

Corresponding Author: Gord Fishell

Manuscript Number: NN-T56429BB

Manuscript Type: Technical Report

Main Figures: 5

Supplementary Figures: 7

Supplementary Tables: 0

Supplementary Videos: 0

Reporting Checklist for Nature Neuroscience

This checklist is used to ensure good reporting standards and to improve the reproducibility of published results. For more information, please read [Reporting Life Sciences Research](#).

Please note that in the event of publication, it is mandatory that authors include all relevant methodological and statistical information in the manuscript.

► Statistics reporting, by figure

- Please specify the following information for each panel reporting quantitative data, and where each item is reported (section, e.g. Results, & paragraph number).
- Each figure legend should ideally contain an exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, where n is an exact number and not a range, a clear definition of how n is defined (for example x cells from x slices from x animals from x litters, collected over x days), a description of the statistical test used, the results of the tests, any descriptive statistics and clearly defined error bars if applicable.
- For any experiments using custom statistics, please indicate the test used and stats obtained for each experiment.
- Each figure legend should include a statement of how many times the experiment shown was replicated in the lab; the details of sample collection should be sufficiently clear so that the replicability of the experiment is obvious to the reader.
- For experiments reported in the text but not in the figures, please use the paragraph number instead of the figure number.

Note: Mean and standard deviation are not appropriate on small samples, and plotting independent data points is usually more informative. When technical replicates are reported, error and significance measures reflect the experimental variability and not the variability of the biological process; it is misleading not to state this clearly.

		TEST USED		n			DESCRIPTIVE STATS (AVERAGE, VARIANCE)		P VALUE		DEGREES OF FREEDOM & F/t/z/R/ETC VALUE	
		WHICH TEST?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	EXACT VALUE	DEFINED?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	REPORTED?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	EXACT VALUE	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	VALUE	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #
example	1a	one-way ANOVA	Fig. legend	9, 9, 10, 15	mice from at least 3 litters/group	Methods para 8	error bars are mean +/- SEM	Fig. legend	p = 0.044	Fig. legend	F(3, 36) = 2.97	Fig. legend
example	results, para 6	unpaired t-test	Results para 6	15	slices from 10 mice	Results para 6	error bars are mean +/- SEM	Results para 6	p = 0.0006	Results para 6	t(28) = 2.808	Results para 6
+ -	3c left	Unpaired t-test	Fig. Legend	10	Individual cells	Fig. Legend	Population data	N/A	p<0.0001	Fig. Legend	t=5.186 df=18	Fig. Legend

		TEST USED		n			DESCRIPTIVE STATS (AVERAGE, VARIANCE)		P VALUE		DEGREES OF FREEDOM & F/t/z/R/ETC VALUE	
FIGURE NUMBER	WHICH TEST?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	EXACT VALUE	DEFINED?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	REPORTED?	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	EXACT VALUE	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	VALUE	SECTION & PARAGRAPH #	
+ - 3c right	Unpaired t- test	Fig. Legend	6, 4	Individual cells	Fig. Legend	Box-and-whiskers elements reported	Fig. Legend	p=0.8161	Fig. Legend	"t=0.2404 df=8"	Fig. Legend	

► Representative figures

1. Are any representative images shown (including Western blots and immunohistochemistry/staining) in the paper?

If so, what figure(s)?

Figure 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
Supplementary figure 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7

2. For each representative image, is there a clear statement of how many times this experiment was successfully repeated and a discussion of any limitations in repeatability?

If so, where is this reported (section, paragraph #)?

Yes, see corresponding figure legends

► Statistics and general methods

1. Is there a justification of the sample size?

If so, how was it justified?

Where (section, paragraph #)?

Even if no sample size calculation was performed, authors should report why the sample size is adequate to measure their effect size.

No

-

Reported in methods / quantification and statistics section

2. Are statistical tests justified as appropriate for every figure?

Where (section, paragraph #)?

- a. If there is a section summarizing the statistical methods in the methods, is the statistical test for each experiment clearly defined?

Reported in methods / quantification and statistics section

Reported in methods / quantification and statistics section

- b. Do the data meet the assumptions of the specific statistical test you chose (e.g. normality for a parametric test)?

Where is this described (section, paragraph #)?

Reported in methods / quantification and statistics section

<p>c. Is there any estimate of variance within each group of data? Is the variance similar between groups that are being statistically compared? Where is this described (section, paragraph #)?</p>	<p>No Reported in methods / quantification and statistics section</p>
<p>d. Are tests specified as one- or two-sided?</p>	<p>Two-sided</p>
<p>e. Are there adjustments for multiple comparisons?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>3. To promote transparency, <i>Nature Neuroscience</i> has stopped allowing bar graphs to report statistics in the papers it publishes. If you have bar graphs in your paper, please make sure to switch them to dot-plots (with central and dispersion statistics displayed) or to box-and-whisker plots to show data distributions.</p>	<p>All graphs are box-and-whiskers plots</p>
<p>4. Are criteria for excluding data points reported? Was this criterion established prior to data collection? Where is this described (section, paragraph #)?</p>	<p>Yes Yes Reported in methods / quantification and statistics section</p>
<p>5. Define the method of randomization used to assign subjects (or samples) to the experimental groups and to collect and process data. If no randomization was used, state so. Where does this appear (section, paragraph #)?</p>	<p>Not Applicable</p>
<p>6. Is a statement of the extent to which investigator knew the group allocation during the experiment and in assessing outcome included? If no blinding was done, state so. Where (section, paragraph #)?</p>	<p>Not blind</p>
<p>7. For experiments in live vertebrates, is a statement of compliance with ethical guidelines/regulations included? Where (section, paragraph #)?</p>	<p>Reported in methods / animals section</p>
<p>8. Is the species of the animals used reported? Where (section, paragraph #)?</p>	<p>Reported in methods / animals section</p>
<p>9. Is the strain of the animals (including background strains of KO/transgenic animals used) reported? Where (section, paragraph #)?</p>	<p>Reported in methods / animals section</p>
<p>10. Is the sex of the animals/subjects used reported? Where (section, paragraph #)?</p>	<p>Reported in methods / animals section</p>

11. Is the age of the animals/subjects reported?
Where (section, paragraph #)?
- Reported in methods / animals section
12. For animals housed in a vivarium, is the light/dark cycle reported?
Where (section, paragraph #)?
- Reported in methods / animals section
13. For animals housed in a vivarium, is the housing group (i.e. number of animals per cage) reported?
Where (section, paragraph #)?
- Reported in methods / animals section
14. For behavioral experiments, is the time of day reported (e.g. light or dark cycle)?
Where (section, paragraph #)?
- Not Applicable
15. Is the previous history of the animals/subjects (e.g. prior drug administration, surgery, behavioral testing) reported?
Where (section, paragraph #)?
- Not Applicable
- a. If multiple behavioral tests were conducted in the same group of animals, is this reported?
Where (section, paragraph #)?
- Not Applicable
16. If any animals/subjects were excluded from analysis, is this reported?
Where (section, paragraph #)?
- Not Applicable
- a. How were the criteria for exclusion defined?
Where is this described (section, paragraph #)?
- Not Applicable
- b. Specify reasons for any discrepancy between the number of animals at the beginning and end of the study.
Where is this described (section, paragraph #)?
- Not Applicable

► Reagents

1. Have antibodies been validated for use in the system under study (assay and species)?
- Yes
- a. Is antibody catalog number given?
Where does this appear (section, paragraph #)?
- Reported in methods / immunohistochemistry

- b. Where were the validation data reported (citation, supplementary information, Antibodypedia)?

Where does this appear (section, paragraph #)?

Reported in methods / immunohistochemistry

2. Cell line identity

- a. Are any cell lines used in this paper listed in the database of commonly misidentified cell lines maintained by [ICLAC](#) and [NCBI Biosample](#)?

Where (section, paragraph #)?

No

- b. If yes, include in the Methods section a scientific justification of their use--indicate here in which section and paragraph the justification can be found.

Not Applicable

- c. For each cell line, include in the Methods section a statement that specifies:

- the source of the cell lines
- have the cell lines been authenticated? If so, by which method?
- have the cell lines been tested for mycoplasma contamination?

Where (section, paragraph #)?

Yes

Yes

Yes

Reported in methods / iPSCs derived neuronal culture

Reported in methods / hESCs derived neuronal culture

▶ Data availability

Provide a Data availability statement in the Methods section under "Data availability", which should include, where applicable:

- Accession codes for deposited data
- Other unique identifiers (such as DOIs and hyperlinks for any other datasets)
- At a minimum, a statement confirming that all relevant data are available from the authors
- Formal citations of datasets that are assigned DOIs
- A statement regarding data available in the manuscript as source data
- A statement regarding data available with restrictions

See our [data availability and data citations policy page](#) for more information.

Data deposition in a public repository is mandatory for:

- Protein, DNA and RNA sequences
- Macromolecular structures
- Crystallographic data for small molecules
- Microarray data

Deposition is strongly recommended for many other datasets for which structured public repositories exist; more details on our data policy are available [here](#). We encourage the provision of other source data in supplementary information or in unstructured repositories such as [Figshare](#) and [Dryad](#).

We encourage publication of Data Descriptors (see [Scientific Data](#)) to maximize data reuse.

Where is the Data Availability statement provided (section, paragraph #)?

Reported in Data Availability Statement

▶ Computer code/software

Any custom algorithm/software that is central to the methods must be supplied by the authors in a usable and readable form for readers at the time of publication. However, referees may ask for this information at any time during the review process.

1. Identify all custom software or scripts that were required to conduct the study and where in the procedures each was used.

Not Applicable

2. If computer code was used to generate results that are central to the paper's conclusions, include a statement in the Methods section under "**Code availability**" to indicate whether and how the code can be accessed. Include version information as necessary and any restrictions on availability.

Not Applicable

▶ Human subjects

- | | |
|---|----------------|
| 1. Which IRB approved the protocol?
Where is this stated (section, paragraph #)? | Not Applicable |
| 2. Is demographic information on all subjects provided?
Where (section, paragraph #)? | Not Applicable |
| 3. Is the number of human subjects, their age and sex clearly defined?
Where (section, paragraph #)? | Not Applicable |
| 4. Are the inclusion and exclusion criteria (if any) clearly specified?
Where (section, paragraph #)? | Not Applicable |
| 5. How well were the groups matched?
Where is this information described (section, paragraph #)? | Not Applicable |
| 6. Is a statement included confirming that informed consent was obtained from all subjects?
Where (section, paragraph #)? | Not Applicable |
| 7. For publication of patient photos, is a statement included confirming that consent to publish was obtained?
Where (section, paragraph #)? | Not Applicable |

► fMRI studies

For papers reporting functional imaging (fMRI) results please ensure that these minimal reporting guidelines are met and that all this information is clearly provided in the methods:

- | | |
|--|----------------|
| 1. Were any subjects scanned but then rejected for the analysis after the data was collected? | Not Applicable |
| a. If yes, is the number rejected and reasons for rejection described?
Where (section, paragraph #)? | Not Applicable |
| 2. Is the number of blocks, trials or experimental units per session and/or subjects specified?
Where (section, paragraph #)? | Not Applicable |
| 3. Is the length of each trial and interval between trials specified? | Not Applicable |
| 4. Is a blocked, event-related, or mixed design being used? If applicable, please specify the block length or how the event-related or mixed design was optimized. | Not Applicable |

- a. If so, are the method to account for within subject correlation and the assumptions made about variance clearly stated?
18. If the threshold used for inference and visualization in figures varies, is this clearly stated?
19. Are statistical inferences corrected for multiple comparisons?
- a. If not, is this labeled as uncorrected?
20. Are the results based on an ROI (region of interest) analysis?
- a. If so, is the rationale clearly described?
- b. How were the ROI's defined (functional vs anatomical localization)?
21. Is there correction for multiple comparisons within each voxel?
22. For cluster-wise significance, is the cluster-defining threshold and the corrected significance level defined?

► Additional comments

Additional Comments

None