Electronic Supplementary Information

Title: *In Silico* before *In Vivo*: how to Predict the Heating Efficiency of Magnetic Nanoparticles within the Intracellular Space

Beatriz Sanz^{a,†}, M. Pilar Calatayud^{a,b}, Emilio De Biasi^c, Enio Lima Jr.^c, Marcelo Vasquez Mansilla^c, Roberto D. Zysler^c, M. Ricardo Ibarra^{a,b} and Gerardo F. Goya^{a,b,*}

^a Instituto de Nanociencia de Aragón (INA), Universidad de Zaragoza, 50018 Zaragoza,

Spain

^b Departamento de Física de la Materia Condensada, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad

de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

^c Centro Atómico Bariloche / CONICET, Bariloche, CP 8400, Argentina

*Corresponding author

E-mail: goya@unizar.es

[†] Currently at *nB nanoScale Biomagnetics S. L., Zaragoza, Spain.*

Table S1. Saturation magnetization M_s , coercive field H_c , average particle core diameter <d>, average hydrodynamic diameter in water <d_H>_{water}, and in DMEM <d>_{DMEM}, of the magnetic nanoparticles used in this work.

Sample	M _s Am²/kg	H _c kA/m	<d> nm</d>	<d<sub>H>_{water} nm</d<sub>	<d<sub>н>_{DMEM} nm</d<sub>
PEI-MNPs	51.9	5.6	25±5	73±25	3094±730
PAA-MNPs	51.6	5.6	32±6	155±25	1305±381

Table S2. SPA values (H₀ = 24 kA/m, *f* = 560 kHz) of *as prepared* magnetic nanoparticles in water, protein-rich medium (DMEM) and *in vitro*. Also de values of exponent λ obtained from the fit of the power law SPA(H) = Φ H^{λ} are tabulated.

Sample	WATER		DMEM		Cells	
	SPA (W/g _{Fe3O4})	λ	SPA (W/g _{Fe3O4})	λ	SPA (W/g _{Fe3O4})	λ
PEI- MNPs	274±37	3.6(3)	185±16	4.3(3)	157±19	4.0(3)
PAA- MNPs	413±42	4.8(3)	378±47	6.2(4)	217±12	3.9(3)



Figure S1. TEM images of the two magnetic particles used in this work. Left panel: PEI-MNPs, magnified area and resulting particle size histogram fitted with a Gaussian function. Right panel: PAA-MNPs, magnified area and resulting particle size histogram.



Figure S2. Room-temperature magnetization curves as a function of applied field for poly(ethyleneimine)-coated nanoparticles (open circles) and poly(acrylic acid)coated nanoparticles (solid circles).



Figure S3. SPA vs. frequency (f) measured at a fixed amplitude H₀ = 18.5 kA/m. Dashed lines are the best-fit curves determined using the relation SPA(f) = $A\left(\frac{Bf^2}{1+(Bf)^2}\right)$.



Figure S4. FIB-SEM Dual Beam images of SH-SY5Y cells incubated with PEI-MNPs (100 μ g·ml⁻¹) for 24 hours. Lower panels: cross section images showing a detail of the dense cluster structures observed inside the cells and across the cell membrane.



Figure S5. FIB-SEM images of SH-SY5Y cells incubated with PAA-MNPs (100 μ g·ml⁻¹) for 24 hours. The lower panels show a cross-sectioned cell (left) and a magnification of the NPs clusters (right)



Figure S6. FIB-SEM images of SH-SY5Y cells incubated with PEI-MNPs and PAA-MNPs for 24 hours.