Supplemental Materials of "Reinforced Angle-based Multicategory Support Vector Machines"

Chong Zhang¹ and Yufeng Liu^{2,3,4,*} and Junhui Wang⁵ and Hongtu Zhu⁴

¹Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science

University of Waterloo

²Department of Statistics and Operations Research

³Department of Genetics

⁴Department of Biostatistics

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

⁵Department of Mathematics

City University of Hong Kong

*Email: vfliu@email.unc.edu

Appendix

Proof of Theorem 1. To prove Theorem 1, we need the following lemma from Zhang and Liu (2014).

Lemma 1 (Zhang and Liu, 2014, Lemma 1). Suppose we have an arbitrary $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$. For any $u, v \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $u \neq v$, define $\mathbf{T}_{u,v} = \mathbf{W}_u - \mathbf{W}_v$. For any scalar $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $\langle (\mathbf{f} + z\mathbf{T}_{u,v}), \mathbf{W}_w \rangle = \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{W}_w \rangle$, where $w \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $w \neq u, v$. Furthermore, we have that $\langle (\mathbf{f} + z\mathbf{T}_{u,v}), \mathbf{W}_u \rangle - \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{W}_u \rangle = -\langle (\mathbf{f} + z\mathbf{T}_{v,u}), \mathbf{W}_v \rangle + \langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{W}_v \rangle$.

The proof consists of two parts. First we show that with $\gamma \leq 1/2$ the RAMSVM is Fisher consistent. Then we show that when $\gamma > 1/2$ the Fisher consistency cannot be guaranteed.

In this proof we assume $P_1 > P_2 \ge \cdots \ge P_k$. We need to show that $\langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_1 \rangle > \langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_j \rangle$ for $j \ne 1$. First, we show that $\langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_1 \rangle \ge \langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_j \rangle$ for any j. Note that if this is not true, then by Lemma 1, there exists $\boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ such that $\langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_1 \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_j \rangle$ and $\langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_j \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_1 \rangle$. One can verify that $E[V(\boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{X}), Y) | \boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}] > E[V(\boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{X}), Y) | \boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}]$, which contradicts to the definition of \boldsymbol{f}^* .

Next, we show that $\langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_1 \rangle \leq k-1$. Note that we have $\sum_{j=1}^k \langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_j \rangle = 0$. If $\langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_1 \rangle > k-1$, there exists q such that $\langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_q \rangle < -1$. By Lemma 1, there exists $\boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ such that $\langle \boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_j \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_j \rangle$ for $j \notin \{1, q\}, \langle \boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_1 \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_1 \rangle - \epsilon$, and $\langle \boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_q \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_q \rangle + \epsilon$, where ϵ is a small positive number. Now we have $E[V(\boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{X}), Y) | \boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}] - E[V(\boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{X}), Y) | \boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}] = \{(1 - P_1)(1 - \gamma) + P_k \gamma\} \epsilon > 0$, which is a contradiction.

Next, we show that if $\gamma \leq 1/2$, then $\langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_j \rangle \geq -1$ for any j. Suppose this is not true and $\langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_j \rangle < -1$ for a fixed $j \neq 1$. Because the dot product $\langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_1 \rangle \leq k-1$ is the maximum among all such dot products, we have that $-1 < \langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_q \rangle \leq k-1$ for some q. Define $\boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{x})$ such that $\langle \boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_i \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_i \rangle$ for $i \notin \{j, q\}$, $\langle \boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_q \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_q \rangle - \epsilon$, and $\langle \boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_j \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_j \rangle + \epsilon$. One can verify that $E[V(\boldsymbol{f}'(\boldsymbol{X}), Y) | \boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}] - E[V(\boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{X}), Y) | \boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}] = \{P_q - 1 + (1 - P_j)\gamma\}\epsilon$. As $\gamma \leq 1/2$, $\{P_q - 1 + (1 - P_j)\gamma\} < 0$, hence this is a contradiction. Therefore, we have $\langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_j \rangle \geq -1$.

Lastly, using the above results and an argument similar to Lemma A.2 in Liu and Yuan (2011), we have that $\langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_1 \rangle = k-1$ and $\langle \boldsymbol{f}^*(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{W}_j \rangle = -1$ for $j \neq 1$. This completes the first part of the proof.

For the second part, we show that if $\gamma > 1/2$, then the RAMSVM can be inconsistent. We do so by giving a counter example. Let k = 3 and $P_3 = 0$. Then $E[V(\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{X}), Y) | \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}] = \gamma P_1 \{2 - \langle \mathbf{f}^*(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{W}_1 \rangle\}_+ + P_2 \{1 - \gamma\} \{1 + \langle \mathbf{f}^*(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{W}_1 \rangle\}_+ + \gamma P_2 \{2 - \langle \mathbf{f}^*(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{W}_2 \rangle\}_+ + P_1 (1 - \gamma) \{1 + \langle \mathbf{f}^*(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{W}_2 \rangle\}_+ + (1 - \gamma) \{1 + \langle \mathbf{f}^*(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{W}_3 \rangle\}_+$. If $1/2 < P_1 < \gamma$, then one can verify that the minimizer \mathbf{f}^* is such that $\langle \mathbf{f}^*(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{W}_1 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{f}^*(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{W}_2 \rangle = 2$ and $\langle \mathbf{f}^*(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{W}_3 \rangle = -4$. Therefore, it is not Fisher consistent.

Next, we provide the dual problems of Guermeur (2012) and Liu and Yuan (2011). The MSVM framework proposed in Guermeur (2012) used K_1 , K_2 , K_3 and p as hyperparameters to denote different MSVM methods. The values of the hyperparameters that correspond to MSVMs 2-4 and 6 are reported in Table A1.

Dual Problems of Soft Margin MSVM in Guermeur (2012). The dual problem of soft margin MSVM in Guermeur (2012) is

$$\max -\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{T} M_{1} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right\} + M_{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha},
s.t. \begin{cases}
0 \le (1 - K_{3})(2 - p)\alpha_{i,j} \le (2 - p)m(i,j), & i = 1, \dots, n, \ j \ne y_{i}, \\
0 \le K_{3}(2 - p) \sum_{j \ne y_{i}} \alpha_{i,j} \le (2 - p) \sum_{j \ne y_{i}} m(i,j), & i = 1, \dots, n, \\
(p - 1)\alpha_{i,j} \ge 0, & i = 1, \dots, n, \ j \ne y_{i}, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \{K_{1}\delta_{y_{i},j} + (1 - K_{1})/k - \delta j, l\}\alpha_{i,l} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, k - 1.
\end{cases} (A.1)$$

Here M_1 and M_2 are fixed matrices, m(i,j) is a real number, and δ is the Kronecker symbol. Both M_1 and M_2 depend only on the MSVM method, and m(i,j) depends on i, j and the MSVM method. For more details about M_1 , M_2 and m(i, j), see Guermeur (2012). One can verify that for any set of hyperparameters, the equality constraints in (A.1) do not vanish. Notice that (A.1) includes the dual problems of (2) as a special case. Dual Problems of Hard Margin MSVM in Guermeur (2012). The dual problems

$$\max -\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \boldsymbol{\alpha}^T M_1' \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right\} + M_2' \boldsymbol{\alpha},$$

$$s.t. \begin{cases} \alpha_{i,j} \geq 0, & i = 1, \dots, n, \ j \neq y_i, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^k \{K_1 \delta_{y_i,j} + (1 - K_1)/k - \delta j, l\} \alpha_{i,l} = 0, \ j = 1, \dots, k-1. \end{cases}$$
(A.2)
Here M_1' and M_2' are fixed matrices, similar to M_1 and M_2 in the soft margin case.

of hard margin MSVM in Guermeur (2012) can be written as

MSVM	p	K_1	K_2	K_3
MSVM2	1	1	1	0
MSVM3	1	1	1	1
MSVM4	1	0	1/(k-1)	0
MSVM6	2	0	1/(k-1)	0

Table A1: Hyperparameters for different MSVM methods in Guermeur (2012).

Dual Problems in Liu and Yuan (2011). For the optimization in Liu and Yuan (2011), its dual problem can be written as

$$\min \boldsymbol{\beta}^T H \boldsymbol{\beta} + g^T \boldsymbol{\beta},$$

$$s.t. \begin{cases} 0 \le \alpha_{i,j} \le A_{i,j}, & i = 1, \dots, j = 1, \dots, k, \\ E \boldsymbol{\beta} = 0, & j = 1, \dots, k, \end{cases}$$
(A.3)

where $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\cdot 1}^T, \dots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\cdot k}^T)^T$, and H, E are fixed matrices that depend only on the observed predictors and the kernel function $K(\cdot,\cdot)$. For more information about H and E, see Section 3 in Liu and Yuan (2011).

References

- Guermeur, Y. (2012). A Generic Model of Multi-Class Support Vector Machine. *International Journal of Intelligent Information and Database Systems*, **6**(6), 555–577.
- Liu, Y. and Yuan, M. (2011). Reinforced Multicategory Support Vector Machines. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, **20**(4), 901–919.
- Zhang, C. and Liu, Y. (2014). Multicategory Angle-based Large-margin Classification. *Biometrika*, **101**(3), 625–640.