APPENDIX

- **Appendix Figure legends**
- **Appendix Figure S1. Loss of SMC or HU function does not affect cell morphology or growth in** *C. crescentus*.
- **A.** Strains harboring in-frame deletions of Δ*smc*::km (strain ML2118) or a double deletion of
- *Δhup1*::sp and *Δhup2*::oxy that encode HU subunits (strain ML2120) were grown to exponential
- phase in M2G minimal medium prior to staining with DAPI to visualize the chromosome. Yellow
- lines show the cell contours detected with Oufti from the corresponding phase-contrast image.
- 35 Scale = $2 \mu m$
- **B.** Cell length distributions of the Δ*hup1*Δ*hup2* and Δ*smc* deletion strains when grown under the
- indicated conditions. For each condition, at least 1275 cells were analyzed.
- 38 **C.** Doubling times based on OD_{660nm} measurements by a microplate reader for strains WT
- (CB15N), Δ*gapR::oxy gapR-Venus* (CJW5777), Δ*smc* and Δ*hup1*Δ*hup2.*
- **D**. Phase-contrast images showing that WT cells and cells expressing GapR-Venus at *xylX*
- 41 locus as the only copy (CJW5777) have the same morphology. Scale $= 2 \mu m$.
-

Appendix Figure S2. GapR binds DNA in *E. coli*

- **A.** Colocalization of the nucleoid and *C. crescentus* GapR in an *E. coli* cell expressing GapR-
- sfGFP (strain CJW5794). Expression of GapR-sfGFP was induced with 0.02% arabinose for 2 h
- in M9Glycerol medium, followed by DAPI staining. Scale = 1 µm.
- **B**. Fluorescence intensity profiles of GapR-sfGFP and DAPI signals along the length of the cell shown in panel A.
- **C.** SDS-PAGE analysis of purified 6His-GapR protein (~10 µg) stained with Coomassie.
-

Appendix Figure S3. Deletion of *CCNA_03907* **is not associated with morphological or**

growth defects in *C. crescentus*.

A. Chromosomal location of *CCNA_03907*.

B. Phase-contrast images showing the morphology of cells deleted for CCNA_03907

- (CJW5816), the gene directly downstream of *gapR*. For imaging, strain CJW5816 harboring an
- in-frame deletion of *CCNA_03907*::Ω was grown to exponential phase in M2G minimal medium
- 57 or PYE rich medium at 25 or 30° C. Scale = 2 μ m.
- **C.** Cell length distributions of *CCNA_03907*::Ω deletion strain when grown under indicated conditions. For each condition, at least 1187 cells were analyzed.
- **D.** Doubling times based on OD660nm measurements by a microplate reader. The growth conditions correspond to those in panel B.

Appendix Figure S4. Distribution of cells with and without DnaN-mCherry foci in *C.*

- *crescentus***.**
- **A.** Histogram of cell length for CJW5800 cells exhibiting 0, 1 or 2 DnaN-mCherry foci in strain
- CJW5800 (n = 8358 cells). The integral of each curve is 1. See Computer Code EV1A
- information for details of foci detection algorithm.
-

B. Same as A but relative to the fraction of the population (number of cells with 0 spot = 2103, 1 spot = , 2 spots = 1476 and >2 spots = 96).

Appendix Figure S5. Correlated dynamics of GapR and DnaN localization during the cell

- **cycle.**
- Kymographs showing the localization of GapR-Venus and DnaN-CFP over time in 5 different
- cells (strain CJW5932) following synchrony. Cellular coordinates were oriented using the DnaN-
- CFP bright focus as an old-pole marker.
-
- **Appendix FigureS6. HU2-mCherry and DnaN dynamics are independent during the cell cycle.**
- Kymographs showing the localization of HU2-mCherry and DnaN-CFP over time in 5 different
- cells (strain CJW5963) following synchrony. Cellular coordinates were oriented using the DnaN-
- CFP bright focus as an old-pole marker.
-

Appendix Figure S7. Cell cycle dynamics of GapR localization are not caused by changes

in CcrM-dependent methylation

 A. Demograph showing the cell cycle localization of GapR-Venus in an asynchronous population (n = 2700 cells) of a strain (CJW5825) constitutively producing CcrM. The fluorescence profile across cell was normalized by cell length for each cell. Cells were sorted by increasing cell length, and cell coordinates were oriented using TipN-CFP as a new-pole marker.

 B. Kymographs showing the spatial distribution of Red-Venus and DnaN-CFP over time in 4 different CJW5775 cells constitutively producing CcrM. Time-lapse microscopy started right after synchrony. Cell coordinates were oriented using DnaN-CFP bright focus as an old-pole marker.

-
-
-

 Appendix Figure S8. GapR forms long-lived complexes with DNA that are disrupted by replisome progression

- Kymographs of 3 different FtsZ-depleted cells (CJW5808) expressing GapR-Venus and DnaN-
- CFP. FtsZ depletion was initiated after synchronization by allowing cells to resume cell cycle
- progression without xylose (*ftsZ* expression inducer).
-

Appendix Figure S9. Simulations showing the effect of spontaneous dissociation vs. no dissociation from DNA on the localization profile of a DNA-binding protein

- **A.** Distribution of a fluorescently-labeled DNA-binding protein in a simulation of the replisome- dependent model with spontaneous protein dissociation from the DNA. The simulation started with a random distribution and considered a spontaneous dissociation from the DNA with a 106 characteristic time of $\tau = 1$ min. Protein re-association with the DNA occurred with a uniform probability along the DNA, thereby homogenizing protein distribution over time, as shown in a kymograph.
- **B.** Same random distribution at t = 0 and simulated as in A, except for no spontaneous dissociation. Because of the lack of dissociation from the DNA, the initial stochastic distribution of the DNA-binding protein is maintained over time. As a result, any stochastic accumulations 112 present at $t = 0$ is retained, producing horizontal streaks of fluorescent signal in kymographs.
-

Appendix Figure S10. The asymmetric distribution of GapR over the chromosome is maintained over replication cycles in the replisome-eviction model.

- Simulated profile of GapR in G1 phase for each cycle of DNA replication. Simulations start with a uniform distribution of GapR along the chromosome (Replication cycle 1). After 9 replication 118 cycles, the distribution of GapR in the following G1 phase $(10th$ convolved) was convolved with a point spread function corresponding to our optical set-up.
-

Appendix Figure S11. Comparison between the GapR ChIP-seq coverage and the replisome-eviction model over the chromosome in an asynchronous population

 The chromosomal profile of the GapR ChIP-seq data from an asynchronous experiment was overlayed with the model prediction (black line = simulated profile). For the ChIP data, the coverage reads were normalized to the total area of the distribution. Calculation of the simulated profile was based on the cell age distribution and the fraction of cells ongoing DNA replication (S phase) in an asynchronous population (n = 8358 cells, CJW5800 cells) expressing DnaN-CFP. For details, see Appendix Supplementary Methods section.

Appendix Figure S12. Spontaneous dissociation of GapR from the DNA with a 130 **characteristic time of** τ_{off} **= 100 min does not affect the binding asymmetry of GapR**

 We generated the same simulations, plots and kymographs as in Fig 8, except that here we used a modified replisome-dependent model in which GapR spontaneously dissociates from the

- 133 DNA with a characteristic time τ_{off} = 100 min.
- **A.** One-dimensional simulation of the replisome-eviction model showing the evolution of GapR distribution on replicated and unreplicated DNA during replisome progression. In the model, GapR is synthesized throughout the cell cycle such that its amount (1,000 molecules) has 137 doubled by the end of the cell cycle. At $t = 0$ (in cell cycle unit), GapR binding along the 138 chromosome is uniform. We assume that replication starts at $t = 0.3$ and ends at $t = 0.9$. The replisome moves at a constant speed from *ori* to *ter*, and leaves behind 2 copies of the replicated DNA region (sister chromatids). When the replisome encounters GapR, the replisome displaces GapR from the DNA. In addition, GapR spontaneously dissociates from the DNA with 142 a characteristic time τ_{off} = 100 min. The displaced and dissociated GapR is then randomly redistributed, with uniform probability over the two replicated regions and the unreplicated region.
- 145 **B.** Same as in A, but starting with the GapR distribution at $t = 1$ in panel A to show the effect of a second round of replisome progression on GapR distribution on replicated and unreplicated DNA.
- **C.** Kymograph of simulated GapR distribution over cell cycle time in wild-type cells. The green
- 149 dashed line indicates replisome progression. GapR distribution between $t = 0$ and $t = 0.2$ is the 150 same as between $t = 0.2$ and $t = 0.3$.
- **D.** Same as C but after convolution of the GapR signal with an idealized Gaussian PSF with a
- standard deviation of our optical set-up (0.065 in relative cell-length units).

D

PYE 30°C ∆*gapR gapR-venus*

Figure S6

A

FtsZ-depleted cell 1

A

Figure S9

Figure S10

Figure S12

- **Appendix Supplementary Methods**
-

Media composition

- LB medium: 10 g/L NaCl, 5 g/L yeast extract, 10 g/L tryptone
- 5 M9 supplemented medium: 6 g/L Na₂HPO₄·7H₂O, 3 g/L KH₂PO₄, 0.5 g/L NaCl, 1 g/L NH₄Cl, 2
- 6 mM MgSO₄, 1 µg/L thiamine supplemented with 0.1% casamino acids and 0.2% glucose
- 7 PYE medium: g/L bacto-peptone, 1 g/L yeast extract, 1 mM MgSO₄, 0.5 mM CaCl₂
- 8 M2G minimal medium: 0.87 g/L Na₂HPO₄, 0.54 g/L KH₂PO₄, 0.50 g/L NH₄Cl, 0.2% (w/v)
- 9 glucose, 0.5 mM MgSO₄, 0.5 mM CaCl₂, 0.01 mM FeSO₄
-

Buffers composition

- 12 EMSA buffer: 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 50 mM NaCl,
- 4% glycerol and 0.5 mg/mL poly(dI-dC)•poly(dI-dC)
- 14 TBE buffer: 10.7878 g/L Tris base, 5.55 g/L boric acid, 744 g/L disodium EDTA•2H₂O pH 8.3
- Blocking buffer: TBS with 5% Non-fat milk and 0.05% Tween 20
-

Immunoblotting

18 Cultures of *C. crescentus* were grown up to $OD_{660nm} \approx 0.4$ and synchronized as reported previously (Evinger and Agabian, 1977), and isolated swarmer cells were resuspended in pre-20 warmed M2G to an approximate $OD_{660nm} \approx 0.2$. At 15 min intervals, OD_{660nm} measurements were recorded, and 1 mL samples were pelleted and frozen at -80°C until future use. For preparation of cell lysates, pellets were resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl, 2% SDS, and 23 normalized by recorded OD_{660nm} measurements. Lysates were separated on an SDS polyacrylamide gel and proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was first incubated in Blocking buffer for 1 h (same buffer was used in following steps, unless stated otherwise) and probed with a 1:10,000 dilution of anti-CtrA (Quon et al., 1996) for another hour, and a 1:10,000 dilution of secondary anti-rabbit HRP (BioRad). The membrane was then stripped using 100 mM ß-mercaptoethanol, 2% SDS, 62.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.7, and washed. Next, the membrane was probed with a 1:2,000 dilution of anti-MreB (Figge et al., 2004), and a 1:10,000 dilution of secondary anti-rabbit HRP (BioRad). The membrane was again stripped and probed for GapR-Venus using a 1:1,000 dilution of anti-GFP JL-8 Living Colors® Av Monoclonal Antibody (Clontech Laboratories, Mountain View, CA), and a 1:10,000 dilution of secondary anti-mouse HRP (BioRad). At each step after incubation with a secondary HRP antibody, the membrane was incubated with Amersham ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection

 Reagent (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA) and signals were detected on a Kodak film (Carestream Health) or on an Amersham Imager 600 (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences).

Protein purification

 The recombinant plasmid for expression of His-tagged GapR was transformed into the *E. coli* strain BL21 (DE3), resulting in strain CJW5785. Cultures from a fresh transformation were grown in 0.5 L of M9 supplemented with 0.2% glycerol and 100 μg/mL kanamycin at 37°C until 42 the culture reached an $OD_{660nm} \approx 0.4$ and then synthesis of His-GapR was induced with 0.5 mM IPTG at 25°C for 3 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation (6,500 x *g*) and pellets were washed twice with 20 mM MOPS pH 7.2 buffer and frozen at -80°C. Pellets were resuspended in Buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl, 400 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol pH 7.6) supplemented with 60 U DNAse I (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham MA) and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Cell wall was partially degraded using 7.5 kU Ready-Lyse Lysozyme (Epicenter Biotechnologies, Madison WI) and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. One tablet of Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche, Basel Switzerland) was added and the mixture was further incubated at 37°C for 10 min. Cell disruption and DNA cleavage were achieved by sonication 51 using a Digital Sonifier® S-250D with (Branson Sonic Power Co, Danbury CT) with the 1/8" microtip: output 45%, 11-13 cycles 20" ON/40" OFF on ice. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 100,000 x g for 1 h 30 min at 4°C. Further steps were performed using an ÄKTA™-FPLC system (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) equipped with a Monitor UPC-900 with fixed absorbance at 280 nm and using the manufacturer's chromatographic filtration devices. The cleared supernatant was injected into a 1 mL His-Trap HP™ Ni-sepharose column, previously equilibrated in Buffer A. A step-wise elution of the protein was performed with Buffer B (20mM Tris-HCl, 400 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 500 mM imidazole pH 7.6). After evaluation with Coomassie Blue staining on polyacrylamide gels, the His-GapR fractions with the highest 60 purity were pooled and the NaCl concentration was reduced to $~10$ mM by dialysis against Buffer Aq (20 mM Tris-HCl, 10% glycerol pH 8.0) at 4°C for at least 4 h using a Slide-A-Lyzer™ 62 cassette with MWCO = 10 kDa (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Higher purity was achieved by further purification using an anion-exchange MonoQ™ 5/50 GL chromatography column in a linear gradient from 50 mM to 1M NaCl generated by mixing Buffer Aq and Buffer Bq (20mM Tris-HCl, 10% glycerol, 1M NaCl pH 8.0). Protein purity was again evaluated by Coomassie Blue staining and DNA contamination was verified by ratio absorbance at 260/280nm in a Nanodrop device (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and ethidium bromide staining in an agarose gel. Fractions with no DNA and with highest purity (~98%) were pooled, concentrated using an Amicon Ultra-14

 MWCO = 10 kDa (EMD Millipore, Darmstadt Germany) and dialyzed 1:1,000 overnight against Buffer Aq. Protein concentration was determined at this step with Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Removal of the polyHis-tag was achieved by digestion with Turbo TEV protease (Eton Bioscience Inc., San Diego CA) overnight at 16°C according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The cleavage efficiency was approximately 90–95%. The sample was dialyzed against buffer Bq overnight at 4°C, and stored in small aliquots at −80°C 75 or kept at 4°C for immediate use.

Library preparation, sequencing and analysis for RNA-seq experiments

 External RNA standard controls were performed by adding 1 µl of a 1:100 dilution of ERCC ExFold RNA Spike-In Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) per 1 µg of extracted RNA prior removal of rRNA. Library preparation and removals of rRNA were both achieved using the ScriptSeq Complete Kit Bacteria (Illumina®, San Diego CA) following the manufacturer's protocols. Libraries were sequenced using HiSeq2500 (1x50bp) to generate more than 10M reads per sample. The sequence data from each sample was aligned to the *C. crescentus* NA1000 reference genome CP001340.1 using STAR (Dobin et al., 2013). Gene quantification and differential gene expression analysis was performed using featureCounts (Liao et al., 2014) and DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014), respectively.

Library preparation and sequencing for Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP-seq) experiments

 Library preparation and sequencing was performed by the Yale Center for Genome Analysis as follows: ~10 ng of immunoprecipitated DNA was evaluated with a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara CA) using a high sensitivity Quant-iT™ DNA Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). ChIP-DNA was further purified using Ampure XP SPRI beads (Beckman Coulter Genomics). The DNA was then end-repaired, A-tailed, adapter ligated, and enriched with 10 PCR cycles. Indexed libraries that met the appropriate cut-offs were quantified by quantitative real-time PCR and insert size distribution was determined with the LabChip® GX 97 (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA). Samples with a yield ≥0.5 ng/ μ l and ~300bp were used for sequencing. Subsequently, sample concentrations were normalized to 10 nM and loaded into Illumina Rapid or High-output flow cells (Illumina®, San Diego CA) at a concentration that yielded 150-250 million passing filter clusters per lane. Samples were sequenced using 75 bp single or paired-end sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 according to Illumina protocols. The 6 bp index was read during an additional sequencing read that automatically followed the

 completion of read 1. A positive control (prepared bacteriophage Phi X library) provided by Illumina was spiked into every lane at a concentration of 0.3% to monitor sequencing quality in real time.

ChIP-seq data analysis

 Reads obtained from sequencing were trimmed using the FastX Trimmer of the FastX-toolkit software package, and aligned to the *C. crescentus* NA1000 reference genome CP001340.1 using the BWA-MEM software (Li and Durbin, 2009). Statistically significant enriched genomic regions were determined with MACS2 (Zhang et al., 2008) with pairwise alignment files of total DNA before ChIP as "control" and immunoprecipitated GapR-Venus DNA as "treatment" for each sample. Peaks were detected with flags --extsize 147, --nomodel, and –gsize 4e6. We further used the genomeCoverageBed function from the bedtools suite (Quinlan and Hall, 2010) to determine the per-base coverage from the alignment files used for peak detection. The raw coverage numbers were normalized to number of millions of mapped reads. Downstream analysis was done using MATLAB software. Sequencing results are available under Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) accession number, GSE85344. Circular schemes for normalized coverage reads were generated with R ("The Comprehensive R Archive Network," CRAN.), CIRCOS (Krzywinski et al., 2009) or MATLAB software with 10 kb sliding average window.

Motif discovery with MEME

 One hundred nucleotide-long sequences centered on the ChIP-Seq peaks detected by MACS2 were fed into MEME (Machanick and Bailey, 2011). MEME was run locally via the Tmod Toolbox (Sun et al., 2010) with the following parameters: 1 moti to find, motif width set to 15, background Markov model of length 2 based on *C. crescentus* NA1000 genome sequence and allowing sites on both DNA strands (-revcomp set to TRUE). AT-rich motifs were found regardless of the value of the motif length parameter (value range tested: 10 to 30). We also 129 tested the robustness of the ET-rich motif discovery by changing the length of the Markov model up to 4 and by thresholding the input sequences using the peak quality score returned by MACS2. In both cases, increasing the stringency (long Markov model and stringent q-value threshold) led to a similar motif.

Whole genome sequencing

135 Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted using a ChargeSwitch Kit[®] (Thermo Fisher Scientific) 136 following the manufacturer's protocol from exponential ($OD_{660nm} < 0.275$) cultures of wild-type

137 (NA1000) or a $\triangle qapR::oxy$ strain (CJW5747) grown in M2G or PYE media at 25°C. After elution, gDNA quality and concentration was assessed by measurement of the A260/A280 and A260/A230 ratios with a Nanodrop device (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and ethidium bromide staining in agarose gel. gDNA integrity and size was evaluated by running an Agilent Bioanalyzer gel prior to library preparation. Library preparation and sequencing was performed by the Yale Center for Genome Analysis as follows: ~500ng of gDNA with size 10-20 Kb was 143 sheared using a Covaris E210 (Covaris[®], Woburn, MA) and further purified using Ampure XP SPRI beads (Beckman Coulter Genomics). The DNA was then end-repaired, A-tailed, adapter ligated and enriched with 5 PCR cycles. Indexed libraries were that met appropriate cut-offs were quantified by quantitative real-time PCR and insert size distribution was determined with the LabChip® GX (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA). Samples with a yield of ≥0.5 ng/µl were used for sequencing. Subsequently, sample concentrations were normalized to 2 nM and loaded into High-output flow cells (Illumina®, San Diego CA) at a concentration that yields ~200 million passing filter clusters per lane. Samples were sequenced using 75 bp paired-end sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 according to Illumina protocols. The 6 bp index was read during an additional sequencing read that automatically followed the completion of read 1. A positive control (prepared bacteriophage Phi X library) provided by Illumina was spiked into every lane at a concentration of 0.3% to monitor sequencing quality in real time. Reads obtained from sequencing were trimmed and aligned to the *C. crescentus* NA1000 reference genome CP001340.1 using the Bowtie software (Li and Durbin, 2009). Downstream analysis was done with MATLAB software. Whole Genome sequencing results are available under Sequence Read Archive (SRA) with the ID: SRP081124.

Calculation of the organization factor

 The organization factor measures how much entropy a probability distribution can gain before reaching its theoretical maximum entropy, which is given by the entropy of its corresponding uniform distribution. Signals went through several pre-processing steps before organization factor calculation. Oufti cellList signals of DnaN-CFP or DnaN-mCherry were oriented and computationally synchronized at the single-cell level according to DnaN dynamics (to reduce cell-to-cell variability). Orientation was performed by identifying the cell pole closest to the 167 initially formed replisome. Single-cell time series were aligned in time with the time-shift τ that maximized the cross-correlation

$$
\left\langle (X_t - \langle X \rangle)(Y_{t+\tau} - \langle Y \rangle) \right\rangle_{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}
$$

169 over all times t , where X is a time series of DnaN signals selected to be a seed for alignment, 170 and Y is the DnaN time series to be aligned, X_t is a single-time column from X , $\langle X \rangle$ is the mean 171 value of X, and σ_X is the standard deviation of X. The organization factor of a computationally synchronized signal was calculated after additional normalization: polar regions were excluded, the signal was normalized by the area of the mesh segment that it was measured under to 174 obtain signal concentration, C , along the cell length, and C was normalized by setting its integral to 1.

The organization factor of a single signal was then calculated as

$$
-\log_2 1/\ell + \sum C \log_2 C
$$

179 where ℓ is the length of ℓ .

The related code is available in ComputerCodeEV1C

Phylogenetic tree construction

 NCBI-retrieved sequences of GapR protein homologs were selected based on representative α- proteobacteria 16S rDNA phylogeny trees (Georgiades et al., 2011; Williams et al., 2007) and complemented with protein sequences of homologs found in other bacterial phyla, α- proteobacteria-related phages, archea and eukarya. Protein sequence alignment was done with MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) using default parameters. The phylogenetic tree of DUF2312 was made using Genious version 8.1.5 (Kearse et al., 2012) with the Jukes-Cantor genetic distance method and CCNA_03907 (a protein with the highest structural similarity to GapR homologs but not part of the DUF2312 family) as the outgroup. Virus labels include both the viral names and the host species.

-
-

194 **Table S1. Strains and plasmids used in this study**

195 ^Wector resistance: Ap^r, Ampicillin, Cm^r, Chloramphenicol, Gn^r, Gentamicin, Km^r, Kanamycin resistance, 196 Oxy^r, oxytetracycline, Sp^r, Spectinomycin.

197

198

199 **Table S2. Construction of strains and plasmids used in this study**

201

202

203

204

205 **Table S3. List of oligonucleotides used in this study**

206

207 **Appendix References**

208 Aaron, M., Charbon, G., Lam, H., Schwarz, H., Vollmer, W., and Jacobs-Wagner, C. (2007). 209 The tubulin homologue FtsZ contributes to cell elongation by guiding cell wall precursor 210 synthesis in Caulobacter crescentus. Mol Microbiol *64*, 938-952.

211 Blattner, F.R., Plunkett, G., 3rd, Bloch, C.A., Perna, N.T., Burland, V., Riley, M., Collado-Vides,

- 212 J., Glasner, J.D., Rode, C.K., Mayhew, G.F.*, et al.* (1997). The complete genome sequence of 213 Escherichia coli K-12. Science *277*, 1453-1462.
-
- 214 Deatherage, D.E., and Barrick, J.E. (2014). Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved 215 microbes from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. Methods Mol Biol *1151*, 165-188.
- 216 Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, 217 M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics *29*, 218 15-21.
- 219 Edgar, R.C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high 220 throughput. Nucleic Acids Res *32*, 1792-1797.
- 221 Evinger, M., and Agabian, N. (1977). Envelope-associated nucleoid from Caulobacter 222 crescentus stalked and swarmer cells. Journal of bacteriology *132*, 294-301.
- Figge, R.M., Divakaruni, A.V., and Gober, J.W. (2004). MreB, the cell shape-determining bacterial actin homologue, co-ordinates cell wall morphogenesis in Caulobacter crescentus. Mol Microbiol *51*, 1321-1332.
- Gay, P., Le Coq, D., Steinmetz, M., Berkelman, T., and Kado, C.I. (1985). Positive selection procedure for entrapment of insertion sequence elements in gram-negative bacteria. Journal of bacteriology *164*, 918-921.
- Georgiades, K., Madoui, M.A., Le, P., Robert, C., and Raoult, D. (2011). Phylogenomic analysis of Odyssella thessalonicensis fortifies the common origin of Rickettsiales, Pelagibacter ubique and Reclimonas americana mitochondrion. PloS one *6*, e24857.
- Gibson, D.G., Young, L., Chuang, R.Y., Venter, J.C., Hutchison, C.A., 3rd, and Smith, H.O. (2009). Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat Methods *6*, 343-345.
- Goley, E.D., Yeh, Y.C., Hong, S.H., Fero, M.J., Abeliuk, E., McAdams, H.H., and Shapiro, L. (2011). Assembly of the Caulobacter cell division machine. Mol Microbiol *80*, 1680-1698.
- Guzman, L.M., Belin, D., Carson, M.J., and Beckwith, J. (1995). Tight regulation, modulation, and high-level expression by vectors containing the arabinose PBAD promoter. Journal of bacteriology *177*, 4121-4130.
- Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S., Cooper, A., Markowitz, S., Duran, C.*, et al.* (2012). Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics *28*, 1647-1649.
- Krzywinski, M., Schein, J., Birol, I., Connors, J., Gascoyne, R., Horsman, D., Jones, S.J., and Marra, M.A. (2009). Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Res *19*, 1639-1645.
- Lam, H., Schofield, W.B., and Jacobs-Wagner, C. (2006). A landmark protein essential for establishing and perpetuating the polarity of a bacterial cell. Cell *124*, 1011-1023.
- Le, T.B., Imakaev, M.V., Mirny, L.A., and Laub, M.T. (2013). High-resolution mapping of the spatial organization of a bacterial chromosome. Science *342*, 731-734.
- Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics *25*, 1754-1760.
- Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K., and Shi, W. (2014). featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics *30*, 923-930.
- Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol *15*, 550.
- Machanick, P., and Bailey, T.L. (2011). MEME-ChIP: motif analysis of large DNA datasets. Bioinformatics *27*, 1696-1697.
- Modell, J.W., Kambara, T.K., Perchuk, B.S., and Laub, M.T. (2014). A DNA damage-induced,
- SOS-independent checkpoint regulates cell division in Caulobacter crescentus. PLoS Biol *12*, e1001977.
- Quinlan, A.R., and Hall, I.M. (2010). The Bedtools manual.

 Quon, K.C., Marczynski, G.T., and Shapiro, L. (1996). Cell cycle control by an essential bacterial two-component signal transduction protein. Cell *84*, 83-93.

 Simon R, Priefer U, and A, P. (1984). A broad host range mobilization system for invivo genetic-engineering - transposon mutagenesis in gram-negative bacteria. Bio-Technol *1*, 784-791.

 Sun, H., Yuan, Y., Wu, Y., Liu, H., Liu, J.S., and Xie, H. (2010). Tmod: toolbox of motif discovery. Bioinformatics *26*, 405-407.

 Thanbichler, M., Iniesta, A.A., and Shapiro, L. (2007). A comprehensive set of plasmids for vanillate- and xylose-inducible gene expression in Caulobacter crescentus. Nucleic Acids Res *35*, e137.

 Wang, Y., Jones, B.D., and Brun, Y.V. (2001). A set of ftsZ mutants blocked at different stages of cell division in Caulobacter. Mol Microbiol *40*, 347-360.

 Ward, D., and Newton, A. (1997). Requirement of topoisomerase IV parC and parE genes for cell cycle progression and developmental regulation in Caulobacter crescentus. Mol Microbiol *26*, 897-910.

 Williams, K.P., Sobral, B.W., and Dickerman, A.W. (2007). A robust species tree for the alphaproteobacteria. Journal of bacteriology *189*, 4578-4586.

 Wood, W.B. (1966). Host specificity of DNA produced by Escherichia coli: bacterial mutations affecting the restriction and modification of DNA. J Mol Biol *16*, 118-133.

 Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Meyer, C.A., Eeckhoute, J., Johnson, D.S., Bernstein, B.E., Nusbaum, C., Myers, R.M., Brown, M., Li, W.*, et al.* (2008). Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol *9*, R137.

 Zweiger, G., Marczynski, G., and Shapiro, L. (1994). A Caulobacter DNA methyltransferase that functions only in the predivisional cell. J Mol Biol *235*, 472-485.