Table 1: Series IDs of the final samples used in the meta-analysis of tissue non-variable CpGs.

Series	Samples	Tissue
GSE33233	19	Blood
GSE35069	6	Blood
GSE36369	20	Blood
GSE37965	30	Blood
GSE41169	95	Blood
GSE43975	96	Blood
GSE44798	50	Blood
GSE48472	11	Blood
GSE50475	5	Blood
GSE51547	1	Blood
GSE54399	24	Blood
GSE55734	6	Blood
GSE59592	6	Blood
GSE61653	64	Blood
GSE63499	23	Blood
GSE64495	113	Blood
GSE64950	36	Blood
GSE48472	5	Buccal
GSE50586	20	Buccal
GSE50759	96	Buccal
GSE52731	55	Placenta
GSE54399	24	Placenta
GSE57767	45	Placenta
GSE62733	33	Placenta

Tissue	Exclusion Term
Blood	Brain, AML, leukemia, B-cell, gyrus, cortex, PBMC, CD19+, cerebellum, peripheral, cancer, marrow, troglodytes, vaginal, B cells, Peripheral, Cord, cord, Chimpanzee, paniscus, B cells, troglodytes, gorilla, Pongo, cerebellum, cortex, gyrus
Buccal	tumor
Placenta	Leukocyte

Table 3: Quality control filters for each tissue and the resulting final study, sample and ${\rm CpG}$ numbers.

	Blood		Buccal			Placenta			
Data Processing Stage	Studies	Samples	$_{ m CpGs}$	Studies	Samples	CpGs	Studies	Samples	$_{\mathrm{CpGs}}$
Original Data Collection	21	883	469,987	3	121	420,381	4	158	485,512
After Removal of Samples with 2.5% NA across CpGs	21	763	469,987	3	121	420,381	4	158	485,512
After Removal of CpGs with 5% NA Across Samples	21	763	469,961	3	121	420,374	4	158	484,621
After Removal of Samples with Low Sample Correlation (Final Counts)	17	605	469,961	3	121	420,374	4	157	484,621

Non-variable CpGs Lists with Alternative Processing

In order to test how robust our non-variable CpG lists are to different data processing approaches, we re-ran our analysis on the buccal studies using the normalization methods BMIQ[22] and quantile normalization. Our buccal non-variable list was robust to normalization method. The non-variable CpG lists generated from BMIQ or quantile normalized data overlapped by 96% with our original non-variable list.

Additionally the effect of cell type correction was tested by first normalizing the cell compositions of all blood samples [23,24] and then defining the non-variable CpG list on the cell composition adjusted data. Here the non-variable CpG list calculated on cell composition adjusted and unadjusted data overlapped by 90%, implying our non-variable list is robust to data processing differences.