Biophysical Journal, Volume 111

Supplemental Information

SF1 Phosphorylation Enhances Specific Binding to U2AF⁶⁵ and Reduces Binding to 3'-Splice-Site RNA

Rakesh Chatrikhi, Wenhua Wang, Ankit Gupta, Sarah Loerch, Alexandre Maucuer, and Clara L. Kielkopf

Supplementary Tables

| Interaction: | K _D (nM) | $\Delta \mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{b}}$ (kcal mol ⁻¹) | $\mathbf{\Delta H} $ (kcal mol ⁻¹) | - T Δ S ^c (kcal mol ⁻¹) | \mathbf{n}^{d} |
|--|------------------------|--|--|---|------------------|
| U2AF ⁶⁵ _{R12U} in 100 mM NaCl buffer titrated into: ^e | | | | | |
| SF1 ₁₋₂₅₅ | 60 ± 5 | -10.1 ± 0.1 | -13.5 ± 0.5 | 3.5 ± 0.1 | 1.0 ± 0.1 |
| Phospho- $SF1_{1-255}$ | 40 ± 12 | -10.3 ± 0.2 | -14.5 ± 0.2 | 4.2 ± 0.1 | 0.9 ± 0.1 |
| U2AF ⁶⁵ UHM in 100 mM NaCl buffer titrated into: ^{f.g} | | | | | |
| SF1 ₁₄₋₁₃₂ | 43 ± 1 | -10.2 ± 0.1 | -13.5 ± 0.1 | 3.3 ± 0.1 | 1.0 ± 0.1 |
| $Phospho-SF1_{14-132}$ | 24 ± 1 | -10.6 ± 0.1 | -20.6 ± 0.1 | 10.0 ± 0.1 | 0.9 ± 0.1 |
| U2AF ⁶⁵ UHM in 250 mM NaCl buffer titrated into: ^f | | | | | |
| SF1 ₁₄₋₁₃₂ | 940 ± 47 | -8.4 ± 0.1 | -13.5 ± 0.5 | 5.1 ± 0.5 | 0.9 ± 0.1 |
| Phospho- $\overline{SF1}_{14-132}$ | 623 ± 20 | -8.6 ± 0.1 | -14.5 ± 0.1 | 5.8 ± 0.1 | 1.0 ± 0.1 |

Table S1. Thermodynamics of SF1 binding U2AF⁶⁵ proteins in different ionic strengths.^a

^a Average values and standard deviations of at least two independent titrations. ^b Calculated using the equation ΔG = -RT ln (K_D⁻¹) at T=303 K. ^c Calculated using the equation -T Δ S= ΔG - ΔH . ^d Apparent stoichiometry of U2AF⁶⁵:SF1 proteins (n). ^e c = [M]/K_D where [M] is the concentration of macromolecule in the sample cell. ^f 100 mM NaCl and 250 mM NaCl buffers include 25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 0.2 mM TCEP.

^g Also shown for comparison in Table 1.

Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Control titrations of proteins or RNA into buffer, including (**A**) SPF45 UHM (200 μ M), (**B**) U2AF³⁵ UHM (200 μ M), (**C**) 35 μ M B3P3 RNA, or (**D**) 35 μ M SF1₁₋₂₅₅ – U2AF⁶⁵_{R12U} complex. The control titration of CAPER α UHM into buffer is shown in Loerch *et al.* (2014) *J. Biol. Chem.* 289:17325-17337.



Figure S2. Representative isotherms of SF1 or phospho-SF1 titrated with U2AF⁶⁵ constructs and fit with identical sites binding models. The buffer in (**A-B**) is 100 mM NaCl, 25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 0.2 mM TCEP. The titrations include (**A**) 48 μ M U2AF⁶⁵_{R12U} into 6 μ M SF1₁₋₂₅₅, c=100. (**B**) 32 μ M U2AF⁶⁵_{R12U} into 4 μ M phospho-SF1₁₋₂₅₅, c=100. The buffer in (**C-D**) is 250 mM NaCl, 25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 0.2 mM TCEP. The titrations include (**C**) 120 μ M U2AF⁶⁵ UHM into 15 μ M SF1₁₄₋₁₃₂, c=16. (**D**) 120 μ M U2AF⁶⁵ UHM into 15 μ M phospho-SF1₁₄₋₁₃₂, c=24. The apparent equilibrium dissociation constants (K_D) and standard deviations between two titrations are given.



Figure S3. Schematic diagram of binding events during the titration of $SF1_{1-255}$ or phospho- $SF1_{1-255}$ into a mixture of U2AF⁶⁵ (or U2AF⁶⁵–U2AF³⁵ UHM complex) and fluorescein-labeled AdML RNA, for which apparent K_D's are given in Figure 3. A dashed line separates the titrated $SF1_{1-255}$ or phospho- $SF1_{1-255}$ proteins from the mixture in the cuvette. Based on the ~30 nM K_D of the full length U2AF⁶⁵–U2AF³⁵ UHM complex binding the AdML Py tract (56) and a 25 nM concentration of U2AF⁶⁵–U2AF³⁵ UHM protein and RNA in the sample cell, approximately 8 nM U2AF⁶⁵–U2AF³⁵ UHM–RNA complex and 17 nM free U2AF⁶⁵–U2AF³⁵ UHM protein and RNA are present in the sample cell at the start of the titration. The K_D's of other binding reactions are not known for the current constructs, RNA sequence, ionic strength, and pH.



Figure S4. Representative isotherm showing 100 μ M B3P3 RNA titrated into 5 μ M U2AF⁶⁵_{R12U} (c=10) and fit with an identical sites binding model. The apparent equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) and standard deviation between two titrations is given.





Figure S5. ITC results for $SF1_{1-255} - U2AF_{R12U}^{65}$ or phospho-SF1₁₋₂₅₅ -U2AF⁶⁵_{R12U} reverse titrated into B3P3 RNA. (A) Schematic diagram of the ITC experiment. (B-C) Representative isotherms fit with binding models for either nonidentical sites (black lines) or identical sites (red lines). (B) 35 $\mu M SF1_{1-255} - U2AF^{65}_{R12U}$ complex into 5 µM B3P3 RNA (nonidentical site $\chi^2 = 0.30E6 \pm 0.20E6;$ identical sites $\chi^2 = 1.88E6$ ± 0.69E6), c=31 for site 1 and c=714 for site 2. (C) 50 µM phospho-SF1₁₋₂₅₅ -U2AF⁶⁵_{R12U} complex into 5 µM B3P3 RNA (nonidentical sites ($\chi^2 =$ 1.45E6 ± 0.14E6; identical sites $\chi^2 = 82.3E6 \pm$ 76.0E6), c=43 for site 1 and c=278 for site 2. The identical site models were discarded based on the large χ^2 increase and poor fit. (D) Bar graph of apparent affinities (K_A) of the interactions, colored as shown in (A). The apparent equilibrium dissociation constants (K_D) are given. An expanded view of the lower affinity sites is inset to the left. (E) Bar graph of apparent protein:RNA stoichiometries (n), colored as for (A).

Figure S6. Representative isotherm showing 50 μ M B3P3_{SUBOPT} RNA titrated into 5 μ M SF1mut–U2AF⁶⁵_{R12U} in 100 mM NaCl, 25 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.4. The isotherm was fit using an identical sites binding model. The apparent equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) and standard deviation of two independent titrations is inset.

