Appendix 1. Methodological quality assessed based on the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale available from: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/nosgen.pdf [cited 2016 Oct 2] (Posting of the scale was permitted by Professor GA Wells, Department of Epidemiology and Community Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada).

NEWCASTLE-OTTAWA QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCALE COHORT STUDIES

Note: A study can be awarded a maximum of one star (*) for each numbered item within the 'Selection' and 'Outcome' categories. A maximum of two stars can be given for 'Comparability'

1. Selection
1) Representativeness of the exposed cohort
a) Truly representative of the average (describe) in the community*
b) Somewhat representative of the average in the community*
c) Selected group of users (eg., nurses, volunteers)
d) No description of the derivation of the cohort
2) Selection of the non-exposed cohort
a) Drawn from the same community as the exposed cohort*
b) Drawn from a different source
c) No description of the derivation of the non-exposed cohort
3) Ascertainment of exposure
a) Secure record (eg., surgical records)*
b) Structured interview*
c) Written self-report
d) No description
4) Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study
a) Yes*
b) No
2. Comparability
1) Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis
a) Study controls for (select the most important factor)*
b) Study controls for any additional factor* (This criteria could be modified to indicate specific control for a second important factor.)
b) study controls for any additional factor. (This criteria could be infounded to indicate specific control of a second important factor.)
3. Outcome
1) Assessment of outcome
a) Independent blind assessment*
b) Record linkage*
c) Self report
d) No description
2) Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur
a) Yes (select an adequate follow-up period for outcome of interest)*
b) No
3) Adequacy of follow-up of cohorts
a) Complete follow-up—all subjects accounted for*
b) Subjects lost to follow-up unlikely to introduce bias - small number lost - > % (select an adequate %) follow-up, or description provided of those lost
c) Follow-up rate <% (select an adequate %) and no description of those lost
d) No statement