Supplement table 2: Included studies that identified evidence of a distance bias association

| Author | Disease / Procedure | Source, Years & | Health Outcome | Distance/ travel time measurement | Origin and Destination | Summary of key results |
|---------------------|----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| Country | Procedure | Sample size | | measurement | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Date Cancer Studies | | | | | | |
| Cancer Studies | | | | | | |
| Abou-Nassar, et | Allogeneic | Clinical Operations and | Overall Survival | Travel Time. | Patients' Residence | The study found that longer drive times to the |
| al. ¹⁴ | Hematopoietic Stem Cell | Research Information Systems database at | | Calculated using driving | ТО | transplant centres was associated with worse overall survival in patients alive and disease free after 1 |
| USA | Transplantation | DF/BWCC. | | distance and average driving | 10 | year - This was only true using travel time as a |
| | - ransplantation | 2.,266. | | speeds along the road network | The transplant Centre | continuous variable. They suggest this may be in |
| 2012 | | 1996 - 2009. | | | | part related to the lower number of visits in patients |
| | | 6 1 4 04 2 | | Travel time was treated as a | | living further away after receiving the transplant. |
| | | Sample = 1,912 (meeting the criteria of | | categorical variable using 3 groups: ≤40, 41 - 159, ≥160 | | |
| | | living < 6 hours to the | | mins and also a continuous | | |
| | | treatment centre). | | variable. The range of distances | | |
| | | | | was 2 - 358 mins. | | |
| Albornoz et al. 15 | Breast Reconstruction | National Cancer | The rate and method of breast | Straight-line Distance | Patients' Residence (zip code | The study found that patents had travelled further for breast reconstruction services than for |
| | Reconstruction | Database | reconstruction | Straight-line distance. Using the | or city if zip code was | mastectomy without reconstruction. Indicating a |
| LICA | | Included Patients who | services | "Great Circle Distance" in the | unavailable) | distance bias. Patients were more likely to have |
| USA | | had a unilateral or | | database. | ТО | immediate breast reconstruction the further they |
| 2045 | | bilateral mastectomy with or without | | Treated as a continuous | Hospital that reported the | had travelled (0-20 miles 13.9% reconstruction 101-201 24.9%). |
| 2015 | | reconstruction 1998 – | | variable. | case. | 20124.370). |
| | | 2011 | | 5 2014 the success distance | | |
| | | | | For 2011 the average distance travelled for mastectomy | | |
| | | 1,031,343 | | without reconstruction – 27.1 | | |
| | | | | miles and 34 miles with | | |
| Andorson at al 16 | | | | reconstruction. | | |
| Anderson, et al. 16 | Colorectal Cancer | A set of cross sectional | Adherence to risk | Travel Time | Patients' Residence | The study found that residents living > 20 mins from |
| USA | | telephone survey of | appropriate | The study calculated 1 mile grid | (determined using a 1 mile | the nearest colonoscopy provider were significantly |
| | | the population > 18 years in the USA. | screening guidelines | cells for the state of Utah and | grid reference for the addresses) | less likely to be up-to-date with risk appropriate screening than those living < 10 mins from the |
| 2013 | | Taken from the Utah | Saldelliles | for each grid cell populated with | | nearest provider. |
| | | Behaviour Risk Factor | | individuals aged 50 or older they calculated the actual travel | ТО | |
| | | Surveillance System. | | time to the nearest colonoscopy | The nearest colonoscopy | |
| | | 2010 | | provider. This was then used to | provider. | |

| Athas, et al. ¹⁷ | Breast Cancer | Sample = 2,844 New Mexico Tumour | Receipt of | calculate a population weighted median travel time by zip code. Travel times was treated as a categorical variable and grouped into 3 categories: <10 minutes, 10 - 20 minutes & >20 minutes. Straight-Line Distance. | Patients' Residence (street | The study found that by controlling for age the |
|---|-----------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| USA 1999 | | Registry & The National Cancer Institute's surveillance Epidemiology and End Results. Patient Diagnosed 1994 – 1995 Sample = 1,122 | radiotherapy following breast conserving surgery | Distance was treated as a categorical variable and split into the following categories: <10 miles, 10.0-24.9, 25.0-49.9, 50.0-74.9, 75.0-99.9, ≥100 miles. | address (70% of cases) and centroid of residential zip codes (30%)). TO The nearest radiation treatment facility. | likelihood of receiving radiotherapy following breast conserving surgery decreased significantly with increasing travel distance to the nearest facility. This was significant for distances >74.9miles compared to a base of <10miles. |
| Baade, et al. ¹⁸ AUSTRALIA 2011 | Rectal Cancer | Queensland Cancer Registry (QCR) 1996 - 2007 Sample = 6,848 | Cause specific survival | Road Distance and Travel Times. The distances were treated as a categorical variable using the following groups: < 50km, 50 - 99km, 100 - 199, 200 − 399 and ≥400km. The travel times were treated as a categorical variable using the categories of 0 -1hours, 2-4, 4-6, ≥ 6 hours | Patients' Residence TO The nearest radiotherapy facility | The study found that after adjusting for age, sex and stage at diagnosis, patients who lived 100 - 199km, 200-399km and 400km or more from a radiotherapy facility were 16%, 30% and 25% respectively more likely to die from cancer than patients living within 50km of such a facility. For every 100km increase in distance there was on average a 6% increase in risk of mortality. Similar results were found when travel time was used in the calculations, where patients living greater than 6 hours away were 22% more likely to die from cancer than those living 0- 1 hours away. |
| Brewer, et al. ¹⁹ NEW ZEALAND 2012 | Cervical Cancer | New Zealand Cancer Registry. 1994 - 2005 Sample = 1,383 | Cancer screening, stage at diagnosis and mortality | Travel Time and Road distance. The distances and travel times were treated as categorical variables using the following method of grouping - low - the lowest quartile, Medium - quartiles 2 and 3, High - records between the 75th and 95th percentiles and Highest - the highest 5% of records. | The 2001 census area unit for the patient (population weighted centroid) TO The nearest GP and nearest Cancer Centre | The study found that increased travel time/ distance was weakly associated with cervical cancer screening, stage at diagnosis and mortality. |

| Bristow, et al. ²⁰ USA 2014 | Ovarian Cancer | Californian Cancer Registry 1996 - 2006 Sample = 11,770 | Treatment Adherence | Distance. (Does not say what method used) calculated using ESRI ArcMAP Distance was treated as a categorical variable and split into quintiles from < 5km up to > 80km. | Patients' Residence TO The treating hospital and the closest high volume hospital. | The study found that living > 80km (compared to < 9km) from a high volume hospital was associated with an increased risk of non-adherence to care plans (OR = 1.88, Confidence interval, 1.61 - 2.10). The study found that distance to a high volume hospital and distance to receive treatment could be used to predict whether patients would meet the guidelines for care for advanced stage ovarian cancer. |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| Burmeister, et al. 21 AUSTRALIA 2010 | Lung Cancer | Queensland Cancer Registry. 2000 - 2004 Sample = 1,535 | Delay in receiving radiation therapy Survival | Road Distance. (no info on GIS methods used) Distance was treated as a categorical variable using the groups of < 50km (where it was assumed that patients could travel on a daily basis from home) 50 - 200km (where it was assumed patients would go home for weekends only) and > 200km (where it was assumed that patients would need to spend the duration of their treatment at the hospital). | Patients' Residence (postcode) TO The nearest public radiation treatment facility. | The study found that waiting times for radiation therapy among lung cancer patients in Queensland was not associated with distance from home to the nearest public radiation treatment facility. But the study did find that those living > 200km away had slightly worse survival than those who lived < 50km. |
| Campbell, et al. ²² UK 2001 | Colorectal and Lung Cancer | Scottish Cancer Registry 1995 - 1996 Sample = 1,323 | Presence of disseminated disease at diagnosis & emergency presentation or surgery. | Distance was treated as a categorical variable using the groups of 0 - 5km, 6 - 37km, 38 - 57km and ≥58km. These were pre-defined cut off points. | Patients' Residence - (Census output area centroids) TO The nearest cancer centre. | The study identified that increasing distance from the nearest cancer centre was associated with a higher chance of disseminated disease at diagnosis and therefore lower chances of survival. |
| Campbell, et al. ²³ UK 2000 | Cancer (Lung, Colorectal, Breast, Stomach, Prostate, Ovary) | Scottish Cancer Registry 1991 - 1995 Sample = 63,976 | One Year Survival | Straight-line Distance. Distance was treated as a categorical variable using the groups ≤ 5km, 6 - 13km, 14 - 23km, 24-37km and ≥38km. | Patients' Residence (postcode) TO The nearest cancer centre | The study found that increasing distance from the nearest cancer centre was associated with a reduced chance of diagnosis before death for stomach, breast and colorectal cancer and poorer survival after diagnosis for prostate and lung cancer. |

| Celaya, et al. ²⁴ USA 2006 | Breast Cancer | New Hampshire State Cancer Registry. 1998 - 2001. Sample = 2,861 | Type of treatment received - either breast conserving surgery with radiography or Mastectomy | Straight-line Distance. Distances were treated as categorical variable using the groups <20 miles, 20 to <40, 40 to < 60, ≥60 miles. The mean distance was 15.1 miles (range 0.1–89.9). | Patients' Residence (Residential Address geocoded (80%) or zip code centroid (20%)) TO The nearest radiation treatment facility. | The study found that women were less likely to have breast conserving surgery with increasing distance from the nearest facility. They were also less likely to have radiation therapy the further away they lived - if they had previously undergone breast conserving surgery. |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| Cramb, et al. ²⁵ AUSTRALIA 2012 | Breast Cancer and colorectal cancer | Queensland Cancer Registry. 1996 - 2007 Sample = 26,390 Males = 14,690 and Females = 11,700 | Survival and premature deaths | Travel Time. Shortest travelling time by road. Travel time was grouped into 3 categories based on practical considerations. < 2hours, 2 - 6 hours and >6 hours | Centroid of the patients' statistical local area TO The closest radiation facility | The study concluded that the proportion of premature deaths was higher for those living >2 hours from a treatment facility for breast cancer. Colorectal patients living > 6 hours from a treatment facility had poorer outcomes than those in the 2-6 hour category, but this was not statistically significant. |
| Crawford et al. ²⁶ UK 2009 | Lung Cancer | Northern and Yorkshire Cancer Registry and Information Service. 1994 - 2002 Sample = 34,923 | Diagnosis and form of treatment | Travel Time. Calculated using ArcGIS 9.2 using average car speeds along the shortest route. Travel time was treated as a categorical variable - dividing the patients into equal quartiles. Patients were then put into 1/16 groups that combined 4 quartiles of travel time and 4 quartiles of deprivation. | Patients' Residence TO The closest hospital providing diagnostic access. | The study found that patients living in the most deprived areas were least likely to receive histological diagnosis, active treatment and thoracic surgery. They found that travel time "amplified this effect "– patients in the most distant & most deprived group had the worst outcomes. |
| Dejardin, et al. ²⁷ FRANCE & ENGLAND 2014 | Colorectal cancer | 3 Cancer registries (Calvados, Cote d'Or and Saone et Loire) and 1 cancer registry in England (Northern and Yorkshire). 1997 - 2004 Sample = 40,613 | Survival | Travel Time. Using ArcGIS in England and Mapinfo in France. The study used road map databases using legal speed limits by road class. Travel time was treated as a categorical variable using the 5 groups of 0 - 5 mins, 6 - 20 mins, 21 - 40mins, 41 - 90 mins and ≥ 91mins for travel times to the | Patients' Residence (at the time of diagnosis) TO The nearest cancer centre, radiotherapy centre and hospital. | The study identified (unadjusted analysis) that travel times were significantly associated with survival, as patients living further from healthcare resources had a better chance of survival than those living closer. When including material deprivation in the model this effect was removed. |

| | | | | nearest cancer centre & nearest radiotherapy unit and 0 - 5, 6 - | | |
|--------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | 10, 11-15, 16 - 40 and ≥41mins | | |
| | | | | for travel to the nearest | | |
| Dupont-Lucas, et | Colorectal Cancer | Clinical trials in | Colonoscopy | hospital. Road Distances. | Patients' Residence (Home | The study found that distance to the regional capital |
| al. ²⁸ | Colorectal Calicel | Calvados Normandy | uptake | Road Distances. | Address) | and distance to the clinical trial centre were |
| | | and Cote-d'Or | aptane | Calculated using Mapinfo 9.1 | 7.444.655 / | independently associated with colonoscopy uptake. |
| FRANCE | | Burgundy - testing the | | combined with CHRONOMAP | ТО | Distance to the nearest gastroenterologist was not |
| 2044 | | diagnostic properties | | 2.1 based on the MultiNet Map | -1 · | found to be significant. |
| 2011 | | of two types of faecal occult blood test. | | database (Tele Atlas). | The nearest gastroenterologist / or | |
| | | occuit blood test. | | Distances were grouped into | regional capital /or clinical | |
| | | June 2004 - December | | quartiles: 0 - 5.5km, 5.5 - 13.8, | trial centre | |
| | | 2006 | | 13.8 - 22.1 & 22.1 - 52.3km. | | |
| | | Sample = 4,131 | | | | |
| Engelman, et al. | Breast Cancer | The Health Care | Mammogram | Straight-line Distance. | Patients' Residence (zip | The study showed that increasing distance from a |
| 29 | | Financing | attendance | | code) | permanent mammogram facility was significantly |
| | | Administration | | Distance was treated as a | | associated with decreased mammogram rates. After |
| USA | | enrolment database to | | continuous variable. | TO | controlling for age, race and education this |
| 2002 | | identify each fee for service Medicare | | | The nearest permanent & | relationship was still significant. OR = 0.97 for each 5 mile increment. |
| 2002 | | eligible women in | | | mobile mammography sites. | 3 time merenicite. |
| | | Kansas Medicare | | | | |
| | | Claims data. | | | | |
| | | 1997 - 1998 | | | | |
| | | 1337 1330 | | | | |
| | | Sample = 117,901 | | | | |
| Fournel, et al. 30 | Colorectal Cancer | Burgundy Registry. | Colorectal | Distance. | Patients' Residence | The study found that incidences of colorectal cancer |
| FRANCE | | 1990 - 1999. | adenoma detection | (method not reported) | то | were not significantly associated with distance to the GP, HGE, or the physician. The study did find a |
| 2010 | | 1330 1333. | detection | Distance were included as a | | significant interaction between place of residence |
| | | Sample = 6220 | | categorical variable using | The GP, | and the distance to the GP and place of residence |
| | | colorectal adenoma | | groupings of <5km, 5 - 15km | hepatogastroenterologist | and the HGE. The impact of the distance to the |
| | | patients and 2,387 colorectal cancer | | and >15km. | (HGE), and physician (not clear whether these were | physicians was significant for patients living in rural areas. |
| | | patients. | | | the nearest) | arcas. |
| Giuliani et al. 31 | Breast Cancer | Romagna Cancer | Compliance with | Travel Times. | Patients' Residence | The study found that patients were less likely to |
| Giuliani et al. | Di Cast Cancel | Registry | yearly | | (assumed not stated) | have a yearly check-up (over the 10 years) if they |
| | | Patients were included | mammography | Calculated using Google Maps. | то | had to travel >30 mins compared to ≤15 mins. |
| Italy | | rationts were included | and /or Clinical | Travel time was split into | | |

| 2016 | | if they had a diagnosis of in situ and invasive cancer between 1990 – 2000 735 | breast examination over 10 year follow up period. | categories ≤15 mins, 16 – 30 and >30. The study also considered the altitude of the patient's residence. | The centre for cancer prevention | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|---|--|---|
| Goyal et al. ³² USA 2015 | Breast Cancer | Breast Cancer Disparity Cohort Study (New Jersey) African American and white patients diagnosed with early stage breast cancer. 2005 - 2011 | Mastectomy OR Breast conserving surgery followed by adjuvant radiation therapy | Travel Distance and Travel Time Shortest travel time/ distance was calculated using Google Maps. Distance and travel times were treated as categorical variables and split up into quartiles. Travel distance <3.2miles, 3.2- 5.6, 5.7-9.2 and >9.2miles. Travel times <9 mins, 9-13 mins, 14-19 mins and >19 mins. | Patients' Residence TO The radiation facility where patients received Radiation Therapy (where unavailable-surgeons were contacted by phone and the referral obtained) | The study found that patients living further away from the radiation therapy centre in the categories of 5.7-9.2miles and >9.2miles compared to < 3.2 miles (REF) were significantly more likely to have a mastectomy than breast conserving surgery followed by RT. Patients living > 19mins compared to <9 mins were also more likely to receive a mastectomy rather than breast conserving surgery. |
| Haddad et al. ³³ | Bladder Cancer | Urban tertiary cancer centre (single site) | Short and long term survival after radical | Shortest Driving Distance Calculated using Google Maps | Patients' Residence | The study found that increasing distance to the facility was a significant predictor of 90 day mortality (univariate model) and was still significant |
| USA 2015 | | 2007 – 2013 406 | cystectomy | Distance was treated as a categorical variable. Using the categories of < 50 miles, 50 – 100, 100.1 – 150 and >150 miles. Median distance 37.3miles | TO The Treatment Facility (Single Site) | after controlling for nodal status. For long term survival distance was significant for those travelling >150miles versus <50miles for the univariate model. |

| 2: | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Haynes, et al. ³⁴ | Cancer (prostate, | New Zealand Ministry | Late diagnosis | Travel Time. | Population weighted | The study had mixed results. After controlling for |
| | colorectal, breast, | of Health | and likelihood of | | centroid of the 2001 census | the extent of the disease, poor survival was |
| New Zealand | lung, melanoma) | | death | Travel time was treated as a | area units (CAU represent | associated with longer travel times to the GP for |
| | | 1994 - 2006 | | categorical variable and split | approx. 2300 people) | prostate cancer and longer travel times to the |
| 2008 | | | | into 4 categories (Low, medium, | | nearest cancer centre for colorectal, breast and |
| | | Sample = 1,383 | | High, Highest) low - lowest | то | prostate cancers, but not lung cancer or melanoma |
| | | | | quartile, medium (quartile 2 and | | The study found that the disease tended to be less |
| | | | | 3) high records between 75% | The nearest cancer centre | advanced in patients who lived further from the |
| | | | | and 95 percentiles and highest - | and nearest GP | cancer centres and living further from a GP practice |
| | | | | highest 5% of records. This | | was not associated with a later stage diagnosis. |
| | | | | grouping was used to account | | |
| | | | | for the skewed travel times. | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Holmes, et al. 35 | Prostate Cancer | Physician workforce | Delayed Diagnosis | Straight-line Distance. | Patients' Residence (zip | The study found that increasing distance to an |
| | | study in North Carolina | | | code centroid of patient | urologist was significantly associated with higher risk |
| USA | | & North Carolina | | Distance was treated as a | residence) | of prostate cancer at diagnosis, which was higher for |
| | | Central Cancer Registry | | categorical variable and used 3 | , | black patients. |
| 2012 | | on patients diagnosed | | groups of: 0 - 10 miles, 11 - | то | · |
| | | with incident cancer | | 20miles and > 20 miles. | | |
| | | linked to Medicare | | | The nearest urologist | |
| | | claims. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | 2004 - 2005 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | Sample = 2,251 | | | | |
| Huang, et al. ³⁶ | Breast Cancer | Kentucky Cancer | Diagnosis Stage | Road Distance. | Patients' Residence (78% | The study found that patients diagnosed with |
| Ç. | | Registry. | | | were geocoded based on | advanced stage diagnosis had longer average travel |
| USA | | 5 , | | Distance was treated as a | street address. 15% using | distances than early stage diagnosis. After |
| | | 1999 - 2003 | | categorical variable using the | the centroid of the 5 digit zip | controlling for age, race, insurance and education |
| 2009 | | | | groups - <5 miles, 5 - 9, 10 - 14 | code and 7% using the 5 | the odds of advanced diagnosis were significantly |
| | | Sample = 12,322 | | and ≥15 miles | digit zip code + 2 or + 4 | greater for women living ≥15 miles compared to |
| | | 20p.0 22,022 | | | digits) | those living <5 miles. |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | то | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | The nearest mammogram | |
| | | | | | centre | |
| | | | | | centre | |

| Jethwa, et al. ³⁷ | Breast Cancer | Hospital Records. | Stage of breast | Distance. | Patients' Residence | The study found that the further the distance the |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|
| | | · | cancer at | | | more likely women were to be diagnosed at a later |
| | | 2007 | diagnosis, survival | (Unknown calculation). | то | stage and the more likely women were to have a |
| USA | | | | Distance was treated as a | | mastectomy. |
| | | Sample = 260 (women | | categorical variable using the | The treating hospital | |
| 2013 | | were excluded if they | | following groups: < 15 miles, 15 | | The study found no association between travel |
| | | were non-white or had | | - 44 miles, 45 - 59 miles, and | | distance, age at diagnosis, receipt of radiotherapy, |
| | | a previous cancer | | ≥60 miles. | | or 5-year survival. |
| | | diagnosis) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Jones, et al. ³⁸ | Breast colorectal, | Northern and | Survival (whether | Travel Times. | Patients' Residence | The study found that late stage diagnosis was |
| UK | lung, ovarian and | Yorkshire Cancer | patients were | | | associated with increasing travel time to the GP for |
| | prostate cancer | Registry and | alive or dead on | Calculated using average car | то | breast and colorectal cancer and risk of death was |
| 2008 | | Information Service | 31st March 2005) | travel speeds by road class on | | associated with increased travel time to the GP for |
| | | (NYCRIS) | and late stage | the road network. Travel time | The GP, Hospital of first | prostate cancer. |
| | | 1004 2002 | diagnosis | was treated as a continuous | referral and closest cancer | |
| | | 1994 - 2002 | | variable. | centre | The study identified residential deprivation was significantly related to survival. |
| | | Sample = 117,097 | | The study also determined: - | | |
| | | | | whether patients were within | | |
| | | | | 800m of an hourly bus service | | |
| | | | | for rural patients. Straight-line | | |
| | | | | distance to the nearest cancer | | |
| | | | | centre, car journey to the | | |
| | | | | closest railway station, travel | | |
| | | | | time to the GP and first referral | | |
| Jones, et al. ³⁹ | Cancer | Northern and | Whether or not | hospital. Road Distance and Travel time | Patients' Residence | The study found that the highest odds of being |
| , | (Colorectal, ovary, | Yorkshire Cancer | the diagnosis was | | (postcode) | diagnosed at death were for those living in the least |
| UK | breast, prostate) | Registry Information | made at death. | Estimated using average travel | , | accessible quartile of travel time for the hospital, |
| | | Service. | (Diagnosis date = | speeds over the road network. | то | but this association was only statistically significant |
| 2010 | | | death date) | The study also calculated | | for colorectal and ovary cancer. |
| | | 1994 - 2002. | | straight-line distance and | The nearest healthcare | |
| | | | | assessed whether patients lived | provider postcode/ Nearest | The study found that living in the least accessible |
| | | Sample = 3,536 | | within 800m walking distance of | GP | travel time quartile to the GP had the highest odds |
| | | | | an hourly weekday bus service | | of being diagnosed at death, but was not statistically |
| | | | | & whether there was a local | | significance. |
| | | | | community transport scheme. | | Described and accordance to the standard living of |
| | | | | Travel time to hospital was | | Breast and prostate cancer patients living closer to a |
| | | | | modelled as a categorical | | frequent bus service were significantly less likely to |
| | | | | variable using quartiles. | | be diagnosed at death. |

| Jones, et al. ⁴⁰ UK 2008 Kerschbaumer, et al. ⁴¹ | Breast, Colon, Rectum, Lung, Ovary and Prostate Cancer Glioblastoma Multiforme (GBM) - | Northern and Yorkshire Cancer Registry (NYCRIS) 1994 - 2002 Sample = 117,097 Medical Records 1990 - 2009 | Patients receiving surgery, chemotherapy or radiotherapy Survival (Months) | Travel Time. Travel time was modelled as a categorical variable and divided into quartiles. Shortest Road Distance. Distance was treated as a | Patients' Residence (home postcode) TO The nearest hospitals providing treatment. Patients' Residence (home address) | The study identified an inverse relationship between travel time and treatment take up. Patients were less likely to receive radiotherapy the further they lived from the hospital. Lung cancer patients were less likely to receive surgery & Lung and rectal patients were less likely to receive chemotherapy. The study found that distance to the neuro oncological centre had a significant effect on overall survival. Patients were less likely to be treated with |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| AUSTRIA 2012 | malignant brain tumor | Sample = 208 | | continuous variable. Average distance was 75km (range 1 - 870km) | TO The neuro oncological centre | chemotherapy following surgery the further they lived away from the centre. The study found that when a new treatment was introduced that could be administered locally this removed this effect. |
| Kim, et al. ⁴² UK 2000 | Colorectal cancer | South and West Cancer Intelligence unit. 1991 - 1995 Sample = 4,962 | Survival | Straight-line Distance. Distance was treated as a categorical variable using the following groups - ≤10 km, > 10 to ≤ 20 km, > 20 to ≤ 30 km and > 30km. | Patients' Residence(postcode) TO The treating hospital | The study found that those travelling ≥ 30km from the treating hospital had significantly poorer survival, but that those living 20 - 30 km away appeared to be least at risk. Implying a U shape in terms of risk. |
| Lavergne, et al. ⁴³ CANADA 2011 | Palliative Radiotherapy (PRT)- Cancer | Oncology Patient Information System (Nova Scotia) 2000-2005 Sample = 13,494 | PRT Treatment & Consultation | Travel Time. Calculated using "GIS" and average vehicle speeds by road type. Travel time was treated as a categorical variable using 4 categories: 0 - <30 mins, 30 - <60 mins, 60 - < 120 mins and 120 - 214mins. | Patients' Residence (postcode at death) TO The nearest treatment centre | The study found that Palliative radiotherapy use declined with increasing travel time and community deprivation. |
| Lin et al. ⁴⁴ USA 2015 | Colon Cancer (stage III) | National Cancer Data Base Patients aged 18 – 80 who had a colectomy within 3 months of diagnosis and survived > 6months 2007 – 2010 34,694 | Receipt of adjuvant chemotherapy within 90 days of a colectomy. | Road Distance Calculated using Google Maps. Distance was treated as a categorical variable using the following categories; 0 − 12.49miles, 12.5-49.9, 50-249, and ≥250miles. For patients flying in from outside the USA for treatment straight-line | Patients' residence at diagnosis (centroid of zip code) TO Reporting facility (90% had treatment in the reporting facility. | The study found that patients travelling in the further two categories 50 − 249miles and ≥250 miles had a lower likelihood of receiving chemotherapy than those travelling less than 12.5miles. |

| Maheswaran, et al. ⁴⁵ UK 2006 | Breast Cancer | Anonymised data April 1998 - March 2001 Sample = 34,868 | Breast Screening Uptake | distance was used. Average distance travelled to the oncologist was 12.5 miles. Road Distance. Distance was treated as a categorical variable and a continuous variable. Distances were grouped into 2 km bands. <2km, 2 to <4, 4 to <6, 6 to<8 and ≥8 | Patients' Residence (postcode) TO The screening location that they were invited to attend. | The study found that when analysed as a continuous variable there was a small but significant decrease in uptake of breast cancer screening with increasing distance - adjusted odds ratio of 0.87 (95% CI -0.79 - 0.95) for a 10km increase in distance. The strongest effect on breast screening uptake was deprivation. |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Meden, et al. ⁴⁶ USA 2002 | Breast Cancer | Medical Records. 1999 – 2000 Sample = 66 | Difference in treatment technique – Modified Radical Mastectomy vs Breast Conserving Therapy | Distance. Unclear method. Likely to be straight-line. Distance was treated as a categorical variable. Distances were split into <45 miles and ≥45miles. Average distance was 61.6 miles (range 0 − 138 miles) | Patients' Residence TO The nearest radiation oncologist facility. | The study found that access to breast conserving surgery declined as travel distance increased. Patients living further away were more likely to have had a mastectomy. |
| Nattinger, et al. ⁴⁷ USA 2001 | Breast Cancer | National Cancer Institute - Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Registry. 1991 - 1992. Sample = 17,729 | Receiving Breast conserving surgery (BCS) OR receiving BCS with radiotherapy. | Straight-line Distance. Distance was treated as a categorical variable - using the groups of < 5miles, 5 to <10, 10 to < 15, 15 to < 20, 20 to <30, 30 to <40, ≥ 40 miles for receipt of BCS vs mastectomy and the groups of 0 to <10, 10 to <20, 20 to <30, 30 to <40 and ≥ 40 miles for receipt of receipt of SCS vs mastectomy and the groups of 0 to <10, 10 to <20, 20 to <30, 30 to <40 and ≥ 40 miles for receipt of radiotherapy among BCS patients. | Patients' Residence (Census tract) TO The nearest hospital with a radiotherapy facility (centroid of the zip code) | The study found a statistically significant decline in the likelihood of patients undergoing breast conserving surgery living ≥15 miles from a hospital with radiotherapy facilities when compared to those living < 5 miles. They also found a statistically significant result for those patients living ≥ 40 miles having a reduced rate of radiotherapy following Breast conserving surgery. |
| Onitilo, et al. ⁴⁸ USA 2014 | Breast Cancer - Mammography Screening | Local Cancer Registry. 2002 - 2008. Sample = 1,421 | Stage at diagnosis | Road Distance and Travel Time. Calculated using ESRI ArcGIS. Distances were treated as continuous & categorical variables Travel times were split into the categories of 0 - 5 mins, 5 - 15 mins, 15 - 30 mins, 30 - 60 mins, ≥ 60 mins. | Patients' Residence (street address for the patients (where available) /centroid of patients zip code where not) TO The nearest mammogram facility and the actual facility attended. | The study found that women who missed none of their 5 annual mammograms lived a median of 15 minutes from the nearest facility, whilst those who missed 5 /5 lived a median time of 27 minutes. The study found that patients living >30 miles to the closest facility were less likely to be screened for breast cancer in the winter months. |

| Panagopoulou, et al. ⁴⁹ GREECE 2012 | Breast Cancer | Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group (clinical trials in 6 Greek cities) 1997 - 2005 Sample = 2,789 (women) | Survival | Road Distance and Travel Time. Distance was grouped into < 300km and ≥ 300km. Travel time was grouped into < 4 hours and 4+ hours. Additional tests using the following distance categories: <50, 50 - 149, 150 - 249, 250 - 349, 350+km. | Patients' Residence (98.7% of the sample using residential address, or the city centre of the city of residence, for the remaining 1.3% the weighted mean of available distances to each destination hospital) TO The treating hospital | The study found that travelling a distance >300km and travel time of 4 + hours were significantly associated with worse survival outcomes (HR = 1.37 & 1.34) base <300km and <4h respectively. |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| Punglia, et al. ⁵⁰ USA 2006 | Breast Cancer | The linked Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results- Medicare (SEER) database. 1991 - 1999. Sample = 19,787 | Receiving Radiation Treatment after a Mastectomy | Straight-line Distance. Distance was treated as a continuous and categorical variable. Using categories of <25, 25-50, 50-75 and 75+ miles. 5 patients living more than 900 miles away were excluded, as were patients in Hawaii. The median distance was 4.83 miles. | Patients' Residence TO The nearest radiation treatment facility. | The study found that increasing distance to the nearest radiation treatment facility was associated with a decreased likelihood of receiving radiation treatment therapy. For each extra 25 miles of travel was associated with declining odds of receiving radiation. The effect of distance showed as being stronger where patients were >75 years and those travelling 75+ miles compared to <25 miles. |
| Schroen, et al. ⁵¹ USA 2005 | Breast Cancer | Virginia Cancer Registry. Patients diagnosed 1996 - 2000. Sample = 20,094 | Mastectomy rates VS Breast conservation and radiation therapy | Straight-line Distance. Distance was modelled as a categorical using 10 miles, 10 - 25, > 25 - 50 and > 50 miles (range 0 - 84miles) | Patients' Residence (zip code) TO The nearest radiation therapy facility. | The study found a higher rate of mastectomy the further distance the patient lived from the nearest radiation therapy facility (after controlling for tumour size, year of diagnosis and age). |
| Scoggins et al. ⁵² USA 2012 | Breast cancer Lung cancer Colorectal cancer | Washington State Cancer Registry Washing state Medicaid enrolled at time of diagnosis or within 6 months | Stage at diagnosis (local or regional/distant Likelihood of surgical treatment. Time to first surgical | Driving Time and Driving Distance Calculated using MapQuest (www.mapquest.com) | Patients' residence (9 digit zip code used where available) | The study found that later stage diagnosis for breast cancer was associated with increased driving time (but not lung or colorectal cancer). There were no significant effects between travel time and likelihood of surgical treatment. A significant result was found for the time to first treatment for colorectal patients where after controlling for socio demographic factors, year of diagnosis, and cancer stage for every 1 hour increase in drive time, time |

| | | 1997 – 2003 4,413 | treatment (number of days since diagnosis) | Distance and travel time were treated as categorical variables. The distance categories were: | Patients general practice/ primary care provider | to treatment was delayed by 5.9 days. The study concluded that there was no evidence that drive time was a better predictor than driving distance. |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Temkin et al. ⁵³ USA 2015 | Gynaecologic cancer | University of Maryland Medical Centre (single site) Nov 2009 – Dec 2011 | Completion of recommended adjuvant therapy | Travel Time and Distance Calculated using the Google Maps. Treated as continuous variables. Distance range 0.3 – 12 miles. Travel time range 2 – 169 mins. | Patients' Residence (zip code) TO The hospital attended | The study found mixed results - 87% of the sample completed the therapy. 11 people did not complete and 8 died before completion. They found that those patients living <10 miles or >50 miles were less likely to complete treatment (13% of the sample). Those living further were more likely to die before completing, but also had higher comorbidities. |
| Thomas et al. ⁵⁴ Ireland 2015 | Colorectal Cancer | Irish National Cancer Registry Patients who were diagnosed and still alive. Oct 2007 – Sept 2009 1273 sent questionnaires, 496 returned | Quality of life following survival (measured using QLQ-30) | Distance Unspecified method Distance was treated as a categorical variable. Distances were divided into tertiles. Groups 1 and 2 were combined (≤30.81km) & group3 (>30.81km). Group 3 was then defined as living "remotely" from the hospital. | Patients Residence (at time of diagnosis) TO The hospital they were treated at. | The study assessed the impact of distance on the components to the QLQ-30. This was then split by gender. The study found that living a greater distance from the hospital was associated with – lower physical functioning and role functioning (for women and not men). For men living remotely (>30.8km) had a significant negative impact on their overall self-reported health and quality of life, but not for women. |
| Tracey et al. 55 Australia 2015 | Lung Cancer | New South Wales Central Cancer Registry 2000 - 2008 11,457 (split into diagnosis – localised stage, regional and distance) | Survival (at one and five years) | Straight-line Distance Calculated using the 'Great Circle distance calculator' Distance was treated as a categorical variable using 3 groups of 0-39km, 40-99km and 100+ km. | Patients' Residence TO The nearest specialist public hospital (NASH) & nearest general hospital. | The study found that patients living further away from the specialist hospitals were less likely to attend the specialist hospital & less likely to have curative surgery – Resulting in lower survival rates. Patients who lived further away & were admitted to a specialist hospital and received curative surgery were more likely to survive at 5 years than those not receiving curative surgery. |
| Tracey et al. ⁵⁶ Australia 2015 | Lung Cancer (localised non- small cell) | NSW Central Cancer Registry Patients admitted with localised stage at diagnosis ≤12 months following diagnosis | Receiving Surgical resection within 12 months of diagnosis | Straight-line Distance Calculated using the 'Great Circle distance calculator' Distance was treated as a categorical variable using 3 | Patients' Residence TO The nearest specialist public | The study found that 51% of patients living >100km from a specialist hospitals didn't have surgery compared to 38% of those living <40km. Patients living further from the specialist hospitals were more likely to be treated at a general hospital and less likely to receive potentially curative surgery. |

| | | 2000-2008 3,240 | | groups of 0-39km, 40-99km and 100+ km. | hospital (NASH) & closest general hospital. | |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Tracey, et al. ⁵⁷ AUSTRALIA 2014 | Epithelial Ovarian Cancer | New South Wales Cancer Registry. 2000 - 2008. Sample = 3411 | Survival | Straight-Line Distance. Distance was treated as a continuous variable and categorical variable for which it was grouped into equal quartiles - 0 - 5km 5.1-9.0km, 9.1-27.0, 27.1 - 187.0, 187.1+ | Patients' Residence TO The closest gynaecological oncology Hospital | The study concluded that there was an increasing trend in the unadjusted hazard of death model with increase in distance to the closest public gynaecological Oncology hospital. The study reported that whilst they had used the closest hospital in their calculations only 37% of their sample had used their closest hospital. |
| Wang, et al. ⁵⁸ USA 2008 | Breast Cancer | Illinois Cancer Registry 1998 - 2000 Sample = 30,511 (9,077 were classed as late stage) | Late stage diagnosis | Straight-line Distance and Travel Time. Travel times were calculated using the ArcInfo network analysis module – using the minimum road distance when taking account of travel speed. Kidney studies | Patients' Residence (Population weight centroid of zip codes) TO The closest mammography facility & the closest GP. | The study found that travel time to mammography services had no statistically significant association with late stage risk. The study did find that as travel time to the nearest GP increased patients were more likely to have a later stage diagnosis. |
| - 11 | | L | Γ | , | T | |
| Bello, et al. ⁵⁹ CANADA | Diabetes & Chronic Kidney Disease (jointly) | Alberta Kidney Disease Network & Provincial Health Ministry | All-cause mortality, all cause hospitalisation, | Road Distance. Distance was treated as a categorical variable. Using the | Patients' Residence (6 digit postal code) | The study found that when using a base of <50km, patients living >50km were less likely to visit a nephrologist, less likely to have follow up measurements of A1c and urinary albumin within a |
| 2012 | (Jointay) | 2005 - 2009 Sample = 31,377 | renal outcomes, ESRD initiation, progression to Egfr< 10mL/min/1.73m) | following 6 categories 0-50, 50.1 - 100, 100.1 - 200 and >200km | The nearest nephrologist | year. Plus have a higher change of all cause hospitalisation and all-cause mortality. |
| Bello, et al. ⁶⁰ | Patients with | Alberta Health and | A range of health | Shortest Road distance. | Patients Residence (6 digit | The study found a statistically significantly higher |
| CANADA 2013 | proteinuria (Kidney Damage) | Wellness, Alberta Blue Cross, the Northern and Southern Alberta Renal Program and the provincial laboratories of Alberta. | outcomes. ACEI/ARB use in ≥ 65 year olds, Statin use in ≥ 65 year olds, Timely Referral, | Distances were treated as a categorical variable using the groups: 0-50, 501 - 100, 100.1 - 200 and >200km. | home postal code) TO The nearest nephrologist. | incidence of stroke and hospitalisations in those travelling a greater distance, but no association for the other outcome measures |
| | | 2002 - 2009 Sample = 1,359,330 | All cause mortality, myocardial | | | |

| | | | infarction, stroke, heart failure, doubling of SCr (Serum creatinine ratio), ESRD (end stage renal disease) and hospitalisations | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|
| Cho, et al. ⁶¹ | Peritonitis | ANZDATA Registry | A range including - Peritonitis Free - | Road Distance. | Patients' Residence | The study found that living ≥100 km away from the nearest peritoneal dialysis unit was not significantly |
| AUSTRALIA | (Kidney) | 2003 - 2008 | Survival, first peritonitis | Calculated using Google Maps. | то | associated with time to first peritonitis episode. The study did find an association between living ≥ 100km |
| 2012 | | Sample = 6,610 | episode, staphylococcus aureus peritonitis. | Distance was treated as a categorical variable using the groupings - < 100km and ≥100km. The cut off was decided a priori as this is the minimum distance states provide patient assisted transport subsidy schemes to facilitate improved access. | The nearest peritoneal dialysis unit. | away from the nearest unit and increased risk of Staphylococcus aureus peritonitis. |
| Judge, et al. ⁶² | Renal Replacement | UK Renal Registry (UKRR) | Renal Replacement | Travel Time. | Patients' Residence (Centroid of the CAS Ward | The study found that patients living >45 min travel time from the nearest dialysis unit were 20% less |
| UK | Therapy (RRT) - | 2007 | Therapy Incidence and | Average speeds were assigned | (average 2670 people in | likely to commence or receive renal replacement |
| 2012 | Kidney | Incident population = 4607 Prevalent population = 36,775 | Prevalence | to roads and GIS transportation software Base Trans CAD used to estimate the minimum travel time. Travel time was treated as a continuous and categorical variable split into 4 groups: < 15mins, 15 - 29mins , 29 – 45, & | each ward)) TO The nearest Dialysis Unit | therapy than those living < 15 min. |
| 60 | | | | 45+ mins | | |
| Miller, et al. ⁶³ CANADA | Chronic Kidney Disease | Canadian Organ Replacement Registry (CORR) | Incident Central Venous Catheter (CVC) use | Straight-line Distance. Distances were divided into 3 groups <5km, 5 - 20km and | Patients' Residence (home postal code at dialysis initiation | The study found that increasing distance was associated with increased use of central venous catheters in incident dialysis patients. |
| 2014 | | 2000 - 2009 | | >20km | то | |

| | | Sample = 26,449 | | | The nearest dialysis centre | |
|---|-----------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| | | | | | | |
| Moist, et al. ⁶⁴ USA 2008 | Kidney Dialysis | Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS) - questionnaire 1996 - 2001 (DOPPS 1) 2002 - 2004 (DOPPS 2) Sample = 20,994 (from 7 countries, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, UK and USA) | HRQOL (Health Related Quality of Life), Mortality, Adherence, withdrawal, hospitalisation and transplantation | Travel Time. The study was based on a survey which asked the question - How long does it take you to get to your dialysis unit or centre (1 way)? Respondents could answer ≤15mins, 16 - 30, 31 - 60 and >60mins. They were also asked how they usually travelled to the dialysis unit. | Patients' Residence TO The dialysis centre attended | The study found that longer travel times were associated with a greater adjusted relative risk of mortality. Health related quality of life scores were lower for those with longer travel times when compared with travelling < 15mins. |
| Thompson, et al. 65 USA 2012 | Kidney Disease | United States Renal Data System. Jan 1995 – 2007 Sample = 726,347 (the study excluded patients with missing or invalid postcodes) | Mortality | Shortest Driving Distance. Distance was treated as a categorical variable. Using 5 categories: 0-10 miles, 11-15, 26-45, 46-100 and >100miles. The categories correspond to the 0 – 75 th , 75-95 th , 95 th -99 th , 99 th -99.9 th and >99.9 th percentiles. | Patients' Residence (5 digit zip code at time of first renal replacement, dialysis or transplant) TO The closest Haemodialysis Centre | The study found that distance, but not living in a rural area was associated with increased mortality. The adjusted model identified a statistically significant hazard ratio between the reference case (0-10milles) and the 11-25 miles and >100miles categories, but not for other distance categories. |
| Thompson, et al. 66 USA 2013 | Kidney | United States Renal Data System 2001 - 2010 Sample = 1,784 | Quality of Care Indicators (90 days following haemodialysis therapy and at 1 year) | Shortest Road Distance. Distance was treated as a categorical variable. Using the following categories: ≤50km, 50.1 - 150km, 150.1 - 300, >300km. | Patients' Residence (5 digit zip code) TO The closest nephrologist. | The study found that patients were less likely to have seen a Nephrologist 90 days prior to starting haemodialysis therapy, and were more likely to have a sub optimal levels of phosphate control the further they lived from a haemodialysis centre. |
| Tonelli, et al. ⁶⁷ CANADA 2007 | Kidney Failure | Canadian Organ Replacement registry 1990 - 2000 Sample = 26,775 | Mortality | Shortest Road Distance Calculated using postal data converted using www.melissadata.com and entered into ArcGIS. Distance was treated as a categorical variable using the | Patients' Residence (6 digit postal code) TO The practice location of the patients' nephrologist. | The study found that remote dwelling Canadians with kidney failure were significantly more likely to start renal replacement on Peritoneal Dialysis (PD) and switch to PD if their initial dialytic option was haemodialysis. The adjusted rates of death and the adjusted hazard ratios were significantly higher in those living ≥50km from the nephrologist compared to those < 50 km. |

| Tonelli, et al. ⁶⁸ Canada 2007 | Kidney (Haemodialysis) | Canadian Organ Replacement Register 1990 - 2000 (when the sample started dialysis) Sample = 18,722 (random sample of 75% of the patient population) | Mortality (from all causes) Then split by cause - infectious or cardiovascular | groups of: <50km, 50.1 - 150km, 150.1 - 300 and >300km Shortest Road Distance Calculated using ArcGIS 9.1. Distance was treated as a categorical variable using the following groups - 0-50km, 50.1- 150km, 150.1-300km, >300km | Patients' Residence TO The practice location of the attending nephrologist. | The study found that mortality associated with haemodialysis was greater for patients living further from their attending nephrologist. This was particularly evident for infectious causes. |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Diabetes Studies | | population | | | | |
| Littenberg, et al. | Type 2 diabetes | Vermont Diabetes Information System. Adults completed postal surveys and | Glycaemic Control Insulin Use | Shortest driving distance Calculated using ESRI ArcView 3.3 and a geographic data set of | Patients' Residence (home address) | The study found that insulin users had shorter driving distances to the healthcare facility than non-users. Longer driving distances were associated with poorer glycaemic control. The OR for those using |
| 2006 | | were interviewed at home. | | roads from Tele Atlas. Distance was treated as a | Primary care facility | insulin, living <10km, having glycaemic control was 2.29 (CI 1.35, 3,88; p = 0.002). |
| | | Years Unknown Sample = 781 (131 insulin users & 650 non users) | | continuous and categorical variable. Distances were grouped as <10km & > 10 km | | |
| Strauss, et al. ⁷⁰ USA | Diabetes | Vermont Diabetes Information system. Adults completed | Glycaemic Control (for insulin and non-insulin users) | Shortest Road Distance Calculated using a road network | Patients' Residence (home address) | The study identified that longer driving distances from the patients' home to the site of primary care were associated with poorer glycaemic |
| 2006 | | postal surveys and were interviewed at home | | in ArcvIEW 3.3. Distance was modelled as a | TO Primary care facility used. | control. |
| (Data cross over with Littenberg et al 2006)) | | (23% of the contacted population) July 2003 - March 2005 | | categorical variable. Patients were split into 3 equal groups <3.8km, 3.9 - 13.3km, ≥13.3km | , | |
| | | Sample = 973 (794 non insulin users & 179 insulin users) | | | | |
| Zgibor, et al. ⁷¹ USA | Diabetes | Seven diabetes management centres in Southwestern | Controlled vs uncontrolled diabetes | Road Distance. Driving distance using the | Patients' Residence (home address) | The study found that living > 10 miles away significantly contributed to lower levels of glycaemic control for diabetes patients. Those who lived ≤ 10 |

| 2011 | | Pennsylvania. Jun 2005 - Jan 2007 Sample = 3,369 | | network analyst tool in ArcGIS. Distance was treated as a continuous and categorical variable. Distance was divided into 2 categories ≤10 miles and >10 miles. The average distance was 13.3 miles. | TO The diabetes treatment centre attended. | miles from the diabetes treatment facility were 2.5 times more likely to have improved their levels of glycaemic control between their first and last visits. |
|---|------------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| | | | | Transplant Studies | | |
| Goldberg, et al. ⁷² USA 2014 | Liver Transplant | Veterans Health administrations integrated, national electronic medical records linked to organ procurement and transplantation network 2003 - 2010 Sample = 50,637 | Being waitlisted for a liver transplant, having a liver transplant and mortality | Straight-line Distance. Distance was treated as both a continuous and categorical variable. 5 distance categories: 0 - 100miles, 101-200, 201-300, 301-500, >500miles | Veterans Admission (VA) Centre TO The Veterans Admission Transplant Centre (VATC) | The greater the distance from a VATC or any transplant centre was associated with a lower likelihood of being put on a waiting list or receiving a transplant and greater likelihood of death. |
| Redhage, et al. ⁷³ USA 2013 | Liver Transplant | Hospital Data and HRQOL (Health Related Quality of Life) survey. Dates unknown Sample = 706 | Longitudinal HRQOL was measured using the SF-36 Health Survey and a rolling enrolment process. | Distance [unspecified] Distance treated as a continuous variable. The distance range was 0 – 2261 miles and average 179. | Patients' Residence (home address) TO The transplant centre | The study found that increased distance to the transplant centre was associated with a decreased post-transplant physical HRQOL, but that there was no association between distance and pre- transplant HRQOL. |
| Thabut, et al. ⁷⁴ USA 2012 | Lung Transplant | Transplant Registry 2001- 2009 Sample = 14,015 | Listing for a transplant, receipt of a transplant and survival. | Straight-line Distance. Using ArcGIS Software. Distance was treated as a categorical variable using two different sets of groupings. Firstly - the following groups - 0 - 50 miles, 51 - 100 miles, 101 - 150 miles, 151 - 200 miles and > 200 miles. Secondly - 6 | Patients' Residence (centroid of the residential zip code) TO The nearest adult lung transplant centre | The study found that the distance from a lung transplant centre was inversely associated with the hazard of being listed (both before and after the introduction of the lung allocation score). Once waitlisted distance from the closest centre was not associated with differences in survival. |

| | | | | categories 0 - 50th percentile, 50th - 75th percentile, 75th - 90th percentile, 90th to 95th percentile, 95th - 99th percentile and + 99th. | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| Zorzi, et al. ⁷⁵ USA 2012 | Liver Transplant | United Network for Organ Sharing Jan 2004 – July 2010 Sample = 5,673 | Mortality & being dropped from a waiting list due to being too sick. | Straight-line Distance. Distance were calculated using ww.zip-codes.com Distance was considered as a continuous & categorical variable and divided into the following 3 groups: <30miles, 30-60 miles and >60 miles | Patients' Residence TO The nearest liver specialised transplant centre & nearest 300 bed hospital. | The study found that increased distance from a specialised liver transplant centre was associated with an increased likelihood of death. The likelihood of wait list drop out was significantly higher for patients living > 30 miles from the specialised liver transplant centre. |
| Obesity Studies | | | | | | |
| Jennings, et al. ⁷⁶ UK 2013 | Obesity (Laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding - LAGB) | Hospital Database. < 2010. Sample = 227 | Compliance with follow up appointments. | Road Distance. Calculated using Google Maps. Distance was treated as a continuous variable. The average distance for perfect attenders is 15.3 miles and nonattendees are 21.1.miles. | Patients' Residence (Home Address) TO The treating hospital | The study identified that compliance with follow up following LAGB is associated with better weight loss. Patients living closer to the treating hospital were more likely to regularly attend follow up. The study reported longer public transport journey times in the non-attending group - but did not include this in the analysis. |
| Lara, et al. ⁷⁷ USA 2005 | Obesity | Gundersen Lutheran Medical Centre data. Sept 2001 - April 2003 Sample = 150 | Compliance with follow up at 3, 6 ,9 and 12 month appointments | Straight-line Distance. Distances were treated as a categorical variable using groups: <50 miles 50 - 100 miles and >100 miles | Patients' Residence (zip code TO The Clinic they were treated/ followed up at. | The study found that travel distance from the clinic did not significantly affect compliance at the initial follow-up, 3-month, and 12-month appointments. However, distance did affect compliance at the 6-month appointment and significantly affected compliance at the 9-month appointment. |
| Sivagnanam and Rhodes ⁷⁸ UK 2010 | Obesity - Laparoscopic adjustable gastric band (LAGB) | The Norwich Spire Hospital. October 1997 - March 2009. Sample = 150 | Follow up and weight loss | Distance. Method not reported. Distance was treated as a categorical variable and split into the following distance groups <10, 10 - 20, 20 - 30 and > 30. (all miles) | Patients' Residence TO The Norwich Spire Hospital. | The study found that patients attended fewer follow up clinics, as distance increased from the patient's home address. The percentage estimated weight loss was lowest in the group that lived furthest from the hospital, but this was not statistically significant. |

| | | | 87% of the patients lived < 50 | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| <u>l</u> ies | | | miles from the nospital. | | |
| Mental Health - Schizophrenia or bipolar disorder | National Veterans Affairs (VA) administrative data. Patients who received a diagnosis of schizophrenia or bipolar disorder in the year Oct 1997 - Sept 2008 and survived the year. Sample = 163,656 | Continuity - measured by time to first 12 month gap in VA health services utilisation | Straight-line Distance. Distance was treated as a continuous variable. Average distance to the nearest provider was 11.8 miles. | Patients' Residence (population centroid of the patients zip code) TO The nearest VA providers of substantial psychiatric services or community based outpatient clinics serving at least 500 unique patients where at least 20% were mental health visits. | The study found that patients who had a 12 month gap in VA services utilisation were more likely to have a lower Charlson comorbidity score and live further away. Living ≥25 miles from VA care was associated with a greater likelihood of a gap in VA health utilisation. The hazard ratio associated with each 5 miles further from psychiatric services was 1.011. |
| Mental Health | Provincial health records 1976 Sample = 1767 inpatients & 883 outpatients | Seriousness of diagnosis | Distance. Distance from Peterborough Ontario. They do not provide any other information on method of calculation. | Patients' Residence TO Peterborough Ontario | The study concluded that severity of diagnosis increased as distance travelled increased. |
| Mental Health Psychiatric | Regional population & actual patient data from the Stokmarknes Clinic in Nordland 1992 - 1996 Sample = 10,996 (total population) Sample = 1,834 treated population. | Use of an outpatient clinic | Travel Time. Calculated from information gathered from local bus and ferry companies. The study treated travel time as a categorical variable using the cut off of 35 minutes. | All residential addresses in the local area & actual patient attendees. TO The outpatient clinic at Stokmarknes. | The study found that a significantly higher proportion of those living < 35 mins from the clinic had used the clinics services than > 35mins. |
| | | | | | |
| Sleep Apnea | University of British Columbia Hospital Sleep Disorders Clinic | Severity of obstructive sleep apnea | Travel Time. Calculated using DMTI routing data and the ArcGIS Network analyst function. | Patients' Residence (postcode) TO | The study found that travel time to the sleep clinic was a predictor of obstructive sleep apnea severity (controlling for sex, age, obesity and education). Every 10 min increase in travel time was associated with an increase of 1.4 events per hour in the apneahypopnea index. |
| | Mental Health - Schizophrenia or bipolar disorder Mental Health Mental Health Psychiatric | Mental Health - Schizophrenia or bipolar disorder National Veterans Affairs (VA) administrative data. Patients who received a diagnosis of schizophrenia or bipolar disorder in the year Oct 1997 - Sept 2008 and survived the year. Sample = 163,656 Mental Health Provincial health records 1976 Sample = 1767 inpatients & 883 outpatients Mental Health Psychiatric Regional population & actual patient data from the Stokmarknes Clinic in Nordland 1992 - 1996 Sample = 10,996 (total population) Sample = 1,834 treated population. Sleep Apnea University of British Columbia Hospital | Mental Health - Schizophrenia or bipolar disorder National Veterans Affairs (VA) administrative data. Patients who received a diagnosis of schizophrenia or bipolar disorder in the year Oct 1997 - Sept 2008 and survived the year. Sample = 163,656 Mental Health Provincial health records Sample = 1767 inpatients & 883 outpatients Mental Health Psychiatric Regional population & actual patient data from the Stokmarknes Clinic in Nordland 1992 - 1996 Sample = 10,996 (total population) Sample = 1,834 treated population. Sleep Apnea University of British Columbia Hospital Sleep Disorders Clinic Continuity - measured by time to first 12 month gap in VA health services utilisation Weasured by time to first 12 month gap in VA health services utilisation Wear Oct 1997 - Sept 2008 and survived the year. Seriousness of diagnosis Use of an outpatient clinic | Mental Health - Schizophrenia or bipolar disorder Dipolar disorder Schizophrenia or bipolar disorder Dipolar disorder Dipolar disorder Dipolar disorder Dipolar disorder Dipolar disorder in the year Oct 1997 - Sept 2008 and survived the year. Sample = 163,656 Mental Health Provincial health records 1976 Sample = 1767 inpatients & 883 outpatients & 883 outpatients & 883 outpatient data from the Stokmarknes Clinic in Nordland 1992 - 1996 Sample = 10,996 (total population. Sieep Apnea University of British Columbia Hospital Sleep Disorders Clinic Mental Shear Disorders Clinic Shear Distructive sleep apnea Travel Time. Calculated from information on method of 35 minutes. Severity of Obstructive sleep apnea Travel Time. Calculated using DMTI routing data and the ArcGIS Network analyst function. | Mental Health Schizophrenia or Distance was treated as a continuous variable. Average distance to the nearest provider was 11.8 miles. Mental Health Provincial health Provin |

| 2016 | | patients whose travel | | Travel time was treated as a | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2010 | | times were < 1 hour. | | continuous variable and | | |
| | | | | categorical variable. The mean | | |
| | | May 2003 – July 2011. | | travel time was 20.8 mins. The | | |
| | | | | cut point for the categorical | | |
| | | 1,275 | | variable was the mean time. | | |
| Arcury, et al. 83 | Non specific - | Survey of adults in 12 | Number of | Straight-line Distance. | Patients' Residence (Survey | The study found that distance was significantly |
| , a cary, cc an | Health care visits | rural Appalachian | regular check-up | Straight mic Bistance. | at respondents homes) | associated with the number of regular check-up care |
| USA | Treater care visits | North Carolina | care visits, | Distance to the healthcare | at respondents nomes , | visits and chronic care visits. Distance was not |
| 33.1 | | Counties. | chronic care visits | facility was based on | то | associated with acute care visits. |
| 2005 | | Personal interviews in | and acute care | respondents stating which | | |
| | | participants homes. | visits | hospital, clinic or doctor they | The self-reported hospital, | They identified that those people with a driving |
| | | | | would normally go to for "a | GP, clinic that they would | license had an estimated 1.58 times more regular |
| | | 1999 - 2000. | | really bad emergency", A less | normally go to for a really | care visits and 2.3 times more chronic care visits. |
| | | | | serious emergency, and for | bad emergency, a less | |
| | | Sample = 1,059 | | regular care. The average | serious emergency or for | |
| | | | | distance for regular check-up | regular care. | |
| | | | | visits was 14 miles, for chronic | | |
| | | | | care visits 18 miles and serious | | |
| | | | | emergencies 18.58miles. | | |
| Ballard, et al. 84 | Non-specific. | Medicare | 30 day mortality | Distance | Patients' Residence (zip | The study found that increased distance from the |
| | | hospitalization data | | | code) | patient's residence to the hospital that they were |
| USA | | (MEDPAR) | | No information in paper on | | treated in was independently associated with higher |
| | | | | specific method. | то | 30 day mortality rates. |
| 1994 | | 1998 | | | | |
| | | | | Distance was split into the | The hospital attended (zip | |
| | | Sample = 13,596 | | categories of <10 miles and ≥ 10 | code) | |
| | | Two groups – patients | | miles. | | |
| | | referred to Mayo | | | | |
| | | Rochester hospitals | | | | |
| | | and separately national referral | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Chou, et al. 85 | Coronary Atery | hospitals. Pennsylvania | In hospital | Straight-line distance. | Patients' Residence | The study found that high risk CABG patients living |
| Cilou, Ct al. | Bypass Graft | HealthCare Cost | mortality and | Straight line distance. | (Centroid of the patient's | further from the admitting hospital had increased in- |
| USA | (CABG) | Containment Council | readmission | Distance was treated as a | residential zip code) | hospital mortality. |
| 03/1 | (0/100) | Containment Council | - Caarriission | continuous variable. | residential zip code, | nospital mortality. |
| 2012 | | 1995 - 2005 | | Average distance 14.9 miles. | то | |
| | | 2555 2555 | | | | |
| | | Sample = 102,858 | | | The admitting hospital | |
| Etzioni, et al. 86 | Any Surgical | National Surgical | 30 day surgical | Distance | Patients' Residence (zip | The study found that patients who lived closer were |
| | Operation | Quality Improvement | outcomes | No information on method. | code centroid) | less likely to have a serious complication at 30 days |
| USA | | Project (NSQIP) | | | | and had better outcomes than predicted. |
| | | , , | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

| 2013 | | database - for a large tertiary care institution. 2006 - 2009 Sample = 6,938 | | Distances were treated as a categorical variable and split into quintiles by procedure category. This allowed the study to take into account that patients travelled further for more complicated operations. | TO The tertiary hospital attended. | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|---|---|
| | | procedures | | The average distance was 226 miles. | | |
| Evans et al. 87 | HIV with Severe sepsis | University of Virginia Clinical data repository | In hospital Mortality | Distance Method unspecified. | Patients' Residence (assumed) | The study found that after adjusting for severity of illness and respiratory failure, patients living >40 miles from the clinic had a fourfold increased risk of in-hospital mortality compared to ≤40 miles. |
| USA 2016 | | 2001 – [not stated] 74 | | Dichotomised into ≤40miles and >40 miles | TO The University of Virginia Ryan White HIV clinic | in-nospital mortality compared to 540 miles. |
| Haynes, et al. ⁸⁸ | Inpatient Episodes | Regional Health Authority. 1991 - 1993 | Healthcare episodes | Straight-line Distance. Distance was treated as a continuous variable. The | Patients' Residence (population weighted centroid of the patients ward) | The study found that after controlling for key confounders distance to hospital was a significant predictor of hospital episodes, especially psychiatric episodes. |
| 1999 | | Sample = 470,650 acute episodes, 13,425 psychiatric episodes and 36,909 geriatric episodes. | | furthest distance to the GP was 8km and to the acute hospitals 41km. | TO The nearest district general hospital. & Patients' Residence TO The nearest GP surgery. | The study found that distance to the GP was only significantly associated with reductions in acute episodes in hospital. |
| Jackson, et al. ⁷ USA | Colorectal Surgery | The National Surgical Quality Improvement Programme Database. | Length of Stay | Road Distance with the shortest travel time. | Patients' Residence (5 digit zip code) | The study found that in the adjusted model increased travel distance from a patient's residence to the hospital was associated with an increase in |
| 2013 | | May 2003 - April 2011 Sample = 866 | | Distance was treated as a continuous variable. The mean distance travelled was 146.9 miles (range 2 - 2984). The study transformed distance and length of stay onto the log scale due to non-normal distributions. | TO The hospital treated at (5 digit zip code). | length of stay. |
| Jackson, et al. ⁸⁹ USA | Elective Pancreatic Surgery | Local National Surgery Quality Improvement database. | Length of Stay | Road Distance (shortest travel time) | Patients' Residence (5 digit zip code) | The study found (in the general model) that for each additional 100 miles travelled, the length of hospital stay increased by 2%. |

| | | | | Distance was treated as a | TO | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|---|--|
| 2014 | | 2005 - 2011 | | continuous variable. The | | |
| | | | | distances ranged from 3 - 3006 | The hospital treated at (5 | |
| | | Sample = 243 | | miles. | digit zip code) | |
| Jones, et al. ⁹⁰ | Asthma | Regional Deaths | Mortality | Travel Times. | Patients Residence (starting | The study identified an association between asthma |
| LIV | | System for East Anglia. | | Traval times were treated as | point measured at the ward | mortality and increasing travel time to the nearest |
| UK | | 1985 - 1995 | | Travel times were treated as categorical & continuous | level-average number of households = 2,726) | acute hospital. The study found no relationship between distance to the GP and asthma mortality |
| 1999 | | 1903 - 1993 | | variables. | 110036110103 - 2,7207 | rates. |
| 1333 | | Sample = 768 (of which | | variables. | то | Tutes. |
| | | asthma was the | | The groupings used for travel to | | |
| | | underlying cause of | | the GP were 0 - 4mins >4 - 6 | The nearest GP and the | |
| | | death in 365 of these). | | mins, >6 - 9 mins and ≥ 9mins. | nearest acute hospital with | |
| | | | | The minimum travel time was 3 | over 200 beds. | |
| | | | | minutes and the maximum 20.8 | | |
| | | | | minutes. | | |
| | | | | The groupings used for travel | | |
| | | | | time to the hospital were 0 - 10, $> 10 - 20$, $> 20-30$, ≥ 30 mins. | | |
| | | | | The minimum time to the | | |
| | | | | hospital was 4.4 minutes and | | |
| | | | | the maximum 54.7 minutes. | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Lake, et al. 91 | TB - treatment | National enhanced TB | Completion of TB | Road Distance. | Patients' Residence | The results indicate that attending a TB centre with |
| | with full course of | surveillance system | Treatment | | (postcode) | low case load or greater distance was associated |
| UK | anti TB therapy | (ETS) | | Distance was treated as a | | with poorer treatment outcomes. The study |
| | | | | categorical variable using the | то | identified that distance to a TB treatment centre |
| 2011 | | 2001 - 2006 | | groups of < 7.3km and > 7.3km. | | was insignificant for patients native to the country |
| | | Campala 24 054 | | | The TB treatment facility | (UK). |
| | | Sample = 21,954 | | | | |
| Lankila et al. ⁹² | Primary | Northern Finland 1966 | Use of local | Shortest Road Distance | Patients' Residence | The study found that the number of people |
| | Healthcare Attendance | Birth Cohort | health centres | Coloulated using the Finisher | | attending health centres and mean number of visits declined with distance for people living in rural |
| | Attenuance | Questionnaire | | Calculated using the Finish road network data (Digiroad) using | | areas, but this was not significant, but the opposite |
| Finland | | Questionnaire administered 1997 | | ESRI ArcGIS 10. | то | was the case for the sub group in urban areas |
| | | (cohort were all 31 | | ESITI AICOIS 10. | | travelling ≥10.0km compared to 0-1.9km. |
| 2016 | | years old) | | Distance was treated as a | The municipalities health | · |
| | | , | | categorical variable using 0- | centre facility (or where | |
| | | 4,503 | | 1.9km, 2 – 4.9 km 5.0-9.9 km | there were more than one – | |
| | | | | and ≥10.0km | the closest was used) | |
| Monnet 93 | Hepatitis C | Registry Data | Hepatitis C | Road Distance. | Patients' Residence | The study found that the detection rate for Hepatitis |
| | | | detection rates | | (geometric centroid of the | C decreased in each of the studies socioeconomic |
| | | 1994 - 2001 | | Calculated using Chrono Map in | patients municipality of | clusters as distance to the GP increased. |

| - | | | | | I | |
|----------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| FRANCE | | | | MapInfo with the 1997 Michelin | residence) | |
| | | sample = 1,938 | | light road network table (which | | |
| 2008 | | | | includes major roads). | то | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | Distance was treated as a | The GP (geometric centroid | |
| | | | | continuous variable. | of municipality) | |
| D + -1 94 | Alaskal Alassa | In also as Mataura | A C1 | | ' '' | The standard formed the table and the standard formed to the standar |
| Prue, et al. ⁹⁴ | Alcohol Abuse | Jackson Veterans | Aftercare | Road Distance. | Patients' Residence (home | The study found that the number of "miles to" and |
| | | Administration | attendance. | | address) | "miles on" the highway significantly affected the |
| USA | | Hospital. | | Calculated as total miles. Split | | probability of attendance at an alcohol abuse |
| | | | | into "miles to " the nearest | то | aftercare appointment. Distance to the major |
| 1979 | | Years Unknown, | | highway and "miles on" the | | highway was more predictive of attendance than the |
| | | | | nearest highway. | The aftercare facility | miles on the major highway. |
| | | Sample = 40. | | , | , | , , , |
| | | | | Distance was treated as a | | |
| | | | | continuous variable. The range | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | of distances was (12 - 378 | | |
| | | | _ | miles). | | |
| Singh, et al. 95 | Cardiac | Brunswick Cardiac | 30 day rates of | Road Distance. | Patients' Residence (Home | The study found that increased distance from the |
| | | Centre. | adverse events | | address) | cardiac surgery centre was independently associated |
| CANADA | | | following non- | Distance was treated as a | | with a greater likelihood of experiencing an adverse |
| | | 2004 - 2011. | emergency | categorical variable using the | то | event at 30 days. |
| 2014 | | | cardiac surgery | following groupings: 0-50km, 50 | | |
| | | Sample = 3,897 | 34.80.7 | - 100km, 100 - 150km, 150 - | The Cardiac Surgery Centre | |
| | | 3411pic = 3,037 | | 200km, 200 - 250km and | The saraide surgery certific | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | >250km. | | |

Supplement table 3: Included studies identifying evidence of a distance bias association

| Author | Disease / Procedure | Source, Years & | Health Outcome | Distance/ travel time measurement | Origin and Destination | Summary of key results | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Country | | Sample size | | | | | | | |
| Cancer Studies Cancer Studies | | | | | | | | | |
| Bristow et al. ⁹⁶ USA 2015 | Ovarian Cancer (Advanced Stage) | Californian Cancer Registry 1996 – 2006 11,765 | Mortality | Straight-line Distance Calculated using ESRI ArcMap 10.0. Distance was treated as a categorical variable using quintiles. Categories for hospital attended: <5km, 5-9, 10-16, 17-31, ≥32km. Categories for nearest high volume hospital: <9km, 9-17, 11-20, 21-49 & ≥80km. 80% of patients travelled ≤28.3km to the hospital they were treated at. 80% of patients were ≤ 79.6km to the nearest high volume hospital. | Patients' Residence TO The hospital treated at and the nearest high volume hospital. | The study found that travelling 5-9km, 17-31 km and ≥32km to the hospital compared those travelling <5km (reference case) was associated with a reduction in the risk of mortality. After controlling for hospital size and adherence to treatment guidelines 5-9km and 17-31km compared to the reference case were still significant. The opposite case was found for distance to the nearest high volume hospital for patients travelling ≥80km compared to the reference case of <9km. This was no longer significant after controlling for adherence to treatment guidelines. | | | |
| Lamont, et al. 97 UK 2003 | Cancer | 4 phase II chemo radiotherapy studies conducted at the University of Chicago. 1993 - 2000 Sample = 110. | Survival | Distance. Driving miles (using an "internet based mapping engine"). Distances were treated as a categorical variable and split into two groups ≤ 15 miles (45 patients) and > 15 miles (67 patients) | Patients Residence (exact address) TO The University of Chicago hospital | The study found a positive association between the distance that patients travelled and survival. Those living > 15 miles had only 1/3 of the hazard of death than those living ≤15 miles. With every 10 miles that a patient travelled the hazard of death declined by 3.2%. | | | |
| Lenhard Jr, et al. ⁹⁸ USA 1987 | Multiple Myeloma | Centralised Cancer Patient Data System. 1977 - 1982. Sample = 1,479 | Survival | Distance. Distance was treated as a categorical variable using the following groups - 0 - 9 miles, 10 - 49 miles, 50 - 149 miles, and ≥ 150miles | Patients' Residence (zip code) TO The treating centre (zip code area) | The study found that survival improved with increasing distance travelled to treatment centres. | | | |

| Lipe, et al. ⁹⁹ USA 2012 | Bone Marrow Transplant for Multiple Melanoma | Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Centre transplant registry 1996 - 2009 Sample = 77 | Survival (OS and progression free survival) | Straight-line Distance. Calculated using www.melissadata.com . Distance was treated as a continuous variable and categorical variable split into the groups of < 50miles and > 50 miles | Patients' Residence TO The Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Centre | The study found that increasing distance from the transplant centre was associated with improved overall survival. The authors identified that this could be due to a referral bias, but could also be due to a healthier and more motivated groups of patients living further away. |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| Wasif, et al. 100 USA 2104 | Gastrointestinal Cancer | National Cancer Database. 2003 – 2009 Sample = 77 | Survival | Distance. [Method not specified] Distance was treated as a continuous variable and categorical variable split into the groups of <50 miles | Patient' Residence (zip code centroid) TO The treatment facility zip code centroid | The study found that adjusted hazard ratios were significantly lower for patients travelling > 50 miles compared to < 50 miles. This was true for liver, oesophageal and pancreatic cancer. They concluded that those that travelled > 50 miles to the treatment facility had lower 30 day mortality rates. |
| Other Studies | | | | | | |
| DeNino, et al. 8 USA | Obesity (Gastric Band) | Teaching hospital patients Nov 2008 - Nov 2009 | Follow Up Compliance and Weight Loss | Road Distance. Calculated using Google Maps. Distance was treated as a continuous | Patients' Residence (exact address) | The study found a weak relationship between increased travel distance to the hospital and increased weight loss. Travel distance was found not to be significant for |
| 2010 | | Sample = 116 | | variable. The average distance to the hospital was 39.5 miles. | The hospital treated at. | attending follow up visits. |

Supplement table 4: Included studies identifying no association

| Author Country | Disease / Procedure | Source, Years & Sample size | Health Outcome | Distance/ travel time measurement | Origin and Destination | Summary of key results | | |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Cancer Studies | | | | | | | | |
| Celaya, et al. ¹⁰¹ USA 2010 | Breast Cancer | New Hampshire State Cancer Registry (NHSCR) 1998 - 2004 Sample = 5,966 | Stage at diagnosis | Driving Time and Road Distance. Calculated using ESRI ArcGIS and data from ESRI on street networks, posted speed limits and driving distance. Distance and travel time were treated as categorical variables. Using the following groupings: < 5 miles, 5 - <10 miles, 10 - < 15.0 miles, ≥15 miles. For travel time < 5 mins, 5 - < 10 mins and ≥ 10 mins | Patients' Residence (Addresses of patients were geocoded to an exact street address(91%) or to the zip code centroid if only a post office box or rural route address was available.) TO The nearest mammography facility. | The study identified no significant association between later stage breast cancer and travel time to the nearest mammography facility. They did identify that there was good access (patients did not have to travel a large distance) to mammography facilities in the area studied, as shown by the categorical groupings. | | |
| Cosford, et al. ¹⁰² UK 1997 | Cancer | Cancer Registry 1991 Sample = described as the no. of people in each local authority district attending hospital with a diagnosis of cancer and the no. who received radiotherapy in that year. | Radiotherap y uptake | Travel Time. Modelled used to obtain off peak drive times + use of "commercially available computer programme". Travel time was treated as a continuous variable. Maximum travel times 1 hour. | Population weighted centroid of 14 different local authorities TO The nearest cancer centre serving the area. | The study found no significant relationship between overall radiotherapy uptake and travel times. | | |
| Crawford, et al. ¹⁰³ UK 2012 | Colorectal Cancer | Northern and Yorkshire Cancer Registry and Information Service. 1994 – 2002 Sample = 39,619 | Stage of diagnosis & receipt of treatment | Travel Time. Shortest road route and average driving speeds along the routes by road class. Travel times were split into quartiles. | Patients' Residence TO The nearest hospital providing diagnostic and surgical treatment services for bowel cancer. | The study found no effect of travel time distance on stage of diagnosis or receipt of treatment. They also found no interaction effects between deprivation and travel time. | | |

| Gunderson, et al. ¹⁰⁴ | Cervical Cancer | Medical Records | Overall Survival | Straight- line Distance. Distance was treated as a categorical | Patients' Residence (zip code) | The study found no significant difference between patients travelling <30 miles and those travelling >30 miles for survival. They found that non |
|---|---------------------|--|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| USA 2013 | | Sample = 219 | Progression free survival | variable. Using the following groups: <30 miles and >30 miles | The treating hospital (if the patient underwent surgery) otherwise the radiation centre. | Caucasians were less likely to travel > 30 miles. |
| Heelan and McKenna 105 IRELAND 2011 | Cancer | Melanoma Database. 2000 - 2009 Sample = 106 | Breslow Thickness | Driving Distance. The automobile Association route planner was used to estimate distance travelled by road. Data was treated as a categorical variable using the groupings of < 30km and >30km. The median distance was 33.3km (range 0.2 - 123.12km) | Patients' Residence TO The hospital attended. | The study found no significant association between distance travelled and Breslow thickness on presentation. The study concluded that this could have been due to the type of patients in the sample (high number of thick lesions) in both distance categories. |
| Henry, et al. ¹⁰⁶ USA 2013 | Breast Cancer | US North American Association of Central Cancer Registries. Patients diagnosed 2004 - 2006 Sample = 174,609 | Stage at diagnosis | Travel Times. The study calculated 3 accessibility measures including shortest road network drive time. This used the NAACCR shortest path calculator https://www.naaccr.org/Research/Shortest PathFinder.aspx Travel times were treated as categorical variable using the following groups - ≤ 5 mins, > 5 - 10, > 10 - 20, > 20 - 30, > 30. 93% of the breast cancer cases lived < 20 mins from the nearest mammography facility and only 2.8 % lived > 30mins. | Road nearest the population weighted centroid of each census tract TO The nearest FDA certified mammography facility | The study found that after adjusting for poverty there was no impact of distance on late stage diagnosis. They found that poverty was independently associated with late stage diagnosis. |
| Henry, et al. ¹⁰⁷ USA 2011 | Breast cancer | 10 state population based cancer registries - covering 30% of the population of the USA. Patients diagnosed 2004 - 2006 Sample = 161,619 | Stage at Diagnosis | Travel Time. Travel time was modelled as both a continuous and categorical variable. There were 7 categories ranging from < 10 mins to ≥ 60 mins. 76% of the women lived <20 mins from their diagnosing facility & 93% < 20mins from the nearest mammography facility. | Patients' Residence (residential street address (87%) or postal delivery area centroid (8%). TO The diagnosing facility and nearest facility. | The study concluded that increased travel time was not a determinant of late stage diagnosis. They found that insurance status, race and poverty were associated with risks for a late stage diagnosis of breast cancer. |
| Khera et al. ¹⁰⁸ | Hematopoiet ic cell | Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Centre/ | Non relapse mortality | Distance | Patients' Residence (zip code) | The study found no relationship between increasing distance and non-relapse mortality, |

| | | 0 0 | I - 1 | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | transplantati | Seattle Cancer Care | Relapse | Method unspecified. | | relapse mortality and survival at 200 days. The |
| | on | Alliance | mortality | | | study does state that patients are required to stay |
| USA | | 2000 – 2010 | Survival at | Distance was treated as a continuous and | ТО | within 30 minutes of the hospital for the first 80 to |
| | | 2000 2010 | 200 days | categorical variable. Categories ≤100km, | | 100 days, which allows them to be closer (for most |
| 2016 | | | | 100- 500, 500, 1000 and > 1000km from the | The transplant centre (Fred | patients than their residential address) for any |
| 2016 | | 2,849 | | centre were used. Categories of <170km | The transplant centre (Fred | early issues. After this patients were followed up |
| | | | | and ≥170 km were used to assess mortality. | Hutchinson Cancer Research | via telemedicine in addition to travelling to the |
| | | | | Median distance 263km (range 0 – 2740km) | Centre) | clinics. |
| Meersman, | Breast | California Health | Mammogra | Straight-line Distance. | Patients' Residence (70% of the | The study did not use the distance calculations in |
| et al. ¹⁰⁹ | Cancer | Interview survey | phy uptake | | sample were geocoded based on | the final model (as they were not significant)- but |
| | | · | . , . | Distances were treated as categorical | the nearest street to their | instead used mammography density within 2 miles |
| USA | | 2001 | | variable and split into the following | residence, 30% to their zip code | of a patient's residence instead - which was found |
| | | | | quartiles: 0 - 0.53 miles, 0.54 - 1.07 | centroid). | to be significant. The number of bus stops within 3 |
| 2009 | | Sample = 4,249 | | miles,1.09 - 1.82 miles and 1.83 - 26.5 miles. | , | miles was not significant. This indicated that |
| | | .,,, | | The study also calculated the number of | то | density of mammography facilities and not |
| | | | | public transit stops within 3 miles of the | | distance was the critical factor. |
| | | | | respondent and split these into quartiles. | The nearest mammography | |
| | | | | | facility. | |
| | | | | | | |
| Ragon, et | Allogeneic | Transplant data team | Survival | Straight-line Distance. | Patients Residence (Zip code at | The study found that distance did not impact on |
| | _ | · | | , and the second | | |
| | · | | | Distance from the transplant centre was | , | |
| USA | | 2006 - 2012 | | | то | |
| | • | | | | | |
| 2014 | (1.001) | Sample = 299 | | • | The medical centre where they | |
| | | | | | were treated. | |
| | | | | | | |
| Sauerzapf, | Breast | Northern and Yorkshire | Breast | Travel Time. | Patients' Residence (postcode) | The study found that the choice of breast |
| et al. ¹¹¹ | Cancer | Cancer Registry | conserving | | | conserving surgery or receiving radiotherapy was |
| | | Information Service. | surgery vs | Fastest Travel time using the road network. | то | not associated with the estimated travel time. |
| UK | | | mastectomy | Using ArcGIS and the Meridian digital road | | They did find that travel time to radiotherapy was |
| | | 1994 - 2002 | & whether | network. Sections of the road were | The closest hospital where | only significant as a predictor of surgery choice for |
| 2008 | | | the patient | assigned average car travel times. | radiotherapy was available. | patients living >800 m from a frequent bus service. |
| | | Sample = 6,014 | had | Distances were treated as categorical | | |
| | | | received | variables using the categories of ≤30 mins, | | |
| | | | radiotherap | 30 - 60 mins > 60 mins. The study also | | |
| | | | y following | collected information on those living within | | |
| | | | breast | 800m of a frequent bus service. | | |
| | | | conserving | , | | |
| | | | Ŭ | | | |
| al. 110 USA 2014 Sauerzapf, et al. 111 UK | hematopoieti c stem cell transplantati on (HSCT) | and medical records 2006 - 2012 Sample = 299 Northern and Yorkshire Cancer Registry Information Service. 1994 - 2002 | Breast conserving surgery vs mastectomy & whether the patient had received radiotherap y following breast | Distance from the transplant centre was split into 2 groups of <170km and >170km. This represented a cut off at 75th percentile. Travel Time. Fastest Travel time using the road network. Using ArcGIS and the Meridian digital road network. Sections of the road were assigned average car travel times. Distances were treated as categorical variables using the categories of ≤30 mins, 30 - 60 mins > 60 mins. The study also collected information on those living within | the time of the transplant) TO The medical centre where they were treated. Patients' Residence (postcode) TO The closest hospital where | The study found that the choice of breast conserving surgery or receiving radiotherapy wa not associated with the estimated travel time. They did find that travel time to radiotherapy wa only significant as a predictor of surgery choice f |

| Schroen and Lohr ¹¹² USA 2009 | Breast Cancer | Virginia Cancer Registry 2000 - 2001 Sample = 8,170 | Invasive tumour size at diagnosis | Shortest Road Distance. Calculated using ArcGIS. Distance was treated as a continuous variable. The average distance was 5.7 miles and only 5% of the patients lived >20 miles away. | Patients' Residence TO The nearest mammography facility. | The study found that distance to the nearest mammography facility had no consistent relationship between invasive tumour size at diagnosis in the adjusted model. They found that only advanced age was a predictor of invasive tumour size at diagnosis | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Other Studies | Other Studies Other Studies | | | | | | | | | |
| Firozvi, et al. ¹¹³ | Liver Transplant | Medical Centre Transplant Database. | Listing status, time required to | Travel Time. Calculated using Yahoo! Maps. | Patients' Residence (where not available the patients home town or city centre) | The study found that those patients living > 3 hours away from a transplant centre had comparable outcomes to those living closer. | | | | |
| USA 2008 | | 2002 - 2005 (censor date 2005) Sample = 166. | list, survival once listed, transplantat ion and 1yr post transplantat ion survival. | Travel time was treated as a categorical variable using > 3 hour and ≤3 hour. 38 people had travel times > 3. The range of travel times was 0 - 7 hours. | TO The specific transplant centre | | | | | |
| Leese, et al. 114 UK 2013 | Diabetes Related Foot Disease | Three linked data sets. Scottish Care Information Diabetes Collaboration - Tayside Regional Diabetes Register, Foot ulcer dataset, Amputation dataset. 2004 - 2006 Sample = 15,983. 670 (with new foot ulcers) | Occurrence of a new foot ulcer or amputation | Travel Time (using road distance) Travel time was treated as a continuous variable. The average time to the GP was 6.48 minutes, average time to the local hospital was 28.47 minutes. | Patients' Residence TO The local hospital clinic and local GP | The study concluded that distance from the GP or hospital clinic and lack of attendance at community retinal screening did not predict a foot ulceration or amputation. They did find that being socially deprived was significantly associated with foot ulceration. | | | | |
| Markin, et | Pulmonary | 99 (with an amputation) PAH Disease | Delayed | Distance. | Patients' Residence | The study concluded that distance from the PH | | | | |
| al. ¹¹⁵ | Arterial Hypertension | Management (REVEAL). | diagnosis | (method not reported) | TO | centre was not shown to be associated with a delayed diagnosis, lower likelihood of early | | | | |
| USA 2011 | | Years Unknown. Sample = 638 | | Distance was treated as a categorical variable using the grouping of < 50miles vs >50 miles. | The pulmonary hypertension (PH) centre | treatment with an IV/SC prostacyclin analog, or a worse functional class at diagnosis. | | | | |
| Rodkey, et al. ¹¹⁶ USA | Heart Transplant | Transplantation hospital charts, local hospital records and direct patient and family | Rejection episodes, No. of endomyocar | Distance. Distance was calculated using the Rand McNally TripMaker Version 1.1. | Primary city of residence TO The transplant centre | The study concluded that long distance management of heart transplant recipients is successful and is not associated with an increase in adverse outcomes. Patients living further away | | | | |

| 1997 | | contact. 1984 - 1995 Sample = 312 | dial biopsies, ED visits, hospital admissions, infections, coronary allograft vasculopath y, malignancie s re- transplantat ion and death | Distance was treated as a categorical variable using the groups 0 - 150miles 151 - 300 miles and >300miles. 207 patients lived in group 1, 69 patients lived in group 2 and 36 in group 3. (range 2 - 1218 miles) | | had similar results to those in the closest category (0 – 151 miles). |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| Stoller, et al. ¹¹⁷ USA 2005 | 1-Antitrypsin (AAT) deficiency | The results are based on a 4 page mailed out survey to AAT deficient individuals. Achieving a 38% response rate. 2003 Sample = 1,851 (Achieving a 38% response rate) | Diagnostic delay | Distance. Calculated using GIS software Distance was treated as a categorical variable using the groups of < 50 miles and ≥ 50 miles to the CRC. 38% of the survey respondents lived within 50 miles of a CRC. | Patients' Residence (zip code) TO The nearest designated clinical resource centre. | The study found that neither urban residence nor living near a centre with expertise (living within 50 miles) was associated with a shortened delay in diagnosis. |
| Swan- Kremeier, et al. ¹¹⁸ USA 2005 | Eating Disorder | Contact records, clinical records and appointment records of patients at a treatment centre. Unknown date. Sample = 139 (37 completers & 102 drop outers) | Attendance Patterns and Treatment Attrition | Straight-line Distance. Distance was treated as a continuous variable. The average distance for completers was 43.9 miles and the average distance for drop outers was 29.8 miles. | Patients' Residence To The treatment centre | The study concluded that distance travelled to the treatment site was not significantly different between the two groups (drop outers and completers). |
| Tonelli, et al. ¹¹⁹ CANADA 2006 | Kidney transplantati on | Canadian Organ Replacement Registry. Patients starting dialysis 1996 - 2000 (followed until Dec 2001) | Likelihood of Transplant | Distance (No information on distance calculations). Distance was treated as a categorical variable using the groups - < 50km, 50.1 - 150km, 150.1 - 300km and > 300km. | Patients' Residence (at the time of starting dialysis) TO The nearest transplant centre | The study found that the likelihood of a transplant was not affected by the distance to the nearest transplant centre. |

| | Sample - 7.024 | | |
|--|----------------|--|--|
| | Sample = 7,034 | | |