
1 **Material and methods**

2 **Plant material**

3 *Petunia* (*Petunia hybrida* ‘Mitchell’) plants were grown under greenhouse conditions
4 (22-25°C) and a natural photoperiod (Liu et al., 2011). Flowers were emasculated 1 d before
5 they were fully open to prevent self-pollination. Eight to ten *petunia* flowers were harvested
6 at the anthesis stage (corollas 90° reflexed) and were then placed immediately in tap water.
7 Buds were collected at about the 3rd week after the first flower opened. Stems, leaves and
8 roots were collected from plants at the vegetative stage when the plants were ~10 cm in
9 height. All tissues were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80°C until used for RNA
10 extraction. Fresh weights were measured immediately before freezing (Liu et al, 2011). All
11 experiments were conducted at least three times with independently collected and extracted
12 tissues unless otherwise noted.

13 **Ethylene treatment**

14 *Petunia* flowers were treated with ethylene according to previously described protocols (Tan
15 et al., 2014). *Petunia* flowers were harvested at anthesis, and their stems were re-cut to 5 cm,
16 placed in flasks with distilled water, and subsequently treated with 2 $\mu\text{l l}^{-1}$ ethylene for 0, 8,
17 16, 24, 32, 40 and 48 h. Corollas from 8–10 flowers were collected at each time point,
18 immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80°C for subsequent RNA or protein
19 extraction.

20 Proteasome inhibitor studies were performed by spraying corollas with an 80 μm MG132
21 solution (8% DMSO) 4 h prior to 16 h ethylene treatment. Control corollas were sprayed
22 with an 8% DMSO solution (Kevany te al, 2007).

23 **RNA extraction, library construction and sequencing**

24 The total RNA of each of above listed samples was isolated using the Trizol Kit (Promega,
25 USA) following by the manufacturer’s instructions. Then the total RNA was treated with

26 RNase-free DNase I (Takara Bio, Japan) for 30 min at 37°C to remove residual DNA. RNA
27 quality was verified using a2100 Bio-analyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) and
28 were also checked by RNase free agarose gel electrophoresis. Next, Poly (A) mRNA was
29 isolated using oligo-dT beads (Qiagen). All mRNA was broken into short fragments by
30 adding fragmentation buffer. First-strand cDNA was generated using random
31 hexamer-primed reverse transcription, followed by the synthesis of the second-strand cDNA
32 using RNase H and DNA polymerase I. The cDNA fragments were purified using a QIA
33 quick PCR extraction kit. These purified fragments were then washed with EB buffer for end
34 repair poly (A) addition and ligated to sequencing adapters. Following agarose gel
35 electrophoresis and extraction of cDNA from gels, the cDNA fragments were purified and
36 enriched by PCR to construct the final cDNA library. The cDNA library was sequenced on
37 the Illumina sequencing platform (Illumina HiSeq™ 2000) using the paired-end technology
38 by Gene Denovo Co. (Guangzhou, China). A Perl program was written to select clean reads
39 by removing low quality sequences (there were more than 50% bases with quality lower
40 than 20 in one sequence), reads with more than 5% N bases (bases unknown) and reads
41 containing adaptor sequences.

42 **Reads alignment and Normalization of gene expression levels**

43 Sequencing reads were mapped to reference sequence by the SOAPaligner/soap2 (Li et al,
44 2009), a tool designed for short sequences alignment. Coverage of reads in one gene was used
45 to calculate expression level of this gene. Using this method we obtained the expression levels
46 of all genes detected.

47 Reads that could be uniquely mapped to a gene were used to calculate the expression level.
48 The gene expression level was measured by the number of uniquely mapped reads per
49 kilobase of exon region per million mappable reads (RPKM). The formula was defined as
50 below:

$$RPKM = \frac{10^6 C}{NL/10^3}$$

51

52 In which C was the number of reads uniquely mapped to the given gene; N was the number of
53 reads uniquely mapped to all genes; L was the total length of exons from the given gene. For
54 genes with more than one alternative transcript, the longest transcript was selected to calculate
55 the RPKM. The RPKM method eliminates the influence of different gene lengths and
56 sequencing discrepancies on the gene expression calculation. Therefore, the RPKM value can
57 be directly used for comparing the differences in gene expression among samples. All
58 expression data statistic and visualization was conducted with R package
59 (<http://www.r-project.org/>).

60 **Quantitative real-time PCR assays**

61 Quantitative real-time PCR assays were performed according to the methods of Liu et al.
62 (2011). Total RNA was extracted from the samples of corollas and digested with RNase-free
63 DNAase I followed by reverse transcription (RT) according to the manufacturer's instruction. PCR
64 analysis was performed with the cDNA extracted of different samples as a template.

65 The quantitative PCR was performed on a LightCycler[®] 480 Real-Time PCR system (Roche).
66 Samples were subjected to thermal-cycling conditions of DNA polymerase activation at 95°C for
67 4 min, 40 cycles of 45 s at 95°C, 45 s at 52°C or 55°C, 45 s at 72°C, and 45 s at 80°C; a final
68 elongation step of 7 min at 72°C was performed. The amplicon was analyzed by electrophoresis
69 and sequenced once for identity confirmation. The sequences of all primers used for real-time
70 PCR analysis are described in Supplemental Table S1. *Petunia ACTIN* was used as the
71 housekeeping gene to quantify cDNA abundance. Primer specificity was determined by
72 melting curve analysis; a single, sharp peak in the melting curve ensured that a single, specific
73 DNA species had been amplified. Quantification was based on analysis of the threshold cycle (Ct)
74 value as described by Pfaffl (2001).

75 **Protein Extraction**

76 *Petunia* corollas were ground in liquid nitrogen, then the cell powder was transferred to 5 mL
77 centrifuge tube and sonicated three times on ice using a high intensity ultrasonic processor
78 (Scientz) in lysis buffer (8 M urea, 1% Triton-100, 65 mM DTT and 0.1% Protease Inhibitor

79 Cocktail). The remaining debris was removed by centrifugation at 20,000 g at 4 °C for 10 min.
80 Finally, the protein was precipitated with cold 15% TCA for 2 h at -20 °C. After
81 centrifugation at 4 °C for 10 min, the supernatant was discarded. The remaining precipitate
82 was washed with cold acetone for three times. The protein was redissolved in buffer (8 M
83 urea, 100 mM TEAB, pH 8.0) and the protein concentration was determined with 2-D Quant
84 kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Three biology replicates were performed.

85 **Preparation of Specific Antibodies against proteins and western blot analysis**

86 The synthetic peptides of proteins were used as an antigen for antibody production in rabbit
87 from Abmart (www.ab-mart.com.cn/). These antibodies were used for blotting analysis.

88 Western Blot Analyses were performed according to the methods of Tatsuki et al. (2001).
89 Proteins were separated using SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE; 10%
90 acrylamide gels) and blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes (BA-S 85; Schleicher & Schuell).
91 The membrane was blocked with 5% skim milk, 0.05% Tween 20 in Tris-buffered saline (50
92 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl). Purified ubiquitin antibody or anti-GAPDH antibody
93 (internal reference) were used at a concentration of 50 mg/ml. The membrane was washed
94 with 0.05% Tween 20 in Tris-buffered saline and then reacted with horseradish
95 peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Pierce) at a dilution of 1:20,000. Detection was
96 achieved using Super Signal West Femto™ (Pierce). Three biological replicates were
97 performed.

98 **Trypsin Digestion**

99 For digestion, the protein solution was reduced with 10 mM DTT for 1 h at 37 °C and
100 alkylated with 20 mM IAA for 45 min at room temperature in darkness. For trypsin digestion,
101 the protein sample was diluted by adding 100 mM TEAB to urea concentration less than 2M.
102 Finally, trypsin was added at 1:50 trypsin-to-protein mass ratio for the first digestion
103 overnight and 1:100 trypsin-to-protein mass ratio for a second 4 h-digestion. Approximately
104 100 µg protein for each sample was digested with trypsin for the following experiments.

105 **TMT Labeling**

106 After trypsin digestion, peptide was desalted by Strata X C18 SPE column (Phenomenex) and
107 vacuum-dried. Peptide was reconstituted in 0.5 M TEAB and processed according to the
108 manufacturer's protocol for 6-plex TMT kit. Briefly, one unit of TMT reagent (defined as the
109 amount of reagent required to label 100 μ g of protein) were thawed and reconstituted in 24 μ l
110 ACN. The peptide mixtures were then incubated for 2 h at room temperature and pooled,
111 desalted and dried by vacuum centrifugation.

112 **HPLC Fractionation**

113 The sample was then fractionated into fractions by high pH reverse-phase HPLC using
114 Agilent 300 Extend C18 column (5 μ m particles, 4.6 mm ID, 250 mm length). Briefly,
115 peptides were first separated with a gradient of 2% to 60% acetonitrile in 10 mM ammonium
116 bicarbonate pH 10 over 80 min into 80 fractions, Then, the peptides were combined into 18
117 fractions and dried by vacuum centrifuging.

118 **Affinity Enrichment**

119 To enrich Kub peptides, tryptic peptides dissolved in NETN buffer (100 mM NaCl, 1 mM
120 EDTA, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 0.5% NP-40, pH 8.0) were incubated with pre-washed antibody
121 beads (PTM Biolabs) at 4°C overnight with gentle shaking. The beads were washed four
122 times with NETN buffer and twice with ddH₂O. The bound peptides were eluted from the
123 beads with 0.1% TFA. The eluted fractions were combined and vacuum-dried. The resulting
124 peptides were cleaned with C18 ZipTips (Millipore) according to the manufacturer's
125 instructions, followed by LC-MS/MS analysis.

126 **LC-MS/MS Analysis**

127 Three parallel analyses for each fraction were performed. LC-MS/MS Analysis was
128 performed according to previously described protocols(Wu et al., 2015). Peptides were
129 dissolved in 0.1% FA, directly loaded onto a reversed-phase pre-column (Acclaim PepMap
130 100, Thermo Scientific). Peptide separation was performed using a reversed-phase analytical
131 column (Acclaim PepMap RSLC, Thermo Scientific). The gradient was comprised of an
132 increase from 8% to 25% solvent B (0.1% FA in 98% ACN) over 26 min, 25% to 38% in 8

133 min and climbing to 85% in 4 min then holding at 85% for the last 4min, all at a constant flow
134 rate of 280 nl/min on an EASY-nLC 1000 UPLC system. The resulting peptides were
135 analyzed by Q Exactive™ Plus hybrid quadrupole-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo
136 Fisher Scientific).

137 The peptides were subjected to NSI source followed by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)
138 in Q Exactive™ Plus (Thermo) coupled online to the UPLC. Intact peptides were detected in
139 the Orbitrap at a resolution of 70,000. Peptides were selected for MS/MS using NCE setting
140 as 30; ion fragments were detected in the Orbitrap at a resolution of 17,500. A data-dependent
141 procedure that alternated between one MS scan followed by 20 MS/MS scans was applied for
142 the top 20 precursor ions above a threshold ion count of 1.5E4 in the MS survey scan with
143 30.0s dynamic exclusion. The electrospray voltage applied was 2.0 kV. Automatic gain
144 control (AGC) was used to prevent overfilling of the ion trap; 5E4 ions were accumulated for
145 generation of MS/MS spectra. For MS scans, the m/z scan range was 350 to 1800. Fixed first
146 mass was set as 100 m/z.

147 **Database Search**

148 The resulting MS/MS data were processed using MaxQuant with an integrated Andromeda
149 search engine (v.1.4.1.2). Tandem mass spectra were searched against a database (40,341
150 sequences) made from RNA sequencing of petunias in this study.

151 For proteomic peptides, Trypsin/P was used as a cleavage enzyme, allowing up to 2 missed
152 cleavages. Mass error was set to 10 ppm for precursor ions and to 0.02 Da for fragment ions.
153 Carbamidomethyl on Cys, TMT-6plex (N-term) and TMT-6plex (K) were specified as fixed
154 modifications, and oxidation on Met was specified as a variable modification. FDR was
155 adjusted to < 1%, and peptide ion score was set to > 20.

156 For Kub peptides, Trypsin/P was specified as a cleavage enzyme, allowing up to 3 missed
157 cleavages. First, the search range was set to 5 ppm for precursor ions, and the main search
158 range was set to 5 ppm and 0.02 Da for fragment ions. Carbamidomethyl on Cys was
159 specified as a fixed modification, and GlyGly on lysine and oxidation on Met were specified

160 as variable modifications. The label-free quantification method was LFQ, and FDR was
161 adjusted to < 1%, while the minimum score for modified peptides was set to > 40.

162 **Bioinformatic Analysis**

163 Bioinformatic analysis was performed according to previously described protocols (Wu et al.,
164 2015; Xie et al., 2015). GO term association and enrichment analysis were performed using
165 the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID). The KEGG
166 database was used to annotate protein pathways (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000). The KEGG
167 online service tool KAAS was used to annotate the proteins' KEGG database descriptions.
168 The annotation results were mapped on the KEGG pathway database using the KEGG online
169 service tool KEGG Mapper. The domain annotation was performed with InterProScan on the
170 InterPro domain database via web-based interfaces and services. WoLF PSORT was used for
171 predicting subcellular localization (Horton et al., 2007). The CORUM database was used to
172 annotate protein complexes. Motif-X software was used to analyze the models of the
173 sequences with amino acids in specific positions of ubiquityl-21-mers (ten amino acids
174 upstream and downstream of the Kub site) in all of the protein sequences. In addition, the IPI
175 Arabidopsis proteome was used as the background database, and the other parameters were
176 set to the default values. The setting parameters for searching motifs using Motif-X software
177 were 'occurrences 20' and 'the Bonferroni corrected $P = 0.005$ '. Protein-protein interaction
178 networks were analyzed with the IntAct database (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/intact/>). The
179 protein-protein interaction network map was generated with the Cytoscape software
180 (Shannon et al., 2003).

181 **Protein quantitative ratio analysis**

182 Protein quantitative ratio was calculated as median of all unique peptides' ratio. Student's t
183 test was performed to investigate differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) effects. In order to
184 meet the condition of student's t test, logarithmic transformation was performed to
185 achieve the ratio of all peptides. Then, Student's t test was explored to calculate the p
186 value.

187 **Ubiquitinated Protein Secondary Structure Analysis**

188 In this study, we show the distribution of ubiquitinated and non-ubiquitinated amino acids in
189 protein secondary structures. Probabilities for different secondary structures (alpha-helix,
190 beta-strand and coil) of ubiquitinated lysine were compared with the secondary structure
191 probabilities of all lysine in all identified proteins. We further investigated the local secondary
192 structures of proteins using NetSurfP, a software that predicts the surface accessibility and
193 secondary structure of amino acids in an amino acid sequence.

194 **Conservation analysis of ubiquitinated proteins**

195 To analyze the conservation of ubiquitinated proteins, first, proteins homologous to the
196 ubiquitinated proteins identified in this study were obtained by blasting in UniprotKB database
197 against eight species, including *Oryza sativa japonica*, *Brachypodium distachyon*, *Sorghum*
198 *bicolor*, *Zea mays*, *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Glycine max*, *Solanum lycopersicum*, and *Vitis vinifera*.
199 All of the protein sequences of these 8 species were downloaded from the UniprotKB database.
200 The sequence alignment software BLASTp was used to obtain the homologous proteins of the
201 ubiquitinated proteins in this study. To find conserved sites, the multiple sequence alignment
202 software muscle was used to align homologs.

203

204 **References:**

- 205 Horton P, Park K, Obayashi T, Fujita N, Harada H, Adams-Collier CJ, Nakai K (2007) WoLF
206 PSORT: protein localization predictor. NUCLEIC ACIDS RES 35:W585-W587
207 Kanehisa M, Goto S (2000) KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. NUCLEIC
208 ACIDS RES 28:27-30
209 Kevany B M, Tieman D M, Taylor M G, et al. (2007) Ethylene receptor degradation controls
210 the timing of ripening in tomato fruit[J]. The Plant Journal, 51(3): 458-467.
211 Li R, Yu C, Li Y, et al. SOAP2: an improved ultrafast tool for short read alignment[J].
212 Bioinformatics, 2009, 25(15): 1966-1967.
213 Liu J, Li J, Wang H, Fu Z, Liu J and Yu Y. (2011) Identification and expression analysis of
214 ERF transcription factor genes in petunia during flower senescence and in response to
215 hormone treatments. Journal of Experimental Botany, 62(2): 825-840,
216 Pfaffl MW. (2001) A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR.
217 Nucleic Acids Research, 29, 2002–2007
218 Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski B,
219 Ideker T (2003) Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of
220 biomolecular interaction networks. GENOME RES 13:2498-2504
221 Tan Y, Liu J, Huang F, Guan J, Zhong S, Tang N, Zhao J, Yang W, Yu Y (2014) PhGRL2
222 protein, interacting with PhACO1, is involved in flower senescence in the petunia.
223 Molecular Plant, 7:1384-1387
224 Tatsuki M, Mori H. Phosphorylation of tomato 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid
225 synthase, LE-ACS2, at the C-terminal region[J]. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2001,
226 276(30): 28051-28057.
227 Wu Q, Cheng Z, Zhu J, et al. Suberoylanilide Hydroxamic Acid Treatment Reveals Crosstalks
228 among Proteome, Ubiquitylome and Acetylome in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer A549
229 Cell Line[J]. Scientific reports, 2015, 5.
230 Xie X, Kang H, Liu W, Wang G (2015) Comprehensive Profiling of the Rice Ubiquitome
231 Reveals the Significance of Lysine Ubiquitination in Young Leaves. Journal of Proteome
232 Research, 2015, 14(5): 2017-2025
233