

STUDY	PARTICIPANTS OF THE STUDY						DATA COLLECTION			DATA ANALYSIS			
	Nature of sample	Recruitment strategy	Population	Sample size	Validity measure	Quality of data collector	Interview type	Data triangulation	Thickness data	Coding Procedure	Interpretation	Validity measures	Triangulation analysis
AFOLABI, L; ET AL; 2009	C	D	S	S	U	U	S	S	U	S	D	S	D
ASPELING, HE; VAN WYK, NC; 2008	C	D	D	S	U	D	S	S	D	U	D	S	U
AUDU, B; ET AL; 2014	C	D	D	S	D	D	D	U	S	D	U	S	U
BEYENA, K; ET AL; 2009	C	D	D	S	D	U	D	S	S	S	D	D	D
BEZABHE, WM; ET AL; 2014	C	S	S	D	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	S	S
BHAGWANJEE, A; ET AL; 2011	P	D	S	S	D	U	S	U	D	S	S	D	D
DAHAB, M; ET AL; 2008	P	D	S	D	D	D	S	S	S	S	D	D	D
GOUDGE, J; NGOMA, B; 2011	C	S	D	U	D	D	D	S	S	S	S	D	D
GRANT, E; ET AL; 2008	P	D	U	S	D	S	D	S	S	D	D	S	S
GROH, K; ET AL; 2011	C	S	S	S	D	S	U	U	D	D	D	D	S
GUSDAL, AK; ET AL; 2009	C	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	S
HARDON, A; ET AL; 2007	C	D	D	U	D	U	S	S	S	D	D	D	D
KAGEE, A; ET AL; 2012	C	S	D	S	S	U	D	D	S	U	U	S	D
LYIMO, RA; ET AL; 2012	C	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	S	S	D	S
MARTIN, F; ET AL; 2013	P	D	U	S	D	S	S	U	S	S	D	D	S
MATOVU, SN; ET AL; 2012	P	S	S	S	S	D	S	U	S	S	S	D	S
MBONYE, M; ET AL; 2013	C	S	D	S	D	S	D	D	D	D	D	D	D

MURRAY, LK; ET AL; 2009	C	S	D	D	D	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	U	D
MUSUMARI, PM; ET AL; 2013	P	D	S	S	D	D	S	D	D	S	S	S	S	S
NACHEGA, JB; ET AL; 2006	C	D	S	D	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	D
NAM, SL; ET AL; 2008	C	D	D	S	S	D	S	D	D	S	D	D	D	D
NGARINA, M; ET AL; 2013	P	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	S	S	S	S	S	S
NYANZI-WAKHOLI, B; ET AL; 2009	C	D	D	S	D	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D	D
NYANZI-WAKHOLI, B; ET AL; 2012	C	D	U	S	D	D	S	D	D	S	D	D	D	D
OKOROR, TA; ET AL; 2013	C	S	D	S	S	S	S	D	S	S	S	S	S	S
PENN, C; ET AL; 2011	C	S	D	D	D	S	S	S	D	D	D	D	D	S
RASMUSSEN, DN; ET AL; 2013	C	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	S	S	S	S	S	S
ROSS, AJ; ET AL; 2011	P	D	S	U	D	D	S	S	D	D	D	D	D	D
SANJOBO, N; ET AL; 2008	P	D	S	S	D	D	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	D
SKOVDAL, M; CAMPBELL, C; ET AL; 2011	P	D	D	D	D	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	S	S
SKOVDAL, M; CAMPBELL, C; NHONGO, K; ET AL; 2011	P	D	D	D	D	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D
TULLER, DM; ET AL; 2010	P	D	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	D	S	D	S	S
TUMWINE, C; ET AL; 2012	C	S	S	D	D	D	D	U	D	D	D	D	D	S
VYANKANDONDE RA, J; ET AL; 2013	C	D	D	S	D	S	D	S	D	S	D	S	S	S
WATT, MH; ET AL; 2009	P	S	S	S	S	D	S	S	S	S	S	D	D	D

WATT, MH; JOHN M; ET AL; 2009	P	D	S	S	D	S	S	D	S	D	U	D	D
WEISER, S; ET AL; 2010	P	S	S	S	S	D	S	U	D	S	S	S	S

Table 3 Methodological quality of the reviewed qualitative studies. C, convenience; P, purposive; S, satisfactory; D, dubious or not mentioned; U, unsatisfactory