

Supplementary table 3 – Associations between ELISPOT pattern and outcomes in PROTCL (A) and BFC (B) subgroups.

A	ELISPOT pattern	Number of samples		P value *	Number of samples		P value *
		Graft failure	No Graft failure		Deteriorating eGFR (\leq median)	Stable eGFR ($>$ median)	
Time point 1	DSR (n=5)	0	5	1	4	1	0.26
	NDSR (n=8)	0	8		3	5	
Time point 2	DSR (n=6)	0	6	1	3	3	1
	NDSR (n=9)	0	9		5	4	
Change to or maintenance of:**	DSR (n=6)	0	6	1	3	3	1
	NDSR (n=7)	0	7		4	3	

B	ELISPOT pattern	Number of samples		P value *	Number of samples		P value *
		Graft failure	No Graft failure		Deteriorating eGFR (\leq median)	Stable eGFR ($>$ median)	
Time point 1	DSR (n=15)	3	12	0.26	7	8	0.74
	NDSR (n=17)	7	10		9	8	
Time point 2	DSR (n=11)	5	6	0.09	8	3	0.03
	NDSR (n=21)	3	17		6	15	
Change to or maintenance of:**	DSR (n=9)	5	4	0.02	7	2	0.01
	NDSR (n=18)	2	16		4	14	

* Fisher exact test

** in paired samples only (i.e samples in which ELISPOTS available at both time points)