Supplementary Information

Genomic analysis reveals major determinants of *cis*-regulatory variation in *Capsella grandiflora*

Kim A. Steige^{a,b,1,2}, Benjamin Laenen^{b,1,3}, Johan Reimegård^c, Douglas G. Scofield^{a,d}, Tanja Slotte^{a,b,3}

^aDept. of Ecology and Genetics, Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, Norbyv. 18D, 75236 Uppsala, SWEDEN
^bScience for Life Laboratory, Dept. of Ecology, Environment and Plant Sciences, Stockholm University, Lilla Frescati, SE-10691 Stockholm, SWEDEN
^cScience for Life Laboratory, Dept. of Cell and Molecular Biology, Uppsala University, Box 596, 75124 Uppsala, SWEDEN
^dUppsala Multidisciplinary Center for Advanced Computational Science, Department of Information Technology, Uppsala University, Box 137, Uppsala 751 05, SWEDEN

¹K.A.S. and B.L. contributed equally to the work.
²Current address: Institute of Botany, Biozentrum, University of Cologne,
Zülpicherstr. 47b, 50674 Cologne, GERMANY
³Authors for correspondence, email: Benjamin Laenen; Benjamin.Laenen@su.se;
Tanja Slotte; Tanja.Slotte@su.se

Supplementary Figures



Figure S1. ASE in flower buds of three intraspecific *C. grandiflora* F1s. Distributions of the deviation from equal expression for all assayed genes (A-C) and for genes with at least 0.95 posterior probability of ASE (D-F), estimates of the dispersion parameter (G-I), and the posterior probability of ASE (J-L). All distributions are shown for each of the three intraspecific F1s intra6.3 (left), intra7.2 (middle) and intra8.2 (right).



Figure S2. ASE in leaves of three intraspecific *C. grandiflora* F1s. Distributions of the deviation from equal expression for all assayed genes (A-C) and for genes with at least 0.95 posterior probability of ASE (D-F), estimates of the dispersion parameter (G-I), and the posterior probability of ASE (J-L). All distributions are shown for each of the three intraspecific F1s intra6.3 (left), intra7.2 (middle) and intra8.2 (right).



Figure S3. Venn diagram of genes with ASE (posterior probability of ASE \geq 0.95) in leaves and flower buds of all three F1s. There were a total of 10,522 genes that were amenable to analyses of ASE that did not show evidence for ASE, whereas a total of 1,010 had ASE in either leaves or flower buds.



Figure S4. DFE-alpha results for 0-fold degenerate sites. The estimated proportion of new mutations in the selected class in each bin of the distribution of negative fitness effects is shown, with whiskers corresponding to 95% confidence intervals based on 200 bootstrap replicates, separately for genes with ASE and control genes. The top panels show results under the stepwise population size change model and the lower panels show results for the constant population size model. Panels on the left show results for the population sample, and those on the right for the scattered range-wide sample. Significance levels of the p-value: $* \le 0.05$; $** \le 0.01$.



Figure S5. Top panels show the distributions of overall expression level (log FPKM) before and after the subsampling procedure (see Methods) of ASE and Control genes. Bottom panels similarly show the distribution for polymorphism level (π).



Figure S6. DFE-alpha results for 0-fold degenerate sites, after correction for expression level differences among control and ASE genes. The estimated proportion of new mutations in the selected class in each bin of the distribution of negative fitness effects is shown, with whiskers corresponding to 95% confidence intervals based on 200 bootstrap replicates, separately for genes with ASE and control genes. The left panel shows results under the stepwise population size change model and the right panel shows results for the constant population size model. Significance levels of the p-value: $* \le 0.05$; $** \le 0.01$.



Figure S7. DFE-alpha results for 0-fold degenerate sites, after correction for polymorphism level differences among control and ASE genes. The estimated proportion of new mutations in the selected class in each bin of the distribution of negative fitness effects is shown, with whiskers corresponding to 95% confidence intervals based on 200 bootstrap replicates, separately for genes with ASE and control genes. The left panel shows results under the stepwise population size change model and the right panel shows results for the constant population size model. Significance levels of the p-value: $* \le 0.05$; $** \le 0.01$.



Figure S8. Purifying and positive selection on 0-fold degenerate sites, with ASE vs. control status determined based on F1 individual intra7.2, under the two-epoch model of DFE-alpha and for the population sample (similar results were obtained for the 1-epoch model and the range-wide sample). The estimated proportion of new nonsynonymous mutations in each bin of the distribution of negative fitness effects is shown in the top panel, with whiskers corresponding to 95% confidence intervals based on 200 bootstrap replicates, separately for genes with ASE and control genes. The lower two panels show the proportion of divergent nonsynonymous substitutions relative to neutral divergence (ω_{α}). Significance levels of the p-value: * ≤ 0.05 ; ** ≤ 0.01 .



Figure S9. DFE-alpha results for 0-fold degenerate sites, after removing genes annotated as being involved in defense response ("GO:0006952"). The estimated proportion of new mutations in the selected class in each bin of the distribution of negative fitness effects is shown, with whiskers corresponding to 95% confidence intervals based on 200 bootstrap replicates, separately for genes with ASE and control genes. The left panel shows results under the stepwise population size change model and the right panel shows results for the constant population size model, for analyses of the population sample. Similar results were obtained for the range-wide sample.







Figure S11. Boxplots showing the number of phased SNPs per fragment for interspecific *C. grandiflora* x *C. rubella* F1s (inter3.1, inter4.1 and inter5.1) and for intraspecific *C. grandiflora* F1s (intra6.3, intra7.2, and intra8.2). The median number SNPs per phased fragment is 5 for both inter- and intraspecific F1s.



Figure S12. Success of read-back phasing. The distribution of the proportion of correctly read-back phased SNPs for three interspecific F1s (inter3.1, inter4.1 and inter5.1) with known haplotypes.



Figure S13. Trace plot and Gelman-Rubin-Brooks convergence plot of the parameters estimated during three independent MCMC chains of ASE analysis for one F1s leaf tissues. The shrink factor estimates when the chain "have forgotten" their state and value below 1.1 support among chain convergence. Results were consistent for the 3 F1s (not shown) and tissues with low shrink factors always below 1.1.

Supplementary Tables

Sample designation	Replicate	Sample type	Yield (Gbp)	Yield Q≥30 (Gbp)
Intra6.3	1	flower buds	1.8	1.6
Intra6.3	1	leaves	9.2	8.3
Intra7.2	1	flower buds	9.2	8.5
Intra7.2	1	leaves	14.6	13.5
Intra7.2	2	flower buds	16.8	15.5
Intra7.2	2	leaves	11	10.1
Intra7.2	3	flower buds	8	7.4
Intra7.2	3	leaves	11	10.1
Intra8.2	1	flower buds	11	10.1
Intra8.2	1	leaves	11	10.1
Total			103.6	95.2

Supplementary Table S1. Yield of RNAseq data analyzed in this study.

Sample	~		Yield O>30
designation	Sample type	Yield (Gbp)	(Gbp)
Cg5a-KS2	Range-wide	8.2	7.5
Cg7h-KS2	Range-wide	7	6.4
Cg85_3-KS2	Range-wide	7.8	7
Cg86_15-KS2	Range-wide	8.8	8
Cg87_19-KS2	Range-wide	8.4	7.5
Cg89_1-KS2	Population	10	9.1
Cg89_11-KS3	Population	8.8	8.1
Cg89_14-KS2	Population	8	7.4
Cg89_18-KS3	Population	7.6	6.7
Cg89_19-KS2	Population	8.2	7.4
Cg89_2-KS1	Population	8	7.2
Cg89_21-KS2	Population	8.2	7.4
Cg89_25-KS2	Population	8.4	7.6
Cg89_26-KS2	Population/ Range-wide	8.8	8
Cg89 3-KS2	Population	7	6.5
Cg89-12-KS2	Population	6.6	6.1
Cg89-13-KS3	Population	7.4	6.5
Cg89-15-KS3	Population	7.4	6.8
Cg89-16-KS3	Population	7.6	6.8
Cg89-20-KS2	Population	8.4	7.7
Cg89-22-KS2	Population	7.6	7.1
Cg89-23-KS2	Population	7.6	6.8
Cg89-27-KS2	Population	7.4	6.6
Cg89-4-KS3	Population	7.8	7
Cg89-5-KS3	Population	7.2	6.4
Cg89-9-KS3	Population	8.4	7.7
Cg8d-KS3	Range-wide	8.6	8
Cg92_11-KS3	Range-wide	8	7.4
Cg93_9-KS3	Range-wide	8.6	7.9
Cg96_7-KS3	Range-wide	8	7.3
Cg98_8-KS1	Range-wide	7.8	7.3
Cg99-15-KS2	Range-wide	8.8	8
Intra6.3	F1	8	7
Intra7.2	F1	11.6	10.2
Intra8.2	F1	10.4	9.4
Total		286.4	259.8

Supplementary Table S2. Yield of DNA sequence data analyzed in this study.

Supplementary Table S3. Results from TopGO analyses for enrichment of Biological Process Gene Ontology terms among genes with evidence for ASE (posterior probability ≥ 0.95) in leaves. Only terms with FDR ≤ 0.01 are shown. No terms met this criterion in flower samples.

GO.ID	Term	Annotate	Significant	Expecte	FDR
		d		d	(Fisher
					test)
GO:001597	photosynthesis	152	28	9.29	0.00024
9					
GO:001968	photosynthesis, light	117	24	7.15	0.00024
4	reaction				
GO:000695	defense response	745	78	45.55	0.00169
2					
GO:000695	response to stress	1874	159	114.58	0.00285
0					
GO:000609	generation of precursor	256	35	15.65	0.00446
1	metabolites and energy				
GO:000976	photosynthesis, light	15	7	0.92	0.00849
5	harvesting				

Site class	Gene set	Mean π	πP -value	π	CI	Mean θ_W	$\theta_W P$ -value	$ heta_{\scriptscriptstyle W}$	CI	$Mean \ D_T$	$D_T P$ -value	D _T	CI
4-fold	ASE	0.029	< 0.001	0.003	0.080	0.029	1.50293E-21	0.008	0.068	-0.024	5.93202E-09	-1.45	1.46
	control	0.023		0.003	0.054	0.024		0.008	0.049	-0.170		-1.49	1.29
0-fold	ASE	0.009	< 0.001	0.000	0.033	0.011	1.03963E-48	0.001	0.033	-0.345	4.54597E-18	-1.29	0.89
	control	0.005		0.000	0.018	0.007		0.001	0.020	-0.501		-1.34	0.47
3'UTR	ASE	0.018	< 0.001	0.001	0.041	0.021	1.45389E-10	0.006	0.045	-0.344	2.38782E-18	-1.28	0.90
	control	0.014		0.002	0.034	0.018		0.005	0.041	-0.501		-1.34	0.48
5'UTR	ASE	0.016	< 0.001	0.000	0.050	0.016	3.31541E-08	0.004	0.049	-0.344	5.00495E-18	-1.28	0.90
	control	0.012		0.000	0.038	0.012		0.004	0.041	-0.501		-1.34	0.48
500 bp upstream	ASE	0.017	< 0.001	0.005	0.037	0.020	1.18006E-11	0.008	0.039	-0.395	1.6763E-19	-1.24	0.77
	control	0.015		0.004	0.032	0.019		0.007	0.035	-0.543		-1.31	0.36
intron	ASE	0.020	< 0.001	0.005	0.041	0.022	8.24546E-09	0.009	0.043	-0.345	3.49223E-18	-1.29	0.87
	control	0.018		0.005	0.035	0.020		0.009	0.035	-0.502		-1.34	0.47

Table S4. Population genetic summary statistics (nucleotide diversity: π , Watterson's theta: θ_W and Tajima's D: D_T), confidence intervals (CI), and *P*-values of Wilcoxon rank sum test of a difference between ASE and control genes.

Table S5. DFE-alpha estimates for all site classes analyzed, for the stepwise population size change model and the constant population size model, respectively. Four-fold synonymous sites were used as the neutral category in all analyses. Site categories analyzed were 0-fold degenerate sites, 5'UTRs, 3'UTRs, promoter regions (500 bp upstream of the TSS), and introns. Significance levels of the p-value: $* \le 0.05$; $** \le 0.01$.

Demographic model	Site class	Gene set	Estimate (95%CI)
Population Cg89			
Stepwise population	n change		
0-fold	ASE	L	-256954.87 (-271144.26, -244811.06)
		n2	119 (89, 158.4)
		nl	101.1 (93.89, 158.4)
		t2	12 (12, 4567.06)
		beta	0.17 (0.13, 0.2)
		Es	-7.7 (-29.15, -2.68)
		f0	0.83 (0.82, 0.84)
		α	-0.01 (-0.13, 0.11)
		ωα	0 (-0.03, 0.03)
0-fold	Control	L	-2175110.15 (-2208379.47, -
		m)	2142390.58)
		112 n1	144(131, 144) 105 24 (104 40, 106 44)
		111 +2	105.54 (104.49, 100.44)
		12 boto	20.30(21.87, 40.04) 0.25(0.24, 0.27)
		Es	(0.23, (0.24, 0.27))
		ES f0	-4.07(-4.39, -5.00)
		10	0.35(0.34, 0.39)
		u	0.03(0.04, 0.09)
2'I TP	ASE	ω _α	152580 24 (158800 56 - 146076 55)
5 01K	ASE	L n2	-132389.24 (-138890.30, -140070.33) 110 (08, 232.2)
		112 n1	119(98, 232.2) 1011(9914(232)13)
		111 +2	101.1 (99.14, 232.13) $12 (12, 4770.08)$
		t2 beta	0.36(0.23, 0.8)
		Es	0.03(0.25, 0.8)
		ES f0	-0.03(-0.00, -0.01)
		10	0.55(0.61, 0.64)
		u	0.53(0.44, 0.65)
3'I TP	Control	ω _α Ι	-1445345 39 (-1462311 3 -
JUIK	Control	L	1426852.15)

		n2	144 (144, 144)
		n1	105.39 (104.43, 106.58)
		t2	26.66 (21.31, 34.43)
		beta	0.44 (0.38, 0.55)
		Es	-0.03 (-0.03, -0.02)
		f0	0.84 (0.84, 0.85)
		α	0.56 (0.53, 0.59)
		ωα	0.5 (0.47, 0.54)
5'UTR	ASE	L	-126534.44 (-133412.2, -120318.31)
		n2	119 (89, 231)
		n1	101.1 (94.02, 231)
		t2	12 (12, 4779.99)
		beta	0.29 (0.2, 0.45)
		Es	-0.09 (-0.15, -0.03)
		f0	0.83 (0.81, 0.84)
		α	0.62 (0.53, 0.73)
		ω_{α}	0.58 (0.46, 0.75)
5'UTR	Control	L	-1206613.76 (-1219801.39, -
		n?	1191971.01)
		n1	105 41 (104 61 106 53)
		t2	26 73 (22 52 34 39)
		heta	0.28(0.25, 0.32)
		Es	-0.08 (-0.09 -0.06)
		f0	0.84 (0.84, 0.85)
		a	0.59(0.57, 0.61)
		(Q)a	0.54 (0.51, 0.57)
500bp upstream	ASE	L	-239037.42 (-246708.38, -231910.82)
1 1		n2	119 (98, 231)
		n1	101.1 (99.14, 231)
		t2	12 (12, 4779.98)
		beta	0.74 (0.44, 1.62)
		Es	-0.02 (-0.03, -0.01)
		f0	0.83 (0.81, 0.84)
		α	0.71 (0.65, 0.77)
		ωα	0.88 (0.81, 0.99)
500bp upstream	Control	L	-2399129.99 (-2416962.54, - 2382243.06)
		n2	144 (144, 144)

		nl	105.44 (104.57, 106.79)
		t2	26.9 (22.29, 34.84)
		beta	9.11 (1.7, 100)
		Es	-0.01 (-0.01, -0.01)
		f0	0.84 (0.84, 0.85)
		α	0.72 (0.68, 0.75)
		ωα	0.85 (0.79, 0.89)
intron	ASE	L	-320600.37 (-343516.35, -301306.64)
		n2	119 (89, 191)
		n1	101.1 (94.78, 191)
		t2	12 (12, 4779.88)
		beta	0.22 (0.15, 0.46)
		Es	-0.02 (-0.04, -0.01)
		f0	0.83 (0.82, 0.84)
		α	0.49 (0.43, 0.58)
		ωα	0.54 (0.46, 0.66)
intron	Control	L	-3747727.32 (-3813864.92, -
		2	3678864.68)
		n2	144 (144, 144)
		nl	105.53 (104.62, 106.91)
		t2	27.42 (22.57, 35.28)
		beta	1.56 (0.56, 100)
		Es	-0.01 (-0.01, -0.01)
		f0	0.84 (0.84, 0.85)
		α	0.5 (0.44, 0.56)
		ω_{α}	0.51 (0.45, 0.58)
Constant population	n size		
0-fold	ASE	L	-256962.28 (-271148.6, -244813.4)
		nl	100 (100, 100)
		beta	0.2 (0.17, 0.22)
		Es	-3.29 (-6.08, -2.11)
		f0	0.84 (0.83, 0.84)
		α	0.08 (-0.01, 0.17)
		ω_{α}	0.02 (0, 0.05)
0-fold	Control	L	-2175899.69 (-2209232.68, - 2143257.99)
		nl	
		beta	0.35 (0.34, 0.35)
		Es	-1.29 (-1.38, -1.19)

		f0	0.86 (0.86, 0.87)
		α	0.49 (0.48, 0.5)
		ωα	0.12 (0.11, 0.12)
3'UTR	ASE	L	-152603.26 (-158909.62, -
			146103.69)
		nl	
		beta	0.49 (0.31, 1.02)
		Es	-0.03 (-0.05, -0.02)
		f0	0.84 (0.83, 0.84)
		α	0.62 (0.56, 0.69)
		ω_{α}	0.6 (0.51, 0.69)
3'UTR	Control	L	-1446572.18 (-1463360.56, -
		n1	1428060.67)
		ll I boto	1.6(1.22, 2.45)
		Ea	0.02(0.02, 0.02)
		ES	-0.02(-0.02, -0.02)
		10	0.86(0.86, 0.87)
		α	0.72 (0.7, 0.75)
		ωα	0.67 (0.64, 0.71)
5'UTR	ASE	L	-126541.88 (-133412.96, -
		n1	100 (100, 100)
		beta	0.36 (0.26, 0.5)
		Es	-0.07 (-0.11, -0.05)
		f0	0.84 (0.83, 0.84)
		α	0 67 (0 58 0 75)
		(I)a	0.63 (0.51, 0.8)
5'IJTR	Control	I.	-1207495 86 (-1220673 48 -
5.011	control	L	1192858.6)
		nl	100 (100, 100)
		beta	0.62 (0.55, 0.7)
		Es	-0.04 (-0.04, -0.03)
		f0	0.86 (0.86, 0.87)
		α	0.71 (0.69, 0.73)
		ωα	0.67 (0.65, 0.69)
500bp upstream	ASE	L	-239088.58 (-246751.2, -231991.05)
		nl	100 (100, 100)
		beta	1.05 (0.59, 3.34)
		Es	-0.02 (-0.02, -0.01)
		f0	0.84 (0.83, 0.84)

		α	0.75 (0.71, 0.79)
		ωα	0.95 (0.88, 1.05)
500bp upstream	Control	L	-2401990.44 (-2419373.47, - 2384878.95)
		n1	100 (100, 100)
		beta	100 (100, 100)
		Es	-0.01 (-0.01, -0.01)
		f0	0.86 (0.86, 0.87)
		α	0.78 (0.77, 0.79)
		ωα	0.94 (0.92, 0.96)
intron	ASE	L	-320649.35 (-343558.52, - 301334.71)
		nl	100 (100, 100)
		beta	0.34 (0.22, 0.61)
		Es	-0.02 (-0.03, -0.01)
		f0	0.84 (0.83, 0.84)
		α	0.55 (0.49, 0.61)
		ω_{α}	0.62 (0.54, 0.71)
intron	Control	L nl	-3751129.56 (-3817573.88, - 3681960.11) 100 (100, 100)
		heta	100(100, 100)
		Es	
		f0	0.86 (0.86, 0.87)
		α	0.63 (0.61, 0.64)
		Ω _α	0.66 (0.64, 0.68)
Range-wide sample		oou	
Stepwise population	change		
0-fold	ASE	L	-228831.52 (-239793.9, -215937.1)
		n2	158 (131, 307.77)
		n1	103.34 (102.54, 111.87)
		t2	12 (12, 23.71)
		beta	0.18 (0.11, 0.21)
		Es	-4.62 (-100.3, -2.84)
		f0	0.79 (0.72, 0.81)
		α	0.06 (-0.24, 0.14)
		ωα	0.01 (-0.06, 0.04)
0-fold	Control	Ĺ	-1921169.23 (-1955228.74, - 1889955.17)
		n2	158 (158, 174)

		n1	112.15 (110.59, 113.62)
		t2	48.98 (32.84, 56.07)
		beta	0.28 (0.26, 0.29)
		Es	-2.32 (-3.07, -2.1)
		f0	0.83 (0.82, 0.83)
		α	0.41 (0.38, 0.42)
		ωα	0.1 (0.09, 0.1)
3'UTR	ASE	L	-134539.4 (-140342.97, -129761.8)
		n2	231 (144, 660)
		nl	107.51 (103.34, 131.83)
		t2	12 (12, 20.01)
		beta	0.4 (0.2, 100)
		Es	-0.03 (-0.05, -0.01)
		f0	0.75 (0.59, 0.8)
		α	0.57 (0.45, 0.73)
		ω_{α}	0.56 (0.43, 0.74)
3'UTR	Control	L	-1279054.76 (-1299259.06, -
		n)	1260535.93)
		112 n1	138(138, 174) 11227(11050, 11201)
		111 +2	112.27 (110.39, 113.91)
		12 beta	49.54 (0.45, 0.65)
		Es	0.02(0.03, 0.03)
		£S f0	-0.02(-0.03, -0.02)
		10 0	0.59 (0.57, 0.63)
		u W	0.53 (0.51, 0.52)
5'IITR	ASE	ω _α	-113525 53 (-118689 59 -107393 46)
5 0 I K	ABL	L n?	231 (158 661 65)
		n1	$107\ 51\ (103\ 34\ 131\ 92)$
		t2	107.51(105.54, 151.52) 12(12, 17.05)
		heta	0.28(0.15, 0.42)
		Es	-0.08 (-0.17 -0.04)
		f0	0.76(0.59, 0.79)
		0 0	0.6(0.45, 0.71)
		ω ω	0.57(0.38, 0.76)
5'UTR	Control	ω _α L	-1080942 2 (-1094594 88 -
2.011	Control	Ľ	1065935.39)
		n2	158 (158, 174)
		nl	112.09 (110.64, 113.63)

		t2	48.69 (32.78, 56.1)
		beta	0.34 (0.29, 0.39)
		Es	-0.05 (-0.07, -0.05)
		f0	0.83 (0.82, 0.83)
		α	0.62 (0.59, 0.64)
		ωα	0.57 (0.55, 0.6)
500bp upstream	ASE	L	-211757.76 (-218720.28, -205932.29)
		n2	144 (131, 231)
		nl	103.24 (101.9, 107.51)
		t2	15.45 (12, 31.21)
		beta	1.04 (0.55, 5.69)
		Es	-0.02 (-0.02, -0.01)
		f0	0.8 (0.76, 0.81)
		α	0.75 (0.69, 0.8)
		ωα	0.96 (0.85, 1.07)
500bp upstream	Control	L	-2113038.25 (-2129886.18, -
		n)	2096361.1)
		112 m1	138(138, 174)
		n1 +2	111.98 (110.08, 113.01)
		lZ hata	48.19 (33.07, 36)
		Ea	99.99(4.87, 100)
		ES f0	-0.01(-0.01, -0.01)
		10	0.85(0.82, 0.85)
		α	0.75(0.73, 0.76)
·	ACE	ω _α	0.89(0.85, 0.91)
intron	ASE		-282833.02 (-303379.94, -264364.89)
		n2	191 (131, 409)
		n1	105.23(102.54, 117.61)
		tZ hata	12(12, 23.01)
		beta	0.19(0.07, 0.94)
		ES m	-0.02(-0.06, -0.01)
		10	0.77 (0.68, 0.8)
		α	0.47(0.33, 0.57)
• ,		ω _α	0.53 (0.36, 0.64)
intron	Control	L	-32/3883.1 (-3330916.44, - 3218921 67)
		n2	158 (158, 174)
		nl	111.95 (110.23, 113.37)
		t2	48.02 (31.56, 54.61)

		beta	7.64 (0.89, 100)
		Es	-0.01 (-0.01, -0.01)
		f0	0.83 (0.82, 0.83)
		α	0.55 (0.48, 0.58)
		ωα	0.57 (0.5, 0.6)
Constant populat	tion size		
0-fold	ASE	L	-228892.46 (-239843.29, -215994.66)
		nl	100 (100, 100)
		beta	0.25 (0.22, 0.29)
		Es	-1.19 (-1.97, -0.8)
		f0	0.83 (0.82, 0.83)
		α	0.2 (0.1, 0.28)
		ω_{α}	0.05 (0.02, 0.07)
0-fold	Control	L	-1922427.06 (-1956510.48, - 1891226.11)
		nl	100 (100, 100)
		beta	0.42 (0.41, 0.43)
		Es	-0.7 (-0.76, -0.65)
		f0	0.86 (0.86, 0.86)
		α	0.56 (0.55, 0.57)
		ω_{α}	0.14 (0.13, 0.14)
3'UTR	ASE	L	-134598.17 (-140412.09, -129783.88)
		n1	100 (100, 100)
		beta	1.56 (0.67, 100)
		Es	-0.02 (-0.03, -0.01)
		f0	0.83 (0.82, 0.83)
		α	0.74 (0.67, 0.83)
		ωα	0.75 (0.65, 0.89)
3'UTR	Control	L	-1280785.37 (-1300998.02, - 1262315.36)
		nl	100 (100, 100)
		beta	100 (100, 100)
		Es	-0.01 (-0.02, -0.01)
		f0	0.86 (0.86, 0.86)
		α	0.83 (0.81, 0.84)
		ω_{α}	0.79 (0.77, 0.81)
5'UTR	ASE	L	-113585.64 (-118760.57, -107468.66)
		n1	100 (100, 100)
		beta	0.56 (0.39, 0.81)

		Es	-0.04 (-0.07, -0.03)
		f0	0.83 (0.82, 0.83)
		α	0.72 (0.64, 0.8)
		ω_{α}	0.71 (0.57, 0.87)
5'UTR	Control	L	-1082319.23 (-1095962.67, -
		m 1	1067305.2)
		111 hata	1.26(1.04, 1.64)
		Dela	1.20(1.04, 1.04)
		ES m	-0.03(-0.03, -0.02)
		10	0.86 (0.86, 0.86)
		α	0.79 (0.77, 0.81)
		ωα	0.76 (0.74, 0.79)
500bp upstream	ASE	L	-211880.82 (-218796.62, -206062.17)
		nl	100 (100, 100)
		beta	100 (1.81, 100)
		Es	-0.01 (-0.02, -0.01)
		f0	0.83 (0.82, 0.83)
		α	0.85 (0.8, 0.86)
		ω_{α}	1.13 (1.03, 1.2)
500bp upstream	Control	L	-2117145.16 (-2133978.09, -
		n1	2100465.44) 100 (100 - 100)
		heta	100 (100, 100)
		Ec	0.01(0.01, 0.01)
		ES f0	-0.01(-0.01, -0.01)
		10	0.80(0.80, 0.80)
		α	0.79(0.78, 0.8)
• <i>,</i>		ωα	0.96 (0.94, 0.98)
intron	ASE	L	-282950.23 (-303525.7, -264529.84)
		nl	100 (100, 100)
		beta	0.8 (0.34, 100)
		Es	-0.01 (-0.02, -0.01)
		f0	0.83 (0.82, 0.83)
		α	0.63 (0.55, 0.72)
		ω_{α}	0.73 (0.62, 0.85)
intron	Control	L	-3278975.81 (-3336542.74, - 3224367.68)
		nl	100 (100, 100)
		beta	100 (100, 100)
		Es	-0.01 (-0.01, -0.01)

f0	0.86 (0.86, 0.86)
α	0.64 (0.62, 0.65)
ωα	0.68 (0.66, 0.7)

Table S6. Predictor importance in logistic regression best-fit model predicting ASE from genomic features using BIC (BIC=3093.1). Regression coefficients and their standard error, z-statistics and associated P-values, and odds ratios (OR) are shown

Model parameter	Coeff. (SE)	z value	P-value	OR
Gene-body methylation	-0.62 (0.18)	-3.45	<10-3	0.54
Expression level	0.20 (0.05)	-3.45	<10 ⁻³	1.22
Promoter polymorphism	0.20 (0.05)	4.37	<10 ⁻³	1.23
Tissue specificity	0.20 (0.05)	5.12	<10 ⁻³	1.35
Intercept	-2.55 (0.05)	-46.81	<10 ⁻³	0.08

Table S7. Predictors importance in logistic regression model predicting ASE from genomic features using model averaging with AIC and BIC criterion. Conditional averages for regression coefficients and their standard error, z-statistics and associated P-values, odds ratios (OR) and predictor relative importance are shown.

	AIC				BIC			
Model parameter	Coeff (SE)	P-value	OR	Importance	Coeff (SE)	P-value	OR	Importance
Gene-body methylation	-0.64 (0.20)	0.001	0.51	0.99	-0.62 (0.18)	<10 ⁻³	0.54	0.92
π_N/π_S	0.08 (0.04)	0.029	1.09	0.73	0.07 (0.04)	0.044	1.08	0.07
Expression level	0.20 (0.06)	<10 ⁻³	1.22	0.99	0.20 (0.06)	<10 ⁻³	1.22	0.80
Promoter polymorphism	0.20 (0.05)	<10 ⁻³	1.23	1	0.20 (0.05)	<10 ⁻³	1.23	0.99
Tissue specificity	0.30 (0.06)	<10 ⁻³	1.35	1	0.28 (0.07)	<10 ⁻³	1.35	0.99
TE within 1 kb	0.32 (0.13)	0.012	1.38	0.88	0.32 (0.13)	0.011	1.38	0.20
Co-expression module size	-0.08 (0.05)	NS	0.92	0.55	-0.09 (0.05)	NS	0.91	0.05
Gene length	0.08 (0.06)	NS	1.09	0.51	0.07 (0.06)	NS	1.08	0.03
Recombination rates	0.06 (0.05)	NS	1.06	0.46	0.06 (0.05)	NS	1.06	0.03
Gene density	0.04 (0.05)	NS	1.04	0.32	0.025 (0.05)	NS	1.02	0.01
Synonymous divergence	0.03 (0.05)	NS	1.03	0.31	0.03 (0.05)	NS	1.03	0.01
Alpha paralog present	0.02 (0.11)	NS	1.02	0.27	0.02 (0.11)	NS	1.02	0.01
Beta/gamma paralog present	-0.12 (0.15)	NS	0.87	0.34	-0.12 (0.15)	NS	0.89	0.02

with higher than 2070 contr	with higher than 2076 contribution are highlighted in bold.								
Variable	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6	PC7	PC8	PC9
Recombination rate	0.1	0.8	30.1	5.8	4.2	9.5	26.8	8.9	2.3
Tissue specificity	27.7	5.7	5.4	6.8	7.7	7.3	3.3	0.1	40.6
Gene length	16.0	19.3	5.3	12.4	5.5	0.4	10.4	31.1	5.3
Expression level	26.4	11.2	5.9	4.7	10.0	1.3	6.5	2.8	39.6
Gene density	6.1	2.8	24.5	17.7	12.7	16.2	12.3	20.6	1.0
Synonymous divergence	11.0	22.3	3.6	1.9	1.4	30.0	1.9	20.8	1.8
Promoter polymorphism	1.4	1.2	14.2	32.2	1.3	5.2	23.2	1.0	3.0
π_N/π_S	10.3	13.6	8.6	4.4	43.1	2.8	2.6	6.4	0.4
Coexpression module size	1.0	23.1	2.7	14.2	14.1	27.4	13.0	8.3	6.0

Table S8. Percent contributions of each continuous variable to each PC. Variables with higher than 20% contribution are highlighted in bold.

therefore meruded despite naving marviduary non-signmeant effects.						
Model parameter	Coefficient	Std. Error	z value	P-value	OR	
Gene-body methylation	-0.67	0.19	-3.59	0.0003	0.51	
TE within 1 kb	0.32	0.13	2.48	0.0132	1.37	
PC1	0.06	0.04	1.52	NS	1.06	
PC3	-0.10	0.04	-2.28	0.0229	0.91	
PC4	0.11	0.05	2.38	0.0174	1.11	
PC5	-0.08	0.04	-1.97	0.0485	0.92	
PC6	-0.11	0.05	-2.13	0.0328	0.89	
PC7	0.09	0.06	1.57	NS	1.09	
PC8	-0.14	0.06	-2.25	0.0244	0.87	
PC9	0.36	0.08	4.68	<10 ⁻³	1.43	
Intercept	-2.60	0.06	-43.29	<10 ⁻³	0.07	

Table S9. Results of the best fit logistic regression including principal components of the continuous variables as well as the binary variables TE presence within 1 kb and gene-body methylation using stepwise AIC. PC1 and PC7 improved model fit and are therefore included despite having individually non-significant effects.

and gene-body methylation using stepwise BIC.					
Model parameter	Coefficient	Std. Error	z value	P-value	OR
Gene-body methylation	-0.69	0.18	-3.91	<10 ⁻³	0.51
PC9	0.36	0.08	4.68	<10 ⁻³	1.43
Intercept	-2.52	0.06	-43.29	<10 ⁻³	0.08

Table S10. Results of the best fit logistic regression including principal components of the continuous variables as well as the binary variables TE presence within 1 kb and gene-body methylation using stepwise BIC.

expression				
Designation	Seed	Geographical origin,	Pollen	Geographical origin,
	parent	seed parent	parent	pollen parent
Intra6.3	Cg89.30-	Greece, Zagory,	Cg935/13-	Greece, Corfu,
	1	Koukouli	2	Sokraki
Intra7.2	Cg94-6-1	Greece, Zagory,	Cg5d-1	Greece, Corfu, Near
		Mikro Papingo		Troumpeta
Intra8.2	Cg2k-1	Greece, Corfu,	Cg88.14-1	Greece, Zagory,
		Paleokastritsas		Monodendri

Table S11. Intraspecific *C. grandiflora* F1 samples for analysis of allele-specific expression

Species	Designation	Geographical origin
С.	Cg5a-KS2	Greece, Corfu,
grandiflora	C	Troumpeta
C.	Cg7h-KS2	Greece, Lefkas, Exanthia
grandiflora	C	
C.	Cg85.3-KS2	Greece, south of
grandiflora	C	Ioannina
Č.	Cg86.15-KS2	Greece, Zagory
grandiflora		
C.	Cg87.19-KS2	Greece, Zagory
grandiflora	-	
C.	Cg89.1-KS2	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora	-	Koukouli
C.	Cg89.11-KS3	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
C.	Cg89.12-KS2	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora	-	Koukouli
C.	Cg89.13-KS3	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
C.	Cg89.14-KS2	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
С.	Cg89.15-KS3	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
С.	Cg89.16-KS3	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
С.	Cg89.18-KS3	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
С.	Cg89.19-KS2	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
С.	Cg89.2-KS1	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
С.	Cg89.20-KS2	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
С.	Cg89.21-KS2	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
С.	Cg89.22-KS2	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
С.	Cg89.23-KS2	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
С.	Cg89.25-KS2	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
С.	Cg89.26-KS2	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
С.	Cg89.27-KS2	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora	a	Koukouli
С.	Cg89.3-KS2	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora	a	Koukouli
С.	Cg89.4-KS3	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli

Table S12. Geographic origin of samples for population genomic analyses.

С.	Cg89.5-KS3	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
С.	Cg89.9-KS3	Greece, Zagory,
grandiflora		Koukouli
С.	Cg8d-KS3	Greece, Sterea Ellada,
grandiflora		Thirio
C.	Cg92.11-KS3	Greece, Zagory
grandiflora		
C.	Cg93.9-KS3	Greece, Zagory
grandiflora	-	
Ċ.	Cg96.7-KS3	Greece, Zagory, Mikro
grandiflora	C	Papingo
Ċ.	Cg98.8-KS1	Greece, Katara Pass
grandiflora	~	-
Č.	Cg99.15-KS2	Greece, near Panagia
grandiflora	-	northeast of Metsovo