### Appendix I: Appraisal instruments QARI appraisal instrument

# JBI QARI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Interpretive & Critical Research

Reviewer Da	ite	iere e	******	
Author Ye	ar	Rec	ord Numbe	ər
	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable
<ol> <li>Is there congruity between the stated philosophical perspective and the research methodology?</li> </ol>				
<ol><li>Is there congruity between the research methodology and the research question or objectives?</li></ol>				
3. Is there congruity between the research methodology and the methods used to collect data?	, D			
4. Is there congruity between the research methodology and the representation and analysis of data?				
<ol><li>Is there congruity between the research methodology and the interpretation of results</li></ol>	a 🗆			
6. Is there a statement locating the researcher culturally or theoretically?				
7. Is the influence of the researcher on the research, and vice- versa, addressed?				
8. Are participants, and their voices, adequately represented?				
<ol> <li>Is the research ethical according to current criteria or, for recent studies, and is there evidence of ethical approval by an appropriate body?</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>Do the conclusions drawn in the research report flow from the analysis, or interpretation, of the data?</li> </ol>				
Overall appraisal:	Exclude		Seek fu	rther info.
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)				

### **MAStARI** appraisal instrument

### JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Randomised Control / Pseudo-randomised Trial

Reviewer	Date _	i de la competencia d				
Author	Year .		Record Num	ber		
	Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable		
<ol> <li>Was the assignment to treatment groups truly random?</li> </ol>						
2. Were participants blinded to treatment allocation?						
<ol> <li>Was allocation to treatment groups concealed from the allocator?</li> </ol>						
4. Were the outcomes of people who withdrew described and included in the analysis?						
5. Were those assessing outcomes blind to the treatment allocation?						
6. Were the control and treatment groups comparable at entry?						
<ol> <li>Were groups treated identically other than for the named interventions</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>Were outcomes measured in the same way for all groups?</li> </ol>						
<ol><li>Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?</li></ol>						
10. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?						
Overall appraisal: Include	Exclu	Exclude 🗆		Seek further info.		
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)	)					

L. Cooper et al.

Appendix	<b>II:</b>	Data	extraction	instruments
QARI data ex	ctra	ction i	nstrument	

#### JBI QARI Data Extraction Form for Interpretive & Critical Research

Reviewer Date
Author Year
JournalRecord Number
Study Description
Methodology
Method
Phenomena of interest
Setting
Geographical
Cultural
Participants
Data analysis
Authors Conclusions
Comments

JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports

Yes 🔲

Complete

No 🗆

65

L. Cooper et al.

Findings	Illustration from	Evidence			
	Illustration from Publication (page number)	Unequivocal	Credible	Unsupported	
h			1.000		
-				-	
		-			
	-	-			
-					
L					

Extraction of findings complete Yes Ves No

JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports

L. Cooper et al.

MAStARI data extraction ins
-----------------------------

### JBI Data Extraction Form for Experimental / Observational Studies

Reviewer		Date			
Author		Year			
Journal.		Record	Number_		
Study Method					
RCT		Quasi-RCT		Longitudinal	
Retrospective		Observational		Other	
Participants					
Setting					
Population					
Sample size					
Group A		Group B			
Interventions					
Intervention A					
Intervention B	6				
Authors Conclus	sions:				
-					
Reviewers Cond	clusions:				

JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports