Format of data reporting across studies varied widely.

Under "Control group" and "Intervention group" columns, data are presented for baseline; post-intervention; follow up values (if available), or the change (Δ) between respective time points if actual values are not presented. It should be noted that in some studies samples are different for pre-post and post-follow up comparisons.

Data are presented as group mean ± SD or mean (95% CI), unless otherwise stated. P-values for within group changes are indicated when available, or non-significant changes not accompanied by p-value are indicated with NS.

Values not reported are indicated as not available (NA).

"Intervention effectiveness" represents the group x time interaction.

To ensure clarity and consistency, any data reported for mid-intervention or mid-follow up time points are not included.

<u>FITNESS</u>

Study	Intervention type (duration pre-post; post-follow up [when available])	Subject number (completed)	Outcomes	Intervention group	Control group	Intervention effectiveness
	RCTs with	wheelchair users		1	T	
Jansen, et al. (2013)	Cycling: arm and peddle (24 weeks; 24 weeks)	l: 17 C: 13	6-min arm cycle test (revs)	403.0 ± 111.7; 444.4 ± 107.6; 494.8 ± 103.6	351.5 ± 110.7; 349.9 ± 131.9; NA	pre-post: Δ (95%CI) = 13.8 (-60.7 - 88·3. p=0.697. post-follow up: Δ= 7.6. P = 0.71
	weeksy		6-min leg cycle test (revs)	434.7 ± 164.8; 442.0 ±124.3; 447.3 ± 91.7	405.4 ± 113.2; 415.2 ± 158.3; NA	pre-post: Δ (95%CI) = 5.6 (-56.2 - 67.3). P=0.848. Post-follow up: Δ = -30.7. P = 0.06
	Sport/games-based aerobic.(4 times per		Peak aerobic power	0.91 ± 0.83 ; 1.23 ± 0.80 ; 1.02 ± 0.76. pre- post: p < 0.01; post-follow up: p=0.01	1.11 ± 0.96 ; 1.17 ± 0.94 ; 1.15 ± 0.88. NS	Pre-post: p=0.05
Van Den Berg-Emons, R. J., et al 1998	week participation in the training programme) (9 months; 3 months)	I: 10, C: 10	Anaerobic peak power using pedal or hand bike (W/kg ffm)	2.16 ± 1.94 ; 2.48 ± 1.94 ; 2.41 ± 1.83. pre- post p=0.06	2.35 ± 1.75 ; 2.60 ± 1.86 ; 2.55 ± 1.89	NS
			Peak aerobic power	0.97 ± 0.77; 1.16 ± 0.83. pre-post; p < 0.01	1.11 ± 0.96 ; 1.17 ± 0.94. NS	p=0.17
	Sport/games-based aerobic. (2 times per week participation in the training programme) (9 months)		Anaerobic peak power using pedal or hand bike (W/kg ffm)	2.32 ± 1.89; 2.67 ± 2.07. pre-post p=0.06	2.35 ± 1.75 ; 2.60 ± 1.86	NS
	Non-RCTs w	ith wheelchair use	rs			
	Complex programme: PRT and		9-min run distance (m)	683 ± 330 ; 887 ± 322 p = 0.025	844 ± 362 ; 752 ± 171. p = 0.40	p <0.10
Andrade, C., et al 1991	cardiovascular exercise, inc psychosocial (10 weeks)	1:7, C:5	9-min run mean heart rate (beats/min)	152 ± 26 ;149 ± 18. p <0.40	158 ± 14;160 ± 10. p <0.40	p>0.40
			VO2 Peak max (L·min-1)	1.0 ± 0.24; 1.2 ± 0.30. p < 0.05	0.93 ± 0.21 ;0.96 ± 0.20. NS	p<0.05
Unnithan, V.B., 2007	Complex programme (PRT and walking) (12	1:7, C:6	HR peak max (BPM)	146.0 ± 20.4; 149.0 ± 22.5. NS	152.0 ± 12.5;154.0 ± 10.8. NS	NS - no actual p value reported.
	weeks)		VE (L·min-1)	29.3 ± 6.84 ;36.6 ± 11.76. p < 0.05	29.1 ± 5.08 ;29.1 ± 4.55. NS	p<0.05
	-		La (mM)	4.70 ± 1.7 ;4.41 ± 1.13. NS	5.03 ± 1.98; 5.12 ± 1.92. NS	NS - no actual p value reported.
			La (IIIIVI)			113 - 110 actual p value reported.
	RCT with population v	vith undefined wh		I		NS - no actual p value reported.
DeGroot, J.F., 2011	RCT with population v Walking (treadmill) (12 weeks)			344.8 ± 125.3, Δ = 38.7 ± 34.6, p<0.05	372.1 ± 116.5, Δ = −2.1 ± 27.8, NS	p = 0.002, d = 1.08
DeGroot, J.F., 2011			eelchair use		372.1 ± 116.5 , $\Delta = -2.1 \pm 27.8$, NS baseline = 33.4 ± 11.0 , $\Delta = -3.0 \pm 7.5$, NS	

Katz-Leurer, M., 2009	Functional exercises at home (6 weeks; 6 weeks for intervention group only)	I:8, C:10	Energy Expenditure Index (beats/min)	baseline = 5.8 ± 2.1 , $\Delta = 0.0 \pm 0.1$; $\Delta = 0.0 \pm 0.1$, p = 0.77	5.1 ± 1.3, Δ = 0.0 ±0.1	p = 0.78
			Aerobic Capacity: level on 10m shuttle run test, min	$\Delta = 2.4 \pm 1.9$	$\Delta = -0.4 \pm 1.6$	p <0.001
Verschuren, O., et al,;2007	Functional exercises (8 months)	I: 32 C: 33	Aerobic Capacity: mean power, W	Δ = 20.4 ± 38.0	Δ = -4.8 ± 28.2	p = 0.004

non-RCT with population with undefined wheelchair use

	Crompton, J., et al 2007 PRT with functional exercises (plus upper body dexterity) (6 weeks; 6 weeks)			Lower Limb	Upper Limb	
Crompton, J., et al 2007			10 min walk distance	Median (25th;75th centile): 714 (621;871); 803 (676;836); 680 (602;836). Overall time effect: p = 0.964	Median (25th;75th centile): 677 (569;820); 698 (579;798); 670 (575;749). Overall time effect: p = 0.401	Pre-Post: p=0.67 z=-0.43; Pre-follow-up: p=0.67 z=0.43
				peddle bike	hand bike	
Shinohara, T., 2002	Cycling peddle and arm: at anaerobic threshold. (leg 16.7 ± 4.7 , hand 12.7 ± 6.3	I: 6, C: 5	VO2 at the AT Point (ml/kg/min)	22.0 ± 5.2; 27.4 ± 7.1, p < 0.05	12.5 ± 2.3; 14.0 ± 1.0. NS	NA
	weeks)		Self-assessment of endurance	5/6 repoerted improvement	1/5 reported improvement	NA

BODY COMPOSITION

Study	Intervention type (duration pre-post; post-follow up [when available])	Subject number	Outcomes	Intervention group	Control group	Intervention effectiveness
	RCTs with w	heelchair users				
	Sport/games-based aerobic.(4 times per week participation in the training programme) (9 months; 3 months)	I : 10, C: 10	Fat mass (kg)	baseline = 8.1 ± 6.2 , pre-post: NS, post-follow-up: $\Delta = +0.7 \pm 0.7$, p<0.05	baseline = 5.7 ± 2.2, pre-post: Δ = +1.1 ± 1.6, p< 0.05	pre- mid(2month): p = 0.09, pre-post and follow-up: NS
Van Den Berg-Emons, R. J., et al 1998	Sport/games-based aerobic. (2 times per week participation in the training programme) (9 months)	I: 9, C: 9	Fat mass (kg)	in figure no change	same control	pre- mid(2month): p < 0.05, pre-post : NS

RCT with population with undefined wheelchair use

DeGroot, J.F., 2011	Walking (treadmill with body weight	l:18,C:14	вмі	20.9 ± 5.7 , $\Delta = -0.1 \pm 0.9$, NS	20.2 ± 5.1 , $\Delta = -0.3 \pm 0.9$, NS	p = 0.1 d = NA
Deg100t, J.F., 2011	support) (12 weeks)	•	Sum of Skinfolds	108.1 \pm 58.2, Δ = -1.7 \pm 17.5, NS	101.9 ± 56.6 , $\Delta = -2.4 \pm 8.2$, NS	p = 0.9 d = NA
Verschuren, O., et al, 2007	Functional exercises (8 months)	I: 32 C: 33	вмі	$\Delta = 0.7 \pm 2.1$	$\Delta = 0.3 \pm 1.1$	p = 0.51

METABOLISM

Study	Intervention type (duration pre- post; post-follow up [when Subject number available])		Outcomes	Intervention group	Control group	Intervention effectiveness		
	Non-RCTs with wheelchair users							
Unnithan, V.B., 2007	Complex programme (PRT and walking) (12 weeks)	I:7, C:6	RER	1.02 ± 0.11; 1.02 ± 0.10. NS	1.07 ± 0.13; 1.06 ± 0.12. NS	NS		

RESPIRATORY

Study	Intervention type (duration pre- post; post-follow up [when available])	Subject number	Outcomes	Intervention group	Control group	Intervention effectiveness
	RC	Γ with undefined wheel	chair use			
Choi, J.A. et al. (2016)	spirometer exercise with vs without additional therapy (4 weeks; -)	I:25 C:23	Peak flow (I/min)	1.95 ± 0.48; 2.15 ± 0.56. p<0.01 72.76 ± 11.91; 74.48 ± 13.98. p=0.443 191.60 ± 56.25; 217.60 ± 67.58.	1.94 ± 0.66; 1.90 ± 0.69. p=0.511 71.71 ± 17.23; 73.79 ± 15.68. p=0.563 71.71 ± 17.23; 73.79 ± 15.68. p=0.563	p = 0.017 p = 0.005 p = 0.821 p = 0.085

Study	Intervention type (duration pre-post; post-follow up [when available])	Subject number	Outcomes	Intervention group	Control group	Intervention effectiveness
Jansen, et al. (2013)	Cycling: arm and peddle (24 weeks; 24 weeks)	I: 17 C: 13	PEDI self care	74.8 ± 13.2; 77.1 ± 14.1; NA	72.3 ± 13.6; 72.9 ± 12.8; NA	Pre-post: Δ (95%CI) = 4.1 (-2·2 - 10.4). P=0.192.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		PEDI mobility	57.6 ± 15.0; 55.8 ± 13.0; NA	62.7 ± 11.0; 57.7 ± 15.2; NA	Pre-post: Δ (95%CI) = 1.6 (-3.6 - 6.0). P=0.523.
			CAPE Active physical	1.16 ± 0.47; 1.40 ± 0.32; 1.25 ± 0.61	1.15 ± 0.71; 1.41 ± 0.52; 1.27 ± 0.68	Δ (95%CI). pre-post: -0.01 (-0.23 - 0.22) P = 0.95. pre-follow up: -0.05 (-0.40 to 0.30) P = 0.78
			CAPE Social activities	2.92 ± 0.81; 3.00 ± 0.79; 2.95 ± 0.95	3.05 ± 0.72; 3.37 ± 0.79; 3.30 ± 0.71	Δ (95%CI). pre-post: -0.23 (-0.75 - 0.12) P = 0.28. pre-follow up: -0.17 (-0.59 - 0.26) P = 0.43
			CAPE Skill-based	1.18 ± 0.52; 1.03 ± 0.68; 0.95 ± 0.46	0.97 ± 0.56; 0.90 ± 0.66; 0.94 ± 0.64	Δ (95%CI). pre-post: -0.04 (-0.39 - 0.31) P = 0.83. pre-follow up: -0.23 (-0.49 - 0.03) P = 0.08
			Life-H	7.90 ± 1.88; 8.15 ± 1.68; 8.70 ± 1.19	8.76 ± 1.16; 8.33 ± 1.56; 8.23 ± 1.43	Δ (95%CI). pre-post: 0.38 (-0.67 - 1.43) P = 0.47. pre-followup: 0.92 (0.10 - 1.74) P = 0.03
	Complex: Life-style		Self-perception: Athletic	2.85 ± 0.46; 2.84 ± 0.54; 2.87 ± 0.48	3.03 ± 0.51; 2.90 ± 0.59; 2.87 ± 0.54	Δ (95%CI). pre-post: 0.07 (–0.20 - 0.35) P = 0.59. pre-follow up: 0.08 (–0.20 - 0.35) P = 0.57
Van Wely, et al. (2014) a	counselling and fitness training (6 months; 6	I: 23 C: 23	Self-perception: Motor	3.14 ± 0.51; 3.03 ± 0.51; 3.15 ± 0.40	3.18 ± 0.42; 3.14 ± 0.55; 3.14 ± 0.47	Δ (95%CI). pre-post: -0.07 (-0.31 - 0.16) P = 0.53. pre-follow up: 0.01 (-0.23 - 0.24) p =0.94
	months)		Self-perception: self-worth	3.41 ± 0.40; 3.42 ± 0.43; 3.53 ± 0.43	3.39 ± 0.51; 3.34 ± 0.64; 3.46 ± 0.53	Δ (95%CI). pre-post: 0.07 (–0.22 - 0.36) P = 0.63. pre-follow up: 0.03 (–0.23 - 0.30) P = 0.80
			CP-QOL: Social well-being	75.9 ± 8.4; NA; 76.5 ± 7.2	75.4 ± 11.9; NA; 79.4 ± 10.5	Δ (95%CI). pre-follow up: –3.1 (–7.9 - 1.7) P = 0.19
			CP-QOL: Functioning	71.1 ± 8.6; NA; 72.9 ± 9.6	71.3 ± 11.4; NA; 75.5 ± 9.4	Δ (95%CI). pre-follow up: –2.5 (–7.3 - 2.3) P = 0.30
			CP-QOL: Participation and physical health	65.5 ± 11.6; NA; 68.9 ± 9.3	67.2 ± 16.5; NA; 70.7 ± 14.0	Δ (95%CI) pre-followup: –0.8 (–5.7 to 4.1) P = 0.75
			CP-QOL: Emotional well-being and self-esteem	77.7 ± 8.2; NA; 78.2 ± 7.1	79.7 ± 15.1; NA; 79.6 ± 12.7	Δ (95%CI). pre-follow up: –0.3 (–5.3 - 4.7) P = 0.90
			CP-QOL: Pain and impact of the disability	30.5 ± 16.8; NA; 34.4 ± 16.4	32.9 ± 21.0; NA; 28.4 ± 14.8	Δ (95%CI). pre-follow up: 5.0 (–5.2 - 15.2) P = 0.33
		Non PCTs v	vith wheelchair users			
		NOII-RCIS	with wheelthall users			

Complex programme: PRT Global self-worth 1:7, C:5 Andrade, C., et al 1991 3.3 ± 0.3 ; 3.6 ± 0.3 . p = 0.025 3.6 ± 0.4 ; 3.1 ± 0.3 . NS and cardiovascular exercise, p<0.01 inc psychosocial (10 weeks) Lemo:22, Functional exercises Mobility from PEDI FS (Pediatric Evaluation of (Intensive Lemo vs Move and **Lemo:** 50.1 ± 21.8; 50.9 ± 22.9 Move&Walk: 37.1 ± 21.8; 38.3 ± 21.2 both NS and interaction NS. Disability Inventory-Functional Skills Walk:30 Move&Walk) (4 weeks)

Odman , P., 2005	Functional exercises (combined Lemo + Move&Walk) (4 weeks intensive; 1 year voluntary partcipation)	Mobility from PEDI FS (Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory-Functional Skills		42.7 + 22.5; 43.6 + 22.6; 43.6 + 22.8		pre-4 weeks: p = 0.03, 4 weeks-1 year: NS			
			RCT with population with undefined v	wheelchair use					
DeGroot, J.F., 2011	Walking (treadmill with body weight support) (12 weeks)	l:18,C:14	Total Fatigue PEDS QL (%)	baseline = 70.5 ± 16.4, Δ = 7.8 ± 9.8, p<0.05	74.2 ± 16.2, change = -0.4 ± 9.9 , NS	p = 0.06 d = NA			
			QoL (PedsQL): Physical functioning	67.2 (57.2–77.3); 70.4 (61.9–79.0). p = 0.21	65.9 (58.2–73.5); 69.9 (63.7–76.2) , p = 0.3	Δ = -0.8 (-10.0–8.3), p= 0.85			
			QoL (PedsQL): Psychosocial Health Summary	62.8 (53.8-71.8); 69.7 (61.8-77.6). p = 0.008	68.0 (61.8-74.2) ; 69.5 (63.5-75.5). p = 0.40	Δ = 5.4 (-0.5-11.5), p = 0.07			
			QoL (PedsQL): Total Score	64.2 (55.6-72.8); 70.0 (62.3-77.6), p = 0.006	67.3 (62.0 - 76.6), p = 0.22; 69.6 (64.1 - 75.2), p = 0.22	Δ = 3.5 (-2.0-8.8), p = 0.21			
Domith CK 2012	Cycling / complex: strengthening and	engthening and		1:28. C:29	I:28, C:29	Paediatric Outcomes Data Collection Instrument (PODCI) parent responses: Global function and symptoms	74.8 (70.3-79.4); 75.2 (70.4-80.1), p = 0.78	75.1 (70.4-79.9); 75.4 (70.9-79.9), p = 0.86	Δ = 0.1 (-4.1-4.3), p = 0.96
cardiorespiratory phases in each session (3 months)	in	Paediatric Outcomes Data Collection Instrument (PODCI) parent responses: Happiness	82.9 (78.0-87.8); 86.1 (80.9-91.3) p = 0.25	76.7 (69.4-84.1); 77.4 (71.9-82.9), p = 0.80	Δ = 2.5 (-5.1-10.2), p = 0.51				
			Paediatric Outcomes Data Collection Instrument (PODCI) parent responses: Satisfaction with symptoms	50.0 (36.3-63.7); 51.9 (39.6-64.1), p = 0.77	44.8 (32.5-57.1); 32.8 (21.9-43.6) , p = 0.046	Δ =13.9 (-3.3-31.2), p = 0.11			
			Paediatric Outcomes Data Collection Instrument (PODCI) parent responses: Treatment expectations	64.2 (56.4-72.0); 62.5 (53.2-71.7), p = 0.68	61.8 (55.3-68.3); 42.4 (33.8-51.5), p = 0.0002	Δ = 17.7 (5.2-30.0), p = 0.006			
				Supported Walking	PRT				
			PedsQLCP (child)	69.2 ± 13; 71.6 ± 17; 69.3 ± 18	68.4 ± 15; 62.1 ± 18; 70.3 ± 16. pre-post and pre-follow-up: p = 0.01	p = 0.016			
			The Piers-Harris Self-Concept scale	45.3 ± 9; 48.1 ± 8; 46.8 ± 10. NS	42.7 ±7; 44.6 ± 8; 47.6 ± 7. NS	p = 0.14			
			Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM) - Performance	4.46 ± 2.2; 5.17 ± 2.2; 5.99 ± 2.7	3.16 ± 1.8; 4.10 ± 2.2; 4.51 ± 2.7	p = 0.95. Combined main effect of time p = 0.003; pre-post: p = 0.008; pre-follow-up: p = 0.01			
			Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM) - Satisfaction	4.38 ± 2.7; 5.37 ± 2.5; 6.36 ± 2.7	3.49 ±1.5; 4.58 ±1.6; 4.96 ± 2.5	p = 0.75. Combined main effect of time p = 0.0008; pre-post: p = 0.015; pre-follow-up p = 0.001			
Gates, P.E; 2012	PRT vs walking-partial body weight support (home) (12	l: 11 C: 12	Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment (CAPE): Diversity	26.6 ± 5.3; 25.2 ± 9.5; 24.6 ± 9.3	24.9 ±6.3; 18.6 ± 5.4; 19.4 ± 4.0	NS			
	weeks; 4 weeks)		Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment (CAPE): Intensity	2.12 ± 0.5; 2.16 ± 1.0; 2.39 ± 1.1	2.14 ± 0.5; 1.68 ± 0.6; 1.80 ± 0.5. pre-post: p = 0.01, pre-follow-up: p = 0.01.	p = 0.05			
			Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment (CAPE): With Whom	2.47 ± 0.5; 2.41 ± 0.5; 2.35 ± 0.4	2.10 ± 0.3 ; 1.93 ± 0.4 ; 2.17 ± 0.5 . post-follow-up: p = 0.02	p = 0.04			

2.63 ± 0.6; 2.46 ± 0.5; 2.59 ± 0.4

Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment

(CAPE): Where

2.03 ± 0.4; 2.18 ± 0.3; 2.14 ± 0.4

NS

			Children's Assessment of Participation and Enjoyment (CAPE): Enjoyment	4.18 ± 0.5; 4.30 ± 0.5; 4.47 ± 0.4	4.03 ± 0.4; 4.36 ± 0.5; 4.49 ± 0.5	NS
Scholtes, V. A; 2012	Scholtes, V. A; 2012 PRT with functional exercises (12 weeks; 6 weeks)		CAPE	44.65 ± 18.30; 39.04 ± 13.28; 32.20 ± 9.27	36.63 ± 13.08; 31.14 ± 13.60; 31.83 ± 11.78	pre-post: p=0.86 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = -0.87 (-10.45–8.71); pre-follow-up: p=0.12 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = -7.34 (-16.50–1.89)
	(22 Weello) o Weello)	23	MobQues-28 (0-100)	68.42 ± 20.93; 67.51 ± 24.58; 70.03 ± 23.49	64.77 ± 26.26; 66.43 ± 25.93; 67.49 ± 20.33	Pre-Post: p = 0.87 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = -0.46 (-6.0-5.07); pre-follow-up: p = 0.44 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = -2.22 (-7.88-3.43)
	T	1				
			Self-concept (SPPC) - Athletic competence	$\Delta = 2.0 \pm 4.2$	$\Delta = -1.3 \pm 3.7$	p = 0.005
			Self-concept (SPPC) - Physical appearance	$\Delta = 0.03 \pm 0.2$	Δ = 0.2 ± 4.4	p = 0.90
			Self-concept (SPPC) - Global perception of worth	$\Delta = 0.5 \pm 3.3$	Δ = -1.0 ± 4.0	p = 0.20
			Participation (CAPE) - Overall activities	$\Delta = 0.0 \pm 0.5$	$\Delta = -4.0 \pm 0.6$	p = 0.002
			Participation (CAPE) - Formal activities	$\Delta = 0.2 \pm 0.4$	$\Delta = -4.0 \pm 0.8$	p = <0.001
			Participation (CAPE) - Informal activities	Informal activities $\Delta = 0.0 \pm 0.7$ $\Delta = -0.4 \pm 0.7$		p = 0.07
			Participation (CAPE) - Recreational activities	$\Delta = 0.2 \pm 1.0$	$\Delta = -0.4 \pm 1.1$	p = 0.69
			Participation (CAPE) - Social activities	$\Delta = -0.1 \pm 0.8$	Δ = -0.4 ± 1.1	p = 0.12
			Participation (CAPE) - Skill-based activities	$\Delta = 0.2 \pm 0.5$	Δ = -0.6 ± 0.9	p = <0.001
		I: 32 C	Participation (CAPE) - Self-improvement activities	$\Delta = -0.1 \pm 0.9$	Δ = -0.5 ± 0.8	p = 0.10
Verschuren, O., et al,; 2007	Functional exercises (8 months)		Health-realted quality of life (TACQOL-PF) - Pain and symptoms	Δ = -0.14± 3.6	Δ = -1.0 ± 2.3	p = 0.30
			Health-realted quality of life (TACQOL-PF) - Basic motor functions	Δ = 2.1 ± 4.3	Δ = -1.7 ± 4.3	p = <0.001
			Health-realted quality of life (TACQOL-PF) - Autonomy	Δ = 0.5 ± 4.3	Δ = -0.2 ± 3.1	p = 0.02
			Health-realted quality of life (TACQOL-PF) - Cognitive functioning	Δ = 0.9 ± 4.7	Δ = -0.2 ± 4.0	p = 0.04
			Health-realted quality of life (TACQOL-PF) - Social functioning	Δ = 0.7 ± 4.0	Δ = 0.0 ± 3.9	p = 0.13
			Health-realted quality of life (TACQOL-PF) - Global positive emotions	Δ = 0.3 ± 3.9	Δ = - 0.1 ± 1.9	p = 0.25
		Health-realted quality of life (TACQOL-PF) - Global negative emotions	Δ = 0.7 ± 2.9	Δ = - 0.1 ± 1.9	p = 0.15	
Wang et al. (2013)	Motivation: music during	I:18 C:18	PEDI mobility (function)	73.0 ± 12.5; 75.1 ± 11.5; 76.2 ± 10.7. p<0.05	73.2 ± 13.9; 74.3 ± 13.6; 74.2 ± 13.2. p<0.05	pre-post: p=0.12 ES=0.28. pre-follow up: p=0.09 ES=0.31
wang et al. (2013)	PRT (6 weeks; 12 weeks)	1.10 C.10	PEDI self-care (function)	77.4 ± 13.7; 78.6 ± 12.8; 79.9 ± 11.9. p<0.05	75.0 ± 10.8; 75.9 ± 11.0; 78.0 ± 10.8. p<0.05	pre-post: p=0.47 ES=0.13. pre-follow up: p=0.78 ES=0.05

GROSS MOTOR FUNCTION

	Interreption to a discourse	Γ	Π	T	T	
Shords.	Intervention type (duration pre-	Cubiaat muahan	Outcomes	lutamantian anam	Combuel arrays	Intervention effectiveness
Study	post; post-follow up [when available])	Subject number	Outcomes	Intervention group	Control group	intervention effectiveness
L		l wheelchair users		1	<u> </u>	
		and an about				
	Cycling: arm and peddle (24 weeks;		Motor Function			pre-post: Δ (95%CI) = 4.9 (2.2 - 7.6). P=0.002. post-follow up: Δ = -5.7 P=
Jansen, et al. (2013)	24 weeks)	I: 17 C: 13	Measure	65.1 ± 15.6; 65.6 ± 16.9; 64.6 ± 14.7	70.9 ± 13.7; 61.5 ± 13.0; NA	.01
Van Walis at al. (2014) h	Complex: Life-style counselling and	1, 22, 6, 22	CNAFNA CC	77 - 44 - 70 - 42 - 70 - 44	00 + 14 - 70 + 14 - 02 + 14	A (050(CI) and note 3.0 (0.3. 5.4) and following 0.0 (.3.3. 4.4)
Van Wely, et al. (2014) b	fitness training (6 months; 6 months)	I: 23 C: 23	GMFM-66	77 ± 14; 79 ± 13; 79 ± 14	80 ± 14; 79 ± 14; 82 ± 14	∆ (95%CI). pre-post: 2.8 (0.2 - 5.4). pre-follow up: −0.9 (−3.3 - 1.4)
	Non-RCTs wit	h wheelchair user	S			
Gordon, et al. (2013)	Nintendo Wii (6weeks; -)	I:7 C: -	GMFM-88	63 ± 25; 70 ± 24. NA		NA
	Functional exercises (Lemo vs		0.45.4 =		Move&Walk: 37.7 ± 30.0; 38.9 ±	
	Move&Walk) (4 weeks)		GMFM Total	LEMO : 53.2 ± 29.1; 55.9 ± 29.5	30.4	Lemo: p= 0.0003; M&W: p =0.0001. Interaction = NS
Odman , P., 2005	Functional exercises (combined	Lemo:24, Move				
	Lemo + Move&Walk) (4 weeks	and Walk:30	GMFM Total	14.6 + 20.2, 46.5 + 20.0, 49.1 + 21.4		pro 4 weeks, n = 0.0001, 4 weeks 1 years NC
	intensive; 1 year voluntary		GIVIFIVI TOLAI	44.6 + 30.3; 46.5 + 30.9; 48.1 + 31.4		pre-4 weeks: p = 0.0001, 4 weeks-1 year: NS
	partcipation)					
	T			T	I	
Unnithan, V.B., 2007	Complex programme (PRT and	1:7, C:6	GMFM D and E (total	30.35 ± 16.95; 33.85 ± 17.87, p <	30.76 ± 12.52; 30.76 ± 12.52, NS	p = 0.05
	walking) (12 weeks)		score)	0.05		
	per the contains		and the second			
	RCT with population wi	tn undefined whe	eichair use	I	T	
	spirometer exercise with vs without			53.83 ± 24.93; 57.37 ± 23.34.	57.92 ± 23.97; 61.58 ± 24.24.	
Choi, J.A. et al. (2016)	additional therapy (4 weeks; -)	I:25 C:23	GMFM-66	p=0.001	p=0.003	p= 0.916
,	17	I	l			
			GMFM D, %	75 2 ± 14 4: 90 1 ± 12 7: 90 4 ± 12 2	74.6 ± 20.9; 80.5 ± 12.6; 80.7 ± 15.0	NA
				73.2 ± 14.4, 60.1 ± 13.7, 60.4 ± 13.2	74.0 ± 20.9, 80.3 ± 12.0, 80.7 ± 13.0	IVA
Dodd, K. J.; 2003	PRT with functional exercises (6	I: 11 C: 10	GMFM E , %	52.8 ± 31.3: 57.2 ± 29.7: 58.2 ± 31.3	68.3 ± 30.1; 69.5 ± 27.9; 67.8 ± 28.6	pre-post: p=0.07 F(1,19)=3.80, pre:follow-up: NA
, ,	weeks; 12 weeks)		CNAFNA Latel O/			p. 0 p. 0 . 0 (2,20) 0
			GMFM total, %	64.2 ± 27.8; 69.0 ± 21.4; 69.6 ± 21.4	71.7 ± 24.9; 75.3 ± 21.3; 74.3 ± 21.4	NA
				1		
				1		
F. J. F. O. 2010	Cycling / complex: strengthening and		CN45N4 CC	69.6 (65.4-73.9); 70.8 (66.6 to 74.9)		
Fowler, E. G., 2010	cardiorespiratory phases in each	I: 26, C: 26	GMFM-66		68.8 (64.5 to 73.0); 69.3 (65.4 to	p= 0.23
	session (12 weeks)				73.3), p=0.12	
						<i>Pre-Post:</i> p = 0.48 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = -0.56 (-2.11-0.99).
Scholtes, V. A., et al, 2010	PRT with functional exercises (12	I: 24 C: 23	GMFM-66 (1-100)	76.1 ± 12.8; 76.1 ± 11.8; 76.6 ± 13.0	71.8 ± 12.5; 73.1 ± 12.4; 72.7 ± 12.8	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	weeks; 6 weeks)					1.76)
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	I .	
			CAAFAA D. / star a 12 - 3	1 20.54	A 07:54	2.22
Verschuren, O., et al,;2007	Functional exercises (8 months)	I: 32 C: 33	GMFM D (standing)	Δ = 2.6 ± 5.4	$\Delta = -0.7 \pm 5.1$	p = 0.03
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			GMFM E (walking)	$\Delta = 1.5 \pm 6.4$	$\Delta = -0.9 \pm 3.5$	p = 0.27
	1	<u> </u>	1	1	1	

Wang et al. (2013)	Motivation: music during PRT (6 weeks; 12 weeks)	I:18 C:18	GMFM: D	79.3 ± 13.4; 83.5 ± 8.7; 82.6 ± 11.6. p<0.05 63.3 ± 23.4; 67.8 ± 23.4; 69.2 ± 22.8.	11.7. p<0.05 62.0 ± 26.1; 64.0 ± 25.9; 65.4 ±	pre-post: p=0.004 ES=0.54. pre-follow up: p=0.06 ES=0.34
non-RCT with population with und			!	p<0.05	25.4. p<0.05	pre-post: p=0.04 ES=0.37. pre-follow up: p=0.07 ES=0.33
Chen et al. 2012	Cycling in Virtual Reality (Home) (12 weeks)	l:13, C: 15	Gross motor function: The BOTMP	30.6 ± 13.2, 34.2 ± 23.2	26.8 ± 10.0, 22.9 ± 12.4	ANCOVA, effect size = 0.090, p = 0.130
				Lower Limb	Upper Limb	
		I: 6 C: 7	Gross Motor function 66, %	Median (25th;75th centile): 73.1 (70.0;76.8), 72.6 (70.0;79.9), 72.6 (71.7;80.9). Overall time effect p = 0.495	Median (25th;75th centile): 71.2 (62.3; 75.7); 71.4 (62.2; 79.7); 72.5(64;79.1). overall time effect: p = 0.244	Pre-Post: p=0.32 z=-1.0; Pre-follow-up: p=0.83 z = -0.22
Crompton, J., et al 2007	PRT with functional exercises (plus upper body dexterity) (6 weeks; 6 weeks)		Gross Motor Function Dimension D, %	Median (25th;75th centile): 82.1 (76.9;87.2); 84.6 (79.5;92.3); 87.2 (79.5;92.3). Overall time effect: p = 0.540	Median (25th;75th centile): 78.2 (67.3;82.1); 79.5 (67.3;85.9); 83.3 (75.6;86.5). Overall time effect: p = 0.01	Pre-Post: p = 0.89 z = -0.15. Pre-follow-up: p=0.28 z = -1.08
			Gross Motor Function Dimension E, %	Median (25th;75th centile): 83.3 (79.2;94.4); 83.3 (76.4;93.1); 80.6 (77.8;94.4). Overall time effect: p = 0.070	Median (25th;75th centile): 79.9 (51.0;88.5); 79.2 (48.6;92.7); 79.2 (49.5;91.9). Overall time effect: p = 0.834	Pre-Post: p=0.43 z = 0.79; Pre-follow-up: p=0.22 z = -1.22

Study	Intervention type (duration pre-post; post-follow up [when available])	Subject number	Outcomes	Intervention group	Control group	Intervention effectiveness			
	RCTs with	wheelchair users							
Jansen, et al. (2013)	Cycling: arm and peddle (24 weeks; 24 weeks)	I: 17 C: 13	Raise from floor (s) 10m run (s)			Pre-post: Δ =16.3. p=0.961. Pre-post: Δ = -0.7. p=0.522.			
Van Wely, et al. (2014) b	Complex: Life-style counselling and fitness training (6 months; 6 months)	I: 23 C: 23	1-min walk (m)	86 ± 20; 92 ± 25; 91 ± 25	92 ± 20; 96 ± 17; 93 ± 19	Δ (95%CI). pre-post: 2 (-4 - 9). pre-follow up: 3 (-43 - 10)			
	RCT with population w	ith undefined whee	lchair use						
DeGroot, J.F., 2011	Walking (treadmill with body weight support) (12 weeks)	l:18,C:14	Peak walking speed	5.2 ± 2.0, Δ = 0.9 ± 0.8, p < 0.05	5.7 ± 1.9, Δ = -0.06 ± 0.6, NS	p = 0.001, d =1.14			
Dodd, K. J.; 2003	PRT with functional exercises (6 weeks;	l: 11 C: 10	Self-selected walking speed, m/min	47.4 ± 23.3; 48.0 ± 21.2; 48.6 ± 23.3	49.5 ± 24.5; 50.5 ± 20.8; 51.4 ± 16.5	NA			
, ,	12 weeks)		Timed stair, s	27.4 ± 34.7; 21.1 ± 25.6; 25.1 ± 33.6	22.4 ± 20.5; 21.7 ± 21.5; 19.7 ± 15.2	Pre-Post: p=0.10 F(1,19)=2.97. Pre-folow-up: NA			
Fowler, E. G., 2010	Cycling / complex: strengthening and cardiorespiratory phases in each	I: 26, C: 26	600-Yard Walk-Run Test speed (m/min)	85.0 (69.7 - 100.4) ;90.6 (75.4 - 105.7), p=0.008	81.6 (65.9 - 97.4) ;84.1 (67.6 - 100.7) p=0.16	p = 0.24			
	session (12 weeks)		30s Walk Test speed (m/min)	66.9 (58.6 - 75.1); 68.0 (60.4 - 75.7), p = 0.64	58.7 (51.0 - 66.5); 62.1 (54.4 - 69.8), p = 0.18	p = 0.52			
		LO 010	Balance performance FRF (cm)	18.7 ± 5.2, Δ = 3.0 ± 1.6; Δ = 0.1 ± 2.1, p = 0.89	19.3 ± 4.8, Δ = 1.9 ± 4.0	p = 0.01			
			I:8, C:10			Balance performance FRP (cm)	11.0 ± 2.9, Δ = 4.0 ± 3.7; Δ = 1.1 ± 4.1, p = 0.49	14.3 ± 3.7, Δ = 0.3 ± 3.1	p = 0.01
Katz-Leurer, M., 2009	Functional exercises at home (6 weeks;			Balance performance FRNP (cm)	10.5 ± 5.3, Δ = 3.2 ± 2.9; Δ = 0.2 ± 5.0, p = 0.93	12.5 ± 3.1, Δ = 0.4 ± 1.0	p = 0.10		
Katz-Leurer, IVI., 2009	6 weeks for intervention group only)	1.8, C.10	TUG (m/s)	10.1 ± 3.0, Δ = 1.6 ± 2.1; Δ = 0.0 ± 0.9, p = 0.86	8.1 ± 1.6 , $\Delta = 0.0 \pm 0.7$	p < 0.01			
			Walking velocity (m/s)	0.96 ± 0.12 , $\Delta = 0.04 \pm 0.1$; $\Delta = 0.05 \pm 0.1$, p = 0.88	1.02 ± 0.19, Δ = 0.01 ± 0.1	p = 0.84			
			Two-minute walk test (m)	114.1 ± 23.9, Δ = 8.0 ± 13.5; Δ = 1.8 ± 11.1, p = 0.12	118.9 ± 22.2, Δ = 0.2 ± 22.4	p = 0.78			
			Sit to stand	15.7 ± 5.8, Δ = 3.7 ± 3.5, p = 0.02; Δ = 1.0 ± 2.4, p = 0.65	NA, NA	NA			
			STS test (reps)	12.9 ± 2.8; 13.6 ± 3.0; 14.3 ± 2.9	10.8 ± 3.0; 12.7 ± 4.3 ; 12.7 ± 4.6	Pre-Post: p = 0.32 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = -0.75 (-2.21-0.72); Pre-follow-up p = 0.97 Regression coefficient (95% CI) =			
Scholtes, V. A., et al, 2010	PRT with functional exercises (12 weeks; 6 weeks)	I: 24 C: 23	LSU test (reps)	15.6 ± 4.0; 17.0 ± 5.1; 17.5 ± 4.8	13.3 ± 5.4; 15.4 ±4.3; 15.8 ± 6.6	0.03 (-1.43-1.49) Pre-Post: p = 0.9 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = 0.48 (-1.45-2.40); Pre-follow-up: p = NA Regression coefficient (95% CI) = 0.13 (-1.84-2.10)			
			Comfortable Walking Speed (m/s)	0.95 ± 0.29; 1.03 ± 0.33; 1.00 ± 0.28	0.95 ± 0.28; 1.07 ± 0.38; 1.06 ± 0.34	pre-post: p=0.56 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = -0.04 (- 0.18–0.10); pre-follow-up: p = 0.25 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = -0.06 (-0.17–0.04)			

			Cadans (Steps/min)	109.01 ± 20.67; 113.70 ± 19.52; 112.61 ± 21.38	103.58 ± 25.02; 111.07 ± 26.51; 109.60 ± 23.45	pre-Post: p=0.74 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = -1.80 (- 12.22–8.62); pre-follow-up: p=0.55 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = -2.43 (-10.44–5.59)
Scholtes, V. A; 2012	PRT with functional exercises (12 weeks; 6 weeks)	l: 24 C: 23	Step Length (m)	1.03 ± 0.22; 1.07 ± 0.26; 1.05 ± 0.20	1.09 ± 0.19; 1.13 ± 0.25; 1.14 ± 0.23	Pre-Post: p=0.82 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = -0.01 (- 0.11–0.09); pre-follow-up: p=0.47 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = -0.03 (-0.10–0.05)
			Fast Walking Speed (m/s)	1.29 ± 0.45; 1.34 ± 0.48; 1.30 ± 0.45	1.25 ± 0.39; 1.23 ± 0.43; 1.26 ± 0.44	Pre-post: p=0.30 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = 0.04 (-0.04–0.12); pre-follow-up: p=0.78 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = -0.01 (-0.08–0.06)
			Timed stair test (s)	10.75 ± 15.93; 9.63 ± 12.06; 11.25 ± 17.34	14.08 ± 17.50; 12.14 ± 11.22; 11.71 ± 8.51	Pre-Post: p=0.64 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = 0.83 (-2.64–4.30); pre-follow-up: p=0.29 Regression coefficient (95% CI) = $2.87 (-2.41-8.16)$
Verschuren, O., et al,;2007	Functional exercises (8 months)	I: 32 C: 33	Agility 10 x 5-m Sprint Test	$\Delta = -4.5 \pm 4.1$	$\Delta = 0.2 \pm 4.4$	p <0.001
	T	Т	Т	I	1	
IVVangeral (2013)	Motivation: music during PRT (6 weeks; 12 weeks)	I:18 C:18	10 m walk speed (m/s)	52.0 ± 17.7; 55.4 ± 16.2; 56.8 ± 16.8. p<0.05	46.3 ± 20.8; 49.9 ± 22.1; 50.9 ± 21.5. p<0.05	pre-post: p=0.87 ES=0.03. pre-follow up: p=0.77 ES=0.05
	Non-RCT with population	n with undefined wh	neelchair use	T		
			L LSUT (repetitions)	3.3 ± 3.3; 7.9 ± 3.8; 6.8 ± 3.8		Pre-Post: p = 0.001 F(1,7) = 42.83; Post-follow-up: p = 0.065 F(1,7) = 4.77
			R LSUT (repetitions)	3.0 ± 3.5; 7.8 ± 3.8; 7.0 ± 3.5		Pre-Post: p = 0.001 F(1,7) = 48.60; Post-follow-up: p = 0.142 F(1,7) = 2.74
			MAS – STS Score	1.5 ± 1.8; 2.0 ± 4.0; 2.5 ± 3.5		Pre-Post: p = 0.014 F(1,7) = 6.00; Post-follow-up: p = 1.000 F(1,7) = 0.00
			Min. chair height (cm)	26.9 ± 15.3; 16.6 ± 11.5; 16.6 ± 13.5		Pre-Post: p = 0.002 F(1,7) = 24.99; Post-follow-up: p = 0.413 F(1,7) = 0.76
Blundell, S.W. et al; 2003	Functional exercises (6 weeks)	I: 7	Walking speed (m/s)	0.70 ± 0.35; 0.88 ± 0.36; 0.86 ± 0.48		Pre-Post: p = 0.079 F(1,7) = 4.21; Post-follow-up: p = 0.874 F(1,7) = 0.03
			Stride length (m)	0.67 ± 0.19; 0.82 ± 0.18; 0.79 ± 0.24		Pre-Post: p = 0.008 F(1,7) = 13.66; Post-follow-up: p = 0.637 F(1,7) = 0.24
			Cadence (steps/min)	118.1 ± 39.1; 123.8 ± 31.6; 121.5 ± 43.5		Pre-Post: p = 0.584 F(1,7) = 0.33; Post-follow-up: p = 0.812 F(1,7) = 0.06
			10-m walk test (s)	20.8 ± 14.3; 14.0 ± 7.7; 18.0 ± 14.3		Pre-Post: p = 0.049 F(1,7) = 5.68; Post-follow-up: p = 0.283 F(1,7) = 1.35
			2-min walk test (m)	74.9 ± 32.4; 98.5 ± 46.2; 100.5 ± 48.7		Pre-Post: p = 0.108 F(1,7) = 3.40; Post-follow-up: p = 0.748 F(1,7) = 0.11
	DDT with functional avarages (alice			Upper Limb	Lower Limb	
Crompton, J., et al 2007	PRT with functional exercises (plus upper body dexterity) (6 weeks; 6 weeks)	I: 6 C: 7	Timed up and go (TUG), seconds	Median (25th;75th centile): 8.45 (7.73;13.34); 8.43 (6.27;12.16); 8.83 (6.46;12.25). Overall time effect: p = 0.050	Median (25th;75th centile): 9.33 (6.91;11.53); 7.93 (6.82;8.89); 7.77 (6.43;8.67). Overall time effect: p = 0.135	Pre-Post: p=0.89 z = -0.14; Pre-follow-up: p=0.57 z = -0.57

STRENGTH

DeGrant IF 2011

Study	Intervention type (duration pre- post; post-follow up [when available])	Subject number	Outcomes	Intervention group	Control group	Intervention effectiveness
	RCTs	with wheelchair users				
lansen, et al. (2013)	Cycling: arm and peddle (24 weeks; 24 weeks)	I: 17 C: 13	MRC strength scale total	31.0 ± 5.5; 31.6 ± 1.4; NA	31.4 ± 4.4; 28.6 ± 5.7; NA	Pre-post: Δ (95%CI) = 1.4 (-0.3 - 3.1). P=0.098.
	Sport/games-based aerobic. (4 x per week no strength data) (9 months; 3 months)	I: 10, C: 10	Isokinetic muscle strength	NA	NA	NA
Van Den Berg-Emons, R. J., et al 1998	Sport/games-based aerobic. (2 times per week participation in the training programme) (9 months)	I:9, C:9	Isokinetic muscle strength	Least affected limb flexion: pre-post: Δ = 39%, p<0.01. Most affected limb flexion pre-post: Δ = 28%, p<0.05. Least affected limb extension pre-post: Δ = 12%, p = 0.05. Most affected limb extension pre-post: Δ = 24%, p<0.05.	NS, no data reported	NA
/an Wely, et al. (2014) b	Complex: Life-style counselling and fitness training (6 months; 6 months)	I: 23 C: 23	Functional muscle strength (reps)	43 ± 16; 51± 20; 53 ± 18	42 ± 18; 53 ± 21; 56 ± 22	Δ (95%CI). pre-post: 0 (–5 - 5). pre-follow up: –4 (–9 - 2)
	Non-RC	Ts with wheelchair use	rs			
			Isometric muscle (shoulder flexion): absolute torques (N°m)	9 ± 2.6; 10.3 ± 2.4, p = 0.1	12.5 ± 9.68; 12.5 ± 7.8, p <0.40	p <0.25
			Isometric strength (shoulder extension): absolute torques (N°m)	6.6 ± 1.6; 7.6 ± 1.9, p = 0.05	9.68 ± 6; 10 ± 7.3, p <0.40	p <0.25
Andrade, C., et al 1991	Complex programme: PRT and cardiovascular exercise, inc psychosocial (10 weeks)	1:7, C:5	Isometric strength (shoulder abduction): absolute torques (N°m)	10.7 ± 4.9; 11.3 ± 4.7, p < 0.25	12.4 ± 5.8; 13 ± 7.8, p <0.40	p <0.40
			Isometric strength (elbow flexion): absolute torques (N°m)	16.8 ± 8.3; 20.3 ± 7.6, p < 0.0025	21.6 ± 5.1; 21.2 ± 4.3, p <0.40	p<0.01
			Isometric strength (elbow extension): absolute torques (N°m)	17.8 ± 8.5; 19.2 ± 8.7, p <0.005	21.7 ± 3.1; 22.2 ± 4.3, p <0.40	NA
	1		1	I		1
	RCF with populati	on with undefined who	eeicnair use			

73.9 \pm 46.9, Δ = 1.6 \pm 9.9, NS

 86.4 ± 55.4 , $\Delta = -3.0 \pm 7.6$, NS

p = 0.2 d = NA

Muscle Strength (Handgrip, N)

1·12 C·1/

Walking (treadmill with body weight

DEGIOOG, J.I ., 2011	support) (12 weeks)	1.10,0.14	Muscle Strength (Quadriceps,		157.9 ± 51.0, Δ = -27.2 ± 27.2, p <	
			N)	155.2 ± 62.8, Δ = -8.7± 71.7, NS	0.05	p = 0.7 d = NA
			Characte of the calls	T	T	
			Strength of the ankle plantarflexors, kg	11.0 ± 15.8; 11.1 ± 12.5; 16.6 ± 15.2	17.5 ± 13.1; 15.4 ± 11.6; 13.8 ± 9.0	NA
			Strength of the knee extensors, kg	27.5 ± 10.9; 33.1 ± 15.8; 32.5 ± 11.4	23.7 ± 11.5; 25.5 ± 9.9; 25.2 ± 7.8	NA
Dodd, K. J.; 2003	PRT with functional exercises (6 weeks; 12 weeks)	l: 11 C: 10	Strength of the hip extensors, kg	7.9 ± 7.6; 10.6 ± 10.2; 10.8 ± 9.1;	8.5 ± 8.4; 11.5 ± 10.7; 10.6 ± 8.3	NA
			Ankle plantarflexors + Knee extensors, kg	38.5 ± 23.2; 44.2 ± 25.5; 49.2 ± 25.3	41.1 ± 20.0; 40.9 ± 20.2; 38.9 ± 15.0	Pre-Post: p=0.046 F(1,19)=4.58; Pre-follow-up: p=0.041 F(1,18)=6.25
			Total extensor, kg	46.5 ± 29.6; 54.8 ± 34.5; 60.0 ± 33.0	49.6 ± 25.9; 52.4 ± 27.7; 49.5 ± 21.1	Pre-Post: p=0.094 F(1,19)=3.12; Pre-follow-up: p=0.087 F(1,18)=3.28
			_	T	T	
			Knee extensor moments (N.m/kg)) 0°/s	1.24 (1.04 -1.45) ; 1.25 (1.10 - 1.41), p= 0.88	1.14 (1.0 - 1.28) ; 1.19 (1.02 - 1.36), p=0.25	p = 0.55
			Knee extensor moments (N.m/kg) 30°/s	1.05 (0.91 -1.19); 1.09 (0.95 - 1.22), p = 0.39	1.09 (0.91 - 1.27); 1.01 (0.83 - 1.19), p = 0.13	p = 0.08
	Cycling / complex: strengthening and cardiorespiratory phases in each session (12 weeks)	l: 26 , C: 26	Knee extensor moments (N.m/kg) 60 °/s	0.88 (0.76 - 0.99) ; 0.89 (0.76 - 1.0), p = 0.76	0.88 (0.72 - 1.05); 0.86 (0.69 - 1.04), p =0.63	p= 0.58
			Knee extensor moments (N.m/kg) 120 °/s	0.66 (0.57 - 0.75); 0.75 (0.64 - 0.85), p = 0.006	0.72 (0.60 - 0.84); 0.75 (0.59 - 0.92), p = 0.45	p = 0.27
Fowler, E. G., 2010			Knee flexor moments (N.m/kg) 0°/s	0.46 (0.36 - 0.57); 0.47 (0.36 - 0.58), p = 0.69	0.40 (0.26 - 0.54); 0.45 (0.32 - 0.58), p = 0.11	p = 0.41
			Knee flexor moments (N.m/kg) 30°/s	0.30 (0.23 - 0.37); 0.35 (0.27 -0.42), p = 0.025	0.34 (0.23 - 0.44); 0.35 (0.24 - 0.46), p = 0.57	p = 0.31
			Knee flexor moments (N.m/kg) 60°/s	0.29 (0.22 - 0.36); 0.29 (0.21 - 0.36), p = 0.95	0.28 (0.19 - 0.36); 0.27 (0.18 - 0.37), p = 0.94	p= 0.99
			Knee flexor moments (N.m/kg) 120°/s	0.21 (0.16 - 0.26); 0.26 (0.19 - 0.32), p = 0.09	0.20 (0.13 - 0.28); 0.28 (0.17 - 0.38), p = 0.01	p = 0.43
				T		
			Hip abduction	2.5 ± 2.5 , $\Delta = 0.7 \pm 1.9$; $\Delta = 0.3 \pm 1.4$, p = 0.89	3.7 ± 2.5, Δ = 0.8 ± 1.1	p = 0.88
Katz-Leurer, M., 2009	Functional exercises at home (6 weeks; 6 weeks for intervention	I:8,C:10	Hip extension	4.1 ± 3.7 , $\Delta = 1.6 \pm 2.2$; $\Delta = 0.6 \pm 1.2$ p = 0.22	5.7 ± 3.6, Δ = 0.7 ± 1.3	p = 0.36
, ,	group only)	7,5	Knee extensors	4.9 ± 3.5 , $\Delta = 0.2 \pm 4.4$; $\Delta = 0.0 \pm 0.8$ p = 0.75	6.6 ± 3.7, Δ = 0.0 ± 0.7	p = 0.63
			Knee flexors	3.0 ± 3.2 , $\Delta = 0.0 \pm 3.0$; $\Delta = 0.3 \pm 1.4$ p = 0.46	4.2 ± 2.2, Δ = 0.1 ± 1.0	p = 0.88
			Knee Extensors (N/Kg)	4.78 ± 1.12; 5.39 ± 1.10; 5.20 ± 1.04	4.36 ± 1.05; 4.48 ± 1.12; 4.46 ± 1.20	p , Regression coefficient (95% CI). pre-post: p = 0.01, 0.56 (0.13-0.99) ; pre-follow-up: p = 0.16, 0.35 (-0.16-0.85)
			Knee Flexors (N/Kg)	2.73 ± 0.79; 2.76 ± 0.75; 2.67 ± 0.86	2.25 ± 0.96; 2.27 ± 1.02; 2.33 ± 0.90	p , Regression coefficient (95% CI). pre-post: p = 0.71, 0.05 (-0.25-0.36). Pre-follow-up: p = 0.58, -0.10 (-0.43-0.24)
			Hip Flexors (N/Kg)	3.96 ± 0.75; 4.43 ± 0.99; 4.46 ± 0.90	3.76 ± 0.99; 4.12 ± 0.99; 4.43 ± 0.86	0.55); Pre-tollow-up: p = 0.55, -0.12 (-0.50-0.27)
Scholtes, V. A., et al, 2010	PRT with functional exercises (12	I: 24 C: 23	Hip Abductors (N/Kg)	2.66 ± 0.76; 2.78 ± 0.85; 2.90 ± 0.99	2.41 ± 0.74; 2.28 ± 0.70; 2.45 ± 0.94	p , Regression coefficient (95% CI). pre-post: p = 0.05, 0.27 (0.00-0.54); pre-follow-up: p = 0.17, 0.23 (-0.10-0.56)

1	WEERS, U WEERS,			Т	T	Dographics coefficient (050/ Cl) and posture 0.51, 0.32 / 0.47
			Ankle plantarflexors (N/Kg)	3.90 ± 1.43 ; 4.53 ± 2.16 ; 5.01 ± 2.26	3.11 ± 0.87 ; 3.54 ± 0.94 ; 4.38 ± 1.49	p , Regression coefficient (95% CI). pre-post: p = 0.51, 0.23 (-0.47- 0.93); pre-follow-up: p = 0.72, -0.16 (-1.01-0.69)
			Total	18.04 ± 3.52; 19.88 ± 4.13; 20.39 ± 4.49	15.94 ± 3.57; 16.65 ± 4.11; 17.80 ± 4.01	p , Regression coefficient (95% CI). pre-post: p = 0.04, 1.30 (0.56- 2.54); pre-follow-up: p = 0.58, 0.40 (-1.02-1.83)
			Six-repetition maximum on leg press (% body weight)	112.78 ± 21.28 ; 135.63 ± 31.87; 129.90 ± 32.15	93.76 ± 20.18; 102.88 ± 26.76 ; 111.99 ± 26.17	p , Regression coefficient (95% CI). Pre-Post: p = 0.02, 14.17 (1.99-26.35); pre-follow-up: p = 0.58, 3.42 (-8.62-15.46)
			T			
Verschuren, O., et al,;2007	Functional exercises (8 months)	I: 32 C: 33	Muscle strength left Muscle strength right	$\Delta = 6.9 \pm 7.2$ $\Delta = 7.7 \pm 9.0$	$\Delta = -1.9 \pm 8.7$ $\Delta = -1.9 \pm 10.0$	p <0.001 p <0.001
			ividuotie strengtii rigire	2 7.7 2 3.0	1.5 _ 10.0	p 10.001
Wang et al. (2013)	Motivation: music during PRT (6 weeks; 12 weeks)	I:18 C:18	1-RM sit-to-stand (kg)	12.0 ± 5.3; 17.5 ± 7.0; 16.6 ± 7.1. p<0.05	10.9 ± 6.1; 13.9 ± 6.0; 13.6 ± 4.7. p<0.05	pre-post: p=0.06 ES=0.34. pre-follow up: p=0.15 ES=0.25
	Non BCT with non-ul	ation with undefined	whoolehoir uso			
	Non-RCT with popul	ation with undefined	L Hip Extensors (N)	57.1 ± 12.1; 93.1 ± 37.9; 88.2 ± 34.9		Pre-Post: p = 0.018 F(1,7) = 10.4; Post-follow-up: p = 0.113 F(1,7) = 3.43
			R Hip Extensors	51.2 ± 9.4; 89.2 ± 35.6; 90.2 ± 29.2		Pre-Post: p = 0.017 F(1,7) = 10.56; Post-follow-up: p = 0.936 F(1,7) = 0.01
			L Hip Flexors	25.9 ± 5.9; 39.2 ± 11.7; 45.3 ± 14.5		Pre-Post: p = 0.007 F(1,7) = 15.71; Post-follow-up: 0.198 F(1,7) = 2.10
		I: 7	R Hip Flexors	26.2 ± 6.7; 42.8 ± 14.8; 45.4 ± 13.6		Pre-Post: p = 0.005 F(1,7) = 17.91; Post-follow-up: p = 0.513 F(1,7) = 0.48
			L Knee Extensors	39.7 ± 11.6; 52.2 ± 17.5; 58.9 ± 21.0		Pre-Post: p = 0.016 F(1,7) = 10.06; Post-follow-up: p = 0.121 F(1,7) = 3.11
Blundell, S.W. et al; 2003	Functional exercises (6 weeks)		R Knee Extensors	40.1 ± 10.8; 55.4 ± 17.3; 60.9 ± 22.9		Pre-Post: p = 0.002 F(1,7) = 23.96; Post-follow-up: p = 0.229 F(1,7) = 1.74
			L Knee Flexors	26.2 ± 9.6; 35.6 ± 14.4; 41.9 ± 17.5		Pre-Post: p = 0.104 F(1,7) = 3.48; Post-follow-up: p = 0.354 F(1,7) = 0.99
			R Knee Flexors	25.2 ± 9.5; 34.5 ± 13.7; 42.1 ± 15.7		Pre-Post: p = 0.055 F(1,7) = 5.30; Post-follow-up: p = 0.169 F(1,7) = 2.35
			L Plantarflexors	45.6 ± 18.8; 57.1 ± 21.9; 61.5 ± 24.2		Pre-Post: p = 0.041 F(1,7) = 6.24; Post-follow-up: p = 0.626 F(1,7) = 0.26
			R Plantarflexors	43.1 ± 24.1; 57.3 ± 22.6; 56.0 ± 17.3		Pre-Post: p = 0.165 F(1,7) = 2.41; Post-follow-up: p = 0.892 F(1,7) = 0.02
			L Dorsiflexors	16.6 ± 8.0; 25.3 ± 13.6; 19.5 ± 9.0		Pre-Post: p = 0.063 F(1,7) = 4.88; Post-follow-up: p = 0.089 F(1,7) = 3.89
			R Dorsiflexors	13.0 ± 7.9; 20.7 ± 11.0; 22.2 ± 12.1		Pre-Post: F(1,7) = 13.19 p = 0.008; Post-follow-up: p = 0.389 F(1,7) = 0.85
			Knee extensor torque 60°/s	1.53 ± 0.64 , 1.63 ± 0.78	1.38 ± 0.50 , 1.35 ± 0.55	ANCOVA, effect size = 0.149, p = 0.045
Chen et al. 2012	Cycling in Virtual Reality (Home) (12	I : 13, C: 15	Knee extensor torque 120°/s	1.09 ± 0.53 ,1.42 ± 0.60	1.11 ± 0.45 ,1.04 ± 0.59	ANCOVA, effect size = 0.250, p = 0.008
	weeks)		Knee flexion torque 60°/s	0.50 ± 0.29, 0.47 ± 0.30	0.71 ± 0.40, 0.48 ± 0.33	ANCOVA, effect size = 0.179, p = 0.028
			Knee flexion torque 120°/s	0.47 ± 0.26, 0.64 ± 0.37	0.48 ± 0.21 , 0.39 ± 0.28	ANCOVA, effect size = 0.300, p = 0.003
				Lower Limb	Upper Limb	
Crompton, J., et al 2007	PRT with functional exercises (plus upper body dexterity) (6 weeks; 6	I: 6 C: 7	Isometric knee extensor strenght with handheld dyno. N/Kg (less impaired side	Median (25th;75th centile): 2.82 (1.80;2.95); 2.15 (1.58;3.05); 3.00 (2.45;3.97). Overall time effect: p = 0.180	Median (25th;75th centile): 1.88 (1.38;2.66); 2.00 (1.42;3.86); 2.65 (1.44;3.23). Overall time effect: p = 0.513	Pre-Post: p=0.48 z = -0.71; Pre-follow-up: p=0.67 z = 0.43

	weersj		Isometric knee extensor strength with handheld dyno. N/Kg (More impaired side)	Median (25th;75th centile): 2.45 (1.80;3.15); 2.38 (1.88;3.13); 2.83 (2.39;3.09). Overall time effect: p = 0.867	Median (25th;75th centile): 2.02 (1.60;2.45); 2.59 (1.48;3.67); 2.75 (2.24;3.98). Overall time effect: p = 0.006	Pre-Post: p=0.15 z = -1.43; Pre-follow-up: p=0.09 z = -1.71
			Quadriceps 90 degrees	115.8 ± 26.6; 173.1 ± 51.8		p < 0.001
Damiano, D. L., 1995	PRT (6 weeks)	l: 14	Quadriceps 60 degrees	88.2 ±27.1; 162.9 ± 48.2		p < 0.001
Daimano, D. L., 1995	rni (o weeks)	1. 14	Quadriceps 30 degrees	54.4 ± 23.2; 134.0 ± 44.7		p < 0.001
			Hamstrings	Pre-3 weeks: 56.8 ± 31.8; 67.7 ± 32.1		p = 0.085

PHYSICAL ACTIVITY LEVELS

Study	Intervention type (duration pre- post; post-follow up [when available])	Subject number	Outcomes	Intervention group	Control group	Intervention effectiveness s
	RCT	s with wheelchair users				
	Sport/games-based aerobic.(4 times per week participation in the training programme) (9 months; 3 months)	I:10, C:10	Level of daily physical activity (calculated as the ratio of TEE to SMR or RMR)	1.34 ± 0.25; 1.55 ± 0.18; NA. p = 0.07	1.24 ± 0.21; 1.34 ± 0.20; NA. NS	NS
Van Den Berg-Emons, R. J., et al 1998	Sport/games-based aerobic. (2 times per week participation in the training programme) (9 months)		Level of daily physical activity (calculated as the ratio of TEE to SMR or RMR)	1.18 ± 0.2; 1.29 ± 0.2; NA. p<0.05	1.24 ± 0.21; 1.34 ± 0.20. NS	NS
			I	T	-	
			Strides (n/day)	347 ± 98; 369 ± 97; 393 ± 92	354 ± 95; 347 ± 77; 381 ± 81	Δ (95%CI) pre-post: 26 (–10 to 61). pre-follow up: 22 (–19 -
			Objective Inactive time (minutes/day)		354 ± 95; 347 ± 77; 381 ± 81	Δ (95%CI). pre-post: 26 (–10 - 61). pre-follow up: 22 (–19 - 62)
			Parent reported: Moderate to vigorous activity (min/wk)		Median (IQR): 400 (160–635); 317 (71–668); 360 (215–590)	Δ (95%CI). pre-post: 2.2 (1.1 - 4.4). pre-follow up: 1.1 (0.7 - 1.8)
	Complex: Life-style counselling and		Parent reported: Inactive (min/wk)	2653 ± 1065; 2199 ± 996; 2223 ± 944	2659 ± 812; 2170 ± 1320; 2783 ± 1058	Δ (95%CI). pre-post: 140 (–550 - 831). pre-follow up: –494 (–1099 - 111)
Van Wely, et al. (2014) b	fitness training (6 months; 6 months)) I: 23 C: 23	Children's attitude		Median (IQR): 4.0 (3.7–4.6); 4.0	
			disadvantages		(3.4–4.3); 3.9 (3.5–4.5)	pre-post: p = 0.08. pre-follow up: p = 0.04
			Children's attitude advantages		Median (IQR): 3.8 (3.5–4.2); 3.7 (3.1–4.2); 3.7 (3.3–4.1)	pre-post: p = 0.02. pre-follow up: p = 0.56
				Median (IQR): 4.13 (0.60); NA; 4.07	Median (IQR): 4.22 (0.58); NA; 4.19	
				(0.56)	(0.46)	Δ (95%CI). pre-follow up: -0.08 (-0.36 - 0.21)
			Parents' attitude advantages	Median (IQR): 3.40 (0.48); NA; 3.34 (0.67)	(0.67)	Δ (95%CI). pre-follow up: -0.11 (-0.53 - 0.31)
	non P	CTs with wheelchair us	ove			
Gordon, et al. (2013)	Nintendo Wii (6weeks; -)	l:7 C:-	Percentage attendance	100% amongst 6 completers		NA
	DCT with a smale	at any contains over distinguish and	a a labata was			·
	1	tion with undefined wh	1			
DeGroot, J.F., 2011	Walking (treadmill with body weight support) (12 weeks)	I:18 ,C:14	Self-reported physical activity (min/wk)	415.4 ± 171 , Δ = -8.3 ± 273.1. NS	335.2 ± 176.2, Δ = −22.4 ± 145.3. NS	p = 0.66 d = NA
			!		,	
			Weekly Step counts	59329 ± 28102; 61749 ± 20972; 68803 ± 30085	60558 ± 22203; 48369 ± 21390; 57202 ± 28340	Pre-post: p = 0.06 F = 3.9; pre-follow-up: p = 0.14 F = 2.1
			Weekly MVPA minutes	140.8 ± 89.7,210.4 ± 76.2,241.1 ± 119.1	139 ± 83.0,146.5 ± 78.4,196.6 ± 122.0	Pre-post: p = 0.06 F = 3.7; pre-follow-up: p = 0.27, F = 1.3
	Internet-based education (10 weeks;		Weekly Distance	42.3 ± 23.4, 45.3 ± 17.5 ,49.3 ± 22.8	44.6 ± 18.8,35.5 ± 16.0,40.5 ± 21.4	Pre-post: p = 0.05 F = 4.1; pre-follow-up: p = 0.09 F = 2.5
Maher, C.A., 2010	10 weeks)	I:41, C:41	Average daily physical activity level (MARCA)	1.46 ± 0.18,1.43 ± 0.14 ,1.47 ± 0.16	1.46 ± 0.14,1.45 ± 0.14,1.48 ± 0.15	Pre-post: p= 0.56 F = 0.4; pre-follow-up: p = 0.83 F = 0.2
			Average daily MVPA (minutes MARCA)	76.7 ± 69.3,66.6 ± 55.7,77.2 ± 60.2	86.7 ± 59.6, 64.2 ± 36.6, 85.7 ± 71.0	Pre-post: p= 0.88 F = 0.9; pre-follow-up: p = 0.78 F = 0.3

			Average daily screen time (minutes; MARCA)	280.1 ± 104.5,292.3 ± 136.7,283.1 ± 120.6	220.1 ± 83.1,272.9 ± 109.1,248.5 ± 119.3	Pre-post: p= 0.17 F = 1.9 ; pre-follow-up: p = 0.39 F = 1.0
			(IIIIIates, WAICA)	120.0	119.5	γτε-ροστ. ρ= 0.17 τ = 1.3 , ρτε-τοποw-αρ. ρ = 0.33 τ = 1.0
Verschuren, O., et al,;2007	Functional exercises (8 months)	I: 32 C: 33	Participation (CAPE) - Physical activities	$\Delta = 0.3 \pm 0.8$	$\Delta = -0.3 \pm 0.7$	p = 0.005
	Non-RCT with popu	ulation with undefined	wheelchair use			
				Lower Limb	Upper Limb	
Crompton, J., et al 2007	PRT with functional exercises (plus upper body dexterity) (6 weeks; 6 weeks)	I: 6 C: 7	Time spent upright (standing/walking) by positional activity	Median (25th;75th centile): 5.60 (4.64;6.77); 5.18 (4.29;6.28); 4.38 (3.84;6.65). Overall time effect: p = 0.066	Median (25th;75th centile): 4.66 (3.78;5.50); 3.93 (3.01;4.63); 3.77 (3.47;5.94). Overall time effect: p=0.311	pre-post: p=0.19 z=-1.29; pre-follow-up: p=1.0 z=0.0
			Frequency of half pedalling cycles	Participnat 1: 309; 900. Participant 2: 381; 610	NA	p<0.05
Lancioni, G.E., 2004	Emotional stimulation (~7 months)	I:2, C:-	Frequency of step contact	Participant 1: 43; 144. Participant 2: 107; 173	NA	p<0.05
			Objective sedentary time (% wakening day)	41.09 ± 16.77; 43.91 ± 4.90; 38.69 ± 6.67	46.16 ± 12.67; 46.05 ± 13.07; 46.76 ± 12.52	NS
Slaman, et al. (2014)	Slaman, et al. (2014) Complex: Life-style counselling and cardio-pulmonary fitness (6 months; 6 months)		Objective dynamic activities (% 24h)		8.26 ± 2.94; 7.88 ± 3.77; 7.92 ± 4.63	NS
			Physical Activity Scale for Individuals with Physical Disabilities	12.75 ± 8.09; 20.29 ± 14.07; 17.09 ±		pre-post: p=0.05. pre-follow up: NS

UNCONVENTIAL REPORTING STATS

Study	Intervention type (duration pre- post; post-follow up [when available])	Subject number	Outcomes
Buffart, L.M; et al (2010)	Complex programme personalised activities (10 weeks)	Sample: 2 disabled participants - one ambulatory and other non-ambulatory)	Ambulatory status Attendance rate of the fitness training Self-reported physical activity scale - Rating of perceived exertion PASIPD (Physical Activity Scale for Individuals with Physical Disabilities) total (kJ/kg/day) Distance 6MWD (m) Peak V_ O2 (L/min) PeakW (W) PeakHR (bpm) PeakRER Satisfaction with the intervention. Numeric scale (0-10)
			Energy expenditure index (EEI) EEI - walking speed Hip abductor strength 14-26

Fragala-Pinkham, M., (2005)	PRT vs Complex programme: PRT and aerobic exercise. With follow up at home (14 weeks supervised group; 12 weeks home)	group = 9; home = 7	Ankle plantar-flexor strength Self-perception profile (SPP) Functional and Gross Motor Abilities (GMFM - 66 or below) The Mobility domain of the Functional Skills part of the Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory (PEDI) Bruininks-Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency (BOTMP) Shuttle run (s) 1-mile run (min) curl ups (count) modified push-ups (count)
			sit and reach (cm)

Kelly, M & Legg, D. (2009).

Complex programme: PRT, aerobic exercise and sports

Complex programme: PRT, aerobic exercise and sports

Plantar flexion

Knee extension

Felation to "defined level of chance". No combined results. See Pages 12-15.

Plantar flexion

No complex programme: PRT, aerobic individual child in relation to "defined level of chance". No combined results. See Pages 12-15.

Energy efficiency index (EEI)

Canadian Occupational Performance Measure (COPM)

Satisfaction ratings

Intervention group	Control group	Intervention effectiveness
Воу	Girl	Change
Nonfunctional ambulator	Ambulated without limitations	
67%	83%	
8; 10	5; 9	
43; 65.0	33.9; 59.4	Boy; 51% increase.Girl: 76% increase.
698; 810	507; 550	Boy: 16% increase . Girl: 9% increase.
1.94; 2.70	2.2; 2.13	Boy: 39% increase. Girl: No improvement.
120; 160	180; 180	
184; 184	179; 181	
1.17; 1.14	1.14; 1.22	
NA; 10	NA; 7	

Minimal detectable change: See Table 2 p.1188.	
± 0.18bpm	0-14 weeks: 6/9 decreased; 14-26 weeks 3/7 increased, 0/7 decreased
± 11.7m/min	0-14 weeks: 6/9 increased. 0-26 weeks: not different
± 3.0 kg	0-14 weeks: 3/9 increased. 14-26 weeks: 1/7 decreased 0/7 increased.
± 2.05 kg	0-14: 7/9 increased. 14-12: 2 that improved decreased

± 2.98 kg	0-14: 7/9 increased, 1/9 decreased. 14-26: 4/6 that improved no change, 2/6 decreased			
± 1.21	no changes			
± 2.11				
± 7.0	0-14: 6/9 improved GMFM-66 or BOTMP; 14-26: 4/6-that improved decreased to baseline			
± 8.5				
2 sec	0-14: 5/8. 14-26: all maintained			
30 sec	0-14 weeks: 4/4improved. 14-26: 4/4 declined			
5	0-14: 4/9 improved; 14-26: 2/4 that improved imroved, 1 decline			
5	0-14: 4/5 improved; no further changes			
3	0-14 weeks: 5/8 improved. 14-26: 2/6 decreased - both had improved			
	4/6 children demonstrated increased strength beyond defined level of chance.			

children.

children.

3/6 strength measures.

Muscle strength outcomes were variable within and across

Muscle strength outcomes were variable within and across

4/6 increased some strength parameter. All increased in at least

6/6 demonstreated some improvements. 4/6 change beyond
chance
Improvemetns noted for all (5) children.
Satisfaction scores ranged from 2-8 out of 10 at baseline and from
8-10 post-intervention.