1	Supplemental Information		
2			
3			
4	Characterization of Adipogenic Chemicals in Three Different Cell Culture S	ystems:	
5	Implications for Reproducibility and Variations Based on Cell Source and Handling		
6	Christopher D. Kassotis ¹ , Lauren Masse ² , Stephanie Kim ² , Jennifer J. Schlezinger ² , Thomas F.		
7	Webster ² , and Heather M. Stapleton ^{1,*}		
8			
9	¹ Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708		
10	² Department of Environmental Health, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA		
11	02118		
12	*corresponding author: heather.stapleton@duke.edu		
13			
14	Contents		
15	Table S1, Receptor ligand activities vary between cell lines and sources	Page S2	
16	Table S2, List of primers used for qPCR	Page S3	
17	Figure S1, Representative imaging of seven-day differentiated adipocytes	Page S5	
18	Figure S2, Representative imaging of ten-day differentiated adipocytes	Page S6	
19	Figure S3, Representative imaging of fourteen-day differentiated adipocytes	Page S7	
20	Figure S4, Positive controls induce varied adipogenic activities between cell lines	Page S8	
21	Figure S5, Negative controls induce varied adipogenic activities between cell lines	Page S9	
22			

S1

23	Table S1.	Receptor ligand	activities var	rv between c	ell lines and	sources.
20	Tuble D1.	. Receptor inguing	uctivities vul		on mos una	sources.

Receptor	ATCC 3T3-L1	Zenbio 3T3-L1	OP9
PPARy agonism	+++	+++	+++
LXR agonism	+	+	-
GR agonism	++++*	++++*	+
RXR agonism	++	+	++++
TR antagonism	+	++	-
TR agonism	-	-	-
AR antagonism	+	+	+
ER agonism	-	-	-

24 Descriptive overview of receptor pathway testing using ligands for specific nuclear receptors

25 involved in adipogenesis. ATCC 3T3-L1, Zenbio 3T3-L1, and OP9 cells were differentiated as

26 described in Methods and assessed for adipocyte differentiation (Nile Red staining of lipid

27 accumulation) and cell proliferation (Hoechst staining) following seven days (OP9) or ten days

28 (3T3-L1) of treatment with positive control chemicals. Testing of PPARy utilized rosiglitazone,

29 LXR used GW3965, GR used dexamethasone, RXR used LG100268, TR antagonism used 1-850,

30 *TR* agonism used triiodothyronine, *AR* used flutamide, and *ER* used 17β-estradiol.

31 $PPAR\gamma = peroxisome \ proliferator \ activated \ receptor \ gamma, \ LXR = liver \ X \ receptor, \ GR =$

32 glucocorticoid receptor, RXR = retinoid X receptor, TR = thyroid receptor, AR = androgen

33 receptor, ER = estrogen receptor.

34 Activities defined as follows: - = 0.7% relative triglyceride accumulation, + = 8-25%, ++ = 26-25%

- 35 *50%*, +++ = *51-75%*, ++++ = *76-100%*.
- 36 * = superinduction: Zenbio and ATCC 3T3-L1 cells exhibited approximately 300% and 150%
- 37 *relative triglyceride accumulation, respectively.*

38

39 <u>Table S2. List of primers used for qPCR.</u>

Primer	Manufacturer	Sequence/Catalog #
Actb	IDT	F: CTCTGGCTCCTAGCACCATGAAGA
		R: GTAAAACGCAGCTCAGTAACAGTCCG
B2m	IDT	F: CTGCTACGTAACACAGTTCCACCC
		R: CATGATGCTTGATCACATGTCTCG
Esr1 (Nr3a1)	Qiagen	Cat # QT01075641
Esr2 (Nr3a2)	Qiagen	Cat # QT01761879
Gcr (Nr3c1)	Qiagen	Cat # QT01757735
Lxra (Nr1h3)	IDT	F: GAGTTGTGGAAGACAGAACCTCAA
		R: GGGCATCCTGGCTTCCTC
Lxrb (Nr1h2)	IDT	F: CCCCACAAGTTCTCTGGACAC
		R: TGGCGGAGGTACTGGGC
Nurr1 (Nr4a2)	Qiagen	Cat # QT00106407
Ppara (Nr1c1)	IDT	F: GCGTACGGCAATGGCTTTAT
		R: GAACGGCTTCCTCAGGTTCTT
Ppard (Nr1c2)	Qiagen	Cat # QT00166292
Pparg (Nr1c3)	IDT	F: TGGGTGAAACTCTGGGAGATTC
		R: AATTTCTTGTGAAGTGCTCATAGGC
Rara (Nr1b1)	Qiagen	Cat # QT00125958
Rarb (Nr1b2)	Qiagen	Cat # QT00151956
Rarg (Nr1b3)	Qiagen	Cat # QT01554322
Rn18s	IDT	F: GTAACCCGTTGAACCCCATT
		R: CCATCCAATCGGTAGTAGCG
Rxra (Nr2b1)	IDT	F: TACCCACCACACCCACATTG
		R: GCCTAGTGGCGGCTTGATATC
Rxrb (Nr2b2)	IDT	F: GAGCTCCTCATTGCGTCCTT
		R: GCGGAATGGGCTGAGTTTC

Rxrg (Nr2b3)	IDT	F: CAGGTCTGCCTGGGATTGGA
		R: GTTGAGTTCTCCACGTTCATG

- Primers used, manufacturers, and sequence or catalog numbers for all genes tested by qPCR to assess adipogenic pathways. 41 42

43



Supplemental Figure 1: Representative imaging of seven-day differentiated adipocytes.

46 ATCC 3T3-L1, Zenbio 3T3-L1, and OP9 cells were differentiated as described in Methods in 12-

47 well Greiner Bio-One plates and assessed for adipocyte differentiation (Nile Red staining of lipid

- 48 accumulation) following seven days treatment with positive control chemicals. Differentiated
- 49 cells were imaged at 40x magnification using a Zeiss Lumar fluorescent stereoscope in both
- 50 bright field and Rhodamine (red) imaging mode. Fluorescent lipid staining images were merged
- 51 *into bright field images for final presentation. Representative images provided of triglyceride*
- 52 accumulation in ATCC 3T3-L1 cells (column 1), Zenbio 3T3-L1 cells (column 2), and OP9 cells
- 53 (column 3). Concentrations of test ligands selected to be the lowest concentration that exhibited
- 54 maximal differentiation across most or all cell lines.

55 VEH = 0.1% DMSO vehicle control, RSG = 100 nM rosiglitazone, TBT = 100 nM tributyltin

- 56 *chloride, TBBPA* = $10 \mu M$ tetrabrominated bisphenol A, BPAF = μM bisphenol AF, DEX = 10
- 57 nM dexame thas one, LG268 = 10 nM LG100268.



58 59

Supplemental Figure 2: Representative imaging of ten-day differentiated adipocytes. ATCC

60 3T3-L1, Zenbio 3T3-L1, and OP9 cells were differentiated as described in Methods in 12-well

Greiner Bio-One plates and assessed for adipocyte differentiation (Nile Red staining of lipid 61

- 62 accumulation) following ten days treatment with positive control chemicals. Differentiated cells
- 63 were imaged at 40x magnification using a Zeiss Lumar fluorescent stereoscope in both bright
- 64 field and Rhodamine (red) imaging mode. Fluorescent lipid staining images were merged into
- 65 bright field images for final presentation. Representative images provided of triglyceride
- 66 accumulation in ATCC 3T3-L1 cells (column 1), Zenbio 3T3-L1 cells (column 2), and OP9 cells
- 67 (column 3). Concentrations of test ligands selected to be the lowest concentration that exhibited
- 68 maximal differentiation across most or all cell lines. 69
- VEH = 0.1% DMSO vehicle control, RSG = 100 nM rosiglitazone, TBT = 100 nM tributyltin
- 70 chloride, TBBPA = 10 μ M tetrabrominated bisphenol A, BPAF = μ M bisphenol AF, DEX = 10
- 71 nM dexamethasone, LG268 = 10 nM LG100268.



Supplemental Figure 3: Representative imaging of fourteen-day differentiated adipocytes.

- 74 ATCC 3T3-L1, Zenbio 3T3-L1, and OP9 cells were differentiated as described in Methods in 12-
- 75 well Greiner Bio-One plates and assessed for adipocyte differentiation (Nile Red staining of lipid
- 76 accumulation) following fourteen days treatment with positive control chemicals. Differentiated
- 77 cells were imaged at 40x magnification using a Zeiss Lumar fluorescent stereoscope in both
- 78 bright field and Rhodamine (red) imaging mode. Fluorescent lipid staining images were merged
- 79 into bright field images for final presentation. Representative images provided of triglyceride
- 80 accumulation in ATCC 3T3-L1 cells (column 1), Zenbio 3T3-L1 cells (column 2), and OP9 cells
- 81 *(column 3). Concentrations of test ligands selected to be the lowest concentration that exhibited*
- 82 *maximal differentiation across most or all cell lines.*
- 83 VEH = 0.1% DMSO vehicle control, RSG = 100 nM rosiglitazone, TBT = 100 nM tributyltin
- 84 *chloride,* $TBBPA = 10 \ \mu M$ *tetrabrominated bisphenol A,* $BPAF = \mu M$ *bisphenol AF,* DEX = 10
- nM dexame thas one, LG268 = 10 nM LG100268.

Triglyceride Accumulation per Well



87

Supplemental Figure 4: Positive Controls Induce Varied Adipogenic Activities Between Cell
Lines. ATCC 3T3-L1, Zenbio 3T3-L1, and OP9 cells were differentiated as described in Methods
and assessed for adipocyte differentiation (Nile Red staining of lipid accumulation) and cell
proliferation (Hoechst staining) following seven days (OP9) or ten days (3T3-L1) of treatment

- 92 with positive control chemicals. Percent raw triglyceride accumulation per well for rosiglitazone
- 93 (RSG) and tributyltin chloride (TBT) in ATCC 3T3-L1 cells (A), Zenbio 3T3-L1 cells (B), and
- 94 *OP9 cells (C). Increase (cell proliferation) or decrease (potential cytotoxicity) in DNA content*
- 95 relative to vehicle control for test chemicals in ATCC 3T3-L1 cells (D), Zenbio 3T3-L1 cells (E),
- 96 *and OP9 cells (F). Percent normalized triglyceride accumulation per cell (normalized to DNA*
- 97 content) for test chemicals in ATCC 3T3-L1 cells (G), Zenbio 3T3-L1 cells (H), and OP9 cells (I).
- 98 *TBT response is provided as relative activity to maximal rosiglitazone. Data presented as mean* \pm
- 99 SE from three independent experiments. * indicates lowest concentration with significant
- 100 increase in response over vehicle control, p < 0.05, as per linear mixed effect model in SAS.



102 Supplemental Figure 5: Negative Controls Induce Varied Adipogenic Activities Between

103 Cell Lines. ATCC 3T3-L1, Zenbio 3T3-L1, and OP9 cells were differentiated as described in 104 Methods and assessed for adipocyte differentiation (Nile Red staining of lipid accumulation) and 105 cell proliferation (Hoechst staining) following seven days (OP9) or ten days (3T3-L1) of treatment with negative control chemicals. Percent raw triglyceride accumulation per well 106 107 relative to half maximal rosiglitazone response for T0070907 (T007), and GW9662 in ATCC 108 3T3-L1 cells (A), Zenbio 3T3-L1 cells (B), and OP9 cells (C). Increase (cell proliferation) or 109 decrease (potential cytotoxicity) in DNA content relative to half maximal rosiglitazone for test 110 chemicals in ATCC 3T3-L1 cells (D), Zenbio 3T3-L1 cells (E), and OP9 cells (F). Percent normalized triglyceride accumulation per cell (normalized to DNA content) for test chemicals in 111 ATCC 3T3-L1 cells (G), Zenbio 3T3-L1 cells (H), and OP9 cells (I). T007/GW9662 inhibition is 112 113 of half maximal rosiglitazone in each respective cell line, set to 100% for complete absence of 114 inhibition and deceasing to 0% triglyceride accumulation at 100% inhibition. Data presented as 115 $mean \pm SE$ from three independent experiments. * indicates lowest concentration with significant

116 increase in response over vehicle control, p < 0.05, as per one-linear mixed effect model in SAS.

117