1	Supplement
2	eTable 1. Summary of Analysis Approaches for the 16-Week Primary Outcome
3 4	<b>eTable 2.</b> Cross Tabulation of Baseline versus 16-Week Amblyopic-Eye Visual Acuity by Treatment Group
5 6	<b>eTable 3.</b> Mean Change (LogMAR Line) in Amblyopic-Eye Visual Acuity from Baseline to 16 Weeks by Treatment Group according to Subgroups of Baseline Factors
7 8	<b>eTable 4.</b> Randot Stereoacuity at Baseline and 16-Week Primary Outcome by Treatment Group
9 10	<b>eTable 5.</b> Median Change in Stereoacuity (Log Seconds of Arc) from Baseline to 16 Weeks by Treatment Group according to Subgroups of Baseline Factors
11 12	<b>eTable 6.</b> Change in Mean Fellow-Eye Visual Acuity from Baseline to 16 Weeks by Treatment Group
13 14 15	<b>eTable 7.</b> Distribution of Diplopia Frequency at 16 Weeks and Maximum Frequency of Diplopia across Follow-up according to Parent / Participant Responses by Treatment Group
16 17	<b>eFigure 1.</b> Change in Amblyopic-Eye Visual Acuity from Baseline across Follow-up Visits
18 19	<b>eFigure 2.</b> Relationship between Change in 4-Week Outcomes and Objective Compliance Measures in the Binocular Group
20 21	<b>eFigure 3.</b> Relationship between Change in 16-Week Outcomes and Objective Compliance Measures in the Binocular Group
22 23 24	<b>eFigure 4.</b> Relationship between Change in Amblyopic-Eye VA from Baseline to 4 Weeks and Objective Compliance Measures in the Binocular Group at 4 Weeks according to Baseline Subgroups of Age with and without Prior Amblyopia Treatment
25 26 27	<b>eFigure 5.</b> Relationship between Change in Amblyopic-Eye VA from Baseline to 16 Weeks and Objective Compliance Measures in the Binocular Group at 16 Weeks according to Baseline Subgroups of Age with and without Prior Amblyopia Treatment
28 29 30	<b>eFigure 6.</b> Relationship between Change in Stereoacuity from Baseline to 4 Weeks and Objective Compliance Measures in the Binocular Group at 4 Weeks according to Baseline Subgroups of Age with and without Prior Amblyopia Treatment
31 32 33	<b>eFigure 7.</b> Relationship between Change in Stereoacuity from Baseline to 16 Weeks and Objective Compliance Measures in the Binocular Group at 16 Weeks according to Baseline Subgroups of Age with and without Prior Amblyopia Treatment
	Page 1 of 18

#### eTable 1. Summary of Analysis Approaches for the 16-Week Primary Outcome 34

Analysis Approach <sup>a</sup>	Adjusted Treatment Group Difference (Line) <sup>b</sup> (Patching – Binocular Group)	Upper Limit of 95% CI (Line) <sup>c</sup>
Primary Analysis: Modified intent-to-treat analysis limited to participants with a 16-week exam completed within the analysis window (14 to <20 weeks after randomization). No imputation for missing data	0.31	0.53
Include multiple imputation for participants with a missing 16-week exam (n=15) or a 16-week exam outside the analysis window (n=7) based on baseline age and all available amblyopic-eye visual acuities from visits prior to 16 weeks	0.31	0.54
Include 16-week exams completed outside the analysis window (14 to 28 weeks after randomization, n=7)	0.33	0.56
Exclude 16-week exams completed outside the $16 \pm 1$ week protocol window (n=35)	0.30	0.53
Exclude 16-week exams from participants later found to be ineligible (n=7)	0.30	0.53
Exclude participants who received alternative treatment for ≥ 1 week during follow-up (n=4)	0.33	0.55

35 <sup>a</sup> For analyses other than the primary analysis, the modification to the primary analysis is specified.

36 37 <sup>b</sup> Treatment group difference (Patching – Binocular Group) in mean change in amblyopic-eye visual acuity from baseline to 16 weeks, adjusted for baseline covariates of age and amblyopic-eye visual acuity.

38 39 <sup>c</sup> Upper limit of the 1-sided 95% confidence interval computed on the treatment group difference (Patching – Binocular Group),

adjusted for baseline covariates of age and amblyopic-eye visual acuity. The upper-limit of the 1-sided 95% confidence interval for 40 41 all of the analysis approaches exceeded the pre-specified non-inferiority margin of 0.5 line, consistent with the primary analysis result.

## eTable 2. Cross Tabulation of Baseline versus 16-Week Amblyopic-Eye Visual Acuity by Treatment Group

			Dis	stribut	ion of	Ambly	opic-e	ye Vis	ual Ac	uity at	Baseli	ne			
20/	/40	20/	/50	20	/63	20	/80	20/	100	20/	125	20/	160	20/	200
(68	-72	(63	-67	(58	-62	(53	-57	(48	-52	(43	-47	(38	8-42	(33	8-37
Lett	ers)	Lett	ers)	Lett	ers)	Lett	ers)	Lett	ers)	Lett	ers)	Let	ters)	Lett	ters)
Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	1	20
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	17	1	20
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	13	1	13	4	67	2	40
0	0	1	2	0	0	2	7	4	25	3	38	1	17	1	20
0	0	1	2	3	9	7	24	5	31	2	25	0	0	0	0
1	4	7	13	6	19	7	24	3	19	1	13	0	0	0	0
2	8	13	24	8	25	6	21	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	31	12	22	8	25	4	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	38	16	29	6	19	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	12	3	5	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	13	0	0	0	0
1	4	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	20, (68 Lett <b>N</b> 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 8 10 3 1 1 1	20/40 (68-72 Letters) N % 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 2 8 8 31 10 38 3 12 1 4 1 4 1 4	20/40   20/     (68-72   (63)     Letters)   Lett     N   %   N     0   0   0     0   0   0     0   0   0     0   0   0     0   0   0     0   0   1     0   0   1     0   0   1     1   4   7     2   8   13     8   31   12     10   38   16     3   12   3     1   4   2     1   4   0	20/40     20/50       (68-72     (63-67       Letters)     Letters)       N     %     N       0     0     0       0     0     0       0     0     0       0     0     0       0     0     0       0     0     0       0     0     1       2     8     13     24       8     31     12     22       10     38     16     29       3     12     3     5       1     4     2     4       1     4     0     0	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Distribution of Ambly $20/40$ $20/50$ $20/63$ $20/63$ $(68-72)$ $(63-67)$ $(58-62)$ $(53)$ Letters)Letters)Letters)Letters)N%N%N0012000123001281471361424014240140013123500140013123500140013	Distribution of Ambly opic-eg $20/40$ $20/50$ $20/63$ $20/80$ $(68-72)$ $(63-67)$ $(58-62)$ $(53-57)$ Letters)Letters)Letters)Letters)N%N%N001300120012147136197241471361913312350013142400131400	Distribution of Amblyopic-eye Vis $20/40$ $20/50$ $20/63$ $20/80$ $20/7$ $(68-72)$ $(63-67)$ $(58-62)$ $(53-57)$ $(48)$ Letters)Letters)Letters)Letters)Letters)Letters)N%N%N%N000000100012397243281324103816296191312350011424000014001300	Distribution of Amblyopic-eye Visual Act $20/40$ $20/50$ $20/63$ $20/80$ $20/100$ (68-72)(63-67)(58-62)(53-57)(48-52)Letters)Letters)Letters)Letters)Letters)N%N%N%N0000001000131471361972428132482562111471361913001350013000142400000	Distribution of Amblyopic-eye Visual Acuity at 20/40     20/50     20/63     20/80     20/100     20/ (68-72     20/63-67     (58-62     (53-57     (48-52     (43- (43-52)     20/ (43-52     21- (4-25     21- (4-25 <th< td=""><td>Distribution of Amblyopic-eye Visual Acuity at Baseli       20/40     20/50     20/63     20/80     20/100     20/125     (43-47)       (68-72     (63-67)     (58-62)     (53-57)     (48-52)     (43-47)       Letters)     Letters)     Letters)     Letters)     Letters)     Letters)     Letters)     Letters)       N     %     N     %     N     %     N     %     N     %       0     0     0     0     0     0     1     6     0     0       0</td><td>Distribution of Amblyopic-eye Visual Acuity at Baseline       20/40     20/50     20/63     20/80     20/100     20/125     20/       (68-72     (63-67     (58-62     (53-57     (48-52     (43-47     (38       Letters)     Letters)</td><td><math display="block">\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c</math></td><td>Distribution of Amblyopic-eye Visual Acuity at Baseline       20/40 (68-72     20/50 (63-67     20/63 (58-62     20/80 (53-57     20/100 (48-52     20/125 (43-47     20/160 (38-42     20/30 (38-42       Letters)     Letters)</td></th<>	Distribution of Amblyopic-eye Visual Acuity at Baseli       20/40     20/50     20/63     20/80     20/100     20/125     (43-47)       (68-72     (63-67)     (58-62)     (53-57)     (48-52)     (43-47)       Letters)     Letters)     Letters)     Letters)     Letters)     Letters)     Letters)     Letters)       N     %     N     %     N     %     N     %     N     %       0     0     0     0     0     0     1     6     0     0       0	Distribution of Amblyopic-eye Visual Acuity at Baseline       20/40     20/50     20/63     20/80     20/100     20/125     20/       (68-72     (63-67     (58-62     (53-57     (48-52     (43-47     (38       Letters)     Letters)	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Distribution of Amblyopic-eye Visual Acuity at Baseline       20/40 (68-72     20/50 (63-67     20/63 (58-62     20/80 (53-57     20/100 (48-52     20/125 (43-47     20/160 (38-42     20/30 (38-42       Letters)     Letters)

#### eTable 2. (continued) 46 47

-	Distribution of Amblyopic-Eye Visual Acuity at Baseline <sup>b</sup>															
	20	/40	20	/50	20	/63	20	/80	20/	100	20/	125	20/	/160	20/	200
Patching Group (N=186) <sup>a</sup>	(68	3-72	(63	3-67	(58	3-62	(53	3-57	(48	3-52	(43-47		(38-42		(33-37	
	Lett	ters)	Lett	ers)	Let	ters)	Let	ters)	Lett	ters)	Let	ters)	Let	ters)	Let	ters)
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
Distribution of Amblyopic- eye Visual Acuity at 16																
Weeks																
20/200 (33-37 Letters)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	0	0
20/160 (38-42 Letters)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	29	1	33
20/125 (43-47 Letters)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	25	1	14	0	0
20/100 (48-52 Letters)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	3	25	0	0	2	29	1	33
20/80 (53-57 Letters)	0	0	0	0	2	4	7	29	3	25	3	75	1	14	1	33
20/63 (58-62 Letters)	1	2	2	4	8	18	8	33	3	25	0	0	0	0	0	0
20/50 (63-67 Letters)	5	12	14	28	14	31	3	13	2	17	0	0	0	0	0	0
20/40 (68-72 Letters)	7	17	15	30	10	22	4	17	1	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
20/32 (73-77 Letters)	9	22	8	16	9	20	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20/25 (78-82 Letters)	14	34	6	12	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20/20 (83-87 Letters)	5	12	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20/16 (88-92 Letters)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	0	0

<sup>48</sup> 49 50

<sup>a</sup> The distribution of visual acuity level at baseline and the 16-week visit was only tabulated for participants who completed the 16-week visit within the pre-defined analysis window (14 to <20 weeks after randomization).

51 <sup>b</sup> Percentages reflect the distribution of 16-week amblyopic-eye visual acuity scores within each level of visual acuity at baseline by treatment group.

## eTable 3. Mean Change (LogMAR Line) in Amblyopic-Eye Visual Acuity from Baseline to 16 Weeks by Treatment Group according to Subgroups of Baseline Factors <sup>a</sup>

	Bi	nocular Gi	roup (I	N=177)	Pa				
	Bas (lo	eline VA gMAR)	16-\ C (	Veek VA hange Line)	Bas (Ic	eline VA ogMAR)	16- (	Week VA Change (Line)	P-value (2-sided) <sup>c</sup>
	Ν	Mean (SD)	Ν	Mean (SD)	Ν	Mean (SD)	N	Mean (SD)	
Overall	177	0.52 (0.17)	177	1.1 (1.5)	186	0.48 (0.16)	18 6	1.3 (1.3)	
Gender									
Female	89	0.53 (0.19)	89	1.2 (1.5)	83	0.50 (0.17)	83	1.4 (1.2)	0.83
Male	88	0.50 (0.16)	88	1.0 (1.4)	103	0.47 (0.16)	10 3	1.3 (1.3)	
Race/Ethnicity <sup>b</sup>		. ,				. ,			
White/Non-Hispanic	122	0.51 (0.17)	122	1.0 (1.5)	139	0.49 (0.17)	13 9	1.3 (1.2)	0.54
Non-White or Hispanic	54	0.52 (0.17)	54	1.2 (1.5)	44	0.48 (0.14)	44	1.3 (1.4)	
Baseline Amblyopic-Eye Visual Acuity (Snellen)									
20/80 to 20/200	64	0.70 (0.13)	64	1.1 (1.6)	50	0.70 (0.13)	50	1.3 (1.3)	
20/63	32	0.49 (0.03)	32	1.3 (1.6)	45	0.50 (0.03)	45	1.4 (1.3)	0.99
20/50	55	0.41 (0.02)	55	1.0 (1.3)	50	0.40 (0.02)	50	1.3 (1.3)	
20/40	26	0.31 (0.03)	26	0.8 (1.2)	41	0.31 (0.02)	41	1.2 (1.2)	
Baseline Stereoacuity									
Nil	68	0.58 (0.18)	68	0.7 (1.3)	55	0.54 (0.18)	55	1.1 (1.1)	0.33
Better than Nil	109	0.47 (0.15)	109	1.3 (1.5)	131	0.46 (0.15)	13 1	1.4 (1.3)	
Presence of a Near Heterotropia at Baseline									
No	122	0.52 (0.17)	122	1.1 (1.3)	117	0.49 (0.17)	11 7	1.3 (1.2)	0.23
Yes	55	0.51 (0.17)	55	0.9 (1.7)	69	0.48 (0.15)	69	1.4 (1.3)	
Age at Baseline (Years)									
5 to <7	39	0.53 (0.19)	39	1.9 (1.8)	49	0.45 (0.13)	49	2.0 (1.4)	0.80
7 to <13	138	0.51 (0.17)	138	0.8 (1.2)	137	0.50 (0.17)	13 7	1.1 (1.1)	

		Biı	nocular Gi	roup (I	N=177)	Ра	atching Gro	oup (l	N=186)	
		Bas (lo	eline VA gMAR)	16-\ C (	Veek VA hange Line)	Baseline VA (logMAR)		16-Week VA Change (Line)		P-value (2-sided) <sup>c</sup>
		Ν	Mean (SD)	Ν	Mean (SD)	Ν	Mean (SD)	Ν	Mean (SD)	
Prior Ambly	opia Treatment									
No		41	0.51 (0.15)	41	1.9 (1.5)	39	0.51 (0.17)	39	2.2 (1.3)	0.87
Yes		136	0.52 (0.18)	136	0.8 (1.4)	147	0.48 (0.16)	14 7	1.1 (1.2)	
Prior Treatm (Years)	nent within Age at Baseline									
5 to <7	No Prior Treatment	19	0.50 (0.16)	19	2.5 (1.5)	19	0.46 (0.13)	19	2.8 (0.8)	
	Prior Treatment	20	0.56 (0.21)	20	1.4 (1.9)	30	0.44 (0.13)	30	1.4 (1.4)	
7 to <13	No Prior Treatment	22	0.52 (0.14)	22	1.3 (1.2)	20	0.56 (0.19)	20	1.5 (1.3)	
	Prior Treatment	116	0.51 (0.17)	116	0.7 (1.2)	117	0.49 (0.17)	11 7	1.0 (1.1)	

VA = visual acuity, logMAR = logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution; SD = standard deviation

<sup>a</sup> Analyses were limited to participants who completed the 16-week visit within the pre-defined analysis window (14 to <20 weeks after randomization).

<sup>b</sup> Four participants (1 binocular group, 3 patching group) were excluded due to unknown/not reported race/ethnicity classification.

<sup>c</sup> P-value based on the addition of an interaction term between treatment group and each factor to the primary analysis model.

Baseline factors of age and amblyopic-eye visual acuity were treated as continuous variables in the regression models. All of the subgroup factors were pre-specified except for baseline stereoacuity.

		Bas	eline		16-Week Visit					
All Participants	Bino Gro (N=	cular oup 190)	Pato Gro (N=	hing oup 195)	Bino Gro (N=	cular oup 182)	Patching Group (N=188)			
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%		
Randot Stereoacuity (Secon	ds of arc) <sup>a</sup>									
Missing/Not Done	0	0	0	0	1	<1	0	0		
Nil	69	36	57	29	62	34	50	27		
2000	28	15	37	19	32	18	32	17		
800	18	9	26	13	19	10	28	15		
400	17	9	19	10	14	8	17	9		
200	23	12	18	9	15	8	27	14		
100	23	12	23	12	17	9	19	10		
60	10	5	9	5	10	5	11	6		
40	2	1	6	3	12	7	4	2		
Median	20	00	8	00	20	00	8	00		

## eTable 4. Randot Stereoacuity at Baseline and 16-Week Primary Outcome by **Treatment Group**

		Base	line		16-Week Visit					
Participants without Strabismus	Bino Gro (N=*	cular oup 107)	Pato Gro (N=	:hing oup :92)	Binc Gr (N=	ocular oup :105)	Patching Group (N=90)			
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%		
Randot Stereoacuity (Seconds of	farc) <sup>a</sup>									
Missing/Not Done	0	0	0	0	1	<1	0	0		
Nil	29	27	13	14	23	22	13	14		
2000	14	13	17	18	14	13	12	13		
800	8	7	11	12	12	11	14	16		
400	10	9	10	11	10	10	10	11		
200	18	17	12	13	12	11	17	19		
100	19	18	17	18	14	13	15	17		
60	7	7	7	8	9	9	6	7		
40	2 2		5	5	10 10		3	3		
Median	40	0	40	00	40	0	400			

<sup>61</sup> 62 63 64 65 66 67

<sup>a</sup> Results of the Randot Butterfly stereoacuity test were analyzed as 2000 seconds of arc (if correct response). Nil was defined as an incorrect response on the butterfly (n=67 and n=56 in binocular and patching groups at baseline, respectively; n=61 and n=50 in binocular and patching groups at 16 weeks, respectively), or on the 800 seconds of arc level of the Randot Preschool stereoacuity test if the butterfly was not attempted (n=2 and n=1 in binocular treatment and patching groups at baseline, respectively; n=1 and n=0 in the binocular and patching groups at 16 weeks, respectively).

## eTable 4. (continued)

	A	II Parti	cipants	а	Pa	Participants without Strabismus <sup>a</sup>					
	Bino Gro (N= <sup>-</sup>	cular oup 181)	Patc Gro (N=	hing oup 188)	Bino Gro (N=	cular oup 104)	Pato Gro (N=	hing oup 90)			
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%			
Change in Randot Stered	acuity from	n Baseli	ine to 16	6 Weeks	s (Level	s) <sup>b</sup>					
≥ 2 Levels Worse	19	11	24	13	12	12	14	16			
Within 1 Level	130	72	122	65	67	64	58	64			
≥ 2 Levels Better	32	18	42	22	25	24	18	20			
								78			

<sup>a</sup> Excludes participants with 'Missing/Not Done' stereoacuity responses at either baseline and/or the 16-week primary outcome visit.

 $^{b}$  P = 0.66 and P = 0.19 for the overall cohort and for participants without strabismus, respectively, from Wilcoxon rank-sum test for difference between treatment groups in distribution of levels of change from baseline to 16 weeks.

#### eTable 5. Median Change in Stereoacuity (Log Seconds of Arc) from Baseline to 84 16 Weeks by Treatment Group according to Subgroups of Baseline Factors <sup>a</sup>

85 86

		Bi	nocular Gro	oup (N	=177)	P	atching G	Group (N=186)		
		Ba: Stere	seline eoacuity	Stere Chan W	eoacuity ige at 16 /eeks	Ba Ster	iseline eoacuity	Ster Chai V	eoacuity nge at 16 /eeks	
		Ν	<b>Median</b> <sup>b</sup>	Ν	<b>Median</b> <sup>b</sup>	Ν	<b>Median</b> <sup>b</sup>	Ν	Median <sup>b</sup>	
Overall		177	3.30	176	0	186	2.90	186	0	
Gender										
Female		89	3.30	89	0	83	2.90	83	0	
Male		88	3.30	87	0	103	3.30	103	0	
Race/Ethnic	sity <sup>c</sup>									
White/No	n-Hispanic	122	3.30	122	0	139	3.30	139	0	
Non-Whit	e or Hispanic	54	3.30	53	0	44	2.90	44	0	
Baseline An	nblyopic-Eye Visual Acuity									
(Snellen)										
20/80 to 2	20/200	64	3.60	63	0	50	3.30	50	0	
20/63		32	3.30	32	0	45	2.90	45	0	
20/50		55	2.90	55	0	50	2.90	50	0	
20/40		26	2.30	26	0.11	41	2.60	41	0	
Baseline Ste	ereoacuity									
Nil		68	3.60	67	0	55	3.60	55	0	
Better that	an Nil	109	2.60	109	0	131	2.60	131	0	
Presence of Baseline	a Near Heterotropia at									
No		122	2.90	121	0	117	2.60	117	0	
Yes		55	3.60	55	0	69	3.30	69	0	
Age at Base	line (Years)									
5 to <7		39	3.30	38	0	49	2.90	49	0	
7 to <13		138	3.30	138	0	137	3.30	137	0	
<b>Prior Ambly</b>	opia Treatment									
No		41	2.30	41	0.22	39	2.30	39	0	
Yes		136	3.30	135	0	147	3.30	147	0	
Prior Treatn	nent within Age at Baseline									
(Years)	-									
5 to <7	No Prior Treatment	19	2.30	19	0.22	19	2.30	19	0	
	Prior Treatment		3 30	19	0	30	3 30	30	0	
7 10 110	No. Drien Treature ant	20	0.00	00	0.00	00	0.00	00	0	
7 to <13		22	2.30	22	0.20	20	2.45	20	U	
	Prior Treatment	116	3.30	116	0	117	3.30	117	0	

<sup>a</sup> Analyses were limited to participants who completed the 16-week visit within the pre-defined analysis window (14 to <20 weeks after randomization).

<sup>b</sup> Baseline stereoacuity was measured as log seconds of arc as follows: 1.60 (40'), 1.78 (60'), 2.00 (100'), 2.30 (200'), 2.60 (400'), 2.90 (800'), 3.30 (2000') and 3.60 (nil). Change in stereoacuity was calculated as the difference in the log seconds of arc (baseline -16 weeks).

<sup>c</sup> Four participants (1 binocular group, 3 patching group) were excluded due to unknown/not reported race/ethnicity classification.

# eTable 6. Change in Mean Fellow-Eye Visual Acuity from Baseline to 16 Weeks by Treatment Group

87

	Binocula (N=1	r Group 82)	Patching Group (N=188)		
	N	%	Ν	%	
Visual Acuity Change					
2 lines (10-14 letters) better	6	3	2	1	
1 line (5-9 letters) better	36	36 20		16	
0 line (within 4 letters)	128	70	133	71	
1 line (5-9 letters) worse	11	6	22	12	
2 lines (10-14 letters) worse	1	<1	1	<1	
Mean (SD) Lines	0.30 (0.74)		0.15 (0.71)		

SD = standard deviation

# eTable 7. Distribution of Diplopia Frequency at 16 Weeks and Maximum Frequency of Diplopia across Follow-up according to Parent / Participant Responses by Treatment Group

#### 

				16-Wee	ek Visit	b		Maximum Frequency of Reported Diplopia during Follow-up								
		Par	ent <sup>a</sup>			Parti	cipant		Par	rent <sup>a</sup>		Participant				
	Binocular Group (N=182)		Patc Gro (N=*	hing oup 187)	Bino Gro (N=*	cular oup 182)	Patc Gro (N=	hing oup 188)	Bino Gro (N=*	cular oup 182)	Patc Gro (N=*	hing oup 188)	Bino Gro (N=*	cular oup 182)	Patc Grc (N=	hing Sup 188)
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Frequency of Diplopia																
Never	176	97	185	99	166	91	181	96	172	95	182	97	153	84	167	89
Less than once a week	5	3	0	0	5	3	3	2	6	3	4	2	6	3	10	5
Once a week	1	<1	2	1	5	3	3	2	2	1	1	<1	10	5	4	2
Once a day	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	<1	2	1	0	0	8	4	5	3
Up to 10 times a day	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	<1	3	2	2	1
>10 times a day	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	<1	0	0
All the time	0	0	0	0	1	<1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	<1	0	0

<sup>a</sup> The parental assessment may be missing if the parent was not available at the 16-week visit

<sup>b</sup> P=0.17 for the parental responses and P=0.05 for the participant responses on the diplopia assessment at the 16-week visit based on a Fisher exact test for the treatment group comparison of the presence (yes/no) of diplopia.

<sup>b</sup> P=0.48 for the parental responses and P=0.02 for the participant responses on the diplopia assessment at the 16-week visit based on a Cochran-Armitage trend test (exact) for the treatment group comparison of diplopia frequency.

<sup>b</sup> Two participants (1 per group) had monocular diplopia at the 16-week visit.

# eFigure 1. Change in Amblyopic-Eye Visual Acuity from Baseline across Follow up Visits



Mean Treatment Group Improvement in Amblyopic-Eye Visual Acuity at Follow-up Visits

Treatment Group:	4-Week Visit	8-Week Visit	12–Week Visit	16–Week Visit
Binocular Group	0.5 Line	0.8 Line	0.9 Line	1.1 Lines
Patching Group	0.8 Line	1.0 Lines	1.2 Lines	1.3 Lines

## eFigure 2. Relationship between Change in 4-Week Outcomes and Objective Compliance Measures in the Binocular Group



## eFigure 3. Relationship between Change in 16-Week Outcomes and Objective Compliance Measures in the Binocular Group



## 114 eFigure 4. Relationship between Change in Amblyopic-Eye VA from Baseline to 4

115 Weeks and Objective Compliance Measures in the Binocular Group at 4 Weeks

according to Baseline Subgroups of Age with and without Prior Amblyopia

117 **Treatment** 



119 120

### 121 eFigure 5. Relationship between Change in Amblyopic-Eye VA from Baseline to

122 16 Weeks and Objective Compliance Measures in the Binocular Group at 16

123 Weeks according to Baseline Subgroups of Age with and without Prior Amblyopia 124 Treatment



125 126

### 127 eFigure 6. Relationship between Change in Stereoacuity from Baseline to 4

128 Weeks and Objective Compliance Measures in the Binocular Group at 4 Weeks

according to Baseline Subgroups of Age with and without Prior Amblyopia

130 **Treatment** 



### 133 eFigure 7. Relationship between Change in Stereoacuity from Baseline to 16

134 Weeks and Objective Compliance Measures in the Binocular Group at 16 Weeks

according to Baseline Subgroups of Age with and without Prior Amblyopia

136 **Treatment** 

