

**Supplemental Table 3 Comparison of cystic/papillary lesions in *Tsc2*<sup>+/−</sup> mice by histological analysis**  
 (Wilcoxon rank-sum test)

A. Lesion number (cystic/papillary lesions)

Treatment*	Number of mice	Median	Range	Z (P) (compared with Vehicle)	Z (P) (compared with Everolimus+Sorafenib)
Vehicle	10	55.0	28-65		
Everolimus	10	25.5	17-50	3.10 (0.002)	0.15 (0.881)
Sorafenib	10	47.5	28-71	1.06 (0.289)	2.68 (0.007)
Everolimus + Sorafenib	7	28.0	14-38	2.93 (0.003)	

B. Lesion size cystic/papillary lesions)

Treatment*	Number of mice	Median (mm <sup>2</sup> )	Range (mm <sup>2</sup> )	Z (P) (compared with Vehicle)	Z (P) (compared with Everolimus+Sorafenib)
Vehicle	10	20.056	13.766-44.852		
Everolimus	10	11.865	2.405-14.329	3.36 (0.001)	0.54 (0.589)
Sorafenib	10	17.198	7.209-28.849	2.00 (0.046)	2.49 (0.013)
Everolimus + Sorafenib	7	9.215	2.898-14.932	3.27 (0.001)	

C. Lesion cellular area (cystic/papillary lesions)

Treatment*	Number of mice	Median (mm <sup>2</sup> )	Range (mm <sup>2</sup> )	Z (P) (compared with Vehicle)	Z (P) (compared with Everolimus+Sorafenib)
Vehicle	10	7.214	4.726-24.364		
Everolimus	10	1.187	0.123-7.190	3.36 (0.001)	0.34 (0.728)
Sorafenib	10	5.975	2.112-14.349	1.93 (0.054)	3.36 (0.001)
Everolimus + Sorafenib	7	1.024	0.583-1.830	3.36 (0.001)	

\* Treatment was started from 11 month old and continued for two months.