

**Nagy-Reis, M.B.; Nichols, J.D.; Chiarello, A.G.; Ribeiro, M.C.; Setz, E.Z.F. Landscape Use and Co-occurrence Patterns of Neotropical Spotted Cats - Supporting Information**

S6 Table. Single-season single-species occupancy models (cumulative  $w_i > 0.80$ ) used to evaluate the effect of weighted distance to reserve border, geomorphometry, environmental, and anthropogenic landscape attributes on the habitat use of sympatric Neotropical spotted cats at a large Atlantic Forest remnant in Brazil.

Model	$\Delta AICc$	$w_i$	K	-2LL	Beta estimates					
					Elevation	Forest cover	Hydrography	Roads	Reserve distance	Prey
<b>Ocelot</b>										
$\psi(\text{reserve dist}) p(\text{general})$	0	0.25	6	139.03	-	-	-	-	-1.30 ( $\pm 0.97$ )	-
$\psi(.) p(\text{general})$	1.54	0.12	5	143.24	-	-	-	-	-	-
$\psi(\text{forest500}) p(\text{general})$	1.62	0.11	6	140.65	-	-1.35 ( $\pm 0.78$ )	-	-	-	-
$\psi(\text{reserve dist+roads1000}) p(\text{general})$	2.12	0.09	7	138.33	-	-	-	-0.49 ( $\pm 0.69$ )	-1.46 ( $\pm 1.39$ )	-
$\psi(\text{forest500+hydro1000}) p(\text{general})$	2.14	0.09	7	138.35	-	-1.82 ( $\pm 0.92$ )	-0.96 ( $\pm 0.74$ )	-	-	-
$\psi(\text{reserve dist+elev1000}) p(\text{general})$	2.64	0.07	7	138.85	-0.26 ( $\pm 0.60$ )	-	-	-	-1.38 ( $\pm 0.95$ )	-
$\psi(\text{reserve dist+hydro1000}) p(\text{general})$	2.77	0.06	7	138.98	-	-	-0.13 ( $\pm 0.57$ )	-	-1.27 ( $\pm 0.99$ )	-
$\psi(\text{roads1000}) p(\text{general})$	3.58	0.04	6	142.61	-	-	-	-0.41 ( $\pm 0.54$ )	-	-
<b>Margay</b>										

$\psi(\text{reserve dist}) p(\text{general})$	0	0.18	6	186.39	-	-	-	-	-1.06 ( $\pm 0.59$ )	-
$\psi(\text{reserve dist+hydro1000}) p(\text{general})$	1.09	0.10	7	184.66	-	-	-1.09 ( $\pm 1.08$ )	-	-0.90 ( $\pm 0.58$ )	-
$\psi(\text{hydro1000}) p(\text{general})$	1.09	0.10	6	187.48	-	-	-1.41 ( $\pm 1.12$ )	-	-	-
$\psi(.) p(\text{general})$	1.60	0.08	5	190.66	-	-	-	-	-	-
$\psi(\text{reserve dist+roads1000}) p(\text{general})$	1.63	0.08	7	185.20	-	-	-	0.64 ( $\pm 0.67$ )	-1.06 ( $\pm 0.60$ )	-
$\psi(\text{reserve dist+forest1000}) p(\text{general})$	2.11	0.06	7	185.68	-	-1.00 ( $\pm 2.44$ )	-	-	-1.19 ( $\pm 0.88$ )	-
$\psi(\text{reserve dist+elev1000}) p(\text{general})$	2.50	0.05	7	186.07	-0.36 ( $\pm 0.70$ )	-	-	-	-1.26 ( $\pm 0.78$ )	-
$\psi(\text{reserve dist+prey}) p(\text{general})$	2.73	0.05	7	186.30	-	-	-	-	-0.98 ( $\pm 0.62$ )	0.16 ( $\pm 0.54$ )
$\psi(\text{prey}) p(\text{general})$	2.69	0.05	6	189.08	-	-	-	-	-	0.73 ( $\pm 0.69$ )
$\psi(\text{hydro1000+elev1000}) p(\text{general})$	2.78	0.04	7	186.35	0.68 ( $\pm 0.75$ )	-	-1.98 ( $\pm 1.64$ )	-	-	-
$\psi(\text{roads1000}) p(\text{general})$	2.89	0.04	6	189.28	-	-	-	0.78 ( $\pm 1.09$ )	-	-
<b>Oncilla</b>										
$\psi(\text{forest500+elev1000}) p(\text{general})$	0	0.15	7	235.60	1.72 ( $\pm 0.93$ )	-3.20 ( $\pm 0.75$ )	-	-	-	-
$\psi(.) p(\text{general})$	0.12	0.14	5	241.21	-	-	-	-	-	-
$\psi(\text{hydro1000}) p(\text{general})$	0.45	0.12	6	238.87	-	-	-0.76 ( $\pm 0.56$ )	-	-	-
$\psi(\text{hydro1000+elev1000}) p(\text{general})$	1.37	0.07	7	236.97	1.29 ( $\pm 1.26$ )	-	-2.14 ( $\pm 2.20$ )	-	-	-
$\psi(\text{forest500}) p(\text{general})$	1.65	0.06	6	240.07	-	-1.06 ( $\pm 0.97$ )	-	-	-	-
$\psi(\text{hydro1000+forest500}) p(\text{general})$	1.79	0.06	7	237.39	-	-0.85 ( $\pm 0.58$ )	-1.10 ( $\pm 0.85$ )	-	-	-
$\psi(\text{reserve dist}) p(\text{general})$	1.94	0.06	6	240.36	-	-	-	-	-0.39 ( $\pm 0.42$ )	-

$\psi(\text{hydro1000+prey}) p(\text{general})$	2.50	0.04	7	238.10	-	-	-1.05 ( $\pm 0.73$ )	-	-	-0.45 ( $\pm 0.51$ )
$\psi(\text{elev1000}) p(\text{general})$	2.52	0.04	6	240.94	0.22 ( $\pm 0.43$ )	-	-	-	-	-
$\psi(\text{roads1000}) p(\text{general})$	2.74	0.04	6	241.16	-	-	-	-0.13 ( $\pm 0.53$ )	-	-
$\psi(\text{prey}) p(\text{general})$	2.79	0.04	6	241.21	-	-	-	-	-	0.03 ( $\pm 0.49$ )

$p(\text{general}) = \text{method} + \text{soil coverage} + \text{percentage of high-quality forest cover at 500 m buffer size.}$