## On the stability of dispensable constituents of the eukaryotic genome: Stability of coding sequences versus truly hypervariable sequences in a clonal vertebrate, the amazon molly,  $P\acute{o}ecilia$  formosa

(DNA fingerprinting/androgen-induced masculinization/gynogenesis/simple repeat sequences)

MANFRED SCHARTL<sup>\*</sup>, Ingo Schlupp<sup>†</sup>, Angelika Schartl<sup>\*</sup>, Manfred K. Meyer<sup>‡</sup>, Indrajit Nanda<sup>§</sup>, MICHAEL SCHMID<sup>§</sup>, JÖRG T. EPPLEN<sup>¶</sup>, AND JAKOB PARZEFALL<sup>†</sup>

\*Genzentrum, Max-Planck-Institut for Biochemie, Am Klopferspitz <sup>18</sup> a, W-8033 Martinsried, Federal Republic of Germany; tZoologisches Institut und Zoologisches Museum der Universitat, Martin-Luther-King-Platz 3, W-2000 Hamburg 13, Federal Republic of Germany; tSchwalheimer Hauptstrasse 22, W-6350 Bad Nauheim 6, Federal Republic of Germany; <sup>9</sup>Institut für Humangenetik der Universität, Koellikerstrasse 2, W-8700 Würzburg, Federal Republic of Germany; and <sup>1</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Psychiatrie, Am Klopferspitz 18 a, W-8033 Martinsried, Federal Republic of Germany

Communicated by Susumu Ohno, June 7, 1991

ABSTRACT In clonal unisexual vertebrates, the genes specifying the males become dispensable. To study the fate of such genes the gynogenetic all-female fish Poecilia formosa was treated with androgens. Phenotypic males were obtained that exhibited the complete set of male characteristics of closely related gonochoristic species, including body proportions, pigmentation, the extremely complex insemination apparatus of poeciliid fish, sexual behavior, and spermatogenesis. The apparent stability of such genic structures, including those involved in androgen regulation, is contrasted by high instability of noncoding sequences. Frequent mutations, their donal transmission, and at least two truly hypervariable loci leading to individual differences between these otherwise donal organisms were detected by DNA fingerprinting. These observations substantiate the concept that also in "ameiotic" vertebrates certain compartments of the genome are more prone to mutational alterations than others.

Clonal organisms occur in natural populations of multicellular animals at all levels of organismic evolution, but within vertebrates they are confined to teleost fish, amphibians, and reptiles (1). They exist as unisexual lineages that exclude effective genetic recombination. In such animals the genetic information specifying the other sex has apparently become dispensable. These organisms are therefore uniquely suited to investigate questions centering on problems of "dispensable" genes (2). Moreover, the existence of naturally occurring clonal animals poses questions about their origin and abilities to evolve in the absence of meiotic recombination.

The amazon molly, Poecilia formosa, is an all-female poeciliid fish that inhabits freshwater streams and brackish coastal lagoons over a broad geographic range from southeastern Texas to northeastern Mexico. The reproductive mechanism in P. formosa is gynogenesis, a modified form of parthenogenesis. Sperm do not participate in syngamy but are required to trigger the onset of embryogenesis in diploid eggs, containing only the maternal genetic information  $(3, 4)$ . Except for rare cases (5) the offspring are clonal replicates of their mothers (6-8). In natural populations sperm is contributed by males of two closely related bisexual species that occur sympatric with P. formosa. In the northern range this is Poecilia latipinna (5), whereas in the mexican habitats it is Poecilia mexicana (10). Biochemical and cytological data (8) supported the initial hypothesis (11) that the amazon molly is a hybrid species between P. latipinna and P. mexicana. The question arose if the genes determining male sex, phenotype,

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by page charge payment. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §1734 solely to indicate this fact.

and behavior are absent or not functional in P. formosa. Preliminary studies (12-15) reported that treatment with androgenic steroids induces several phenotypic changes that resembled the male characteristics of related species. Androgen-induced masculinization, therefore, appeared to be a useful approach to investigate if the genes instrumental in determining the male phenotype and behavior that became dispensable in P. formosa are present and functional.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experimental Animals. Two different stocks of P. formosa were analyzed. Laboratory lines were derived from single females and propagated in population stocks of 40-100 individuals. The generation time was  $\approx$  4-6 months. For the apomictic breeding ornamental "black mollies" (MMmm) were used. Line 1 is derived from a field collection [C. P. Haskins (1953) Brownsville, TX] handed to us in 1983. Line 2 arrived in our laboratory in 1986 and was given to us from a broodstock separated from line 1 before 1983. P. latipinna is an aquarium stock derived from fish of an introduced wild population. P. mexicana mexicana (PSO) was from the Rio Tacotalpa system. For comparative analysis of meristic and gonopodial structures, fixed-type material of the gonochoristic species from original habitats was used.

Hormone Treatment and Behavioral Tests. Newborn P. formosa were treated as described (16) until male secondary sex characteristics had developed. Pregnant females were treated once for 24 hr immediately before siring offspring. For behavioral tests juvenile P. formosa were treated as described (17). After four to six treatments in all of these fish the anal fin was transformed, indicating the change to phenotypic males. Test fish were placed into a divided 25-liter aquarium. After acclimatization for 24 hr the opaque partition was removed and the behavior of the fish was observed for 15 min. Male sexual behavior recorded was "following," "nipping," and "copulatory movements" (18). We tested masculinized P. formosa, P. mexicana, and P. latipinna males with conspecific females. Females were in nonattractive status (19). Each male was tested twice. For statistics, see ref. 20. All P values are two-tailed.

DNA Fingerprinting. This was performed as described (21). Probes were used  $(GGAT)_{4}$ ,  $(GACA)_{4}$ ,  $(GATA)_{4}$ ,  $(GAA)_{6}$ , and  $(CA)_8$ .

## **RESULTS**

For an investigation of the inducibility of male phenotypic characteristics in P. formosa, 30 specimens treated prepartum, as neonates, and as young fish were analyzed. All animals clearly developed the male phenotype (Fig. 1) but



FIG. 1. P. formosa, untreated (a) and treated (b). Note the yellow pigmentation pattern in the tail fin. (c) Gonopodial structure of masculinized P. formosa. p, Gonopodial palp; arrowhead, membraneous hook on ray 5p; rays 3-6 are numbered.

with some individual variation in the degree of masculinization of specific characteristics. Morphometric analysis revealed that the phenotypic males of P. formosa developed the typical body proportions of males of closely related gonochoristic species (data not shown). A typical male-specific xanthophore pigmentation pattern like that known from sexually active males of P. latipinna was observed after reaching a size of 2-3 cm. Most strikingly, the pelvic and anal fins transformed into the male insemination apparatus of the live-bearing poeciliid fish. The rays of the pelvic fins elongated in the typical way. The anal fin rays formed a gonopodium exhibiting all the minute bony elements seen in fertile males of gonochoristic species (Fig.  $1c$ , Table 1). At the basis of the gonopodium the baseosts developed, which are small skeletal elements that warrant the lateral movements of the male anal fin during copulation. The internal anal fin rays constituted the suspensorium, flat bony structures the malespecific muscles insert to move the gonopodium. In histological sections these muscles were seen also in the treated P. formosa (Fig. 2a). Gonopodial movements were observed frequently, demonstrating full functionality of the male structures.

The ovary has, in contrast to the testis due to unilateral development of the primordium, a single lumen that opens into the gonopore. Treated animals frequently developed a bilateral gonad with symmetric ducts that converged into a common ductus to the gonopore. The gonads consisted mostly of degenerating follicles; however, interspersed clusters of early stages of male germ-cell development were also seen-e.g., Sertoli cells with spermatogonia. In two individ-



FIG. 2. Histological section through gonopodium suspensorial apparatus  $(a)$  and gonad  $(b)$  of a phenotypic male of  $P.$  formosa. M, suspensorial muscles; S, bony elements of suspensorium; Sz, spermatozeugma with spermatozoa arranged in peripheral bundles; Sc, Sertoli cell; Sg, spermatogonia.

uals that received prepartum treatment all stages of spermatogenesis, including mature spermatozoa arranged in the typical bundles (spermatozeugmata) of poeciliid fish, were found (Fig. 2b). Here the gonad was nearly completely male.

The behavior known from males of P. mexicana and P. latipinna (18) was also present in hormone-treated phenotypic males of P. formosa except for "courtship display." Copulatory movements were rare in masculinized P.formosa (Fig. 3); however, "gonopodial swinging" was frequently observed. We found no significant statistical differences between the two bisexual species (Mann-Whitney U test, not significant;  $n = 19$ ) for each type of behavior. For following, no significant difference was found between P. latipinna and masculinized P. formosa (not significant;  $n = 17$ ), whereas the difference was significant between P. mexicana and P. formosa ( $P < 0.05$ ;  $n = 20$ ). For nipping and copulatory movements, all differences were significant (P. mexicana vs. phenotypic males,  $P < 0.005$ ; P. latipinna vs. phenotypic males,  $\overline{P}$  < 0.05).

The observation that treatment with androgen of P. formosa leads to phenotypic males shows that most, if not all, genes specifying the male, including its behavior, remained intact, although they are obviously dispensable. To determine if this species has an extremely stable genome, DNA fingerprinting was employed. By analyzing siblings of a single brood it was found that all individuals display almost identical fingerprint patterns. This is consistent with earlier findings (22, 23) and could be expected due to the apomictic breeding system. However, with the  $(GATA)_4$  probe a truly hypervariable locus was detected, which gives rise to variable restriction fragment lengths (Fig. 4a). With the  $(GAA)_{6}$  probe in Hinfl digests independent of the clonal origin, two bands are present or not in both lines (Fig. 4b). This points to a second category of truly hypervariable loci. The discrete bands obtained with DNA samples from pooled adult organs indicate that the differing fragments are due to mutations that occurred during early post-"zygotic" stages.

When individuals from the same line were compared over several generations, a very similar overall fingerprint pattern was obtained with subtle differences. They indicate muta-

Table 1. Gonopodial structures in male P. mexicana, P. latipinna, P. mexicana/P. latipinna  $F_1$  hybrid, and masculinized P. formosa

Gonopodial structure	P. mexicana	P. latipinna	P. mexicana/ P. latipinna $F_1$ hybrid	Masculinized P. formosa
Membranous hook of ray 3	Small	Large	Small	Intermediate
Gonopodial palp on ray 3	Well developed	Well developed	Well developed	Weakly developed
Spine length on ray 3 in relation to basal elements	Longer	<b>Shorter</b>	Longer	Slightly longer
Retrorse segment of ray 5p	Stout	Slender	Slender	Intermediate
Tip of ray 6	Not curved	Strongly curved ventrally	Curved ventrally	Curved ventrally
Serrae segments	Long, slender	Short, stout	Long, slender	Intermediate



FIG. 3. Descriptive statistics for following (a), nipping (b), and copulatory movements (c), giving mean (-)  $\pm$  1 SD (hatched), median (×), and range (1) (for  $\vec{P}$  values see text).

tions that occur in simple repeats ( $Fig. 4b$ ). Such mutations are seen in succeeding generations and therefore are clonally transmitted and stable. They can be clearly distinguished from the truly hypervariable loci, which were also seen in this analysis. Comparison of both P. formosa lines revealed closely related fingerprints, with a maximum band sharing of, for example,  $\approx$ 95% in the (GAA)<sub>6</sub>/Hae III combination.

## DISCUSSION

Using androgenic steroid we were able to induce an almost complete male phenotype and behavior in the all-female fish P. formosa. This shows that most, if not all, structural genes specifying the male remained functional, although they were not expressed over a distinct period since the origin of the unisexual species. In addition, also those genes involved in regulation of male-specifically expressed genes-e.g., androgen receptor, sequences instrumental in androgen regulation, appear fully functional.

The overall sexual activity was lower in masculinized P. formosa. It is, however, surprising that the repertoire of male sexual behavior in this all-female species is more or less complete and is displayed in a typical manner. In the case of following, even the activity level of one of the gonochoristic species is reached. The fact that courtship display is missing does not necessarily mean that hormone-induced phenotypic males are unable to show this. It is possible that a certain size of males is required as in  $P$ . *latipinna* (19, 24) that is not reached in treated animals. In addition, P. formosa as a species hybrid might lack the appropriate genetic information.  $F_1$  hybrids of P. latipinna and P. mexicana also did not show this behavioral element (ref. 25 and unpublished data).

In extremely rare cases phenotypic males of P. formosa of obscure origin have been collected from natural habitats or occurred spontaneously in laboratory stocks (see ref. 25). Hormone induction in laboratory animals of some male characteristics has been reported (12-15), but mostly only to very limited extent. Turner and Steeves (15) reported on a single fish that developed ovotestis with a preponderance of development toward the male sex. Our study shows that indeed most, if not all, male characters including male germ cells can be completely induced in all-female P. formosa. Thus the spontaneously occurring males in natural populations may be the result of some (non)physiological stimulus that changed the sexual development of the otherwise allfemale fish.

The phenotypic males also provide some indications as to the hybrid origin of P. formosa. Gonopodial structures are taxonomically highly informative. All elements were developed in masculinized  $P$ . formosa as in  $P$ . mexicana/ $P$ . *latipinna*  $F_1$  hybrid males (Table 1). In addition, none of the meristic characteristics found in P. formosa contradicts the hypothesis that P. formosa is a hybrid species (Table 2).

Using DNA fingerprinting two truly hypervariable loci were detected. In the gynogenetic fish these loci, which vary by differing restriction fragment lengths even between the siblings of one brood, lend support to the notion that these otherwise clonal organisms are not genetically identical sensu stricto. The nature and meaning of truly hypervariable loci are discussed in the context of obligatory rearrangements, as examplified by a paradigmatic locus in the chicken that defies the Mendelian rules (32).

Various different clones of P. formosa exist, even within one habitat (6, 7, 22). Our studies present critical evidence that these clones can arise simply by spontaneous mutation. The data also show that once such a mutation is present in the germ line, it is transmitted clonally within populations. The occurrence of mutations in multilocus fingerprints shows that the genomes of P. formosa are principally not prone to less mutations than other vertebrate genomes. Clonally reproducing organisms lack a means of eliminating deleterious mutations. In the absence of recombination, the number of deleterious genes in a parthenogenetic lineage can only increase (33). In the long run, the fitness of a unisexual population is expected to decline. Unisexuality would therefore not be favored in evolution (34). An escape from being "ratcheted" out of existence would be if permanently new clones of P. formosa were generated de novo via species hybridization. This would also explain the persistence of the full set of male-specific genes due to an extremely short evolutionary history. The finding that clonal variation and clonal inheritance of acquired mutations occur weakens the argument that the naturally occurring clones of P. formosa may indicate such multiple hybridization events. In addition, the presumed founder species for P. formosa are sympatric only in <sup>a</sup> very limited area of the range of P. formosa (35). A typical marker chromosome, so far found only in P. formosa, is present in fish from Texas as well as from the Rio Soto la Marina (8), indicative of a single common ancestor.

Is P. formosa then an extremely fast colonizer? This explanation would be the single one in favor of a very short evolutionary history plus a very wide range of this species.



FIG. 4. DNA fingerprint analysis of P. formosa. (a) Siblings (lanes 2–5) from one brood (mother, lanes 1) and from an independent sibship (lanes 6 and 7; mother was a sister to the female in lanes 1) were analyzed for hypervariable loci. With the (GATA)4 probe in HinfI (left) and Hae III (right) digests a single fragment (arrowheads) was observed that differed in length. The high molecular weight band in lane 4 of the (GATA)4 hybridization is due to "hidden" partial digestion. Sizes are given in kilobase pairs. (b) Individuals from different generations of two lines of P. formosa (lanes 1 and 2, line 2; lanes 3-8, line 1) were analyzed for mutations in the fingerprints (Hinfl). DNA was extracted from fish taken in December <sup>1988</sup> (lanes <sup>1</sup> and 6-8), February <sup>1989</sup> (lanes <sup>4</sup> and 5), December <sup>1989</sup> (lanes 2), and October <sup>1990</sup> (lanes 3). A high degree of band sharing is still visible in both lines, which is higher than that observed for different clones in a natural habitat (22). Clonal mutations are indicated by arrowheads. A hypervariable locus that is independently apparent in both separate lines is indicated by arrowheads in the  $(GAA)_{6}$ hybridization.

During the past 60 years of intensive studies of P. formosa, no extension of its natural zoogeographic range has been noted. From distributional evidence (35), P. formosa should have arisen in the Late Pleistocene. Taking into account the more recent origin of P. formosa of 10,000 years (equivalent to 30,000 generations) and 40 male-specific genes [androgen receptor, 1; behavior, 24 (36); body proportions, 2; pigmentation, 1; elongation of pelvic fin rays, 1; gonopodium, 2; suspensor, 1; suspensorial muscles, 1; baseosts, 1; testis development, 1; spermatogenesis, 5; counting unknown polygenic characters with the exception of spermatogenesis with a minimal number of 2 genes, and monogenic and unknown inheritance with 1], with an average gene size (including androgen response regulatory elements within a functional promoter) of 1000 base pairs (bp), this would

account for  $4 \times 10^4$  bp that undergo mutation. At a mutation rate of  $1 \times 10^8$  per base pair per year (37), one would expect  $\approx$  1-10 mutations. Of these, only a fraction would interfere with the normal function of the affected gene. In mammals the average deleterious mutation rate per locus per generation is in the order of  $10^{-5}$  (38).

Thus, can one expect impaired functionality of malespecifically expressed genes? Studying enzyme loci in fish tetraploid species, Ohno (2) determined the half-life time for functionality of duplicated dispensable alleles as 47 million years. A similar conclusion was reached by Allendorf (39). If these numbers also apply to the dispensable genes expressed only in "males," the complete inducibility and functionality of a large number of such coding sequences in the all-female P. formosa would have been predicted.

Table 2. Meristic characteristics (MC) of P. formosa and its candidate parental species

<b>MC</b>	P. latipinna		P. mexicana limatouri		P. mexicana/P. latipinna	P. formosa	
	Elements*	$Ref(s)$ .	Elements*	$Ref(s)$ .	$F_1$ hybrid elements	Elements*	Ref.
<b>DFR</b>	12–14	26	$9(9-10)$	29	12	11	26
	$12 - 16$	27	$9(8-10)$	30		$12(11-12)$	29
	$13(12-14)$	28				$11(10-12)$	28
	$14(14-15)$		$9(9-10)$			$11.5(11-12)$	
<b>AFR</b>	9	26	$9(8-9)$	28, 31	9	9	26
	9		9			$9(8-9)$	
<b>CFR</b>			$18(16-21)$	30			
<b>BRC</b>	$18(17-19)$		$16(14-17)$	29	16	$17(16-18)$	
			17			$17(16-18)$	
<b>LAT</b>	$27(26-28)$		$27(25-29)$	30	27	$27(26-28)$	
			$27(26-28)$				
<b>PSC</b>	$10(9-11)$		$13(12-14)$	29, 30	13	$12(11-12)$	30
			$17(15-19)$			$13(12-13)$	
<b>SCP</b>	16	26, 27	$18(16-18)$	28, 31	17	$17(16-18)$	
	16		18				
<b>ITE</b>	Unicuspid		Unicuspid		Unicuspid	Unicuspid	
<b>SUP</b>	Absent		Present		ND.	Present or absent	
GAP	21		21		ND.	23	
<b>IND</b>	$22(22-23)$		$12 - 14$	29	15	$17 - 19$	29
			$9(8-11)$			$15.5(15-17)$	

AFR, anal fin rays; BRC, branched caudal rays; CFR, caudal fin rays; DFR, dorsal fin rays; GAP, distance between middle of the anus to the anal fin base in standard length; IND, index: (DFR + BCR) - PSC; ITE, inner teeth; LAT, lateral scale row; PSC, predorsal scales determined according to ref. 9; SCP, scales around caudal peduncle; SUP, supraorbital pores 1-2a; ND, not determined. The median or range is given; if both are given, the range is in parentheses.

\*Either the number of elements developed or a description is given.

We thank B. Wilde, S. Hölter, S. Martinus, M. Irentschiuk, and A. Schlupp for technical assistance and C. Linington for reading the manuscript. This research was supported by VW-Stiftung (M. Schartl) and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (M. Schmid). Oligonucleotide probes are subject to patent applications. Commercial inquiries should be directed to Fresemius AG, Oberursel, F.R.G.

- 1. Vrijenhoek, R. C., Dawley, R. M., Cole, C. J. & Bogart, J. P. (1989) Bull. N. Y. State Mus. 466, 19-23.
- 2. Ohno, S. (1985) Trends Genet. 1, 160-164.
- 3. Rasch, E. M., Monaco, P. J. & Balsano, J. S. (1982) Histochemistry 73, 515-533.
- 4. Monaco, P. J., Rasch, E. M. & Balsano, J. S. (1984) in Evolutionary Genetics of Fishes, ed. Turner, B. J. (Plenum, New York), pp. 311-328.
- 5. Hubbs, C. (1964) Bull. Tex. Mem. Mus. 8, 1-72.
- 6. Kallman, K. D. (1962) Evolution 16, 497-504.
- 7. Darnell, R. M., Lamb, E. & Abramoff, P. (1967) Evolution 21, 168-173.
- 8. Turner, B. J. (1982) in Mechanism of Speciation, ed. Barigozzi, C. (Liss, New York), pp. 265-305.
- 9. Miller, R. R. (1948) Misc. Publ. Mus. Zool. Univ. Mich. 68, 1-155.
- 10. Rasch, E. M. & Balsano, J. S. (1989) Bull. N.Y. State Mus. 466, 113-122.
- 11. Hubbs, C. & Hubbs, L. C. (1932) Science 76, 628-630.
- 12. Haskins, C. P., Haskins, E. F. & Hewitt, R. E. (1960) Evolution 14, 473-483.
- 13. Hamaguchi, S. & Egami, N. (1980) Annot. Zool. Jpn. 53, 227-230.
- Schartl, M. (1981) Verh. Dtsch. Zool. Ges. 73, 203.
- 15. Turner, B. J. & Steeves, H. R. (1989) Bull. N. Y. State Mus. 466, 113-122.
- 16. Schartl, A., Schartl, M. & Anders, F. (1982) in Carcinogenesis, eds. Hecker, E. (Raven, New York), Vol. 7, pp. 427-434.
- 17. Dzwillo, M. (1962) Verh. Dtsch. Zool. Ges. 54, 152-159.<br>18. Parzefall. J. (1969) Behaviour 23, 1-37.
- 18. Parzefall, J. (1969) Behaviour 23, 1–37.<br>19. Parzefall, J. (1973) in Genetics and Mu
- Parzefall, J. (1973) in Genetics and Mutagenesis of Fish, ed. Schröder, J. H. (Springer, Berlin), pp. 177-183.
- 20. Siegel, S. (1965) Non-Parametrical Statistics in Behavioural Sciences (McGraw-Hill, Tokyo).
- 21. Nanda, I., Feichtinger, W., Schmid, M., Schröder, J. H., Zischler, H. & Epplen, J. T. (1990) J. Mol. Evol. 30, 456–462.
- 22. Turner, B. J., Elder, J. F., Jr., Laughlin, T. F. & Davis, W. P. (1990) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 87, 5653-5657.
- 23. Schartl, M., Nanda, I., Schlupp, I., Parzefall, J., Schmid, M. & Epplen, J. T. (1990) Fingerprint News 2, 22-24.
- 24. Baird, R. C. (1968) Tex. J. Sci. 20, 157-176.<br>25. Schlupp. J., Parzefall, J. & Schartl, M. (1
- Schlupp, J., Parzefall, J. & Schartl, M. (1991) Ethology, in press.
- 26. Miller, R. R. (1983) Copeia 3, 816–817.<br>27. Miller, R. R. (1983) Copeia 3, 817–822.
- 27. Miller, R. R. (1983) Copeia 3, 817–822.<br>28. Schultz, R. J. & Miller, R. R. (1971) C.
- 28. Schultz, R. J. & Miller, R. R. (1971) Copeia 2, 282-290.<br>29. Menzel B. W. & Darnell, R. M. (1973) Copeia 2, 350-3.
- 29. Menzel, B. W. & Darnell, R. M. (1973) Copeia 2, 350-352.<br>30. Menzel, B. W. & Darnell, R. M. (1973) Copeia 2, 225-237.
- 
- 30. Menzel, B. W. & Darnell, R. M. (1973) Copeia 2, 225–237.<br>31. Hubbs. C., Drewry, G. E. & Warburton, B. (1959) Science 12 Hubbs, C., Drewry, G. E. & Warburton, B. (1959) Science 129, 1220-1227.
- 32. Epplen, J. T., Ammer, H., Kammerbauer, C., Schwaiger, W., Schmid, M. & Nanda, I. (1991) Adv. Mol. Genet. 4, 301-310.
- 33. Muller, H. J. (1964) Mutat. Res. 1, 1-9.
- 34. Maynard-Smith, J. (1978) The Evolution of Sex (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K.).
- 35. Darnell, R. M. & Abramoff, P. (1968) Copeia 2, 354-361.<br>36. Parzefall, J. (1989) Ethology 82, 101-115.
- 36. Parzefall, J. (1989) Ethology 82, 101-115.<br>37. Nei, M. (1987) Molecular Evolutionary
- Nei, M. (1987) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics (Columbia Univ. Press, New York).
- 38. Kimura, M. (1983) The Neutral Theory of Evolution (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K.).
- 39. Allendorf, F. W. (1978) Nature (London) 272, 76-78.