# **Cell Reports**

## **DET1 and HY5 Control PIF4-Mediated Thermosensory Elongation Growth through Distinct Mechanisms**

### **Graphical Abstract**



### **Authors**

Sreeramaiah N. Gangappa, S. Vinod Kumar

### Correspondence

vinod.kumar@jic.ac.uk

### In Brief

Gangappa and Kumar show that integration of light and temperature signaling is critical for growth. They show that major light signaling components DET1, COP1, and HY5 control PIF4mediated thermosensory growth through distinct mechanisms. DET1/COP1 promote temperature-responsive growth by stabilizing PIF4 protein. HY5 antagonizes PIF4-mediated elongation through competitive chromatin binding.

### **Highlights**

- DET1 and HY5 control temperature-induced hypocotyl growth through distinct mechanisms
- HY5 is dispensable for DET1/COP1-mediated elongation growth at elevated temperatures
- DET1 and COP1 are essential for PIF4 protein accumulation
- HY5 regulates PIF4 target genes through chromatin binding at lower temperatures





# DET1 and HY5 Control PIF4-Mediated Thermosensory Elongation Growth through Distinct Mechanisms

Sreeramaiah N. Gangappa<sup>1</sup> and S. Vinod Kumar<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cell and Developmental Biology Department, John Innes Centre, Norwich NR4 7UH, UK <sup>2</sup>Lead Contact

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.12.046

#### SUMMARY

Plant growth and development are defined by environmental cues. The transcription factor PHYTO-CHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 4 (PIF4) is the central signaling hub that integrates environmental cues, including light and temperature, to regulate growth and development. The thermosensory mechanisms controlling the PIF4-mediated temperature response, and its integration with other environmental responses, remain poorly understood. DE-ETIOLATED 1 (DET1) and CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMORPHOGENESIS 1 (COP1), key regulators of light signaling, have been proposed to control thermosensory growth by transcriptional regulation of PIF4, through ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5). Here, we show that DET1/COP1 and HY5 regulate thermosensory elongation through distinct mechanisms. DET1 and COP1 are essential for promoting PIF4 expression and stabilizing PIF4 protein. Furthermore, HY5 inhibits elongation growth through competitive chromatin binding to PIF4 targets, not through transcriptional regulation of PIF4. Our findings reveal a mechanistic framework in which DET1/ COP1 and HY5 regulatory modules act independently to regulate growth through the environmental signal integrator PIF4.

#### INTRODUCTION

The ability of plants to sense and integrate diurnal and seasonal changes in environmental signals, such as light and temperature, and accordingly modulate growth and development is critical for adaptation. Temperature and light being the most dynamic parameters play a pivotal role in plant growth and development. While the molecular mechanisms controlling response to light are well established (Jiao et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2015), those required for perception and response to temperature remain poorly understood (Quint et al., 2016). Phytochrome interacting bHLH transcription factors (PIFs), particularly PIF4, has emerged as a central signaling hub controlling thermosensory growth and development as well as coordinating environmental responses

(Koini et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2012; Leivar and Monte, 2014; Leivar and Quail, 2011). Despite its key role in thermosensory responses, the molecular mechanisms that control growth regulation by PIF4 and facilitate environmental signal integration remains to be fully elucidated.

Seedling hypocotyl elongation is a key trait of great adaptive significance, which is strongly influenced by environmental signals such as light and temperature (Quint et al., 2016). PIF transcription factors, particularly PIF4, coordinate elongation growth in response to environmental cues (Leivar and Monte, 2014). PIFmediated growth is defined by a coincidence mechanism, wherein circadian clock regulates gene expression and light regulates protein abundance, which underlies photoperiod-dependent diurnal growth pattern (Niwa et al., 2009; Nozue et al., 2007). Photoreceptor Phytochrome B (PhyB) controls lightdependent regulation of PIF protein levels (Leivar and Monte, 2014; Leivar and Quail, 2011). Recent studies have further highlighted the tight coordination of light signaling and temperature responses. Key light signaling components that define photomorphogensis such as DET1 and COP1 have been proposed to control thermosensory growth by transcriptional regulation of PIF4, through ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5) (Delker et al., 2014). This has further provided a regulatory framework for integrating light and temperature signaling. Despite these advances, the precise molecular mechanisms that control PIF4mediated thermosensory responses is not clearly known. In this study, we undertook experiments to further dissect the molecular mechanisms by which DET1, COP1, and HY5 contribute to the thermosensory responses. Here, we show that DET1 and COP1 promote temperature-responsive elongation growth through promoting PIF4 expression and stabilizing the protein. Further, our data demonstrate that HY5 negatively regulates PIF4-mediated elongation growth through competitive binding to the PIF4 targets gene promoters, not through transcriptional regulation of PIF4. Together, our study provides a mechanistic framework for the regulation of PIF4-medated thermosensory elongation growth.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Thermosensory Hypocotyl Growth Is Photoperiod Dependent

To understand the mechanism of integration of light and temperature signals, we studied seedling hypocotyl elongation in



<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: vinod.kumar@jic.ac.uk

Arabidopsis. PIF4-mediated elongation growth is defined by a coincidence mechanism, which underlies photoperiod-dependent diurnal growth pattern (Niwa et al., 2009; Nozue et al., 2007). To test whether thermosensory growth is influenced by the same regulatory principles, we studied the influence of day length on temperature-induced hypocotyl elongation (Figures 1A and 1B). Col-0 seedlings grown in short day show pronounced hypocotyl growth and robust temperature-responsive elongation compared to long day, where hypocotyls were shorter and showed only marginal temperature response (Figures 1A and 1B). Moreover, we found that the photoperiod dependent effects on thermosensory growth is a general phenomenon across different genetic backgrounds (Figure S1), illustrating the strong coordination of growth by light and temperature signaling. These results clearly show that extended night period is conducive for temperature-responsive elongation growth. PIF4 constitutes the central regulatory module that define growth in response to light and temperature, and the underlying molecular mechanisms by which temperature is perceived and integrated with other signaling pathways such as that of light are not well understood. Therefore, to understand the regulatory framework that control PIF4-mediated growth, we sought to investigate the influence of light signaling components in thermosensory responses.

#### DET1 and HY5 Control Temperature-Responsive Elongation Growth Likely through Distinct Mechanisms

The key photomorphogenesis regulator DET1 (Lau and Deng, 2012; Pepper et al., 1994) has been shown to promote temperature-induced hypocotyl growth by transcriptionally regulating PIF4 at elevated temperature, through inhibiting HY5 in long days (Delker et al., 2014). We sought to examine whether the same regulatory hierarchy defines the photoperiod-dependent thermosensory elongation. Consistent with the earlier finding, under short days, det1-1 showed characteristically short hypocotyl, and severely attenuated temperature-responsive elongation (Figures 1C, 1D, and S1B), confirming that DET1 is essential for thermosensory growth as reported (Delker et al., 2014). Interestingly, with its characteristically long hypocotyl, hy5-215 showed only a modest increase (~50% as opposed to ~200% increase in Col-0) at 27°C (Figures 1C, 1D, and S1B). This was in contrast to the recent study, where hy5 mutant showed only marginally elongated hypocotyls at normal temperature and an exaggerated response at elevated temperature in long days (Delker et al., 2014). To address this further, we analyzed four different hy5 alleles in three different genetic backgrounds. Consistent with the earlier reports (Ang and Deng, 1994; Koornneef et al., 1980), all the hy5 alleles showed significantly elongated hypocotyls at 22°C, both in long and short days (Figures S1D-S1G, S2A, and S2B). Whereas the hypocotyl response at 27°C was significantly reduced in short days (Figures S1D-S1G), it was comparable to wild-types in long days (Figures S2A and S2B). We have further confirmed that the temperature conditions in our experiments (22°C versus 27°C) are comparable with that of Delker et al. (2014) (20°C versus 28°C) (Figures S2C-S2E). DET1 is shown to regulate elongation growth by inhibiting HY5 function in the dark cooperatively with COP1 through protein degradation (Osterlund et al.,

2000). Consistent with this, *cop1-4* and *cop1-6* alleles were defective in hypocotyl elongation, both at 22°C and 27°C similar to *det1-1* (Figures 1E and 1F). In addition, a transgenic line overexpressing *COP1* (*COP1-OE*) (Holm et al., 2001) showed significantly longer hypocotyl at 22°C, and enhanced temperature-responsive growth at 27°C (Figures 1E, 1F, S3A, and S3B), further confirming the positive role of COP1 in temperature-induced elongation growth.

Expression of auxin biosynthesis gene YUC8 and genes involved in cell elongation such as EXP8 and XTR7 that underlie elongation growth in response to temperature showed robust upregulation in Col-0 upon shift to 27°C (Figure 1G). The det1-1 and cop1-4 mutants showed strongly attenuated expression of these genes even at 27°C (Figure 1G), whereas COP1-OE showed elevated expression (Figures S3C-S3E). However, hy5-215 showed increased expression of these genes both at 22°C and 27°C (Figure 1G), suggesting HY5 function is required to negatively regulate these genes. Transcription factor PIF4 has been shown to be the key activator of thermosensory growth. Expression of PIF4 is robustly induced by elevated temperature, as shown before (Koini et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2012). Interestingly, temperature-induced expression of YUC8 correlated with that of PIF4 in det1-1 and cop1-4 mutant, but not in hy5-215 (Figure S1H). This was surprising given the recent finding that HY5 regulates elongation growth through transcriptional regulation of PIF4. To understand this further, we studied PIF4 expression at regular intervals after exposure to 27°C. In line with the hypocotyl and gene expression phenotypes, PIF4 expression in both det1-1 and cop1-4 was strongly attenuated and showed severely impaired temperature response (Figures 1I and S3F). Additionally, PIF4 expression was strongly upregulated in COP1-OE (Figure S3F). These results clearly suggest that DET1 and COP1 are positive regulators of PIF4 as reported earlier (Delker et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2016). These results indicate that transcriptional regulation of PIF4 as a possible mechanism by which DET1/COP1 signaling control growth. Interestingly, in contrast to the earlier report (Delker et al., 2014), PIF4 expression in the hy5 mutant was comparable to that of Col-0 both at 22°C and 27°C at all time points (Figures 1I and S3G), suggesting that transcriptional regulation of PIF4 is unlikely to be the mechanism by which HY5 regulates temperature-responsive elongation growth. The above results show that DET1 and COP1 are essential for hypocotyl growth and temperature-responsive elongation as reported earlier (Delker et al., 2014). However, contrary to what has been proposed, our data showed that DET1 and COP1, not HY5, function at least in part through regulating PIF4 expression (Figures 1I and S3G). Together, these results suggested that DET1/COP1 and HY5 modulate PIF4-mediated growth possibly through distinct mechanisms.

#### HY5 Is Dispensable for the Control of Thermosensory Elongation Growth by DET1 and COP1

The results above suggested that DET1/COP1 and HY5 may regulate thermosensory elongation growth through distinct mechanisms. In the regulation of seedling etiolation in the dark and de-etiolation in light, it has been shown that DET1 and COP1 exert their effect through regulating HY5 protein levels. It has been suggested to be the paradigm for regulation in



#### Figure 1. DET1 and HY5 Control Elongation Growth through Distinct Mechanisms

(A and B) Thermosensory hypocotyl elongation growth is photoperiod dependent. Hypocotyl length (A) and percentage (%) hypocotyl response (B) of Col-0 seedlings grown in long-day (LD) and short-day (SD) photoperiod at 22°C and 27°C shows strong influence of day length on hypocotyl elongation and temperature response. Seven-day-old seedlings were used to measure hypocotyl length (mean  $\pm$  SD;  $n \geq 20$ ). \*\*\* $p \leq 0.001$  (Student's t test) significantly different from Col-0 in corresponding photoperiod conditions or between indicated pair. See also Figure S1.

(C) Thermosensory hypocotyl elongation response is controlled by DET1 and HY5. Representative image of seedling hypocotyl elongation in 7-day-old *det1-1* and *hy5-215* along with wild-type (Col-0) grown at constant 22°C and 27°C under short-day photoperiod. See also Figures S1 and S2. (D) Hypocotyl elongation response (percentage growth at 27°C as compared to 22°C) of Col-0, *det1-1*, and *hy5-215* at 27°C (mean ± SD; n ≥ 20). \*\*p ≤ 0.01, \*\*\*p ≤ 0.001 (Student's t test) significantly different from Col-0 in corresponding photoperiod. ns, not significantly different from Col-0. See also Figures S1 and S2.

(E and F) Hypocotyl elongation is compromised in *cop1-4* mutant, whereas *COP1-OE* (*35S:COP1*) display enhanced thermosensory elongation showing the positive regulatory effect of COP1 on hypocotyl growth. Representative seedling picture (E) and the hypocotyl measurement data (F) of 7-day-old Col-0, *cop1-4*, *cop1-6*, and *COP1-OE* (*35S:COP1*) seedlings grown at constant 22°C and 27°C under short-day photoperiod are shown. \*\*p  $\leq 0.01$ , \*\*\*p  $\leq 0.001$  (Student's t test) significantly different from Col-0 in in corresponding temperature conditions or between indicated pairs. See also Figure S3.

(G) Expression of growth-related genes YUC8, *EXP8*, and *XTR7* in Col-0, *det1-1*, *cop1-4*, and *hy5-215* mutants as measured by qRT-PCR (mean  $\pm$  SD of three biological replicates) in 7-day-old seedlings grown constantly at 22°C, after 24-hr incubation at 27°C, as well as continuous growth at 27°C in short-day conditions. \*p  $\leq$  0.05, \*\*p  $\leq$  0.01, \*\*\*p  $\leq$  0.001 (Student's t test) significantly different from Col-0 in corresponding temperature conditions or between indicated pairs. See also Figure S3.

(H) Expression correlation of *PIF4* and *YUC8* in Col-0, *det1-1*, *cop1-4*, and *hy5-215* genotypes.

(i) *PIF4* is strongly downregulated and its temperature-responsive expression is attenuated in *det1-1*, while *hy5-215* shows wild-type expression levels (mean  $\pm$  SD of three biological replicates). Seedlings either constantly grown at 22°C or transferred to 27°C for indicated time period or grown at constant 27°C in short-day conditions were used to measure the transcript. \*\*p  $\leq$  0.01, \*\*\*p  $\leq$  0.001 (Student's t test) significantly different from Col-0 in corresponding time points or indicated pairs. ns, not significantly different from Col-0. See also Figure S3.



#### Figure 2. HY5 Is Not Essential for the Control of Thermosensory Elongation Growth by DET1 and COP1

(A) Linear hierarchical model showing the regulation of *PIF4* expression and PIF4-mediated thermosensory elongation growth by DET1/COP1-HY5 as proposed by Delker et al. (2014).

(B and C) Control of thermosensory hypocotyl elongation by DET1 is not completely HY5 dependent showing that DET1 and HY5 control hypocotyl elongation through distinct mechanisms. Seedling hypocotyl picture (B) and hypocotyl length data (C) of 7-day-old seedlings grown in SD are shown (mean  $\pm$  SD; n  $\geq$  20).

(D and E) COP1-mediated thermosensory hypocotyl elongation is completely independent of HY5, suggesting that they control hypocotyl elongation through distinct mechanisms. Seedling hypocotyl picture (D) and hypocotyl length data (E) of 7-dayold seedlings grown in SD are shown (mean  $\pm$  SD;  $n \geq 20$ ).

(F and G) Loss of major PIFs strongly suppress *hy5* hypocotyl phenotype similar to that of loss of DET1 or COP1, suggesting that DET1 and COP1 regulates PIF-mediated elongation independently of HY5. Representative hypocotyl picture (F) and hypocotyl length (G) of seedlings grown in 22°C and 27°C SD for 7 days are shown. Data shown are mean  $\pm$  SD (n  $\geq$  20).

mutant (Jia et al., 2014) strongly phenocopied *det1 hy5* and *cop1 hy5* (Figures 2F and 2G), suggesting that DET1 and COP1 primarily act to maintain PIF function. Together, these results further confirm our hypothesis that DET1/COP1

temperature-induced hypocotyl elongation as well. A linear hierarchical pathway has been proposed where HY5, under regulation by DET1/COP1, transcriptionally regulate PIF4 in order to regulate elongation growth (Delker et al., 2014) (Figure 2A). In light of our above results, we sought to examine the role of HY5 in DET1/COP1-mediated elongation growth at high ambient temperature. We hypothesized that if HY5 is required for DET1/COP1-mdiated hypocotyl growth, loss of HY5 function in det1-1 and cop1-4 mutants should significantly, if not completely, suppress the short hypocotyl and the lack of elongation response at elevated temperature. Conversely, if DET1 and COP1 function independently of HY5, their loss of function should significantly suppress elongation phenotypes of hy5 mutant. We therefore assessed hypocotyl elongation of det1-1 hy5 and cop1-4 hy5 double mutants. Consistent with the above results and our hypothesis of distinct regulatory roles, both det1-1 and cop1-4 strongly suppressed hy5 phenotype in double mutants. In addition, both det1-1 hy5 and cop1-4 hy5 double mutants showed severely attenuated temperature-responsive hypocotyl elongation (Figures 2B-2E). These findings are consistent with the earlier studies suggesting that HY5 alone is not sufficient to explain the regulation of photomorphogenesis by DET1 and COP1(Ang and Deng, 1994; Chory, 1992; Fernando and Schroeder, 2015). Similarly, the hy5 pifq quintuple and HY5 regulate temperature-induced elongation growth through independent mechanisms.

#### DET1 and COP1 Positively Regulate Thermosensory Elongation through Promoting PIF4 Protein Abundance

We found that while DET1 and COP1 were essential for PIF4mediated elongation growth, PIF4 expression was attenuated in det1-1 and cop1-4 mutants, and was upregulated by COP1 overexpression suggesting that DET1 and COP1 exert their effect at least in part through positively regulating PIF4 expression. To test whether transcriptional regulation of PIF4 by DET1 was sufficient to control thermosensory elongation growth, we introduced 35S:PIF4-HA (Nozue et al., 2007) into det1-1. 35S:PIF4 leads to exaggerated hypocotyl elongation (de Lucas et al., 2008) and should suppress the elongation phenotype of det1-1, if DET1 functions mainly to control PIF4 expression. Interestingly, det1-1 mutation strongly suppressed the long hypocotyl phenotype of 35S:PIF4 and severely attenuated temperature-responsive growth at 27°C (Figures 3A and 3B). Similarly, we found that cop1-4 also strongly suppressed the long hypocotyl phenotype of 35S:PIF4 (Figures 3C and 3D). Further, expression of elongation-related genes YUC8 and EXP8 was strongly suppressed in det1-1 35S:PIF4-HA and cop1-4 35S:PIF-HA to levels comparable to det1-1 and cop1-4,



#### Figure 3. DET1 and COP1 Positively Regulates Elongation through Promoting PIF4 Abundance

(A) *det1-1* suppresses hypocotyl elongation phenotype of *35S:PIF4*. Representative seedling pictures of 7-day-old Col-0, *det1-1*, *35S:PIF4*, *and det1-1*, *35S:PIF4* grown at constant 22°C and 27°C under short-day photoperiod are shown.

(B) Seedling hypocotyl measurement data (mean  $\pm$  SD; n  $\geq$  20) of genotypes shown in (A).

(C) *cop1-4* strongly suppresses elongated hypocotyl phenotype of *35S:PIF4*. Seven-day-old representative seedling hypocotyl pictures of Col-0, *cop1-4*, *35S:PIF4*, and *cop1-4 35S:PIF4* grown at constant 22°C and 27°C under short-day photoperiod.

(D) Seedling hypocotyl measurement data (mean  $\pm$  SD; n  $\geq$  20) of genotypes shown in (C).

(E and F) Expression of PIF4 target genes YUC8 (E) and EXP8 (F) in Col-0, det1-1, cop1-4, 35S:PIF4, det1-1 35S:PIF4 and cop1-4 35S:PIF4 as measured by qRT-PCR (mean  $\pm$  SD of three biological replicates) in 7-day-old seedlings grown at  $22^{\circ}$ C and after 24-hr incubation at  $27^{\circ}$ C.

(G) Immunoblot showing the abundance of PIF4-HA protein in 7-day-old Col-0 (used as negative control), *35S:PIF4-HA*, *det1-1 35S:PIF4*-HA, and *cop1-4 35S:PIF4* seedlings grown in 22°C short days or treated with 27°C for 24 hr. See also Figure S3.

In (B), (D), (E), and (F), \*p  $\leq 0.05$ , \*\*p  $\leq 0.01$ , \*\*\*p  $\leq 0.001$  (Student's t test) significantly different from Col-0 in corresponding temperature conditions or between indicated pairs.

respectively (Figures 3E and 3F), despite strong *PIF4* overexpression (Figure S3H), suggesting that DET1 and COP1 also regulate PIF4 post-transcriptionally.

PIF4 function is controlled by environmental signals through modulation at the protein level (de Lucas et al., 2008; Leivar and Monte, 2014; Xu et al., 2015). Consistent with earlier studies (Dong et al., 2014), we found that PIF4-HA protein abundance was severely reduced in *det1-1* and *cop1-4* backgrounds (Figure 2G). These results further confirm that DET1 and COP1 control PIF4-mediated elongation growth through stabilizing PIF4 protein. The exact mechanism through which DET1 stabilizes PIF4 protein is not known at this point; this could be possibly through modulating photoreceptor or DELLA protein functions (Li et al., 2015). These results provide further support to our hypothesis that DET1 functions independently of HY5 to regulate elongation growth.

#### HY5 Negatively Regulates PIF4-Mediated Thermosensory Gene Induction

Together, the above results confirm that DET1 and COP1 modulate thermosensory growth by promoting PIF4 function directly. Despite its strong influence on hypocotyl elongation and expression of PIF4 target genes, we found that HY5 did not seem to regulate *PIF4* expression (Figures 1I and S3G). We therefore hy-

pothesized that HY5 could directly modulate activation of target genes by PIF4. It has been previously shown that HY5 binds to conserved E/G box elements and competes with PIF transcription factors at ROS-responsive (Chen et al., 2013) and photopigment biosynthetic genes (Toledo-Ortiz et al., 2014). To test whether HY5 targets elongation genes underlying thermosensory growth, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation of HY5-HA protein in seedlings grown at 22°C or were shifted to 27°C for 24 hr. We found that HY5-HA binds to the same promoter regions of YUC8, XTR7, and EXP8, confirming that the genes controlling thermosensory elongation response are directly regulated by HY5. Moreover, at elevated temperature (27°C) HY5 binding to all the tested elongation-related gene promoters was significantly decreased (Figures 4A-4C and S4). Immunoblot analysis has shown that this temperature-dependent chromatin binding is not due to altered HY5 abundance (Figure 4D). It has been well established that PIF4 binds directly to the chromatin of YUC8, XTR7, and EXP8 promoters as reported (Nieto et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2012) and that PIF4 binding to chromatin is temperature dependent. Using a P<sub>PIF4</sub>:PIF4-FLAG transgenic line in our chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP) experiments, we show that PIF4 binds to the promoters of YUC8, XTR7, and EXP8, and most importantly this binding was temperature responsive with increased binding



#### Figure 4. Integration of Regulatory Mechanisms for PIF4-Mediated Thermosensory Growth

(A–C) HY5 directly binds to the promoters of PIF4 targets involved in elongation growth. Chromatin immunoprecipitation of HY5 using 35S:HY5-HA seedlings (either grown at constant 22°C or treated with 27° for 24 hr) and wild-type (used as negative control) shows enrichment at YUC8 (A), XTR7 (B), and EXP8 (C) promoters (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3). \*p  $\leq$  0.05, \*\*p  $\leq$  0.01, \*\*\*p  $\leq$  0.001 (Student's t test) significantly different from wild-type (WT) in corresponding temperature conditions or between indicated pairs. See also Figure S4.

(D) Accumulation of HY5-HA protein as shown by immunoblot in 7-day-old 35S:HY5-HA seedlings grown in 22°C short days or treated with 27°C for 24 hr. (E–G) Binding of PIF4 to genes responsible for elongation growth. Chromatin immunoprecipitation of 35S:*PIF4-HA* (as a positive control) and wild-type (used as negative control) showing enrichment at *YUC8* (E), *XTR7* (F), and *EXP8* (G) promoters (mean  $\pm$  SD, n = 3). \*p  $\leq$  0.05, \*\*p  $\leq$  0.01, \*\*\*p  $\leq$  0.001 (Student's t test) significantly different from WT in corresponding temperature conditions or between indicated pairs. See also Figure S4.

(H) Mechanism of DET1- and COP1-mediated regulation of thermosensory growth. Both DET1 and COP1 are found to be essential to stabilize PIF4 and thereby promote thermosensory elongation growth. PIF4 bind to the promoters of growth genes such as *YUC8*, *EXP8*, etc. and activate their expression leading to the elongation growth. HY5 on the other hand negatively regulates thermosensory growth by competing with PIF4 for binding to the promoters of growth genes and suppressing their expression. Elevated temperature leads to a decrease in HY5 activity resulting in reduced HY5 binding thus results in activation of PIF4 target genes.

observed at 27°C (Figures 4E–4G and S4). These results show that HY5 could potentially compete with PIF4 for binding the promoters of elongation-related genes at lower temperatures. PIF4 binds and activates its targets in a temperature-dependent manner, with increased binding at elevated temperature (Franklin et al., 2011; Kumar et al., 2012). Modulation of HY5 function can therefore fine-tune PIF4-mediated elongation growth in a temperature-dependent manner. This potentially antagonistic regulation of growth genes by HY5 and PIF4 provides a possible molecular mechanism for coordinated gene regulation in response to environmental signals.

In summary, our data demonstrate that DET1/COP1 and HY5 control PIF4-mediated elongation growth through independent mechanisms (Figure 4H). DET1 and COP1 promote PIF4 function primarily through stabilizing the protein, in addition to maintain-

ing *PIF4* expression. Thus, DET1/COP1 act as the core positive regulatory module for PIF4 function regardless of temperature. Temperature-dependent modulation of DET1 and COP1 function could therefore directly control thermosensory growth independent of HY5. Indeed, inhibition of HY5 function by DET1/COP1 adds an additional layer of regulation. Importantly, we find that HY5 controls PIF4-mediated elongation mainly through competitive binding at the promoter chromatin and repression of PIF4 target genes, but not through transcriptional repression of *PIF4*. This competitive inhibition is significantly reduced with increased temperature. At elevated temperature HY5 protein becomes less abundant (Toledo-Ortiz et al., 2014), likely through increased COP1 activity leading to removal of repression, coinciding with elevated PIF4 accumulation and target gene activation. The regulatory model we propose is also supported by

long established genetic evidence that HY5 alone could not explain regulation of elongation growth by DET1 and COP1 (Ang and Deng, 1994; Chory, 1992). Collectively, this study provides a mechanistic framework where multiple regulatory modules cooperatively control growth through integrating environmental inputs such as light and temperature. Elucidating the coordinated control of growth in an ecological context is important for understanding phenotypic plasticity and adaptation, especially in the context of global climate change that threatens biodiversity in the wild and productivity in the field alike (Battisti and Naylor, 2009).

#### **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

#### **Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

Unless otherwise specified, Columbia ecotype (Col-0) was used in all the experiments. The *hy5* mutants, *hy5-215* (Datta et al., 2007) and *hy5* (Jia et al., 2014) are in (Col-0), whereas *hy5 ks-50* (Holm et al., 2002) and *hy5-1* (Datta et al., 2007) are in Wassilewskija (Ws) and Landsberg erecta (Ler-0) ecotypes, respectively. The *det1-1*, *cop1-4*, *cop1-6*, *hy5* cop1-4, *hy5* det1, and *pifq hy5* mutants have been described previously (Fernando and Schroeder, 2015; Holm et al., 2002; Jia et al., 2014; Schroeder et al., 2002). *det1-1* and *cop1-4* were crossed to 35S:*PIF4-HA* (Nozue et al., 2007) to generate *det1-1* 35S:*PIF4-HA* and *cop1-4* 35S:*PIF4-HA* lines.

Seeds were surface-sterilized (70% ethanol + 0.5% Triton X-100) and germinated on Murashige and Skoog (MS) plates containing 0.5% sucrose following stratification for 3 days at 4°C. Upon germination at 22°C, seedlings were either transferred to 27°C or retained at 22°C for 7 days unless otherwise specified. The light intensity of 150  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> was used to grow seedlings for all the experiments. Experiments were performed under short-day (8-hr-light/16-hr-dark) or long-day (16-hr-light/8-hr-dark) conditions as specified.

#### **Hypocotyl Measurement**

Seven-day-old seedlings grown as specified above were used for hypocotyl measurements. At least 20 seedlings were imaged and hypocotyl lengths were measured using NIH ImageJ software (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

#### **RNA Isolation and Gene Expression Analysis**

RNA was extracted using RNeasy Plant mini kit (QIAGEN) (following manufacturer's instructions). Approximately 1.5  $\mu$ g of total RNA was converted into cDNA using Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). cDNA was diluted 1:25 and 2.0  $\mu$ L was used for qPCR on Lightcycler 480 using SYBR Green Master Mix (Roche). Ef1 $\alpha$  (AT5G60390) was used as control for normalization. Oligonucleotide sequences used are provided in Table S1.

#### **Immunoblot Analysis**

For immunoblot analysis, seedlings were grown at 22°C for 7 days and were either transferred to 27°C for 24 hr or retained at 22°C. Tissue were harvested in dim light at dawn in all cases. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated hemagglutinin (HA) antibody (Miltenyi Biotech) was used to detect PIF4-HA and HY5-HA and visualized by chemiluminscent detection using Immobilon Chemiluminescent HRP substrate (Millipore).

#### **Chromatin Immunoprecipitation Analysis**

ChIP was carried out as described (Kumar et al., 2012) with minor modifications.  $P_{PIF4}$ -*PIF4-FLAG* and *35S:HY5-HA* seedlings and the respective controls (CoI-0 and Ws, respectively) were grown on one-half MS plates for 6 days; they were either retained at 22°C or transferred to 27°C for 24 hr. Seedlings (~2.0 g) were harvested in dim light and directly cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde. ChIP was done using paramagnetic  $\mu$ MACS beads coated with monoclonal anti-FLAG or anti-HA antibody (Milteney Biotech) according to manufacturer's instructions. Beads were washed four times with the immunoprecipitation buffer followed by two washes with Tris-EDTA buffer (TE). Reverse cross-linking was done by boiling at 95°C for 10 min in presence of 10% Chelex (Bio-Rad) followed by proteinase K treatment at 50°C. qPCR was performed using

Roche Light cycler and enrichment was calculated relative to wild-type controls. PIF4-HA and HY5-HA binding to *YUC8*, *EXP8*, and *XTR7* were performed using a set of primers spanning the promoter regions covering either E/G-box elements. Oligonucleotide sequence details are provided in Table S1.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

For measuring hypocotyl length, unless otherwise mentioned at least 20 seedlings were used. For gene expression analysis, three independent biological replicates in combination with three technical replicates were used. All experiments were repeated at least thrice. The statistical significance between or among treatments and/or genotypes was determined based on Student's t test.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes four figures and one table and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.12.046.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

S.N.G. designed and performed most of the experiments and analyzed data. S.V.K. designed and supervised the study, performed experiments, and analyzed data. S.N.G. and S.V.K. wrote the paper.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) grant BB/1019022/1 and Institute Strategic Programme grants BB/J004588/1 and BB/J004553/1. S.N.G. is supported by European Commission H2020 MSCA Fellowship (656995). We thank Hong Quan Yang, Dana Schroeder, and Magnus Holm labs for seeds. We thank Doris Lucyshyn, Robert Sablowski, Jeremy Murray, and Scott Boden for critical reading of the manuscript. We thank all the members of S.V.K.'s lab for helpful discussions.

Received: July 29, 2016 Revised: November 15, 2016 Accepted: December 14, 2016 Published: January 10, 2017

#### REFERENCES

Ang, L.-H., and Deng, X.W. (1994). Regulatory hierarchy of photomorphogenic loci: Allele-specific and light-dependent interaction between the HY5 and COP1 loci. Plant Cell *6*, 613–628.

Battisti, D.S., and Naylor, R.L. (2009). Historical warnings of future food insecurity with unprecedented seasonal heat. Science 323, 240–244.

Chen, D., Xu, G., Tang, W., Jing, Y., Ji, Q., Fei, Z., and Lin, R. (2013). Antagonistic basic helix-loop-helix/bZIP transcription factors form transcriptional modules that integrate light and reactive oxygen species signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell *25*, 1657–1673.

Chory, J. (1992). A genetic model for light-regulated seedling development in *Arabidopsis*. Development *115*, 337–354.

Datta, S., Hettiarachchi, C., Johansson, H., and Holm, M. (2007). SALT TOLERANCE HOMOLOG2, a B-box protein in Arabidopsis that activates transcription and positively regulates light-mediated development. Plant Cell *19*, 3242–3255.

de Lucas, M., Davière, J.-M., Rodríguez-Falcón, M., Pontin, M., Iglesias-Pedraz, J.M., Lorrain, S., Fankhauser, C., Blázquez, M.A., Titarenko, E., and Prat, S. (2008). A molecular framework for light and gibberellin control of cell elongation. Nature *451*, 480–484.

Delker, C., Sonntag, L., James, G.V., Janitza, P., Ibañez, C., Ziermann, H., Peterson, T., Denk, K., Mull, S., Ziegler, J., et al. (2014). The DET1-COP1-HY5 pathway constitutes a multipurpose signaling module regulating plant photomorphogenesis and thermomorphogenesis. Cell Rep. *9*, 1983–1989. Dong, J., Tang, D., Gao, Z., Yu, R., Li, K., He, H., Terzaghi, W., Deng, X.W., and Chen, H. (2014). Arabidopsis DE-ETIOLATED1 represses photomorphogenesis by positively regulating phytochrome-interacting factors in the dark. Plant Cell *26*, 3630–3645.

Fernando, V.C.D., and Schroeder, D.F. (2015). Genetic interactions between DET1 and intermediate genes in Arabidopsis ABA signalling. Plant Sci. *239*, 166–179.

Franklin, K.A., Lee, S.H., Patel, D., Kumar, S.V., Spartz, A.K., Gu, C., Ye, S., Yu, P., Breen, G., Cohen, J.D., et al. (2011). Phytochrome-interacting factor 4 (PIF4) regulates auxin biosynthesis at high temperature. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *108*, 20231–20235.

Holm, M., Hardtke, C.S., Gaudet, R., and Deng, X.W. (2001). Identification of a structural motif that confers specific interaction with the WD40 repeat domain of Arabidopsis COP1. EMBO J. 20, 118–127.

Holm, M., Ma, L.-G., Qu, L.-J., and Deng, X.W. (2002). Two interacting bZIP proteins are direct targets of COP1-mediated control of light-dependent gene expression in Arabidopsis. Genes Dev. *16*, 1247–1259.

Jia, K.-P., Luo, Q., He, S.-B., Lu, X.D., and Yang, H.Q. (2014). Strigolactoneregulated hypocotyl elongation is dependent on cryptochrome and phytochrome signaling pathways in Arabidopsis. Mol. Plant 7, 528–540.

Jiao, Y., Lau, O.S., and Deng, X.W. (2007). Light-regulated transcriptional networks in higher plants. Nat. Rev. Genet. 8, 217–230.

Koini, M.A., Alvey, L., Allen, T., Tilley, C.A., Harberd, N.P., Whitelam, G.C., and Franklin, K.A. (2009). High temperature-mediated adaptations in plant architecture require the bHLH transcription factor PIF4. Curr. Biol. *19*, 408–413.

Koornneef, M., Rolff, E., and Spruit, C.J.P. (1980). Genetic control of light-inhibited hypocotyl elongation in Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. Z. Pflanzenphysiol. *100*, 147–160.

Kumar, S.V., Lucyshyn, D., Jaeger, K.E., Alós, E., Alvey, E., Harberd, N.P., and Wigge, P.A. (2012). Transcription factor PIF4 controls the thermosensory activation of flowering. Nature *484*, 242–245.

Lau, O.S., and Deng, X.W. (2012). The photomorphogenic repressors COP1 and DET1: 20 years later. Trends Plant Sci. *17*, 584–593.

Leivar, P., and Monte, E. (2014). PIFs: Systems integrators in plant development. Plant Cell 26, 56–78.

Leivar, P., and Quail, P.H. (2011). PIFs: Pivotal components in a cellular signaling hub. Trends Plant Sci. *16*, 19–28.

Li, K., Gao, Z., He, H., Terzaghi, W., Fan, L.-M., Deng, X.W., and Chen, H. (2015). Arabidopsis DET1 represses photomorphogenesis in part by negatively regulating DELLA protein abundance in darkness. Mol. Plant *8*, 622–630. Ma, D., Li, X., Guo, Y., Chu, J., Fang, S., Yan, C., Noel, J.P., and Liu, H. (2016). Cryptochrome 1 interacts with PIF4 to regulate high temperature-mediated hypocotyl elongation in response to blue light. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *113*, 224–229.

Nieto, C., López-Salmerón, V., Davière, J.-M., and Prat, S. (2015). ELF3-PIF4 interaction regulates plant growth independently of the Evening Complex. Curr. Biol. *25*, 187–193.

Niwa, Y., Yamashino, T., and Mizuno, T. (2009). The circadian clock regulates the photoperiodic response of hypocotyl elongation through a coincidence mechanism in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell Physiol. *50*, 838–854.

Nozue, K., Covington, M.F., Duek, P.D., Lorrain, S., Fankhauser, C., Harmer, S.L., and Maloof, J.N. (2007). Rhythmic growth explained by coincidence between internal and external cues. Nature *448*, 358–361.

Osterlund, M.T., Hardtke, C.S., Wei, N., and Deng, X.W. (2000). Targeted destabilization of HY5 during light-regulated development of Arabidopsis. Nature *405*, 462–466.

Pepper, A., Delaney, T., Washburn, T., Poole, D., and Chory, J. (1994). DET1, a negative regulator of light-mediated development and gene expression in arabidopsis, encodes a novel nuclear-localized protein. Cell 78, 109–116.

Quint, M., Delker, C., Franklin, K.A., Wigge, P.A., Halliday, K.J., and van Zanten, M. (2016). Molecular and genetic control of plant thermomorphogenesis. Nat Plants *2*, 15190.

Schroeder, D.F., Gahrtz, M., Maxwell, B.B., Cook, R.K., Kan, J.M., Alonso, J.M., Ecker, J.R., and Chory, J. (2002). De-etiolated 1 and damaged DNA binding protein 1 interact to regulate Arabidopsis photomorphogenesis. Curr. Biol. *12*, 1462–1472.

Sun, J., Qi, L., Li, Y., Chu, J., and Li, C. (2012). PIF4-mediated activation of YUCCA8 expression integrates temperature into the auxin pathway in regulating arabidopsis hypocotyl growth. PLoS Genet. 8, e1002594.

Toledo-Ortiz, G., Johansson, H., Lee, K.P., Bou-Torrent, J., Stewart, K., Steel, G., Rodríguez-Concepción, M., and Halliday, K.J. (2014). The HY5-PIF regulatory module coordinates light and temperature control of photosynthetic gene transcription. PLoS Genet. *10*, e1004416.

Xu, X., Paik, I., Zhu, L., and Huq, E. (2015). Illuminating progress in phytochrome-mediated light signaling pathways. Trends Plant Sci. *20*, 641–650. Cell Reports, Volume 18

### **Supplemental Information**

### **DET1 and HY5 Control PIF4-Mediated Thermosensory**

### **Elongation Growth through Distinct Mechanisms**

Sreeramaiah N. Gangappa and S. Vinod Kumar



### Figure S1. Thermosensory hypocotyl growth is photoperiod dependent. Related to Figure 1.

(A) Percent hypocotyl growth response (27 °C to 22 °C) of Col-0, Ws, and Ler-0 ecotypes grown under long-days (LD) and short-days (SD) for seven-days. Data shown is mean±SD (n≥20). \*\*\*P≤0.001 (Student's *t*-test) significantly different from LD in corresponding ecotypes or between indicated pairs as shown. (**B** and **C**) Hypocotyl length measurement data (mean±SD; n≥20) of Col-0, *det1-1*, and *hy5-215* mutants grown in 22 °C and 27 °C SD (B) and LD (C) for seven-days. (**D**-G) Representative seedling pictures of various *hy5* mutant alleles and their corresponding Wild-Types grown at constant 22 °C (D) and 27 °C (E) under short-days for seven-days. (**F** and **G**) Hypocotyl elongation measurement data (F) (mean±SD; n≥20) and % hypocotyl growth (27 °C to 22 °C) (G) of genotypes shown in (D) and (E). #P≤0.0001 (Student's *t*-test) significantly different from corresponding wild-type strains.

In Figure S1B, S1C, and S1F, \*\* $P \le 0.01$ , \*\*\* $P \le 0.001$  (Student's *t*-test) significantly different from Col-0 in corresponding temperature conditions or between indicated pairs.



Figure S2. Control of thermosensory hypocotyl growth by DET1 and HY5. Related to Figure 1.

(A and B) Hypocotyl measurement data (A) (mean±SD; n≥20) and percent hypocotyl growth (B) of various *hy5* alleles grown at constant 22 and 27 °C under LD for seven-days. \*\*\*P≤0.001 (Student's *t*-test) significantly different from corresponding wild-types in corresponding temperature conditions or between indicated pairs. *ns*, not-significantly different from the respective wild-types. (C-D) Representative seedling hypocotyl picture (C), hypocotyl elongation measurement data (D) (mean±SD; n≥20). \*\*\*P≤0.001 (Student's *t*-test) significantly different from Col-0 in corresponding temperature conditions or between indicated pairs. (E) Relative hypocotyl response (%) of the genotypes shown in (C). #P≤0.001 (Student's *t*-test) significantly different from corresponding wild-type strains.



# Figure S3. Thermosensory hypocotyl growth and the expression of elongation-related genes. Related to Figure 1 and Figure 3.

(A and B) Representative seedling hypocotyl picture (A) and measurement data (B) (mean±SD; n≥20) of Col-0, *cop1-4*, and *COP1-OE* lines in 22 °C and 27 °C for seven-days in LD. (C-F) Expression of growth responsive genes *YUC8* (C), *EXP8* (D), and *XTR7* (E); and *PIF4* (F) are downregulated in *cop1-4* mutant, but upregulated in *COP1-OE* compared to Col-0 as measured by qRT-PCR (mean±SD of three biological replicates) in seven-day-old seedlings grown constantly at 22 °C, after 24 h incubation at 27 °C, as well as continuous growth at 27°C in short day conditions. (G) Expression of *PIF4* in Col-0, *hy5-215*, and *det1-1* as measured by qRT-PCR (mean±SD of three biological replicates) in seven-day-old seedlings for 24h in LD conditions. (H) Expression of *PIF4* in *35S:PIF4-HA*, *cop1-4 35S:PIF4-HA*, and *det1-1 35S:PIF4-HA* as measured by qRT-PCR (mean±SD of three biological replicates) in seven-day-old seedlings grown at constant 22 °C and 27 °C adapted seedlings for 24h in LD conditions. (H) Expression of *PIF4* in *35S:PIF4-HA*, *cop1-4 35S:PIF4-HA*, and *det1-1 35S:PIF4-HA* as measured by qRT-PCR (mean±SD of three biological replicates) in seven-day-old seedlings grown at constant 22 °C. SD conditions. In Figure S3B-S3G, \*P≤0.05, \*\*P≤0.01, \*\*\*P≤0.001 (Student's *t*-test) significantly different from Col-0 in corresponding temperature conditions or between indicated pairs.





(A-F) ChIP analysis showing binding of HY5 (A-C) and PIF4 (D-F) to the PIF4 targets such as *YUC8* (A and D), *XTR7* (B and E), *EXP8* (C and F) as measured by by qRT-PCR (mean±SD of two biological replicates) in seven-day-old seedlings grown at constant 22 °C, and 27 °C adapted seedlings for 24 h in short day conditions. Insets in A, B and C show gene diagram along with position of *G*-box (blue line) and/or *E*-box (red line) elements present in the promoters, and position of primer pairs used for Q-PCR. \*P $\leq$ 0.05, \*\*P $\leq$ 0.01, \*\*\*P $\leq$ 0.001 (Student's *t*-test) significantly different from wild-type (Control) in corresponding temperature conditions or between indicated pairs. Marked area with the blue dotted line indicate the regions that are shown in the Figure 4A-4C and 4E-4G, respectively for *HY5-HA* and *PIF4-FLAG*.

Name	Oligo	Oligo Sequence (5'3')	Purpose
	No.		
Primers used for gene expression analysis			
EXP8-F	633	CTCTTTCCGAAGAGTACCATGT	Q-PCR
EXP8-R	634	GTGTACGTCTCCTGCTCCTC	Q-PCR
YUC8-F	615	CGATGAGACCAGTGGCTTGT	Q-PCR
YUC8-R	616		Q-PCR
PIF4-F	766		Q-PCR
PIF4-K	/0/		Q-PCR
AIHB2-F ATUB2 D	669		Q-PCR
AIND2-K VTD7 E	1127		Q-PCR
XTR7_R	1127	TCGGTTGCCACTTGCAATT	O-PCR
Primers used for ChIP analysis			
<i>YUC8-F</i> (-499 bp)	1294	GGGAATGGGTTTGATGTGGA	Q-PCR
<i>YUC8-R</i> (-499 bp)	1295	GGGTGATTCTTTGTGGGACC	Q-PCR
<i>YUC8-F</i> (-49 bp)	1296	TCGTCGAGTGGAAAAATATTCA	Q-PCR
<i>YUC8-R</i> (-49 bp)	1297	TGGAAATGGTTTTGAATTTGG	Q-PCR
<i>YUC8-F</i> (+159 bp)	1298	GGAGAATATGTTTCGTTTGATGG	Q-PCR
<i>YUC8-R</i> (+159 bp)	1299	CTAACCCCGACGGTCCAG	Q-PCR
<i>YUC8-F</i> (+783 bp)	1300	AGTTTCTCTTGACCTAGCAAACC	Q-PCR
<i>YUC8-R</i> (+783 bp)	1301	AACATCTTCATTGCAAGCTCAA	Q-PCR
<i>EXP8-F</i> (-611 bp)	1286	AAACCTTCAACAAAAATGTGAGG	Q-PCR
<i>EXP8-R</i> (-611 bp)	1287	ACATGCAAATGTTGCGGTTA	Q-PCR
<i>EXP8-F</i> (-611 bp)	1288	GGCACAATTATTCACTCGAGTAC	Q-PCR
<i>EXP8-R</i> (-611 bp)	1289	AGGAGGGGTTAGTTATTTCGGT	Q-PCR
<i>EXP8-F</i> (-611 bp)	1290	GGGTACGTATGACCAATCCCA	Q-PCR
<i>EXP8-R</i> (-611 bp)	1291	GTGTTCGTCCCGTAACCTTG	Q-PCR
<i>XTR7-R</i> (-1878 bp)	1336	GGATTTCATTGTATTTGCATGCC	Q-PCR
<i>XTR7-R</i> (-1878 bp)	1337	TAGTGCTACATCCGACGTGT	Q-PCR
<i>XTR7-R</i> (-977 bp)	1338	TGGTTTCAATAGACGATCCTTGT	Q-PCR
<i>XTR7-R</i> (-977 bp)	1339	CGTCGACCACACCATTCTCT	Q-PCR
<i>XTR7-R</i> (-99 bp)	1340	GGAATTTTAGAGATTTTCTCTCATCTG	Q-PCR
<i>XTR7-R</i> (-99 bp)	1341	GCATTGGGAAGCTAAGACCA	Q-PCR
<i>XTR7-R</i> (+70 bp)	1342	ACACCAAAACACAAAGCTCTCA	Q-PCR
<i>XTR7-R</i> (+70bp)	1343	CAAGAAGAACAGTCGCCACG	Q-PCR

Table S1. Oligo nucleotide sequences used in the study. Related to Figure1,Figure3 and Figure4