## 1 SUPPLEMENTAL FILES

## 2 Supplemental File S1. MS/MS spectra of the characterized metabolites

3 See separate file, Supplemental File S1.pdf

5 Supplemental Figure S1. GPC chromatographic comparison of lignin from C2-Idf mutant and C2 control stem and leaf. (A) The dashed line shows the standard curve ( $R^2$ =0.9962) of polystyrene with 6 12 data points. The molecular weight distribution curves were each divided into three peaks and the 7 8 Mn, Mw, and polydispersity index (Mw/Mn) of each peak were analyzed and shown in the table (B). 9 Peak 1 represent an abnormal large molecular weight for lignin samples and was therefore regarded as an unknown contaminant, the peak 2 represented the major lignin polymer and peak 3 originated 10 11 from lignin oligolignols with molecular weight at around 800-1000. Statistics were performed on 12 peak 2. The Mn, Mw and Mw/Mn values of stem and the Mn value of leaf were not significantly 13 different between the C2-Idf mutant and C2 control (Student t-test, p-value>0.05). The Mw and 14 Mw/Mn values of leaf were significantly different between the C2-Idf mutant and C2 control (8% 15 increase in C2-Idf as compared to C2, Student t-test, p-value=0.03 for Mw, and 4% increase, Student 16 t-test, p-value=0.04 for Mn/Mw). Average ± standard deviation are given for 4 to 6 biological 17 replicates.



Supplemental Table S1. Growth parameters of C2-Idf mutant and C2 control plants. Plant height and dry biomass measurements were performed on senesced greenhouse-grown plants. DW: dry weight; SEM: standard error of the mean with n=12; n.s.: not significantly different; underlined values: significantly different compared to the control; \*:0.05≥p>0.01, \*\*: p<0.01.</p>

2	r
2	Z

Growth parameter	<i>C2</i> (mean ± SEM)	<i>C2-Idf</i> (mean ± SEM)	% difference	t-test
Plant height with tassel (cm)	296.33 ± 17.78	292.01 ± 19.01	-1.4	n.s.
Leaf DW (g)	36.11 ± 6.71	<u>45.13 ± 6.20</u>	25	*
Stem DW (g)	90.79 ± 31.45	<u>121.80 ± 28.08</u>	34.16	**
Total DW (g) (leaves + stems)	126.90 ± 37.76	<u>166.94 ± 33.94</u>	31.55	**

Supplemental Table S2. Targeted search for oligolignols isolated from stem and leaf of *C2-Idf* and *C2* control plants. b.d.: below detection limit; SD: standard deviation, p-values are calculated via Student t-tests statistics. Nomenclature of the oligolignols is explained in Morreel et al., (2004; 2010a; 2010b), the prime (') signifies an aldehyde moiety.

nr	Name	[M-H <sup>+</sup> ] <sup>-</sup>	RT (min)	<i>С2</i> (М	inter ean ±	node ± SD)	C2-Idf (Mea	intei an ±	rnode SD)	p-value	Ratio <i>C2-Idf</i> /C2	<i>C2</i> leaf (Mean ± SD)	<i>C2-Idf</i> leaf (Mean ± SD)	p- value	Ratio C2- Idf/C2
1	G(8-O-4)S(8-5)G 1	583.2180	14.81	29243	±	7109	39767	±	20579	0.267	1.36	2539 ± 934	2162 ± 896	0.442	0.85
2	G(8-O-4)S(8-5)G 2	583.2180	15.61	13912	±	3862	14941	±	9379	0.903	1.07	1411 ± 648	887 ± 640	0.125	0.63
3	G(8-O-4)S(8-8)G	583.2194	17.04	2494	±	653	4590	±	3247	0.110	1.84	b.d.	b.d.	-	-
4	G(8–O–4)S(8–5)G'	581.2034	16.93	2172	±	4397	1062	±	826	0.611	0.49	2011 ± 546	1889 ± 1202	0.372	0.94
5	S(8-O-4)S(8-8)S	643.2390	16.54	713	±	398	984	±	727	0.465	1.38	3233 ± 2903	2791 ± 742	0.645	0.86
6	G(8–O–4)G(8–O–4)S(8–8)S	809.3000	18.09	2153	±	1182	4997	±	3693	0.083	2.32	1110 ± 327	1415 ± 646	0.439	1.27

30 Supplemental Table S3. Relative quantification of lignin units and linkages based on volume integrals from the HSQC spectra of stem and leaf of C2-Idf

31 mutant and C2 control plants. The lignin units were expressed as a percentage of the sum of G (guaiacyl), S' (benzylic-oxidized S units, or syringaldehyde- or

32 sinapaldehyde-derived), and S (syringyl) units. The linkage types were expressed as percentage of the sum of interunit linkage types A-C (including C'). Units

33 relatively quantified (as volume integrals only) include: cinnamaldehyde end-groups **X2**; benzaldehyde end-groups **X3**, phenylcoumaran (β–5) units **B**, β-aryl

34 ether (β–O–4) units **A**; resinol (β–β) units **C**; and β–β' tetrahydrofuran units **C'**. n= 6 biological replicates. Bold or underlined values indicate significantly

35 increased or decreased values, respectively, as compared to those of the control plants, pCA: p-coumarate, H: p-hydroxyphenyl unit, FA: ferulate, T: tricin

unit. SD: standard deviation, inf: infinite, n.d.: not detected, -: not applicable, n.s.: not significant, \*:0.05<p≤0.01, \*\*: 0.01<p≤0.001, \*\*\*: p<0.001.

feature	C2 stem (mean ± SD)	<i>C2-Idf</i> stem (mean ± SD)	% difference	t-test	<i>C2</i> leaf (mean ± SD)	<i>C2-Idf</i> leaf (mean ± SD)	% difference	t- test
pCA%	98.2 ± 3.7	91.2 ± 4.8	-9.1	n.s	56.5 ± 2.7	<u>52.8</u> <u>±</u> 2.3	<u>-6.5</u>	*
Н%	1.0 ± 0.1	<u>0.6 ± 0.2</u>	<u>-43.3</u>	*	10.1 ± 1.8	8.5 ± 1.0	-16.4	n.s.
FA%	1.8 ± 0.9	1.6 ± 0.8	-9.5	n.s.	2.4 ± 1.1	2.2 ± 0.2	-9.3	n.s.
G%	25.6 ± 2.3	30.4 ± 0.5	18.7	**	55.6 ± 1.4	55.3 ± 1.7	-0.6	n.s
S'%	0.8 ± 0.3	0.8 ± 0.2	4.0	n.s.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
S%	73.6 ± 2.2	<u>68.8</u> <u>±</u> <u>0.6</u>	<u>-6.6</u>	**	44.4 ± 1.4	44.7 ± 1.7	0.7	n.s
Т%	1.9 ± 0.3	<u>n.d.</u>	inf down	***	27.6 ± 1.4	<u>n.d.</u>	<u>inf down</u>	***
Total (G+S+S')	100	100			100	100		
S/G	3.0 ± 0.5	<u>2.3</u> <u>±</u> <u>0.1</u>	<u>-20.7</u>	**	0.8 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	1.3	n.s.
X2 (cinnamaldehyde end-group)%	0.9 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.3	13.5	n.s.	n.d.	0.4 ± 0.3	inf up	**
X3 (benzaldehyde end- group)%	- ± -	0.1 ± 0.1	66.6	n.s.	n.d.	n.d.	-	-
Β (β–5)%	0.6 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.6	57.9	n.s.	2.0 ± 0.5	4.2 ± 0.9	109.6	* * *
Α (β–Ο-4)%	94.4 ± 0.9	<u>92.6 ± 1.2</u>	<u>-2.0</u>	*	97.7 ± 0.7	<u>93.9</u> <u>+</u> <u>1.5</u>	<u>-3.9</u>	***
C (β–β, resinol)%	0.3 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.6	67.6	n.s.	0.3 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.5	310.3	**
C΄ (β–β, tetrahydrofuran)%	4.7 ± 0.7	6.0 ± 0.6	27.9	*	n.d.	0.7 ± 0.5	inf up	***
Total (A+B+C+C')	100	100			100	100		

- 38
- 39 Supplemental Table S4. Saccharification efficiency of senesced stem and leaf biomass from C2-Idf mutant and C2 control plants. The numbers listed in this
- 40 table are visualized in the graphs of Figure 4.
- 41 See separate file, Supplemental Table S4.xlsx
- 42