenotypic characterization of patients with Leber congenital amaurosis. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2006; **47**: 1167-1176.
67. Bonilha VL, Rayborn ME, Li Y, Grossman GH, Berson EL, Hollyfield JG: Histopathology and functional correlations in a patient with a mutation in RPE65, the gene for retinol isomerase. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2011; **52**: 8381-8392.
68. Sundaresan P, Vijayalakshmi P, Thompson S, Ko AC, Fingert JH, Stone EM: Mutations that are a common cause of Leber congenital amaurosis in northern America are rare in southern India. *Mol Vis* 2009; **15**: 1781-1787.

69. Chen Y, Moiseyev G, Takahashi Y, Ma JX: Impacts of two point mutations of RPE65 from Leber's congenital amaurosis on the stability, subcellular localization and isomerohydrolase activity of RPE65. *FEBS Lett* 2006; **580**: 4200-4204.
70. Marlhens F, Bareil C, Griffoin JM *et al*: Mutations in RPE65 cause Leber's congenital amaurosis. *Nat Genet* 1997; **17**: 139-141.
71. Booij JC, Bakker A, Kulumbetova J *et al*: Simultaneous mutation detection in 90 retinal disease genes in multiple patients using a custom-designed 300-kb retinal resequencing chip. *Ophthalmology* 2011; **118**: 160-167 e161-163.
72. McKibbin M, Ali M, Mohamed MD *et al*: Genotype-phenotype correlation for leber congenital amaurosis in Northern Pakistan. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2010; **128**: 107-113.
73. Glockle N, Kohl S, Mohr J *et al*: Panel-based next generation sequencing as a reliable and efficient technique to detect mutations in unselected patients with retinal dystrophies. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2014; **22**: 99-104.
74. Wang F, Wang H, Tuan HF *et al*: Next generation sequencing-based molecular diagnosis of retinitis pigmentosa: identification of a novel genotype-phenotype correlation and clinical refinements. *Hum Genet* 2014; **133**: 331-345.
75. Al-Khayer K, Hagstrom S, Pauer G, Zegarra H, Sears J, Traboulsi EI: Thirty-year follow-up of a patient with leber congenital amaurosis and novel RPE65 mutations. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2004; **137**: 375-377.
76. Huang XF, Huang F, Wu KC *et al*: Genotype-phenotype correlation and mutation spectrum in a large cohort of patients with inherited retinal dystrophy revealed by next-generation sequencing. *Genet Med* 2015; **17**: 271-278.
77. Vallespin E, Cantalapiedra D, Riveiro-Alvarez R *et al*: Mutation screening of 299 Spanish families with retinal dystrophies by Leber congenital amaurosis genotyping microarray. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2007; **48**: 5653-5661.

78. Joseph B, Srinivasan A, Soumitra N *et al*: RPE65 gene: multiplex PCR and mutation screening in patients from India with retinal degenerative diseases. *J Genet* 2002; **81**: 19-23.
79. Porto FB, Perrault I, Hicks D *et al*: Prenatal human ocular degeneration occurs in Leber's congenital amaurosis (LCA2). *J Gene Med* 2002; **4**: 390-396.
80. Coppieters F, De Wilde B, Lefever S *et al*: Massively parallel sequencing for early molecular diagnosis in Leber congenital amaurosis. *Genet Med* 2012; **14**: 576-585.
81. Wang X, Wang H, Sun V *et al*: Comprehensive molecular diagnosis of 179 Leber congenital amaurosis and juvenile retinitis pigmentosa patients by targeted next generation sequencing. *J Med Genet* 2013; **50**: 674-688.
82. Singh HP, Jalali S, Narayanan R, Kannabiran C: Genetic analysis of Indian families with autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa by homozygosity screening. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2009; **50**: 4065-4071.
83. Booij JC, Florijn RJ, ten Brink JB *et al*: Identification of mutations in the AIPL1, CRB1, GUCY2D, RPE65, and RPGRIP1 genes in patients with juvenile retinitis pigmentosa. *J Med Genet* 2005; **42**: e67.
84. Yzer S, van den Born LI, Schuil J *et al*: A Tyr368His RPE65 founder mutation is associated with variable expression and progression of early onset retinal dystrophy in 10 families of a genetically isolated population. *J Med Genet* 2003; **40**: 709-713.
85. Felius J, Thompson DA, Khan NW *et al*: Clinical course and visual function in a family with mutations in the RPE65 gene. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2002; **120**: 55-61.
86. Bowne SJ, Humphries MM, Sullivan LS *et al*: A dominant mutation in RPE65 identified by whole-exome sequencing causes retinitis pigmentosa with choroidal involvement. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2011; **19**: 1074-1081.
87. Mo G, Ding Q, Chen Z *et al*: A novel mutation in the RPE65 gene causing Leber congenital amaurosis and its transcriptional expression in vitro. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e112400.

88. Thompson DA, McHenry CL, Li Y *et al*: Retinal dystrophy due to paternal isodisomy for chromosome 1 or chromosome 2, with homoallelism for mutations in RPE65 or MERTK, respectively. *Am J Hum Genet* 2002; **70**: 224-229.
89. Jakobsson C, Othman IS, Munier FL, Schorderet DF, Abouzeid H: Cone-rod dystrophy caused by a novel homozygous RPE65 mutation in Leber congenital amaurosis. *Klin Monbl Augenheilkd* 2014; **231**: 405-410.
90. Gonzalez-del Pozo M, Borrego S, Barragan I *et al*: Mutation screening of multiple genes in Spanish patients with autosomal recessive retinitis pigmentosa by targeted resequencing. *PLoS One* 2011; **6**: e27894.