

Supplementary Figure 1. The production of CF₃I from the reaction of trifluoroacetic acid with I₂



Supplementary Figure 2. The detection of TEMPO-CF₃ by ¹⁹F NMR



Supplementary Figure 3. ¹⁹F-decoupled NMR spectrum for observation of trifluoromethane (CF₃H) and hexafluoroethane (CF₃-CF₃) by-products



Supplementary Figure 4. ¹⁹F-coupled NMR spectrum for observation of trifluoromethane (CF₃H) and hexafluoroethane (CF₃-CF₃)



Supplementary Figure 5. The determination of H₂ by GC



Supplementary Figure 6. The determination of CO_2 by GC



Supplementary Figure 7. XRD patterns of anatase TiO_2 nanocatalyst supported with different metals. (a) Rh/anatase TiO_2 , (b) Ag/anatase TiO_2 , (c) Pt/anatase TiO_2 , (d) Cu/anatase TiO_2 , (e) Au/anatase TiO_2 , (f) Pd/anatase TiO_2 , (g) standard profile of anatase TiO_2 PDF No. 21-1272.



Supplementary Figure 8. the particle size distribution of Rh(0) nanoparticles on anatase TiO₂ nanocatalyst



Supplementary Figure 9. HRTEM image of Rh/anatase TiO₂ nanocatalyst after reaction. (The scale bar, 2 nm)



Supplementary Figure 10. EDS diagram of as prepared Rh/anatase TiO₂ nanocatalyst



Supplementary Figure 11. XPS full spectrum of Rh supported anatase TiO2



Supplementary Figure 12. XPS C_{1s} scanning spectrum of Rh supported anatase TiO₂



Supplementary Figure 13. XPS O_{1s} scanning spectrum of Rh supported anatase TiO₂



Supplementary Figure 14. XPS Ti_{2p} scanning spectrum of Rh supported anatase TiO₂



Supplementary Figure 15. XPS Rh_{3d} scanning spectrum of Rh supported anatase TiO₂



Supplementary Figure 16. Schottky barrier at the interface between Rh and TiO_2



N-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetamide (20-C1)



 $N-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl) acetamide ({\bf 20-}C1)$



N-(4-chloro-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetamide (20-C2)



SupplementaryFigure22.¹⁹FNMRspectrumofN-(4-chloro-2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)acetamide (20-C2)



Supplementary Figure 23. ¹H NMR spectrum of 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-ol (2q)



Supplementary Figure 24. ¹³C NMR spectrum of 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-ol (2q)



Supplementary Figure 25. ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-2-ol (2q)



Supplementary Figure 26. ¹H NMR spectrum of 5-Chloro-4-(trifluoromethyl)-2-pyrimidinamine (2r)



5-Chloro-4-(trifluoromethyl)-2-pyrimidinamine (2r)



Supplementary Figure 28. ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of 5-Chloro-4-(trifluoromethyl)-2-pyrimidinamine (**2r**)

1,3,7-trimethyl-8-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-purine-2,6(3*H*,7*H*)-dione (**2s**)



1,3,7-trimethyl-8-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-purine-2,6(3*H*,7*H*)-dione (**2s**)



1,3,7-trimethyl-8-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-purine-2,6(3*H*,7*H*)-dione (**2s**)



1,3,7-trimethyl-8-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-purine-2,6(3*H*,7*H*)-dione (**2s**)

1,3-dimethyl-8-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-purine-2,6(3*H*,7*H*)-dione (**2**t)







1,3-dimethyl-8-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-purine-2,6(3*H*,7*H*)-dione (**2**t)

3,7-dimethyl-1-(5-oxohexyl)-8-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-purine-2,6(3*H*,7*H*)-dione (**2u**)



3,7-dimethyl-1-(5-oxohexyl)-8-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-purine-2,6(3*H*,7*H*)-dione (**2u**)





3,7-dimethyl-1-(5-oxohexyl)-8-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-purine-2,6(3*H*,7*H*)-dione (**2u**)

3,7-dimethyl-8-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-purine-2,6(3*H*,7*H*)-dione (**2v**)





3,7-dimethyl-8-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-purine-2,6(3*H*,7*H*)-dione (**2v**)



3,7-dimethyl-8-(trifluoromethyl)-1H-purine-2,6(3H,7H)-dione (**2v**)

3-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*d*]pyrimidin-4(2*H*)-one (**2**w)





3-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*d*]pyrimidin-4(2*H*)-one (**2w**)





3-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-pyrazolo[3,4-*d*]pyrimidin-4(2*H*)-one (2w)



Supplementary Figure 44. ¹H NMR spectrum of 2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl acetate (*o*-CF₃-2k)



Supplementary Figure 45. ¹³C NMR spectrum of 2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl acetate (*o*-CF₃-2k)



Supplementary Figure 46. ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of 2-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl acetate (o-CF₃-2k)



Supplementary Figure 47. ¹H NMR spectrum of 3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl acetate (*m*-CF₃-2k)



Supplementary Figure 48. ¹³C NMR spectrum of 3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl acetate (*m*-CF₃-2k)



Supplementary Figure 49. ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of 3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl acetate (*m*-CF₃-2k)



Supplementary Figure 50. ¹H NMR spectrum of 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl acetate (*p*-CF₃-2k)



Supplementary Figure 51. ¹³C NMR spectrum of 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl acetate (*p*-CF₃-2k)



Supplementary Figure 52. ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl acetate (*p*-CF₃-2k)



Supplementary Figure 53. photo-driven C-H trifluoromethylation of substituted benzenes and nitrogen-containing heteroarenes using NaSO₄ in place of NaS₂O₈. Reaction conditions: substrates (0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ NPs (20-40 mol%), Na₂SO₄ (1 equiv.), TFA (10-15 mL), 365 nm UV, room temperature, 24-48 h. [†]Isolated yield.

Supplementary Table 1. Selected screening results of photo-induced trifluoromethylation of caffeine^a



entry	Variation from the standard condition	yield ^b
1	CF ₃ CO ₂ Li (1 equiv.) in TFA	trace
2	CF ₃ CO ₂ K (1 equiv.) in TFA	trace
3	TFA (1 mL) in DMF (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
4	TFA (1 mL) in DME (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
5	TFA (1 mL) in MeCN (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
6	TFA (1 mL) in DMSO (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
7	TFA (1 mL) in Toluene (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
8	TFA (1 mL) in MeOH (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
9	TFA (1 mL) in NMP (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
10	TFA (1 mL) in CHCl ₃ (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
11	TFA (1 mL) in CH ₂ Cl ₂ (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
12	TFA (1 mL) in H ₂ O (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
13	TFA (1 mL) in CCl ₄ (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
14	TFA (1 mL) in Nitrobenzene (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
15	TFA (1 mL) in DCE (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
16	TFA (1 mL) in benzonitrile (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
17	TFA (1 mL) in pentafluorobenzene (14 mL) instead of TFA	n.r.
18	TFA (1 mL) in CNCH ₂ CO ₂ Et (14 mL) instead of TFA	3
19	TFA (1 mL) in CNCH ₂ CO ₂ Me (14 mL) instead of TFA	3
20	TFA (1 mL) in pentafluorobenzonitrile (14 mL) instead of TFA	5
21	NaNO ₂ (1 equiv.) as additive	0
22	Ca(ClO) ₂ (1 equiv.) as additive	0
23	2KHSO ₅ •KHSO ₄ •K ₂ SO ₄ (1 equiv.) as additive	0
24	$K_2S_2O_8$ (1 equiv.) as additive	39
25	NaBrO ₅ (1 equiv.) as additive	8
26	MnO ₂ (1 equiv.) as additive	40
27	KMnO ₄ (1 equiv.) as additive	28
28	Ce(NO ₃) ₃ (1 equiv.) as additive	0
29	Ce(NH ₄) ₂ (NO ₃) ₃ (1 equiv.) as additive	0
30	Ce(SO ₄) ₂ (1 equiv.) as additive	0
31	Ce(OAc) ₃ (1 equiv.) as additive	10
32	<i>m</i> -CPBA (1 equiv.) as additive	6
33	^{<i>t</i>} BuOOH (1 equiv.) as additive	7
34	^t BuOO ^t Bu (1 equiv.) as additive	6
35	PhCO ₃ ^t Bu (1 equiv.) as additive	0

36	DDQ (1 equiv.) as additive	10
37	P ₂ W ₂₄ O ₇₇ (1 equiv.) as additive	6
38	Na ₂ WO ₄ (1 equiv.) as additive	0
39	K_2WO_4 (1 equiv.) as additive	0
40	AgTFA (2 equiv.) as additive	38
41	AgOTf (2 equiv.) as additive	26
42	AgOTs (2 equiv.) as additive	7
43	Ag ₂ CO ₃ (2 equiv.) as additive	29
44	AgBF ₄ (2 equiv.) as additive	12
45	$AgPF_6$ (2 equiv.) as additive	14
46	Ag ₂ O (2 equiv.) as additive	40
47	AgF (2 equiv.) as additive	24
48	AgNO ₃ (2 equiv.) as additive	0
49	AgO (2 equiv.) as additive	23
50	Ag ₃ PO ₄ (2 equiv.) as additive	46
51	AgOAc (2 equiv.) as additive	31
52	K_2SO_4 (2 equiv.) as additive	30
53	(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄ (2 equiv.) as additive	26
54	ZnSO ₄ (2 equiv.) as additive	11
55	MgSO ₄ (2 equiv.) as additive	10
56	CaSO ₄ (2 equiv.) as additive	11
57	NaHSO ₄ (2 equiv.) as additive	10
58	KHSO ₄ (2 equiv.) as additive	8
59	Li ₂ SO ₄ (2 equiv.) as additive	29
60	Cs ₂ SO ₄ (2 equiv.) as additive	31
61	Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ (2 equiv.) as additive	0
62	$H_2SO_4 \bullet 0.5 SO_3(1 mL)$ as additive	33
62	26W 365 nm UV instead of 250W 365 nm UV, $\rm Na_2S_2O_8$ (20	trace
05	mol%) as additive	
64	100W 365 nm UV instead of 250W 365 nm UV, $\rm Na_2S_2O_8$ (20	39
	mol%) as additive	
65	400W 365 nm UV instead of 250W 365 nm UV, $Na_2S_2O_8$ (20	48
	mol%) as additive	
66	1kW 365 nm UV instead of 250W 365 nm UV, $Na_2S_2O_8$ (20	trace
	mol%) as additive	
67	24W visible light instead of 250W 365 nm UV, $Na_2S_2O_8$ (20	n.r.
	mol%) as additive	
68	46W visible light instead of 250W 365 nm UV, $Na_2S_2O_8$ (20	n.r.
	mol%) as additive	
69	300W visible light instead of 250W 365 nm UV, $Na_2S_2O_8$ (20	trace
	mol%) as additive	25
70	Cu/anatase 11O ₂ instead of 0.1 wt% Kh/anatase 11O ₂	30
71	Au/anatase $11O_2$ instead of 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase $11O_2$	39

72	Pt/anatase TiO ₂ instead of 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO ₂	35
73	Pd/anatase TiO ₂ instead of 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO ₂	36
74	Ag/anatase TiO ₂ instead of 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO ₂	43

^a standad conditions: benzene (0.5 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ as photocatalytst (20 mol%), distilled TFA (15 mL), room temperature, 365 nm irradiation(250 W), 24h.

^b yield determined by ¹⁹F NMR using 1-methoxy-4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzene as the internal standard. The yield includes benzotrifluoride (2a) and bi-trifluoromethyl-substituted benzene (3a)

Supplementary Methods

General Information. All reagents for catalyst preparations and photo-induced trifluoromethylation experiments, including all substrates and some products, were purchased from commercial sources without further purification unless otherwise noted. Solvents including trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), acetonitrile (MeCN), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), dimethoxyethane (DME), dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO), Toluene, methanol, N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), CHCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, CCl₄, nitrobenzene, dichloroethane (DCE), benzonitrile were used after distillation for purification.

Instrument. The 365 nm ultra-violet irradiation was obtained by using high pressure mercury lamp (100 W/250 W/400 W/1 kW). The visible light irradiation was provided by 300 W Xe lamp (PLS-SXE300C, Beijing Perfect Light Co.) equipped with a 420 nm cutoff filter. XPS analysis was carried out on a Thermofisher ESCALAB 250 X-ray photoelectron spectrometer with a chromatized Al Ka source (15 kV, 150W). XRD measurements were carried out on Rigaku MiniFlex II using Cu K α as radiation source ($\lambda = 0.15064$ nm) at 30 kV and 15 mA. TEM and SEAD observation was made on JEOL JEM-2010. The preparations of TEM samples were carried out by depositing a drop of the nanoparticle suspension, which was redispersed by ultrasonics, onto a continuous carbon-coated copper grid and dried at room temperature under atmospheric pressure. Gas chromatographic (GC) analysis for H₂ determination was conducted using an Agilent 7820A gas chromatography equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and a TD-01 packed column, using Ar as the carrier gas. ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were recorded on Burker Avance 400 NMR spectrometer. Data for ¹H NMR are recorded as follows: chemical shift (δ, ppm), multiplicity (s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet), coupling constant (Hz), integration. Data for ¹³C NMR are reported in terms of chemical shift (δ , ppm). ¹⁹F NMR spectra are reported in terms of chemical shift (δ , ppm).

Preparation of 0.1 wt% Rh-modified anatase TiO₂ nanopaticles. In a 30 mL quartz round bottom flask, a mixture of 60 nm anatase TiO₂ (3.0 g) and RhCl₃•xH₂O (0.023 g, Rh content of 39 %) was deoxygenated and filled with N₂, followed by addition of deoxygenated methanol (30 mL). The suspension was stirred with an irradiation of 250 W high pressure Hg lamp (365 nm UV) for 48 h. After illumination, nanocatalysts were separated from the solution by centrifugation (8000 rpm, 2 min, 298 K). The separated nanocatalysts were washed for three times by ethanol and three times by water, dried in vacuum at 298 K for 8 h. The Rh content of as-prepared nanocatalyst was 0.1 wt% by the inductively coupled plasma (ICP) analysis.

Preparation of anatase TiO₂ nanopaticles modified by other transition metals (Pd, Au, Ag, Pt, Cu). The anatase TiO₂ nanocatalysts modified by other transition metals were prepared by following the method for preparation of 0.1 wt% Rh-modified TiO₂ nanocatalyst. In these processes, PdCl₂ (0.0151 g), H₃AuCl₆ solution in methanol (0.94 mL, 0.02g H₃AuCl₆ /mL), CuCl₂ (0.0191 g), AgNO₃ (0.0143 g) and H₂PtCl₆•6H₂O (0.024 g) were used, respectively, with 60 nm

anatase TiO_2 (3.0g) and methanol (30 mL).

Typical reaction A (trifluoromethylation reaction of caffeine was used as an example). photocatalyst (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol), caffeine (0.0971 g, 0.5 mmol) and Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0238 g, 0.1 mmol) were introduced into a Schlenk tube. Then, the tube was fitted with a rubber septum. After evacuation and N₂ backfill for three times, distilled trifluoroacetic acid (15 mL) was added to the Schlenk tube through the rubber septum using syringes, and the rubber septum was replaced by a Teflon cap under N₂ flow. The reaction was performed under illumination of 250 W high pressure Hg lamp (365 nm UV) at room temperature for 48 hours. After reaction, the trifluoroacetic acid was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent: EtOAc/hexanes) to provide the corresponding product. The yield were also determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectrum using 1-methoxy-4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzene (76 μ L, 0.5 mmol, δ -58.4 ppm) as an internal reference.

Typical reaction B (trifluoromethylation reaction of caffeine was used as an example). Typical reaction B is identical to typical reaction A except that Na_2SO_4 was used instead of $Na_2S_2O_8$.

Typical gram scale reaction (trifluoromethylation reaction of caffeine was used as an example). 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ nanocatalysts of (0.04 g, 0.5 mmol), caffeine (1 g, 5 mmol) and Na₂S₂O₈ (0.119 g, 0.5 mmol) were introduced into a Schlenk tube. Then, the tube was fitted with a rubber septum. After evacuation and N₂ backfill for three times, distilled trifluoroacetic acid (60 mL) was added to the Schlenk tube through the rubber septum using syringes, and the rubber septum was replaced by a Teflon cap under N₂ flow. The reaction was performed under illumination of 250 W high pressure Hg lamp (365 nm UV) at room temperature for 120 hours. After reaction, the trifluoroacetic acid was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (eluent: EtOAc/hexanes) to provide the corresponding product.

Radical trapping experiment with TEMPO. 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ nanocatalysts (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol), TEMPO (0.0781 g, 0.5 mmol) and Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol), were introduced into a Schlenk tube. Then, the tube was fitted with a rubber septum. After evacuation and N₂ backfill for three times, benzene (46 μ L, 0.5 mmol) and 2 mL distilled trifluoroacetic acid was added to the Schlenk tube through the rubber septum using syringes, and the rubber septum was replaced by a Teflon cap under N₂ flow. The reaction was performed under illumination of 100 W high pressure Hg lamp (365 nm UV) at room temperature for 2 hours. The resultant mixture was analyzed by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy using 1-methoxy-4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzene (76 μ L, 0.5 mmol, δ -58.4 ppm) as the internal standard.

Detection of H₂ and CO₂ formed in the control experiment of trifluoroacetic acid. 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ nanocatalysts (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol) and Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol) were introduced into a Schlenk tube. Then, the tube was fitted with a rubber septum. After evacuation and N₂ backfill for three times, distilled trifluoroacetic acid (15 mL) was added to the Schlenk tube through the rubber septum using syringes, and the rubber septum was replaced by a Teflon cap

under N₂ flow. The reaction was performed under illumination of 250 W high pressure Hg lamp (365 nm UV) at room temperature for 24 hours. After reaction, the atmosphere of reaction (1 mL) in schlenk tube was injected into GC instrument. The oven temperature was held constant at 40 °C for 20 min, then it was raised to 250 °C with 15°C/min. Inlet and detector temperature were set at 120 °C and 200 °C, respectively.

Synthesis of CF₃I. 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ nanocatalysts (0.016 g, 0.1 mmol) and I₂ (0.127 g, 1 mmol) were introduced to a Schlenk tube. Then, the tube was fitted with a rubber septum. After evacuation and N₂ backfill for three times, distilled trifluoroacetic acid (15 mL) was added to the Schlenk tube through the rubber septum using syringes, and the rubber septum was replaced by a Teflon cap under N₂ flow. The reaction was performed under illumination of 250 W high pressure Hg lamp (365 nm UV) at room temperature for 24 hours. The yield was determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectrum using 1-methoxy-4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzene (76 μ L, 0.5 mmol, δ -58.4 ppm) as the internal standard..

Characterization of photocatalysts. The characterization of as-prepared photocatalysts was carried out using XPS, XRD, TEM, HRTEM, and ICP.

After catalytic reaction, the suspension was centrifuged (8000 rpm, 2 min, 298 K) for separating nanocatalysts from the solution. And then the separated nanocatalysts were washed for 3 times with ethanol and 3 times with water, dried in vacuum at 298 k for 10 h. The measurements of separated nanocatalysts for XPS, XRD, TEM and ICP were performed.

Substrates:

The typical reaction A was followed with benzene (46 μ L, 0.5 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0238 g, 0.1 mmol) and a reaction time of 24 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (70 %, monosubstituted/*o/m/p* = 17.3/2.8/1/1.8). The typical reaction B was followed with benzene (46 μ L, 0.5 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol) and a reaction time of 24 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (51 %, monosubstituted/*o/m/p* = 8.3/1/2.4/2.9). Due to the availability of the products, no purification was attempted on this reaction mixture. The fluorine signals of the products were identical to those of the commercial samples.

The typical reaction A was followed with fluorobenzene (47 μ L, 0.5 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0238 g, 0.1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (43 %, *o/m/p* = 1/1.3/2).

The typical reaction B was followed with fluorobenzene (47 μ L, 0.5 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol) and a reaction time of 72 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (47 %, *o/m/p* = 1/1.3/1).

Due to the availability of the products, no purification was attempted on this reaction mixture. The fluorine signals of the products were identical to those of the commercial samples.

The typical reaction A was followed with chlorobenzene (52 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0238 g, 0.1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (65 %, *o/m/p* = 4.5/3.1/1).

The typical reaction B was followed with chlorobenzene (52 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (39 %, *o/m/p* = 1/1/1).

Due to the availability of the products, no purification was attempted on this reaction mixture. The fluorine signals of the products were identical to those of the commercial samples.

The typical reaction A was followed with bromobenzene (52 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0238 g, 0.1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (64 %, o/m/p = 1.2/1/1).

The typical reaction B was followed with bromobenzene (52 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (45 %, *o/m/p* = 1.1/1/1).

Due to the availability of the products, no purification was attempted on this reaction mixture. The fluorine signals of the products were identical to those of the commercial samples.

The typical reaction A was followed with iodobenzene (56 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0238 g, 0.1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (52 %, *o/m/p* = 1/1.8/1.8).

Due to the availability of the products, no purification was attempted on this reaction mixture. The fluorine signals of the products were identical to those of the commercial samples.

The typical reaction A was followed with 1,2-dichlorobenzene (56 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0238 g, 0.1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 24 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (63 %, C1/C2 = 1/1.2).

The typical reaction B was followed with 1,2-dichlorobenzene (56 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 24 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (30 %, C1/C2 = 2.3/1).

Due to the availability of the products, no purification was attempted on this reaction mixture. The fluorine signals of the products were identical to those of the commercial samples.



The typical reaction A was followed with 1,3-dichlorobenzene (57 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0238 g, 0.1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 24 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (54 %, C1/C2/C3 = 5/1.2/1).

The typical reaction A was followed with 1,3-dichlorobenzene (57 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 24 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (24 %, C1/C2/C3 = 1.7/5.3/1).

Due to the availability of the products, no purification was attempted on this reaction mixture. The fluorine signals of the products were identical to those of the commercial samples.



The typical reaction A was followed with acetophenone (58 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0238 g, 0.1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol) and reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (46 %, *o/m/p* = 2.4/1/3).

The typical reaction B was followed with acetophenone (58 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol) and reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (22 %, *o/m/p* = 1.5/1/3).

Due to the availability of the products, no purification was attempted on this reaction mixture. The fluorine signals of the products were identical to those of the commercial samples.

The typical reaction A was followed with propiophenone (66 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0479 g, 0.2 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (48 %, *o/m/p* = 3.3/1/4).

The typical reaction B was followed with propiophenone (66 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (16 %, *o/m/p* = 1.3/1/3).

Due to the availability of the products, no purification was attempted on this reaction mixture. The

fluorine signals of the products were identical to those of the commercial samples.

The typical reaction A was followed with methyl benzoate (62 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0479 g, 0.2 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (57 %, *o/m/p* = 1.8/1/1.9).

The typical reaction B was followed with methyl benzoate (62 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (18 %, *o/m/p* = 1.8/1/1.8).

Due to the availability of the products, no purification was attempted on this reaction mixture. The fluorine signals of the products were identical to those of the commercial samples.

The typical reaction A was followed with ethyl benzoate (72 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0238 g, 0.1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (46 %, *o/m/p* = 1.9/1/1.7).

The typical reaction B was followed with ethyl benzoate (72 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (17 %, *o/m/p* = 1.3/1/3.3).

Due to the availability of the products, no purification was attempted on this reaction mixture. The fluorine signals of the products were identical to those of the commercial samples.

The typical reaction A was followed with benzonitrile (52 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0479 g, 0.2 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (40 %, *o/m/p* = 1.4/1/3.1).

The typical reaction B was followed with benzonitrile (52 μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (11 %, *o/m/p* = 0/1/1.8).

Due to the availability of the products, no purification was attempted on this reaction mixture. The fluorine signals of the products were identical to those of the commercial samples.

The typical reaction A was followed with phenyl acetate (64μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0238 g, 0.1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (47 %, *o/m/p* = 1/1.1/1.5).

The typical reaction B was followed with phenyl acetate (64μ L, 0.5 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (18 %, *o/m/p* = 1.4/1.2/1).

The identities of trifluoromethylated products of 2m were verified by synthesis of authentic samples from their corresponding phenols in analogy to a literature procedure.¹



The product was purified as a colorless oil by distillation under vaccum. ¹H NMR (400 M, CDCl₃) δ 7.67 (d, *J* = 7.84 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (t, *J* = 7.76 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (t, *J* = 7.66 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (t, *J* = 8.70 Hz, 1H), 2.34 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (101 M, CDCl₃) δ 168.88, 148.13(q, *J*_{C-F} = 2.00 Hz), 133.02, 126.91 (q, *J*_{C-F} = 4.81 Hz), 125.97, 124.45, 122.91(q, *J*_{C-F} = 31.47 Hz), 122.98(q, *J*_{C-F} = 272.60 Hz), 20.68. ¹⁹F NMR (377 M, CDCl₃) δ -61.93 (s, 3F).



The product was purified as a colorless oil by distillation under vaccum. ¹H NMR (400 M, CDCl₃) δ 7.51-7.50 (m, 2H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 7.31-7.29 (m, 1H), 2.33 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (101 M, CDCl₃) δ 169.19, 150.70, 131.97 (q, *J*_{C-F} = 32.99 Hz), 130.00, 125.22, 123.52 (q, *J*_{C-F} = 272.40 Hz), 122.68 (q, *J*_{C-F} = 3.66 Hz), 118.90 (q, *J*_{C-F} = 3.65 Hz), 21.00. ¹⁹F NMR (377 M, CDCl₃) δ -62.72 (s, 3F).

The product was purified as a colorless oil by distillation under vaccum. ¹H NMR (400 M, CDCl₃) δ 7.65 (d, J = 8.56 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.48 Hz, 2H), 2.33 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (101 M, CDCl₃) δ 168.92, 153.15, 128.10 (q, $J_{C-F} = 32.82$ Hz), 126.79 (q, $J_{C-F} = 3.74$ Hz), 123.87 (q, $J_{C-F} = 272.01$ Hz), 122.09, 21.05. ¹⁹F NMR (377 M, CDCl₃) δ -62.27 (s, 3F).



The typical reaction A was followed with benzoic acid (0.061g, 0.5 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0479 g, 0.2 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (34 %, o/m/p = 3.2/1/2.2).

The typical reaction B was followed with benzoic acid (0.061g, 0.5 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol), 0.1wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (38 %, o/m/p = 3.2/1/1.2).

Due to the availability of the products, no purification was attempted on this reaction mixture. The fluorine signals of the products were identical to those of the commercial samples.



Typical reaction A was followed with 4'-chloroacetanilide (0.0848 g, 0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0238 g, 0.1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours to provide **20** in 50 % yield (C2/C1 = 1/1).

Typical reaction B was followed with 4'-chloroacetanilide (0.0848 g, 0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours to provide **20** in 14 % yield (C2/C1 = 1.3/1).

The product was purified as a white solid by silica gel chromatography using eluant (50 % EtOAc/hexanes, **2o**-C1 $R_f = 0.4$, **2o**-C2 $R_f = 0.56$).

20-C1 ¹H NMR (400 M, CDCl₃) 7.80 (s, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.56 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (br s, 1H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.64 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 M, CDCl₃) δ 169.18, 136.85, 132.07, 128.78 (q, $J_{C-F} = 31.63$ Hz), 127.02, 124.02, 122.64 (q, $J_{C-F} = 273.40$ Hz), 118.99 (q, $J_{C-F} = 5.66$ Hz), 24.53. ¹⁹F NMR (377 M, CDCl₃) δ -62.85 (s, 3F).

20-C2 ¹H NMR (400 M, CDCl₃) 8.17 (d, J = 8.12 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, J = 2.04 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.68, 1H), 7.35 (br s, 1H), 2.22 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 M, CDCl₃) δ 168.54, 133.92, 132.99, 130.12, 126.33 (q, $J_{C-F} = 5.60$ Hz), 126.13, 123.31 (q, $J_{C-F} = 273.64$ Hz), 121.64 (q, $J_{C-F} = 30.48$ Hz), 27.76. ¹⁹F NMR (377 M, CDCl₃) δ -61.03 (s, 3F).



The typical reaction A was followed with pyridine (40 μ L, 0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0479 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (55 %, *o/m/p* = 2.7/2.4/1).

The typical reaction B was followed with pyridine (40 μ L, 0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours. The reaction mixture was analyzed directly by ¹⁹F NMR (50 %, *o/m/p* = 3.5/1/3.7).

Due to the availability of the products, no purification was attempted on this reaction mixture. The fluorine signals of the products were identical to those of the commercial samples.

Typical reaction A was followed with 2-hydroxy-5-trifluoromethylpyridine (0.0816 g, 0.5 mmol), $Na_2S_2O_8$ (0.0479 g, 0.2 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours to provide **2q** in 51 % yield.

Typical reaction B was followed with 2-hydroxy-5-trifluoromethylpyridine (0.0816 g, 0.5 mmol), Na_2SO_4 (0.14 g, 1 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours to provide **2q** in 32 % yield.

The product was purified as a white solid by prep TLC using eluant (67 % EtOAc/hexanes, $R_f = 0.6$). ¹H NMR (400 M, CDCl₃) δ 8.02-8.03 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (101 M, CDCl₃) δ 160.81, 138.23

(q, $J_{C-F} = 4.72 \text{ Hz}$), 137.02-136.94 (m), 122.47 (q, $J_{C-F} = 270.29 \text{ Hz}$), 121.68 (q, $J_{C-F} = 272.06 \text{ Hz}$), 121.39 (q, $J_{C-F} = 32.38 \text{ Hz}$), 110.14 (q, $J_{C-F} = 36.41 \text{ Hz}$). ¹⁹F NMR (377 M, CDCl₃) δ -62.41 (s, 3F), -63.30 (s, 3F).

Typical reaction A was followed with 2-amino-5-chloropyrimidine (0.065 g, 0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0238 g, 0.1 mmol), and a reaction time of 48 hours to provide 2r in 37 % yield.

Typical reaction B was followed with 2-amino-5-chloropyrimidine (0.065 g, 0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours to provide 2r in 32 % yield.

The product was purified as a white solid by silica gel chromatography using eluant (33 % EtOAc/hexanes, $R_f = 0.5$). ¹H NMR (400 M, DMSO-D6) δ 8.59 (s, 1H), 7.55 (s, 2H).

¹³C NMR (101 M, DMSO-D6) δ 162.00, 161.55, 150.52 (q, $J_{C-F} = 33.91$ Hz), 120.60 (q, $J_{C-F} = 276.40$ Hz), 113.08. ¹⁹F NMR (377 M, CDCl₃) δ -68.40 (s, 3F).



Typical gram scale reaction was followed to provide 2s in 75 % yield.

Typical reaction B was followed with caffeine (0.0971 g, 0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO_2 (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol), Na₂SO₄ (0.14 g, 1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours to provide **2s** in 58 % yield.

The product was purified as a white solid by silica gel chromatography using eluant (EtOAc/hexanes = 1/1, $R_f = 0.4$). ¹H NMR (400 M, CDCl₃) δ 4.14 (d, J = 1.08 Hz, 3H), 3.57 (s, 3H), 3.40 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 M, CDCl₃) δ 155.44, 151.32, 146.50, 138.90 (q, $J_{C-F} = 40.07$ Hz), 118.73 (q, $J_{C-F} = 271.27$ Hz), 109.63, 33.17 (d, $J_{C-F} = 1.60$ Hz), 29.89, 28.18. ¹⁹F NMR (377 M, CDCl₃) δ -62.42 (s, 3F).



Typical gram scale reaction was followed with Theophylline (1.08 g, 6 mmol) to provide **2t** in 73 % yield.

Typical reaction B was followed with caffeine (0.09 g, 0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO_2 (0.008 g, 0.2 mmol), Na_2SO_4 (0.14 g, 1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours to provide **2t** in 48 % yield.

The product was purified as a white solid by silica gel chromatography using eluant

(EtOAc/hexanes = 2/1, R_f = 0.2). ¹H NMR (400 M, DMSO-D6) δ 3.43 (s, 3H), 3.25 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 M, DMSO-D6) δ 155.10, 151.47, 147.30, 137.81 (q, J_{C-F} = 41.47 Hz), 118.64 (q, J_{C-F} = 269.96 Hz), 109.64, 30.37, 28.40. ¹⁹F NMR (377 M, DMSO-D6) δ -62.66 (s, 3F).



2u

Typical reaction A was followed with pentoxifylline (0.139 g, 0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO_2 (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol), $Na_2S_2O_8$ (0.0479 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours to provide **2u** in 67 % yield.

Typical reaction B was followed with pentoxifylline (0.139 g, 0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO_2 (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol), Na_2SO_4 (0.14 g, 1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours to provide **2u** in 63 % yield.

The product was purified as a white solid by silica gel chromatography using eluant (EtOAc/hexanes = 1/1, $R_f = 0.35$). ¹H NMR (400 M, CDCl₃) δ 4.03 (s, 3H), 3.86 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.43 (s, 3H), 2.38 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.01 (s, 3H), 1.51-1.50 (m, 4H).

¹³C NMR (101 M, CDCl₃) δ 208.64, 155.10, 150.89, 146.38, 138.68 (q, $J_{C-F} = 39.90$ Hz), 118.07 (q, $J_{C-F} = 271.20$ Hz), 109.54, 42.83, 40.91, 33.01 (d, $J_{C-F} = 1.29$ Hz), 29.70, 29.60, 27.11, 20.69. ¹⁹F NMR (377 M, CDCl₃) δ -62.43 (s, 3F).



Typical reaction was A followed with the obromine (0.09 g, 0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂ (0.016 g, 0.2 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0479 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours to provide 2v in 40 % yield.

Typical reaction was B followed with the bromine (0.09 g, 0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO_2 (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol), Na_2SO_4 (0.14 g, 1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours to provide **2v** in 45 % yield.

The product was purified as a white solid by silica gel chromatography using eluant (EtOAc/hexanes = 1/1, $R_f = 0.25$). ¹H NMR (400 M, CDCl₃) δ 9.08 (s, 1H), 4.14 (s, 3H), 3.55 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 M, CDCl₃) δ 155.10, 150.93, 148.55, 139.63 (q, $J_{C-F} = 40.25$ Hz), 118.23 (q, $J_{C-F} = 271.17$ Hz), 110.16, 33.45(d, $J_{C-F} = 2.15$ Hz), 29.31. ¹⁹F NMR (377 M, CDCl₃) δ -62.51 (s, 3F).



Typical reaction A was followed with allopurinol (0.0681 g, 0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO₂

(0.016 g, 0.2 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (0.0479 g, 0.2 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours to provide 2w in 57 % yield.

Typical reaction B was followed with allopurinol (0.0681 g, 0.5 mmol), 0.1 wt% Rh/anatase TiO_2 (0.008 g, 0.1 mmol), 0.14 g Na₂SO₄ (1 mmol) and a reaction time of 48 hours to provide **2w** in 65 % yield.

The product was purified as a white solid by silica gel chromatography using eluant (EtOAc/hexanes = 2/1, $R_f = 0.2$). ¹H NMR (400 M, MeOD-D4) δ 8.07 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (101 M, MeOD-D4) δ 156.59, 155.06, 148.66, 137.49 (q, $J_{C-F} = 40.12$ Hz), 120.52 (q, $J_{C-F} = 268.49$ Hz), 102.51. ¹⁹F NMR (377 M, DMSO-D6) δ -60.89 (s, 3F).

Supplementary References

1. Cook, A. K., Emmert, M. H., & Sanford, M. S. Steric Control of Site Selectivity in the Pd-Catalyzed C–H Acetoxylation of Simple Arenes. *Org. Lett.* **15**, 5428-5431 (2013).