## **De-novo NAD<sup>+</sup> synthesis regulates SIRT1-FOXO1 apoptotic** pathway in response to NQO1 substrates in lung cancer cells

## SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES AND TABLES



**Supplementary Figure S1: NQO1 substrates have no significant effect on FOXO3 and FOXO4 activation. A.** FOXO3 or FOXO4 mRNA levels. **B.** FOXO3 nuclear translocation.



Supplementary Figure S2: Activation of FOXO1 by TSA or  $\beta$ -lap is NQO1-dependent. A. FOXO1 mRNA levels. B. FOXO1 protein levels. C. NQO1 silencing efficacy D. FOXO1 mRNA levels. E. FOXO1 protein levels. F. FOXO1 mRNA levels in H596-NQO1<sup>+/+</sup> cells/H596-NQO1<sup>-/-</sup> cells. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments, \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01 VS control; #P<0.05, #P<0.01, DIC or NQO1 siRNA pretreatment VS corresponding control, Student's *t* test.



Supplementary Figure S3: FOXO1 silencing reverses TSA and  $\beta$ -lap induced decrease of ATP. The amount of ATP was determined with Molecular Probes' ATP Determination Kit and relative ATP % was calculated with untreated cells as negative control. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments, \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01 VS control; #P<0.05, #P<0.01, DIC or NQO1 siRNA pretreatment VS corresponding control, Student's *t* test.



Supplementary Figure S4: NQO1 substrates reduce SIRT1 mRNA level. A. SIRT1 mRNA level during time course of TSA exposure. B. SIRT1 mRNA level during time course of  $\beta$ -lap exposure. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments, \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01 VS control, Student's *t* test.



Supplementary Figure S5: TSA or  $\beta$ -lap induced SIRT1 repression is NQO1-dependent. A. SIRT1 mRNA levels. B. SIRT1 and Ac-FOXO1 protein levels. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments, \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01 VS control; #P<0.05, DIC pretreatment VS corresponding control, Student's *t* test.



Supplementary Figure S6: NAC combats against the effects of NQO1 activation. A. SIRT1 mRNA levels. B. NAD<sup>+</sup> levels and SIRT1 activity. C. PARP-1 and Ac-FOXO1 protein expression. D. Cytotoxicity. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments, \*P<0.05, \*\* P<0.01 VS control; #P<0.05, ##P<0.01, NAC pretreatment VS corresponding control, Student's *t* test.



Supplementary Figure S7: NQO1 substrates induce PARP-1 activation. PAR polymer formation after TSA A. or  $\beta$ -lap B. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments, \*P<0.05, \*\* P<0.01 VS control, Student's *t* test.



Supplementary Figure S8: PARP-1 inhibitor DPQ reverses TSA or  $\beta$ -lap induced cell death. A. SIRT1 mRNA. B. NAD<sup>+</sup> levels and SIRT1 activity. C. Ac-FOXO1 accumulation. D. Cytotoxicity. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments, \*P<0.05, \*\* P<0.01 VS control; #P<0.05, ##P<0.01, DPQ pretreatment VS corresponding control group, Student's *t* test.



Supplementary Figure S9: De-novo NAD<sup>+</sup> synthesis regulates NQO1 activation induced cytotoxicity. A. Levels of the major intermediates involved in NAD<sup>+</sup> synthesis detected by LC-MS<sup>n</sup>. B. NAD<sup>+</sup> levels. C. Cytotoxicity. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments, \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01 VS control, Student's *t* test.



Supplementary Figure S10: NQO1 substrates compensatively up-regulate NAD<sup>+</sup> synthetic enzymes. A. NAD<sup>+</sup> synthetic enzymes mRNA levels after TSA exposure. B. NAD<sup>+</sup> synthetic enzymes mRNA levels after  $\beta$ -lap. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SEM of three independent experiments, \*P<0.05, \*\* P<0.01 VS control, Student's *t* test.



Supplementary Figure S11: Tryptophan affects little on NQO1 substrates cytotoxicity. NQO1 substrates cytotoxicity with or without tryptophan pretreatment.



Supplementary Figure S12: LAT1 silencing increases NQO1 activation induced cell death. Cells were pretreated with LAT1 siRNA for 24 h and the efficacy was evaluated by western blot A. The NAD<sup>+</sup> level B. Cells were then treated with TSA (40  $\mu$ M, 24 h) or  $\beta$ -lap (5  $\mu$ M, 2 h withdraw, 12 h). The mRNA level and enzyme activity of SIRT1 C. The mRNA level of FOXO1 D. The protein levels of Ac-FOXO1 and SIRT1 E. Cytotoxicity F. and apoptosis test G. were performed after cells treated with TSA (40  $\mu$ M, 48 h) or  $\beta$ -lap (5  $\mu$ M, 2 h withdraw, 24 h). Data are shown as mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (\*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, TSA or  $\beta$ -lap treatment compared with control cells; #P<0.05, ##P<0.01, LAT1 siRNA treatment compared with scrambled siRNA treatment).

Supplementary Table S1: siRNA sequences

siRNA siRNA sequences			
FOXO1 siRNA	AGUCUAAGCGCUCAAUGAACAUGCC; GGCAUGUUCAUUGAGCGCUUAGACU		
NQO1 siRNA	AAAUGAUGGGAUUGAAGUUCAUGGC; GCCAUGAACUUCAAUCCCAUCAUU		
SIRT1 siRNA	UACAAAUCAGGCAAGAUGCUGUUGC; GCAACAGCAUCUUGCCUGAUUUGUA		
LAT1 siRNA	CACAGACUGCCAGGCUCCUACGACA, UGUCGUAGGAGCCUGGCAGUCUGUG		

Gene	Primer sequences
FOXO1	TCATGTCAACCTATGGCAG; CATGGTGCTTACCGTGTG;
FOXO3	CATCATGGCAAGCACAGAGT; CAGGTCGTCCATGAGGTTTT;
FOXO4	CAGCCAGTTCATCAAGGTTCAC; CCACATATCCGCTTCTTCACG;
SIRT1	TCAGTGTCATGGTTCCTTTGC; AATCTGCTCCTTTGCCACTCT;
PARP-1	GGCACTCTTGGAGACCATGTCA; AAGGCGAATGCCAGCGTTAC
CD38	GCTAAAACAACCACAGCGACTGG; ACCCCGCCTGGAGCCCTA TG
NAMPT	AAGAGACTGCTGGCATAGGA; ACCACAGATACAGGCACTGA;
QPRT	CACGTGGCAGGCACGAGGAGG; GAGGGAGAAATCAAGGGCTGG;
IDO	TCACAGACCACAAGTCACAGC; AGTTGGCAGTAAGGAACAGCA;
TDO	CTTAGTAAAGGTGAAAGACGG; GTCCATAAGAGAAGTCAGCA;
KMO	AGAGATGCGAGCACATGTCAA; CCATGGTCTTCTCAAGCGGA
y+LAT1	GAAGGAGGAGCATCAGACCA; CCCAGTTCCGCATAACAAAG;
ATA2	AACTACTCCTACCCACCAAG; TAAGGTGGTGTTTATTGTTTC;
homo-ACTB	AAGAGCTACGAGCTGCCTGAC; TCCTGCTTGCTGATCCACAT

## Supplementary Table S2: Primer sequences for qRT-PCR

Name of Antibody	Manufacturer	Cat. No.	
SIRT1	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	sc-15404	
FOXO1	Cell Signaling Technology	2880	
FOXO3	Cell Signaling Technology	2497	
Ac-FOXO1	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	sc-49437	
NQO1	epitomics	S2173	
LAT1	Abcam	ab32070	
PARP-1	Cell Signaling Technology	9542	
TRAIL	Cell Signaling Technology	3219	
BIM	Cell Signaling Technology	2933	
FasL	BD pharmingen <sup>™</sup>	556374	
BCL-6	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	sc-858	
GAPDH	Shengxing	SAP1646	
Anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-linked Antibody	Cell Signaling Technology	7074	
Anti-mouse IgG, HRP-linked Antibody	Cell Signaling Technology	7076	
Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Secondary Antibody, FITC conjugate	Life Technologies	65-6111	
Anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor <sup>®</sup> 594 Conjugate	Life Technologies	R37117	

Su	pp	lementary	Table S	S3:	Details	of	antibodies	used i	n this	study
	r r									~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ,

Compound	m/z of precursor ion	m/z of product ion	DP	СЕ
NAD <sup>+</sup>	664.3	136.3	95	60
NAAD	665.2	665.2	87	5
NMN	335.2	123.2	47	21
NAMN	336.1	124.0	51	20
NAM	123.2	80.2	55	28
NADH	666.3	649.2	93	23
TRP	205.2	90.9	44	53
<sup>15</sup> N2 labeled TRP	207.2	91.9	44	53
<sup>15</sup> N labeled NAD <sup>+</sup>	665.3	136.3	95	60
<sup>15</sup> N labeled NADH	667.3	650.2	93	23
2-ClAde	302.2	169.9	70	25

Supplementary Table S4	: Parameters of NAD <sup>+</sup> and NAD	* synthetic intermediates in MRN	I detection with LC-MS