Supplementary Online Content

Klassen AF, Cano SJ, Grotting JC, et al. FACE-Q Eye Module for measuring patient-reported outcomes following cosmetic eye treatments. *JAMA Facial Plast Surg.* Published online September 15, 2016. doi:10.1001/jamafacial.2016.1018

eTable 1. Number (%) from 96 post-operative blepharoplasty patients to report being bothered for each item

eTable 2. FACE-Q Satisfaction with Eyes Interpretation Table

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

© 2016 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

eTable 1: Number (%) from 96 post-operative blepharoplasty patients to report being bothered for each item

Item	Not at all	A little	Moderately	Extremely	Missing
How your eyelid scars look	60 (62.5)	30 (31.3)	6 (6.3)	0	0
(obvious, noticeable, uneven)?					
Dry eyes?	62 (64.6)	22 (22.9)	10 (10.4)	2 (2.1)	0
Eye irritation (e.g. redness,	63 (65.6)	24 (25.0)	8 (8.3)	0	1 (1.0)
itching)?					
Excessive tearing?	71 (74.0)	17 (17.7)	4 (4.2)	3 (3.1)	1 (1.0)
Your eyes looking hollowed	84 (87.5)	8 (8.3)	2 (2.1)	0	2 (2.1)
out?					
Difficulty closing your eyes?	92 (95.8)	4 (4.2)	0	0	0
				1	

eTable 2: FACE-Q Satisfaction with Eyes Interpretation Table

	Very Dissatisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Very Satisfied
shape	0-21	21-38	38-66	66-100
attractive	0-18	18-46	46-79	79-100
alert	0-25	25-53	53-73	73-100
open	0-27	27-46	46-77	77-100
bright-eyed	0-19	19-50	50-83	83-100
nice	0-24	24-52	52-81	81-100
youthful	0-28	28-59	59-87	87-100

Note: The Interpretation Table shows the implied range of scores for each and every item/response option. This table is itself based directly on the threshold plots produced through the Rasch analysis. Users of this table can take mean transformed FACE-Q scores (or individual scores) and cross reference the numbers in the table to ascertain better interpretation.