## **1** Supplementary Figures



Supplementary Figure 1. Schematic of the experimental setup. Juelich Plant Atmosphere
Chamber (JPAC) is shown. SMPS: scanning mobility particle sizer; CPC: condensation
particle counter; AMS: aerosol mass spectrometer; CCN-C: cloud condensation nuclei
counter; RH: relative humidity; Vis-lamp: visible light lamps.

7



9 Supplementary Figure 2. Volatile organic compounds composition of unstressed trees.
10 Fractions of different classes of volatile organic compounds (VOC) in the total VOC
11 emissions (ppbC) from pines in the absence of biotic stresses at different plant temperatures
12 are shown. Blue, orange and red indicate the fractions of sesquiterpene, monotperene and
13 others (see Methods for detailed classification).



16

Supplementary Figure 3. Volatile organic compounds composition of biotically-stressed trees. Fractions of different classes of volatile organic compounds in total VOC emissions from boreal forest trees under biotic stresses at different plant temperature are shown. Blue, orange and red indicate the fractions of sesquiterpene, monotperene and others (see Methods for detailed classification).













Supplementary Figure 4. **Detailed volatile organic compounds composition at different temperature.** Fractions of different compounds in each classes for monoterpenes (a), sesquiterpenes (b), and other compounds (c) (see Supplementary Figure 3) for boreal forest trees under biotic stresses at different plant temperature are shown. See the Methods for the details of volatile organic compounds classification.

26



38 Supplementary Figure 5. Effects of particle size and  $\kappa$  on cloud condensation nuclei 39 concentrations. Panel a shows the size of the cloud droplet (D<sub>wet</sub>) that at given 40 supersaturation (SS=RH-100%) a dry particle of given chemical composition can grow to 41 from subsaturated condition as a function of particle size. The dash line indicates that the 42 final droplet size is uncertain, depending on dynamic conditions in clouds. D<sub>crit</sub> is the critical 43 activation diameter, which is defined as the particle size above which the droplet formed can 44 grow spontaneously to large droplets and the particle is considered to have activated into a 45 cloud droplet. Panel b shows that for given particle numbers as a function of size (particle 46 size distribution, solid line), how cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) concentration is derived 47 as the total number of particles larger than the critical activation diameter D<sub>crit,1</sub>, i.e. the 48 integral of the part of the size distribution  $N_1(D_p)$  that is larger than  $D_{crit,1}$  (blue line). The 49 dash line shows a larger particle size distribution  $N_2(D_p)$  that the particle size distribution 50 shifts from  $N_1(D_p)$ . Panel c shows  $D_{crit}$  as a function of  $\kappa$  at a given supersaturation. The blue 51 lines indicates the critical diameter  $D_{\text{crit},1}$  and  $D_{\text{crit},2}$  for a higher and lower  $\kappa$ , respectively.

- 52 Panel d shows the effect of an increase of  $D_{crit}$  from  $D_{crit,1}$  to  $D_{crit,2}$  on the CCN concentration
- 53 (number of particles larger than  $D_{crit}$ ). The blue lines indicate  $D_{crit,1}$  and  $D_{crit,2}$ , respectively.



59 Supplementary Figure 6. Effect of drought on volatile organic compounds emissions. (a).
60 Fractions of different classes of volatile organic compounds (VOC) in total VOC emissions
61 from a pine at different levels of water shortage. The blue, orange and red indicate the
62 fractions of sesquiterpene, monotperene and others (see Methods for detailed classification).
63 (b). The concentration of total VOC (ppbC) emitted as a function of water content in the soil.
64



65

66

Supplementary Figure 7. Impact of various stresses on cloud condensation nuclei concentrations. The effects of biotic stress, heat and drought on  $\kappa$  and particle size and cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) concentrations are shown on a typical plot of CCN number concentrations as a function of size and  $\kappa$ . The effects were calculated based on the results in this study (see Methods for details). The left higher and right lower white dots indicate the reference case of induced emissions and constitutive emissions at room temperature, respectively.

## **Supplementary Tables**

Supplementary Table 1. Summary of  $\kappa$  of SOA from sesquiterpene oxidation from CCN measurements in the literature. 

Literature	Precursor	κ value	Oxidation condition	Chamber/Conditions	Comments
Hartz et al. <sup>1</sup>	β-caryophyllene, α-humulene, α-cedrene	0.001-0.009 <sup>a</sup>	Ozonolysis	CMU 10 m <sup>3</sup> chamber. VOC: 50-75 ppb, O <sub>3</sub> :100-600 ppb, RH: 5-8%	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper <sup>e</sup> .
Asa-Awuku et al. <sup>2</sup>	β-caryophyllene	0.02-0.05 <sup>a</sup> 0.01-0.05 <sup>b</sup>	Ozonolysis	CMU 12 m <sup>3</sup> chamber. VOC: 22-32 ppb, O <sub>3</sub> : 300 ppb, RH: 3-8%, T: 22 °C. NO <sub>x</sub> not reported.	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper.
Alfarra et al. <sup>3</sup>	β-caryophyllene	0-0.02	Photooxidation	Manchester Univ. 18 m <sup>3</sup> chamber. NO <sub>x</sub> : 26.8-125 ppb, VOC: 31.1-139.9 ppb. RH: ~70%	-
Tang et al. <sup>4</sup>	β-caryophyllene	$0.05-0.073^{a}$ $0.16-0.22^{b}$	Ozonolysis	UCI 90 m <sup>3</sup> chamber. VOC: 5-20 ppb, O <sub>3</sub> : 100-290 ppb, NO <sub>x</sub> : not reported. RH: $0.1\%$	Light has negligible effects on $\kappa$ .
Frosch et al. <sup>5</sup>	β-caryophyllene	$\begin{array}{c} 0.001\text{-}0.005^{a} \\ 0.003\text{-}0.05^{b} \\ 0.01\text{-}0.075^{c} \\ 0.04\text{-}0.09^{d} \end{array}$	Ozonolysis and Photooxidation	PSI 27 m <sup>3</sup> chamber. VOC: 25 ppb O <sub>3</sub> : 300 ppb, RH: 5-10%	$\kappa$ was found to depend on SS.
Alfarra et al. <sup>6</sup>	β-caryophyllene	0.009	Photooxidation	Manchester Univ. 18 m <sup>3</sup> chamber. NO <sub>x</sub> : $\sim$ 25 ppb, VOC: 50/250 ppb. RH: 44-71%	-

<sup>a</sup>: The value is for SOA from ozonolysis with OH scavenger (usually 2-butanol was used).
<sup>b</sup>: The value is for SOA from ozonolysis without OH scavenger .
<sup>c</sup>: The value is for SOA from photooxidation (OH from O<sub>3</sub> photolysis and O<sub>3</sub> reaction). 

<sup>d</sup>: The value is for SOA from photooxidation (OH from O<sub>3</sub> photolysis and O<sub>3</sub> reaction plus OH from HONO photolysis). 

<sup>e</sup>: κ was calculated using supersaturation and critical activation diameter and a temperature of 298.15 K when κ was not reported in original papers.

Literature	Precursor	κ value	<b>Oxidation condition</b>	Reactor	Comments
VanReken et al. <sup>7</sup>	α-pinene	0.014-0.091 <sup>a</sup>	Ozonolysis	CIT 28 m <sup>3</sup> chamber	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper <sup>i</sup> .
Hartz et al. <sup>1</sup>	α-pinene	$0.028-0.229^{a}$	Ozonolysis	CMU 10 <sup>3</sup> chamber	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper.
Prenni et al.8	α-pinene	0.1	Ozonolysis	UCR 7m <sup>3</sup> chamber	-
Engelhart et al.9	α-pinene	0.11-0.14 <sup>b</sup>	Ozonolysis	CMU 12 m <sup>3</sup> chamber	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper.
Duplissy et al. <sup>10</sup>	α-pinene	0.09-0.12	Photooxidation	PSI 27m <sup>3</sup> chamber	-
Wex et al. <sup>11</sup>	α-pinene	0.1±0.04	Ozonolysis	12L flow reactor	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper.
Juranyi et al. <sup>12</sup>	α-pinene	0.091±0.01	Photooxidation	PSI 27m <sup>3</sup> chamber	-
Massoli et al. <sup>13</sup>	α-pinene	0.13-0.24 <sup>c</sup>	Photooxidation	Aerosol flow reactor	-
Frosch et al. <sup>14</sup>	α-pinene	0.06-0.16 <sup>c</sup>	Photooxidation	PSI 27m <sup>3</sup> chamber	-
Lambe et al. <sup>15</sup>	α-pinene	0.12-0.23 <sup>c</sup>	Photooxidation	15L PAM flow reactor	-
Lambe et al. <sup>16</sup>	α-pinene	0.12-0.18	Photooxidation	15L PAM flow reactor	-
Alfarra et al. <sup>6</sup>	α-pinene	0.10-0.17	Photooxidation	Univ. Manchester 18m <sup>3</sup> chamber	-
Zhao et al. <sup>17</sup>	α-pinene	0.10-0.17	Photooxidation	FZJ 270 m <sup>3</sup> chamber	
Hartz et al. <sup>1</sup>	β-pinene	$0.033-0.106^{d}$ $0.044^{e}$	Ozonolysis	CMU 10 <sup>3</sup> chamber	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper.
VanReken et al. <sup>7</sup>	β-pinene	0.02-0.18 <sup>e, f</sup>	Ozonolysis	CIT 28 m <sup>3</sup> chamber	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper.
Prenni et al.8	β-pinene	$0.07 - 0.10^{e}$	Ozonolysis	UCR 7m <sup>3</sup> chamber	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper.
Hartz et al. <sup>1</sup>	limonene	$0.017-0.44^{\rm d}$ $0.170^{\rm e}$	Ozonolysis	CMU 10 <sup>3</sup> chamber	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper.
VanReken et al. <sup>7</sup>	limonene	0.05-0.45 <sup>e, f</sup>	Ozonolysis	CIT 28 m <sup>3</sup> chamber	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper.
Alfarra et al. <sup>6</sup>	limonene	0.06 <sup>g</sup>	Photooxidation	Univ. Manchester 18m <sup>3</sup> chamber	-
Hartz et al. <sup>1</sup>	carene	0.02-0.13 <sup>e</sup>	Ozonolysis	CMU 10 <sup>3</sup> chamber	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper.
VanReken et al. <sup>7</sup>	carene	0.04-0.25 <sup>e, f</sup>	Ozonolysis	CIT 28 m <sup>3</sup> chamber	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper.
Prenni et al.8	carene	0.07-0.13 <sup>e</sup>	Ozonolysis	UCR 7m <sup>3</sup> chamber	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper.
Alfarra et al. <sup>6</sup>	myrcene	0.10-0.12	Photooxidation	Univ. Manchester 18m <sup>3</sup> chamber	-
Engelhart et al. <sup>9</sup>	monoterpene mixtures h	0.08-0.27	Ozonolysis	CMU 12 m <sup>3</sup> chamber	$\kappa$ was not reported in the paper.
Zhao et al. <sup>18</sup>	α-pinene/limonene mixture	0.10-0.17	Photooxidation	FZJ 270 m <sup>3</sup> chamber	

Supplementary Table 2. Summary of  $\kappa$  of SOA from monoterpene oxidation from CCN measurements in the literature. 

<sup>a</sup>: κ here was from Petters and Kreidenweis<sup>19</sup>. <sup>b</sup>:κ here was from Engelhart et al.<sup>20</sup> <sup>c</sup>: Values were not explicitly provided and were read from the figures in the paper. 

- <sup>d</sup>: The value is for SOA from ozonolysis with OH scavenger (usually 2-butanol was used). <sup>e</sup>: The value is for SOA from ozonolysis without OH scavenger .

- <sup>f</sup>: D<sub>crit</sub> and SS data are read from the figures in the paper. <sup>g</sup>: The authors note that the value is derived from a limited number of measurements.
- <sup>h</sup>: Monoterpene mixtures consist of  $\alpha$ -pinene,  $\beta$ -pinene, limonene and carene.
- <sup>i</sup>: κ was calculated using supersaturation and critical activation diameter data and a temperature of 298.15 K when κ was not reported in original papers except
- otherwise stated.
- 96

97 Supplementary Table 3. Number of measurements and measured ratios of monoterpene to

Stress status	N(MT/SQT)	N(ĸ)	MT/SQT	К
Unstressed	4	3	8.37±1.55 <sup>a</sup>	0.15±0.02
Intermediate	4	6	2.74±0.35	0.09±0.01
Stressed	20	48	0.32±0.05	0.07±0.01

sesquiterpene and  $\kappa$  in Figure. 2.

99 <sup>a</sup>: Standard deviation.

- 100 MT: monoterpene; SQT: sesquiterpene; N(MT/SQT) and  $N(\kappa)$ : number of measurements for
- 101 the ratios of monoterpene to sesquiterpene and for  $\kappa$ , respectively.

102 Supplementary Table 4. Number of measurements and measured total VOC, particle size and

			κ in Fig	gure. 3			
Emission type	Temperature (°C)	N(VOC)	N(size)	N(ĸ)	TVOC (ppbC)	Size (nm)	κ
Genetitetiere	20	6	6	-	19.2±2.1 <sup>a</sup>	28.9±0.7	-
emission	25	4	6	3	40.3±6.2	55.0±2.3	0.15±0.02
	35	2	3	4	84.2±9.6	69.8±1.0	0.11±0.03
Induced	22	19	15	48	83.5±19.3	60.2±8.3	0.073±0.01
emission	29	12	9	11	236.4±24.2	85.7±1.5	$0.065 \pm 0.01$
	34	8	6	15	731.4±243.0	116.1±5.6	0.059±0.01

<sup>a</sup>: Standard deviation.

105 N(VOC), N(size), N( $\kappa$ ): number of measurements for total VOC, particle size and  $\kappa$ , 106 respectively.

107

## **109** Supplementary References

- 1101Hartz, K. E. H. *et al.* Cloud condensation nuclei activation of monoterpene and111sesquiterpene secondary organic aerosol. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos. 110, D14208112(2005).
- Asa-Awuku, A., Engelhart, G. J., Lee, B. H., Pandis, S. N. & Nenes, A. Relating CCN
  activity, volatility, and droplet growth kinetics of beta-caryophyllene secondary
  organic aerosol. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 9, 795-812 (2009).
- Alfarra, M. R. *et al.* The effect of photochemical ageing and initial precursor
  concentration on the composition and hygroscopic properties of beta-caryophyllene
  secondary organic aerosol. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **12**, 6417-6436 (2012).
- 1194Tang, X., Cocker, D. R., III & Asa-Awuku, A. Are sesquiterpenes a good source of120secondary organic cloud condensation nuclei (CCN)? Revisiting beta-caryophyllene121CCN. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12, 8377-8388 (2012).
- Frosch, M. *et al.* CCN activity and volatility of beta-caryophyllene secondary organic
  aerosol. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13, 2283-2297 (2013).
- Alfarra, M. R. *et al.* Water uptake is independent of the inferred composition of
  secondary aerosols derived from multiple biogenic VOCs. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13,
  11769-11789 (2013).
- 127 7 VanReken, T. M., Ng, N. L., Flagan, R. C. & Seinfeld, J. H. Cloud condensation
  128 nucleus activation properties of biogenic secondary organic aerosol. J. Geophys. Res.129 Atmos. 110, D07206 (2005).
- Prenni, A. J., Petters, M. D., Kreidenweis, S. M., DeMott, P. J. & Ziemann, P. J.
  Cloud droplet activation of secondary organic aerosol. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 112, D10223 (2007).
- 133 9 Engelhart, G. J., Asa-Awuku, A., Nenes, A. & Pandis, S. N. CCN activity and droplet
  134 growth kinetics of fresh and aged monoterpene secondary organic aerosol. *Atmos.*135 *Chem. Phys.* 8, 3937-3949 (2008).
- 13610Duplissy, J. et al. Cloud forming potential of secondary organic aerosol under near137atmospheric conditions. Geophys. Res. Lett. 35, L03818 (2008).
- 138 11 Wex, H. *et al.* Towards closing the gap between hygroscopic growth and activation
  139 for secondary organic aerosol: Part 1-Evidence from measurements. *Atmos. Chem.*140 *Phys.* 9, 3987-3997 (2009).
- 141 12 Juranyi, Z. *et al.* Influence of gas-to-particle partitioning on the hygroscopic and
  142 droplet activation behaviour of alpha-pinene secondary organic aerosol. *Phys. Chem.*143 *Chem. Phys.* 11, 8091-8097 (2009).
- 144 13 Massoli, P. *et al.* Relationship between aerosol oxidation level and hygroscopic
  145 properties of laboratory generated secondary organic aerosol (SOA) particles.
  146 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37, L24801 (2010).
- 147 14 Frosch, M. *et al.* Relating cloud condensation nuclei activity and oxidation level of
  148 alpha-pinene secondary organic aerosols. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 116, D22212
  149 (2011).

150 1 151 152	.5	Lambe, A. T. <i>et al.</i> Laboratory studies of the chemical composition and cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) activity of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) and oxidized primary organic aerosol (OPOA). <i>Atmos. Chem. Phys.</i> <b>11</b> , 8913-8928 (2011).
153 1 154 155	6	Lambe, A. T. <i>et al.</i> Characterization of aerosol photooxidation flow reactors: heterogeneous oxidation, secondary organic aerosol formation and cloud condensation nuclei activity measurements. <i>Atmos. Meas. Tech.</i> <b>4</b> , 445-461 (2011).
156 1 157 158	.7	Zhao, D. F. <i>et al.</i> Size-dependent hygroscopicity parameter ( $\kappa$ ) and chemical composition of secondary organic cloud condensation nuclei. <i>Geophys. Res. Lett.</i> <b>42</b> , 10920-10928 (2015).
159 1 160 161	8	Zhao, D. F. <i>et al.</i> Cloud condensation nuclei activity, droplet growth kinetics, and hygroscopicity of biogenic and anthropogenic secondary organic aerosol (SOA). <i>Atmos. Chem. Phys.</i> <b>16</b> , 1105-1121 (2016).
162 1 163 164	9	Petters, M. D. & Kreidenweis, S. M. A single parameter representation of hygroscopic growth and cloud condensation nucleus activity. <i>Atmos. Chem. Phys.</i> <b>7</b> , 1961-1971 (2007).
165 2 166 167	20	Engelhart, G. J., Moore, R. H., Nenes, A. & Pandis, S. N. Cloud condensation nuclei activity of isoprene secondary organic aerosol. <i>J. Geophys. ResAtmos.</i> <b>116</b> , D02207 (2011).
168		
169		