SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Viral culture, identification, and sequencing. Cell cultures. Cell lines A549 (CCL-185), LLC-MK2 (CCL-7), MDCK (CCL-34), MRC5, and Vero E6 (CRL-1586) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA), and were propagated as monolayers at 37° C and 5% CO_{2} in Advanced Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (aDMEM) (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA) or Eagle's Minimal Essential Medium (EMEM) (Invitrogen Corp.), as appropriate per cell line. aDMEM and EMEM were supplemented with 2 mM L-Alanyl-L-Glutamine (GlutaMAX™; Invitrogen Corp.), antibiotics (PSN; 50 μg/mL penicillin, 50 μg/mL streptomycin, 100 μg/mL neomycin [Invitrogen Corp.]), and 10% (v/v) low IgG, heat-inactivated gamma-irradiated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (HyClone, Logan, UT). Additionally, sodium pyruvate (Invitrogen Corp.) and nonessential amino acids (HyClone) were added to EMEM. Before seed stocks were prepared, the cell lines were propagated in growth media with plasmocin (Invivogen, San Diego, CA) for 2 weeks to reduce the chances of mycoplasma contamination, then for a minimum of 2 weeks in the absence of antibiotics to determine whether fastgrowing microbial contaminants were present or abnormal morphological changes would occur (associated with intracellular mycoplasma). Following 2–3 weeks of propagation without antibiotics, the plasmocin-treated cell lines were tested by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to confirm an absence of mycoplasma DNA.¹

Virus isolation. Aliquots of plasma (25–100 μL) from febrile patients that had tested negative for Chikungunya virus RNA by reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) (details to be presented elsewhere) were inoculated onto duplicate sets of cells grown in 25 $cm²$ rectangular canted-neck cell culture flasks with vent caps (Cat no. 430639, Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY). A total of 10 flasks were used per plasma sample. Cell culture flasks were used so that plasma could be inoculated onto a relatively wide surface area; otherwise, the complex mix of biomolecules in human plasma/ serum can induce nonspecific cytopathic effects (CPEs) that are mistaken for virus-induced CPE. Sets consisting of five different cell lines (A549, LLC-MK2, MDCK, MRC5, and Vero E6) were used to increase the chance of isolating a wide array of viruses. One set was inoculated at 37°C, the other at 33°C. All cells were in complete medium with serum, except for MDCK cells at 33°C, which were in serum-free medium containing 2 μg/mL of L-1-tosylamide-2-phenylethyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK)-treated trypsin.² Noninoculated cells were held in parallel with the inoculated cells and served as negative controls. All the cells were observed daily for development of virus-induced CPE using an inverted microscope with phase-contrast optics, and refed every 3 days with complete medium containing either 4% FBS (or serum-free medium with TPCK trypsin). The cells were maintained and observed for 1 month before being considered negative for virus isolation.

Virus screens. This work was focused on the isolation and/ or detection of alpha- and flaviviruses, and PCR-based methods for the detection of the nucleic acids of those viruses (to be presented elsewhere) were used for primary screens. A limited number of PCR-based methods were

also used for secondary tests; these were for the detection of arenaviruses and enteroviruses, which are viruses that could be in serum/plasma in febrile patients. Furthermore, the GenMark multiplex respiratory PCR eSensor XT-8 Respiratory Viral Panel (eSensor RVP; GenMark Diagnostics, Inc., Carlsbad, CA) was used to screen for respiratory viruses according to instructions from the manufacturer. The system detects the genomic material of influenza A virus (including subtypes H1 and H3), influenza A virus 2009 H1N1, influenza B virus, respiratory syncytial viruses A and B, parainfluenza viruses 1, 2, 3, and 4, human metapneumovirus, adenoviruses B/E and C, human coronaviruses (HCoVs) (-229E, -NL63, -HKU1, -OC43), and human rhinoviruses A and B. Briefly, in the case of viral genomic RNA (vRNA), the extracted nucleic acid is reverse transcribed and amplified using viral-specific primers with an RT-PCR enzyme mix. The amplified DNA is converted to single-stranded DNA via exonuclease digestion and is combined with a signal buffer containing ferrocene-labeled signal probes that are specific for the different viral targets. A signal in nano-Amperes (nA) is provided; signals higher than a threshold value are considered positive.

RT-PCR tests for confirmation of HCoV-NL63. Following detection of HCoV-NL63 vRNA by the GenMark eSensor XT-8 RVP, confirmation was attained using methods outlined in reference.³ Briefly, vRNA was extracted from spent cell media using a QIAamp Viral RNA kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA), and RT-PCR amplicons generated using primer pairs Cor-FW and Cor-RV, $4\overline{ }$ N5-PCR1 and N3-PCR1, $4\overline{ }$ and repSZ-1 and repSZ-3,⁵ were sequenced. Reverse transcription procedures were performed with Omniscript reverse transcriptase (Qiagen), and PCR with Hotshot TAQ (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) with extension at 68°C.

Nucleotide sequencing. Targeted HCoV-NL63 sequences were RT-PCR-amplified from purified vRNA using a genome walking strategy (3). Briefly, overlapping primers described by Geng and others (GenBank JX524171) and others^{4,5} were used to obtain the complete genomic sequence of one of the HCoV-NL63 isolates from Haiti (designated HCoV-NL63/Haiti-1/2015). Following the same methods, spike, membrane, and nucleocapsid gene sequences were also obtained for HCoV-NL63 isolates 2, 3, and 4 from Hiati. As before,³ AccuScript High Fidelity Reverse Transcriptase (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA) was used for first-strand cDNA synthesis in the presence of SUPERase-In RNase inhibitor (Ambion, Austin, TX), and PCR was performed using Phusion Polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) with denaturation steps performed at 98°C. The 3′ and 5′ ends of HCoV-NL63/Haiti-1/2015 were determined using a RACE (rapid amplification of cDNA ends) kit (RLM RACE; Ambion) following the manufacturer's instructions. For all, nucleotide sequences were analyzed using an Applied Biosystem 3130 DNA analyzer by using BigDye Terminator (v. 3.1) (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, CA) chemistry and the same primers used for amplifications.

GenBank accession numbers. HCoV-NL63/Haiti: KT266906; KX179494- KX179499. HCoV-NL63/Florida: KT381875.1; KU521535.1

Phylogenetic analysis. Forty-four complete genome and 148 spike gene region sequences were downloaded from NCBI (Supplemental Table 1). Inclusion criteria for the sequences were 1) the sequences were published in peer-reviewed journals, 2) no uncertainty regarding the subtype assignment and being classified, 3) potential recombinants or poor-quality sequences (i.e., sequences with uncertain nucleotide assignments) were excluded, and 4) city/state and sampling time were known and clearly established in the original publication. The locations of the reference sequences considered for the analysis were Belgium, China, Ghana, Hong Kong, Japan, Malaysia, the Netherlands, Sweden, Thailand, and the United States. A multiple sequence alignment of the spike gene region, including the 192 references sequences as well as the two new sequences from Shands and the four from Haiti, was then obtained with Clustal X^6 followed by manual editing using Bioedit.⁷ The evolutionary model was chosen as the best-fitting nucleotide substitution model in accordance with the results of the hierarchical likelihood ratio test implemented with the Modeltest software version $3.7⁸$ The full alignment is available from the authors on request.

Likelihood mapping and phylogenetic inference. The phylogenetic signal in the aligned sequences was investigated with the likelihood mapping method that analyzes every possible group of four sequences, referred to as a "quartet."⁹ For each quartet, the likelihood of each one of the three possible unrooted trees is estimated, and the three likelihoods are eventually reported as a dot in an equilateral triangle (the likelihood map) that is subdivided in to three main areas: the three corners, representing fully resolved tree topologies (i.e., the presence of treelike phylogenetic signal in the data); the center, representing star-like phylogeny signal (i.e., phylogenetic noise); and the three side areas indicating network-like phylogeny (i.e., presence of recombination or conflicting phylogenetic signals). Findings from extensive simulation studies suggest that a central area with < 30% dots can be used as the criterion of robust phylogenetic signal. The likelihood mapping analysis was performed with the program TREE-PUZZLE.⁹ The percentage of dots (noise level) falling in the central likelihood map was 15.5% indicating sufficient signal to infer a robust phylogeny.

Bayesian time-scaled phylogeny. The evolutionary rate of the Coronavirus NL63 spike region was estimated by calibrating a molecular clock using known sequences sampling times with the Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method implemented in BEAST v. 1.8 (http://beast. bio.ed.ac.uk) ^{10,11} and by enforcing either a strict or a relaxed molecular clock with an lognormal distribution for the prior rates. Four independent MCMC runs were carried out enforcing a relaxed molecular clock (which resulted the best fit for the data, see Results Section) and one of the following coalescent priors: constant population size, exponential growth, nonparametric smooth skyride plot Gaussian Markov random field, and nonparametric Bayesian skyline plot.10,12,13 Marginal likelihoods estimates for each model were obtained using path sampling and stepping stone analyses.^{14–16} Uncertainty in the estimates was indicated by 95% high posterior density intervals, and the best fitting model for the data set was selected by calculating the Bayes factors (BFs).^{15,17} In practice, any two models were compared to evaluate the strength of evidence against the null hypothesis $(H₀)$, defined as the one with the lower marginal likelihood: 2lnBF < 2 indicates no evidence against H_0 ; 2–6, weak evidence; 6–10, strong evidence;

and > 10 very strong evidence. For each data set, the MCMC sampler was run for at least 50×10^6 generations, sampling every 5,000 generations. Proper mixing of the MCMC was assessed by calculating the effective sample size (ESS) of each parameter. Only parameter estimates with ESS > 250 were accepted. Phylogeographic analysis was conducted by using the continuous time Markov chain process over discrete sampling locations implemented in BEAST¹⁸ with the Bayesian Stochastic Search Variable Selection model, which allows diffusion rates to be zero with a positive prior probability. The maximum clade credibility tree was selected from the posterior tree distribution after a 10% burn-in using Tree Annotator version 1.8 included in the BEAST package.10,11 Statistical support for specific monophyletic clades was assessed by calculating the posterior probability. The phylogenetic tree with full sequence labels is included in Supplemental Figure 1.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lednicky JA, Wyatt DE, 2012. The art of animal cell culture for virus isolation. Ceccherini-Nell L, Zagreb MB, eds. Biomedical Tissue Culture. Zagreb, Croatia: InTech, 151–178. ISBN 978–953–51.
- 2. Hamilton SB, Wyatt DE, Wahlgren BT, O'Dowd MK, Morrissey JM, Daniels DE, Lednicky JA, 2011. Higher titers of some H5N1 and recent human H1N1 and H3N2 influenza viruses in Mv1 Lu vs. MDCK cells. Virol J 8: 66.
- 3. Lednicky JA, Waltzek TB, McGeehan E, Loeb JC, Hamilton SB, Luetke MC, 2013. Isolation and genetic characterization of human coronavirus NL63 in primary human renal proximal tubular epithelial cells obtained from a commercial supplier, and confirmation of its replication in two different types of human primary kidney cells. Virol J 10: 213.
- 4. Moës E, Vijgen L, Keyaerts E, Zlateva K, Li S, Maes P, Pyrc K, Berkhout B, van der Hoek L, van Ranst M, 2005. A novel pancoronavirus RT-PCR assay: frequent detection of human coronavirus NL63 in children hospitalized with respiratory tract infections in Belgium. BMC Infect Dis 5: 6.
- 5. van der Hoek L, Pyrc K, Jebbink MF, Vermeulen-Oost W, Berkhout RJ, Wolthers KC, Wertheim-van Dillen PM, Kaandorp J, Spaargaren J, Berkhout B, 2004. Identification of a new human coronavirus. Nat Med 10: 368–373.
- 6. Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG, 1997. The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res 25: 4876–4882.
- 7. Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symp 41: 95–98.
- 8. Posada D, Buckley TR, 2004. Model selection and model averaging in phylogenetics: advantages of akaike information criterion and bayesian approaches over likelihood ratio tests. Syst Biol 53: 793–808.
- 9. Schmidt HA, Strimmer K, Vingron M, von Haeseler A, 2002. TREE-PUZZLE: maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis using quartets and parallel computing. Bioinformatics 18: 502–504.
- 10. Drummond AJ, Rambaut A, Shapiro B, Pybus OG, 2005. Bayesian coalescent inference of past population dynamics from molecular sequences. Mol Biol Evol 22: 1185–1192.
- 11. Drummond AJ, Rambaut A, 2007. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evol Biol 7: 214.
- 12. Drummond AJ, Nicholls GK, Rodrigo AG, Solomon W, 2002. Estimating mutation parameters, population history and genealogy simultaneously from temporally spaced sequence data. Genetics 61: 1307–1320.
- 13. Minin VN, Bloomquist EW, Suchard MA, 2008. Smooth skyride through a rough skyline: Bayesian coalescent-based inference of population dynamics. Mol Biol Evol 25: 1459–1471.
- 14. Baele G, Lemey P, Vansteelandt S, 2013. Make the most of your samples: Bayes factor estimators for highdimensional models of sequence evolution. BMC Bioinformatics 14: 85.
- 15. Baele G, Li WLS, Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Lemey P, 2013. Accurate model selection of relaxed molecular clocks in bayesian phylogenetics. Mol Biol Evol 30: 239–243.
- 16. Baele G, Lemey P, 2013. Bayesian evolutionary model testing in the phylogenomics era: matching model complexity with computational efficiency. Bioinformatics 29: 1970–1979.
- 17. Kass RE, Raftery AE, 1995. Bayes factors. J Am Stat Assoc 90: 773–795.
- 18. Lemey P, Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, 2009. Bayesian phylogeography finds its roots. PLOS Comput Biol 5: 1–16.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE 1. Enterovirus D68 in A549 cells. (A) Mock-infected A549 cells, 6 days post-seed. (B) A549 cells, 6 days postinoculation with plasma. Infected cells display characteristic cytopathic effect (CPE) at 33°C: cell rounding followed by detachment from the growing surface, whereas CPE are not observed at 37°C (not shown). Images at an original magnification at ×200.

SuppLEMENTAL FIGURE 2. Dengue viruses in Vero E6 cells incubated at 37°C. (A) Mock-infected Vero cells, 8 days postseed. (B) Dengue virus 1-infected cells, 8 days postinoculation with plasma. (C) Dengue virus 4-infected cells, 8 days postinoculation with plasma. The virus infected cells display diffuse cytopathic effect (CPE) including darkening of the cytoplasm prior to death (colorless refractile cells). Some infected cells contain one or more large vacuoles. Images at an original magnification of ×200.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE 3. Zika virus in LLC-MK2 cells. (A) Mock-infected LLC-MK2 cells, 9 days post-seed, image at original magnification ×200. (B) Zika virus-infected cells, 9 days post-inoculation with plasma, image at original magnification 200×. (C) Mock-infected LLC-MK2 cells, 9 days post-seed, image at original magnification ×400. (D) Zika virus-infected cells, 9 days post-inoculation with plasma, image at original magnification ×400. Prior to cell death, perinuclear vacuoles are present in most cells (white arrows).

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE 4. Maximum Clade Credibility (MCC) tree of HCoV-NL63 spike gene region with sequence labels. Branches are colored according to the legend in Figure 2, where each color represents the geographic location of the sampled sequence (tip branches), as well as of the ancestral lineage (internal branches) inferred by Bayesian phylogeography. For display purposes, the tree is displayed as a polar tree.

Accession number	Gene region	Country	Tip name
KT381875	Complete genome	United States	Shands
KU521535	Complete genome	United States	SHANDS_2
KT266906	Complete genome	Haiti	HAITI1
JX504050	Complete genome	United States	2US04
JX104161	Complete genome	China	3CN08
AB695189	Spike glycoprotein	Japan	4JP11
AB695188	Spike glycoprotein	Japan	5JP11
AB695187	Spike glycoprotein	Japan	6JP10
AB695186 AB695185	Spike glycoprotein Spike glycoprotein	Japan Japan	7JP10 8JP10
AB695184	Spike glycoprotein	Japan	9JP10
AB695183	Spike glycoprotein	Japan	10JP10
DQ462792	Spike glycoprotein	Netherlands	11NL03
DQ462789	Spike glycoprotein	Netherlands	14NL03
DQ462788	Spike glycoprotein	Netherlands	15NL03
DQ462787	Spike glycoprotein	Netherlands	16NL03
DQ462786	Spike glycoprotein	Netherlands	17NL03
DQ462785	Spike glycoprotein	Netherlands	18NL03
DQ462784	Spike glycoprotein	Netherlands	19NL04
DQ462783	Spike glycoprotein	Netherlands	20NL04
DQ462782	Spike glycoprotein	Netherlands	21NL02
AY758299	Spike glycoprotein	Belgium	23BE03
AY758301	Spike glycoprotein	Belgium	24BE03
AY758300	Spike glycoprotein	Belgium	25BE03
AY758298	Spike glycoprotein	Belgium	26BE03
AY758297	Spike glycoprotein	Belgium	27BE03
GQ856814	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	29HK05
GQ856812	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	30HK05
GQ856813	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	31HK05
GQ856811	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	32HK05
GQ856810	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	33HK05
GQ856809	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	34HK06
GQ856808	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	35HK06
GQ856807	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	36HK06
GQ856806 GQ856805	Spike glycoprotein Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong Hong Kong	37HK06 38HK06
GQ856804	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	39HK06
GQ856803	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	40HK06
GQ856802	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	41HK06
GQ856801	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	42HK06
GQ856800	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	43HK06
GQ856799	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	44HK06
GQ856798	Spike glycoprotein	Hong Kong	45HK06
DQ445912	Complete genome	Netherlands	52NL03
DQ445911	Complete genome	Netherlands	53NL04
DQ231166	Spike glycoprotein	Sweden	54SE04
DQ231165	Spike glycoprotein	Sweden	55SE05
DQ231164	Spike glycoprotein	Sweden	56SE05
DQ231163	Spike glycoprotein	Sweden	57SE05
DQ231162	Spike glycoprotein	Sweden	58SE05
DQ231161	Spike glycoprotein	Sweden	59SE04
DQ231160	Spike glycoprotein	Sweden	60SE04
DQ231159	Spike glycoprotein	Sweden	61SE04
DQ231158	Spike glycoprotein	Sweden	62SE05
AY902242	Spike glycoprotein	Sweden	63SE04
NC 005831	Complete genome	Netherlands	64NL03
AY567487	Complete genome	Netherlands	65NL03
KT359913 KT359912	Spike glycoprotein	Malaysia	66MY13 67MY13
	Spike glycoprotein	Malaysia Malaysia	68MY13
KT359911 KT359910	Spike glycoprotein Spike glycoprotein	Malaysia	69MY13
KT359909	Spike glycoprotein	Malaysia	70MY12
KT359908	Spike glycoprotein	Malaysia	71MY12
KT359907	Spike glycoprotein	Malaysia	72MY12
KT359906	Spike glycoprotein	Malaysia	73MY12
KT359905	Spike glycoprotein	Malaysia	74MY12
KT359904	Spike glycoprotein	Malaysia	75MY12
KT359903	Spike glycoprotein	Malaysia	76MY12
KT359902	Spike glycoprotein	Malaysia	77MY12
KT359901	Spike glycoprotein	Malaysia	78MY12

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 Accession number, gene region and country of reference sequences included in this study.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1 Continued

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1

