

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Figure 1. Comparison of plasmonic super-lattice and effective medium theory as a function of sublayer thickness, total number of layers, and donor separation distance from top interface.

Supplementary Table 1: Comparison of Super-Coulombic interaction with established techniques for controlling dipole-dipole interactions.

Supplementary Note 1. Plasmonic Super-Lattice analysis

In this note, we provide numerical simulations comparing a practical plasmonic super-lattice structure with the corresponding effective medium theory. We consider the effect of sublayer thickness and total number of layers in the super-lattice metamaterial structure.

In supplementary Fig. 1, we plot the energy transfer enhancement for two dipoles on either side of a slab with a fixed slab thickness of 100 nm. By controlling the total number of layers of the super-lattice, we show the net effect of sublayer thickness and unit-cell size. We also vary the separation distance z_A of atom A from the top interface. Atom B is assumed to be adsorbed to the bottom interface. Note that the super-lattice structure has a better match with EMT as the unitcell size decreases and the total number of layers increases. This is a result of the wave-vector cut-off that is imposed by the finite unit-cell size.

Supplementary Note 2. Dyadic Green function for hyperbolic meta-surface

Here, we provide details for the calculation of resonant dipole-dipole interactions above a hyperbolic meta-surface. The hyperbolic meta-surface is modeled as uniaxial medium half-space with an optic axis c parallel to the interface, $\hat{c} = \hat{x}$. The dyadic Green function can be decomposed in terms of a bulk and scattered term in the upper half-space $(z > 0)$ and a scatteredonly term in the lower half-space $(z < 0)$

$$
\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) = \mathbf{G}_o(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) + \mathbf{G}^r(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) \quad \text{for } z, z' > 0 \tag{1}
$$

$$
\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) = \mathbf{G}^t(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) \qquad \text{for } z < 0, z' > 0 \qquad (2)
$$

The source medium is assumed to be vacuum ($\varepsilon_1 = 1$), while the uniaxial half-space has permittivity $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \text{diag}[\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_z, \varepsilon_z]$. Applying a plane-wave expansion, the reflected and transmitted dyadic Green functions for two z-oriented dipoles take the form

$$
G_{zz}^r(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{i}{8\pi^2 k_1^2} \int dk_\rho d\phi \; \frac{k_\rho^3}{k_{z1}} r_{pp}(k_\rho, \phi) \, e^{ik_\rho \rho \cos(\phi - \theta) + ik_{z1}(z+d)} \tag{3}
$$

$$
G_{zz}^t(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{i}{8\pi^2 k_1 k_2} \int dk_\rho d\phi \, \frac{k_\rho^3}{k_{z1}} \left[t_{op}(k_\rho, \phi) k_2 \sin \phi e^{-ik_{zo}z} + t_{ep}(k_\rho, \phi) k_{ze} \cos \phi e^{-ik_{ze}z} \right] e^{ik_\rho \rho \cos(\phi - \theta) + ik_{z1}d} \tag{4}
$$

where $k_1 = \sqrt{\varepsilon_1} \omega/c$, $k_2 = \sqrt{\varepsilon_2} \omega/c$ and d is the distance away from the interface of the donor dipole. Note that we have used the cylindrical coordinates

$$
k_x = k_\rho \cos \phi , \quad k_y = k_\rho \sin \phi
$$

$$
x = \rho \cos \theta , \quad y = \rho \sin \theta.
$$

and also defined $k_{z1} = \sqrt{k_1^2 - k_\rho^2}$ for the upper half-space, and $k_{z0} = \sqrt{k_2^2 - k_\rho^2}$, $k_{z0} = \sqrt{k_1^2 - k_\rho^2}$

 $\sqrt{\varepsilon_x \omega^2/c^2 - k_y^2 - k_x^2 \varepsilon_x/\varepsilon_z}$ as the ordinary and extraordinary wave contributions in the lower half-space respectively. The p-polarization to p-polarization reflection coefficient takes the form

$$
r_{pp} = -\frac{\epsilon_z k_y^2 k_o^3 (k_{z1} + k_{ze}) (\epsilon_1 k_{zo} - \epsilon_z k_{z1}) + k_x^2 k_{zo} k_o (k_{z1} + k_{zo}) (\epsilon_1 k_{zo}^2 - \epsilon_z k_{z1} k_{ze})}{\sqrt{\epsilon_z} \Delta (k_x^2 + k_y^2) \sqrt{(k_y^2 + k_{zo}^2)(k_y^2 + k_{ze}^2)}}
$$
(5)

while the p-polarization to ordinary- and extraordinary- polarization transmission coefficients take the form

$$
t_{op} = \frac{2k_{z1}k_{y}k_{o}^{2}}{\Delta} \frac{(k_{z1} + k_{ze})\sqrt{\epsilon_{1}\epsilon_{z}}}{\sqrt{(k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2})(k_{ze}^{2} + k_{y}^{2})}}
$$
(6)

$$
t_{ep} = \frac{2k_{z1}k_{zo}k_1k_x}{\Delta} \frac{k_{z1} + k_{zo}}{\sqrt{(k_x^2 + k_y^2)(k_y^2 + k_{zo}^2)}}
$$
(7)

where

$$
\Delta = \frac{k_x^2 k_{zo} k_o (k_{z1} + k_{zo}) (\epsilon_1 k_{zo}^2 + \epsilon_z k_{z1} k_{ze}) + \epsilon_z k_y^2 k_o^3 (k_{z1} + k_{ze}) (\epsilon_1 k_{zo} + \epsilon_z k_{z1})}{\sqrt{\epsilon_z} (k_x^2 + k_y^2) \sqrt{k_{zo}^2 + k_y^2} \sqrt{k_{ze}^2 + k_y^2}}
$$
(8)

Supplementary References

[1] Luigi Frunzio, Andreas Wallraff, David Schuster, Johannes Majer, and Robert Schoelkopf. *Fabrication and characterization of superconducting circuit qed devices for quantum computation. IEEE transactions on applied superconductivity*, 15(2):860-863, (2005).

[2] A Goban, C-L Hung, JD Hood, S-P Yu, JA Muniz, O Painter, and HJ Kimble. *Superradiance for atoms trapped along a photonic crystal waveguide*. Physical review letters, 115(6):063601, (2015). [3] Sylvain Ravets, Henning Labuhn, Daniel Barredo, Lucas B_eguin, Thierry Lahaye, and Antoine Browaeys. *Coherent dipole-dipole coupling between two single rydberg atoms at an electrically-tuned forster resonance*. Nature Physics, 10(12):914-917, (2014).

[4] Michael Scheibner, Thomas Schmidt, Lukas Worschech, Alfred Forchel, Gerd Bacher, Thorsten Passow, and Detlef Hommel. *Superradiance of quantum dots*. Nature Physics, 3(2):106-110, (2007).