Disruption of tumour-host communication by downregulation of LFA-1 reduces COX-2 and e-NOS expression and inhibits brain metastasis growth

Supplementary Materials



Supplementary Figure S1: (A) Assessment of cell surface expression of LFA-1. Cells were coated for 30 min with anti-LFA-1 antibody and then fixed for further staining. Immunofluorescent (red; Cy3 fluorophore) expression was evident on the cell surface of all the different clones used *in vivo* (MDA, shS and shE), but to a much lesser extent in the LFA-1 knock-down clones (KD#1 and KD#2). (B) Quantitation of LFA-1 positive cells per field of view; 4 different ROIs with > 300 cells were quantified for each group. (C) Assessment of shRNA transfection stability in MDA231Br-GFP cells (green). The shRNA plasmid against LFA-1 was coupled with red fluorescent protein (RFP, red) and puromycin resistance. Presence of the shRNA plasmid was determined at days 1, 3, 7 and 20 after the onset of transfection. The cells showed stable presence of the shRNA plasmid (red and green co-localisation) from day 7 to day 20 post-transfection. For the *in vivo* studies, transfected cells were injected intracerebrally at day 7 after transfection and tumour growth assessed 14 days later (\approx day 20 post-transfection). These data suggest, therefore, that the cells should maintain stable plasmid transfection throughout the *in vivo* time course studied.



Supplementary Figure S2: Photomicrographs from the left striatum of BALB/c (left panels) and SCID (right panels) mice 10 and 14 days post-injection with PBS, respectively. Sections were stained against LFA-1 (top) and ICAM-1 (bottom). Basal levels of expression of both CAMs were significantly lower than the experimental groups and largely undetectable. Scale bar = 300 µm.



Supplementary Figure S3: MTT assay study of four different MDA231BR-GFP clones used in the *in vivo* **study.** No differences in cell activity were found between the parental cells and the LFA-1 knock-down clones.



Supplementary Figure S4: (A–B) Photomicrographs of SCID mice 21 days after injection of KD#1 (a) and MDA (b) tumour cells, respectively. (C) Following the previous study of tumour growth at day 14 after tumour induction (Figure 3), quantitation of tumour growth at a later time point (day 21) was performed in animals injected intrastriatally with parental MDA231Br-GFP cells (MDA), control knock down cells (empty cassette, shE; and scramble cassette, shS) or LFA-1 knock down cells (KD#1 and KD#2) (n = 4 per group). Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA, with Tukey's post-hoc tests. **p < 0.005 (D) Quantitation of rostro-caudal tumour growth throughout the striatum in the same animals as for (C). Statistical significance determined by one-way ANOVA, with Tukey's post-hoc tests. **p < 0.005.



Supplementary Figure S5: Quantitative reverse transcription PCR analysis of (A–G) human and mouse (H) gene expression in the striatum of mice injected with either PBS or one of the MDA231Br-GFP clones (n = 5 per group). Statistical significance determined by one-way ANOVA, with Tukey post-hoc tests. Multiple Comparison Test: a= significant compared to the PBS group. p < 0.05



Supplementary Figure S6: Quantitation of p53 expression levels within tumour colonies 21 days after intracerebral injection of MDA231Br-GFP cells (MDA), control knock-down cells (shE) or LFA-1 knockdown cells (KD#1 and KD#2). Statistical significance was determined by one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-hoc tests; *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01.



Supplementary Figure S7: MDA231Br-GFP cells injected into the left striatum of the brain (A), or left ventricle of the heart (C) in SCID mice. Insets show a similar pattern of growth in the tumour colonies induced either by direct intracerebral injection (B) or via haematogenous dissemination to the brain (D). Scale bar 500 μm and 50 μm (inset)