

Feeding-related traits are affected by dosage of the *foraging* gene in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Supplemental figure legends

Figure S1: *for^{HR}* alleles, and generation of the *for⁰* and *for^{dup}* alleles.

A) Schematic of the episomal targeting construct, that likely failed to linearize, aligned to regions of homology at the *foraging* locus. **B)** Schematic of *for^{HR4}* and *for^{HR1}* alleles and the recombination event that generated the *for⁰* and *for^{dup}* alleles. The episomal element integrated into the regions of homology at either end of the locus. This generated a duplication of the homology regions. The *loxP* sites were used to delete the *foraging* locus. The resulting *for⁰* allele was a clean deletion with only *loxP* and *attP* sites remaining. The resulting *for^{dup}* allele contained two wild type copies of the *foraging* gene, as well as a 20kb segment between the *foraging* genes containing the duplicated homology regions in the *for^{HR1}* and *for^{HR4}* alleles.

Figure S2: Verification of the *for⁰* null allele. **A)** Schematic of the *foraging* locus with the annotated homology arms (HA1, HA2, tan boxes) and primers that bind outside these homology regions (nullF, nullR, green triangles). These primers span a 45kb region in the wild type locus. **B)** Schematic of the *for⁰* null allele with the homology arms and primers annotated. These primers span a 10.7kb region in the *for⁰* allele. **C)** *in-silico* gel electrophoresis of undigested and digested PCR product spanning the *for⁰* allele. **D)**

Gel electrophoresis of undigested and digested PCR product spanning the *for*⁰ allele. Restriction enzymes are labelled above the gels. The digestion patterns match the *in-silico* predictions. Sequencing of this 10.7kb PCR product confirmed the authenticity of the allele (data not shown).

Figure S3: Construction and verification of the *for*^{BAC} construct.

A) Schematic of the p(attlox) recombineering BAC. We redesigned the P[acman] BAC (Venken *et al.*, 2006) to include a *loxP* sequence and altered the syntony of the *attB* sequence and multiple cloning site. **B)** Homology arms flanking the *foraging* gene were cloned into the P(attlox) vector to make pGAP^{for}. These homology arms were designed to correspond to the breakpoints of the *for*⁰ null allele. **C)** CH321-64J02 BAC used as the source for the *foraging* sequence. This BAC contained a *copia* transposable element within the *foraging* gene. We removed the *copia* sequence using the galk selection technique (Warming *et al.*, 2005). **D)** Once the *copia* element was removed, pGAP^{for} was used to isolate the *foraging* gene from the CH321-64J02- *copia* BAC to generate the P(attlox)^{for} BAC. **E)** *in-silico* and **F)** *in-vitro* gel electrophoresis of restriction digestions of the P(attlox)^{for} BAC.

Figure S4: Effects of hemizygous rover and sitter larvae on path length, food intake and fat levels.

A) Larval path length of heterozygous *for*^R/*for*⁰, and *for*^S/*for*⁰ individuals (t = 7.3133, df = 55.44, p = 1.108e-09). **B)** Larval food intake of heterozygous *for*^R/*for*⁰, *for*^S/*for*⁰, and

homozygous *for*⁰ individuals (a.u., arbitrary fluorescence units; $t = -5.1479$, $df = 122.8$, $p\text{-value} = 1.015e-06$). **C**) Larval triglyceride levels of heterozygous *for*^R/*for*⁰, *for*^S/*for*⁰ and homozygous *for*⁰ individuals ($t = -3.4632$, $df = 29.43$, $p\text{-value} = 0.001656$).

Figure S5: *foraging* gene dosage and allelic contributions to *foraging* gene expression in whole larvae

Expression of *foraging* mRNA of homozygous *for*^R, *for*^S, heterozygous *for*^R/*for*^S heterozygous, *for*^R/*for*⁰, and *for*^S/*for*⁰, whole larvae homogenates amplifying each promoter region and the common coding region. **A**) Schematic of the *foraging* (as in Figure 1). Promoter-specific regions targeted for qPCR identified by the upper vertex of the light blue triangles. **B**) *pr1*-specific ($F_{(4,10)}=66.4$, $p=3.7e-7$), **C**) *pr2*-specific ($F_{(4,10)}=22.99$, $p=5.0e-5$), **D**) *pr3* ($F_{(4,10)}=25.28$, $p=3.3e-5$), and **E**) *pr4*-specific ($F_{(4,10)}=18.99$, $p=1.2e-4$), and **F**) common coding ($F_{(4,10)}=53.28$, $p=1.0e-6$) expression of regions of *foraging* quantified RT-qPCR. Due to the gene structure, *pr3* is not specific and amplifies a sub-set of *pr1* and *pr2*, as well. **B-F**) Individual data points are plotted ($n=3/\text{genotype}$; triangle, square, circle) with a bar representing the mean of the 3 samples. All the $\Delta\Delta\text{Ct}$'s were calculated relative to the mean *for*^S ΔCt for the common coding region in **F**. (***, $p<0.001$; **, $p<0.01$; *, $p<0.05$; $p\text{-values}$ are relative to *for*^S).