

Supplementary Material

Psychological interventions for post-traumatic stress symptoms in psychosis: A systematic review of outcomes

Sarah Swan^{1*}, Nadine Keen^{1,2}, Nicola Reynolds^{1,2}, Juliana Onwumere^{1,2}

¹ South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

² Department of Psychology, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, United Kingdom

***Correspondence**

Dr Sarah Swan

Sarah.Swan@slam.nhs.uk

1 Supplementary Tables



1.1 Supplementary Table 1. Characteristics of studies investigating psychological interventions for PTS symptoms in the context of a primary diagnosis of psychosis

Authors (Date)	Country	Design	Sample Size N (Psychosis %)	Setting & Format	Intervention	No. of sessions	Outcome measures	Key results
Callcott, Standart & Turkington (2004)	UK	Case series	2 (100%)	Community Individual	CBT	12 to 17	IES, BDI, CPRS, SANS	Reduction in PTS symptoms in both cases, including intrusions; one case showed reduced avoidance strategies and depressive symptoms; other case improved on general psychopathology and negative symptoms of psychosis.
Hamblen, Jankowski, Rosenberg & Mueser (2004)	USA	Case series	3 (66.6%)	Community Individual	CBT	12 to 16	CAPS, BPRS	<p>Following intervention, two cases no longer meeting criteria for PTSD; reductions in general psychopathology.</p> <p>At 3 month follow-up, end of therapy gains were maintained.</p> <p>One case still meeting criteria for PTSD showed clinically meaningful reduction in PTS symptoms and general psychopathology.</p>
Rosenberg et al (2004)	USA	Un-controlled study	22 (45%)	Community & inpatient Individual	CBT	12 to 16	CAPS, BPRS, Safety measures	<p>Statistically and clinically significant reduction in PTS symptoms following intervention</p> <p>At 3 month follow-up, reduction in PTS symptoms maintained; significantly fewer participants meeting criteria for PTSD compared to baseline; significant reduction in affect symptoms.</p>

Bernard, Jackson & Jones (2006)	UK	RCT	23 (100%)	Community Individual	Written Disclosure vs Writing of non-emotional topics	3	IES-R, RSQ, IS, HADS, PANAS	<p>Significant reduction in severity of PTS symptoms for treatment group compared to control.</p> <p>There was a main effect for avoidance, with reduced avoidance symptoms seen at follow-up compared to baseline, when comparing treatment with control.</p>
Kevan, Gumley & Coletta (2007)	UK	Case study	1 (100%)	Community Individual	CBT	9	PDS, PTCL, BDI-II,	<p>No longer meeting diagnostic criteria for PTSD following treatment; reduction in negative cognitions and self-blame; clinically significant reduction in depression (severe to minimal range); general effect of treatment in reducing subjective ratings of problematic beliefs.</p> <p>Gains maintained at 1 month follow-up.</p>
Mueser et al (2007)	USA	Un-controlled study	80 (21%)	Community Group	CBT	21	THQ, PCL, K-PTSD, PTCL, BDI-II	<p>Significant reduction in PTS symptoms and number of participants meeting diagnostic criteria; significant reduction in trauma related cognitions; knowledge about PTSD significantly increased; significant reduction in depression; treatment completers had fewer PTS symptoms and depression at the end of the treatment compared to non-completers.</p> <p>At 3 month follow-up, gains maintained expect knowledge about PTSD.</p>

Trappler & Newville (2007)	USA	Non randomised controlled study	48 (100%)	Inpatient Group	CBT vs Supportive counselling group	12	IES, BPRS	Significant reduction in PTS symptoms, specifically regarding intrusions and avoidance, for the CBT group compared to supportive counselling; Significant decrease in positive symptoms, emotional withdrawal, tension, depression, unusual thought content, blunted affect, excitement, disorientation and elevated mood for CBT group.
Mueser et al (2008)	USA	RCT	108 (15.7%)	Community Individual	CBT vs TAU	12 to 16	CAPS, PTCI, K-PTSD, BPRS, BAI, BDI-II, SF-12, WAI	CBT significantly better in reducing PTS symptoms; no difference between CBT and TAU in eliminating PTSD diagnosis however; larger effect size seen for more severe PTS symptoms compared to mild-moderate symptom severity; CBT was more effective in reducing negative trauma-related cognitions, depression, anxiety, health related concerns and improving knowledge of PTSD. At 3 and 6 month follow-up, gains continued to improve.
Frueh et al (2009)	USA	Un-controlled study	20 (100%)	Community Mixed: Group followed by individual sessions	CBT	22	CAPS, PCL, HAM-A, CGI, NAI, MOS SF-36, CPOSS, Functioning	Significant reduction in PTS symptoms at end of treatment; significant improvement in symptoms of anger, satisfaction, quality of social relationships. At 3 month follow-up, PTS symptoms significantly improved (>75% treatment responders); anger and satisfaction significantly improved compared to baseline; fewer primary care visits endorsed compared to baseline.

Jackson et al (2009)	UK	RCT	66 (100%)	Community Individual	CBT (CRI) vs TAU	up to 26	IES-R, CDSS, SCQ	<p>Borderline significant difference between CRI and TAU for PTS symptoms; higher baseline severity of PTS symptoms and shorter duration of untreated psychosis tended to benefit the most from CRI; no difference between groups for symptoms of depression or self esteem.</p> <p>At 12 months follow-up, significantly more participants in the CRI group made clinically significant improvements compared to TAU.</p>
Lu et al (2009)	USA	Un-controlled study	19 (16%)	Community Individual	CBT	12-16wks	PDS, PCL, BPRS, BDI-II	<p>Over 75% of participants had a significant improvement in PTS symptoms; significantly fewer participants meeting criteria post-treatment.</p> <p>Significantly fewer participants meeting PTSD criteria compared to baseline at 3 and 6 month follow-up; 92% and 100% of participants showed significant reduction in PTS symptoms compared to baseline at 3 and 6 month follow-up respectively.</p>
Van den Berg & Van der Gaag (2012)	Netherlands	Un-controlled study	27 (100%)	Community Individual	EMDR	6	CAPS, PSS-SR, PSYRATS (AHR & DRS), GPTS, BDI-II, BAI,BHS, SERS-SF	<p>Significant reduction in PTS symptoms (large effect size); small but significant reduction in psychotic symptoms in treatment completers; depression, anxiety and self esteem significantly improved.</p>

De Bont, Van Minnen & De Jongh (2013)	Netherlands	RCT	10 (100%)	Community Individual	PE vs EMDR	12	CAPS, PSS-SR, PSYRATS (AHRs), O-LIFE, OQ-45, SFS, safety measures	<p>PTS symptom severity significantly reduced; 75% of completers no longer met criteria for PTSD; significant reduction in general psychopathology; no significant effect on psychotic symptoms however significant decline in psychosis-prone thinking. Outcome was comparable between PE and EMDR with no significant difference.</p> <p>At 3 month follow-up, gains in PTS symptoms maintained.</p>
Kayrouz & Vrkleviski (2015)	Australia	Case study	1 (100%)	Inpatient Individual	CBT	19	IES-R, DASS,TA BS, qualitative measure of psychosis	<p>At post intervention a 70% reduction in PTS symptom report; no longer in clinical range for mood/anxiety symptoms; increase in social engagement.</p> <p>Elevation in paranoia during treatment which recovered post-treatment.</p>
Van den Berg et al (2015)	Netherlands	RCT	155 (93.6%)	Community Individual	PE, EMDR vs Waiting List (TAU)	8	CAPS, PSS-SR, PTCI	<p>PE and EMDR reduced PTS symptoms/cognitions and were more likely to achieve and maintain loss of PTSD diagnosis compared to TAU; there was no significant difference in outcome when comparing PE and EMDR.</p> <p>At 6 month follow-up, outcome was maintained for both PE and EMDR</p>

BAI = Beck anxiety inventory; BDI=Beck depression inventory; BHS=Beck hopelessness scale; BPRS=Brief psychiatric rating scale; CAPS=Clinician administered PTSD scale; CGI=Clinical global impressions scale; CPOSS=Charleston psychiatric outpatient satisfaction scale; CPRS=Comprehensive psychopathological rating scale; CDSS=Calgary depression scale; DASS=Depression anxiety stress scale; GPTS=Green et al paranoid thought scale; HADS=Hospital anxiety and depression scale; HAM-A= Hamilton rating scale for depression; IES/IES-R=Impact of events scale/revised; IS=Insight scale; K-PTSD=Knowledge of PTSD test; MOS-SF36/SF-12=Medical outcome study short form health survey; NAI=Novaco anger inventory; O-LIFE=Oxford liverpool inventory of feelings and experiences; OQ-45=Outcome questionnaire; PANAS=Positive and negative affect schedule; PCL=PTSD checklist, PSS-SR=PTSD symptom scale self report; PSYRATS=Psychotic symptom rating scale (AHRS=auditory hallucination rating subscale, DRS=Delusion rating subscale); PTCI=Post-traumatic cognitions inventory; RSQ=Recovery style questionnaire; SANS=Scale for assessment of negative symptoms; SCQ=Self esteem questionnaire; SERS-SF=Self esteem rating scale short form; SFS=Social functioning scale; TABS=Trauma and attachment beliefs scale; THQ=Trauma history questionnaire; WAI=Working alliance inventory

