## **Supplementary Information**

## Small-molecule allosteric modulators of the protein kinase PDK1 from structure-based docking

T. Justin Rettenmaier<sup>a,b,‡</sup>, Hao Fan<sup>b,e,f,‡,\*</sup>, Joel Karpiak<sup>a,b</sup>, Allison Doak<sup>b</sup>, Andrej Sali<sup>c</sup>, Brian Shoichet<sup>b</sup>, and James A. Wells<sup>b,d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Chemistry and Chemical Biology Graduate Program, <sup>b</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, <sup>c</sup>Department

of Bioengineering and Therapeutic Sciences, and <sup>d</sup>Department of Cellular and Molecular Pharmacology,

University of California, San Francisco, CA 94158. Bioinformatics Institute (BII), Agency for Science,

Technology and Research (A\*STAR), 30 Biopolis Street, Matrix #07-01, Singapore 138671. <sup>f</sup>Department of

Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, 14 Science Drive 4, Singapore 117543.

<sup>\*</sup>Co-first authors contributed equally to the work <sup>\*</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed: jim.wells@ucsf.edu or fanh@bii.a-star.edu.sg **Supplementary Figure 1.** Six PIF pocket models used for virtual screening.



**Supplementary Figure 2.** Experiments to rule out compound aggregation. Dynamic light scattering reveals no formation of colloidal particles by compounds 1, 3, and 4 in the (**A**) FP competitive binding assay buffer or (**B**) kinase activity assay buffer. (**C**) Cruzain enzyme activity assay demonstrates detergent-reversible inhibition by a known small-molecule aggregator, but no effect of compounds **1** and **3**. Error bars are SD (n = 2).



Supple	mentary	Table	1. Docking	ranks and	d binding	affinities	for the	15 PIF	pocket ]	igand	analogs.
	•/		<i>C</i>		0					0	0

	Docking rank <sup>b</sup>							$K_{\rm d}  \mu { m M}^c$	T T d
	Compound structure	M1	M1R	M2	M2R	M3	M3R	(95% CI)	LE
4		1	9	2	26	15	3	8.4 (7.6-9.1)	0.28
5		9	45	27	198	72	17	>200	-
6		13	389	238	410	194	192	>200	-
7		15	90	24	169	18	34	>200	-
8		18	433	188	437	108	159	50 (41-60)	0.25
9		60	375	95	416	80	117	110 (100-120)	0.22
10		4	1	1	12	29	55	74 (62-87)	0.23
11		68	363	116	408	71	74	>200	-



<sup>*a*</sup> Charged states are depicted assuming a physiological pH of 7.4.

<sup>b</sup> Ranks reported do not consider the molecules discarded by the three geometry filters described in the text.

<sup>c</sup>  $K_{d}$  was calculated from the IC<sub>50</sub> in the FP assay using an equation that accounts for ligand depletion.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>*d*</sup> Ligand Efficiency (LE) is calculated as experimental binding energy ( $\Delta G$ , kcal/mol) per non-hydrogen atom.

**Supplementary Figure 3.** The docking pose of compound **4** across the 6 PIF pocket models. The docking rank out of 518 analogs is shown at the bottom right of each panel.



## Supplementary Table 2. Data collection and refinement statistics (molecular replacement)

	PDK1+ATP+RF4 PDB ID: 4XX9
Data collection <sup>a</sup>	
Space group	C 1 2 1
Cell dimensions	
a, b, c (Å)	148.3, 44.4, 47.6
α, β, γ (°)	90, 101.1, 90
Resolution (Å)	46.75-1.40 (1.45-1.40)
$R_{ m merge}$	0.065 (0.828)
//σ	18.9 (1.92)
Completeness (%)	99.7 (99.6)
Redundancy	3.8 (3.6)
Refinement	
Resolution (Å)	1.40 (1.45-1.40)
No. reflections	59563 (5875)
R <sub>work</sub> / R <sub>free</sub>	12.9 / 16.5
No. atoms	5294
Protein	4880
Ligand/ion	138
Water	276
Average <i>B</i> factors (Å <sup>2</sup> ):	
Protein	21.3
Ligand/ion	30.6
Water	33.6
R.m.s. deviations:	
Bond lengths (Å)	0.012
Bond angles (°)	1.468
Ramachandran statistics <sup>b</sup> (%):	
Favored	98.3
Allowed	1.7
Outliers	0

<sup>a</sup>Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell. <sup>b</sup>As calculated by Molprobity.