## The synthetic lethal killing of *RAD54B*-deficient colorectal cancer cells by PARP1 inhibition is enhanced with SOD1 inhibition

## SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE AND TABLES



Supplementary Figure S1: Efficiency of siRAD54B-based Silencing in HCT116 (RAD54B-Proficient) Cells. Western blot showing diminished RAD54B expression following silencing relative to controls (Untransfected and siGAPDH);  $\alpha$ -Tubulin serves as the loading control. RAD54B expression levels are indicated above each lane and are presented relative to the siGAPDH control. Experiments were repeated at least two additional times.

		Mean cell	number ± SD <sup>B</sup>	Mean normalized rela	tive percentage <sup>C</sup>	
treatment	n <sup>A</sup>	<i>RAD54B</i> -proficient	RAD54B-deficient	RAD54B-proficient	RAD54B- deficient	<i>P</i> -value
si <i>GAPDH</i>	6	$14903\pm303.1$	$15870\pm332.7$	$100 \pm 2.034$	$100\pm2.097$	> 0.9999
si <i>PARP1-</i> P	6	$14355\pm296.9$	$14571 \pm 523.0$	$96.32 \pm 1.992$	$91.81\pm3.296$	0.0167
siPARP1-1	6	$13568\pm267.4$	$13884\pm363.8$	$91.04 \pm 1.794$	$87.48\pm2.292$	0.0135
siPARP1-2	6	$14504\pm551.7$	$14462 \pm 335.6$	$97.32 \pm 3.702$	$91.13\pm2.114$	0.0052
siPLK1	6	$1074\pm405.8$	$925\pm579.9$	$7.206 \pm 2.723$	$5.831 \pm 3.654$	0.4766

Supplementary Table S1: Student's *t*-tests identifying statistical differences in relative percentage of cells remaining following PARP1 silencing between *RAD54B*-proficient and *RAD54B*-deficient cells

<sup>A</sup>n; number of wells analyzed.

<sup>B</sup>SD; standard deviation.

<sup>c</sup>All values are normalized to si*GAPDH* control for respective cell line.

siRNA treatment	n <sup>A</sup>	Mean cell number ± SD <sup>B</sup>	Normalized relative percentage <sup>C</sup>	Expected percentage <sup>D</sup>	Percent difference <sup>E</sup>
si <i>GAPDH</i>	6	$15356 \pm 721.4$	$100\pm4.698$	N/A	N/A
siRAD54B	6	$14130 \pm 914.3$	$92.02\pm5.955$	N/A	N/A
si <i>PARP1-</i> P	6	$13006 \pm 967.0$	$84.70 \pm 6.297$	N/A	N/A
siPARP1-1	6	$12791 \pm 746.7$	$83.30\pm4.863$	N/A	N/A
siPARP1-2	6	$12693 \pm 354.1$	$82.66 \pm 2.306$	N/A	N/A
si <i>RAD54B</i> + si <i>PARP1-</i> P	6	8908 ± 1120	58.01 ± 7.293	77.938	26
si <i>RAD54B</i> + <i>siPARP1</i> -1	6	9515 ± 892.2	61.97 ± 5.811	76.654	19
si <i>RAD54B</i> + si <i>PARP1-</i> 2	5	$10220 \pm 1440$	$66.56 \pm 9.377$	76.067	18
siPLK1	6	$859 \pm 45.11$	$5.596 \pm 0.2938$	N/A	N/A

Su	pp	lementary	Table S	S2: I	Dual	siRN	A-b	ased	synthetic	lethal	testing	in H	CT116	5 cells
		•							•/					

<sup>A</sup>n; number of wells analyzed.

<sup>B</sup>SD; standard deviation.

<sup>c</sup>All values are normalized to si*GAPDH* control for respective cell line.

<sup>D</sup>Calculated by multiplying the normalized percentage of the two individual siRNAs.

<sup>E</sup>Calculated as 1 – (Normalized relative percentage/expected percentage) × 100 (N/A; not applicable).

Supplementary Table S3: Student's *t*-tests reveal statistical differences of relative percentage of cells remaining following BMN673 treatment within *RAD54B*-deficient cells compared to controls

Drug	nA	Mean cell nu	umber ± SD <sup>B</sup>	Mean norma percenta	lized relative ge <sup>C</sup> ± SD	D voluo
Treatment	n	RAD54B- proficient	<i>RAD54B</i> -deficient	RAD54B- proficient	RAD54B- deficient	- <i>r</i> -value
DMSO	6	$12791\pm759.5$	$11743\pm859.9$	$100\pm5.938$	$100 \pm 7.322$	> 0.9999
2 nM BMN673	6	$12509\pm409.1$	$10306\pm601.5$	$97.79\pm3.198$	$87.76\pm5.122$	0.0022
20 nM BMN673	6	$6911 \pm 701.1$	$4933\pm230.5$	$54.03 \pm 5.481$	$42.01 \pm 1.963$	0.0005

<sup>A</sup>n; number of wells analyzed.

<sup>B</sup>SD; standard deviation.

<sup>C</sup>All values are normalized to vehicle control (DMSO) for respective cell line.

Supplementary Table S4: Student's *t*-tests identify statistical differences of relative percentage of cells remaining following olaparib treatment within *RAD54B*-deficient cells compared to controls

Drug		Mean cell nu	umber ± SD <sup>B</sup>	Mean norma percenta	llized relative ge <sup>C</sup> ± SD	<b>D</b> volue
Treatment	n <sup>A</sup>	<i>RAD54B</i> -proficient	<i>RAD54B</i> -deficient	<i>RAD54B</i> -proficient	<i>RAD54B</i> -deficient	- P-value
DMSO	6	$14567 \pm 506.5$	$15330\pm525.4$	$100\pm3.477$	$100 \pm 3.427$	> 0.9999
0.2 µM Olaparib	6	$13032\pm373.8$	$12982\pm506.5$	$89.46 \pm 2.566$	$84.68\pm3.304$	0.0386
2.0 µM Olaparib	6	$10202\pm386.8$	$9293 \pm 449.4$	$70.03\pm2.655$	$60.62\pm2.931$	0.0016

<sup>A</sup>n; number of wells analyzed.

<sup>B</sup>SD; standard deviation.

<sup>c</sup>All values are normalized to vehicle control (DMSO) for respective cell line.

Supplementary Table S5: Student's *t*-tests reveal statistical differences of relative percentage of cell confluency following BMN673 treatment within *RAD54B*-deficient cells compared to controls in modified 2D colony forming assays

Dun a Tuesdan and	A	Mean normalized rela	Davalara	
Drug Treatment	n	RAD54B-proficient	RAD54B-deficient	<i>P</i> -value
DMSO	6	$100 \pm 5.47$	$100\pm5.81$	> 0.9999
BMN673	6	$36.76\pm10.91$	$19.48\pm2.09$	0.0034

<sup>A</sup>n; number of wells analyzed.

<sup>B</sup> All values are normalized to vehicle control (DMSO) for respective cell line.

<sup>c</sup>SD; standard deviation.

Supplementary Table S6: Student's *t*-tests identify statistical differences of relative percentage of cell confluency following olaparib treatment within *RAD54B*-deficient cells compared to controls in modified 2D colony forming assays

Dura Tuesta ent	4	Mean normalized rela	Davalara	
Drug Treatment	n	RAD54B-proficient	RAD54B-deficient	<i>P</i> -value
DMSO	6	$100 \pm 2.28$	$100 \pm 3.95$	> 0.9999
Olaparib	6	$42.86 \pm 15.04$	$15.73\pm4.89$	0.0018

<sup>A</sup>n; number of wells analyzed.

<sup>B</sup> All values are normalized to vehicle control (DMSO) for respective cell line.

<sup>c</sup>SD; standard deviation.

Supplementary	Table S7:	Proliferation	defect (PDA)	values calculated	from RTCA	oroliferation curves

Inhibitor	<i>RAD54B</i> -proficient PD ± SD <sup>C</sup>	RAD54B-deficient PD ± SD <sup>C</sup>	Fold Increase <sup>B</sup>
BMN673	$0.55 \pm 0.05$	$51.80 \pm 5.35$	94.18
Olaparib	$1.71 \pm 0.18$	$17.83 \pm 2.19$	10.43

<sup>A</sup>PD; Proliferation defect.

<sup>B</sup>Fold increase; *RAD54B*-deficient PD/*RAD54B*-proficient PD.

<sup>c</sup>SD; standard deviation.

Supplementary Table S8: Student's *t*-tests identifying statistical differences of total  $\gamma$ -H2AX signal intensity following BMN673 and olaparib treatment

Treatment	A	Mean total γ-H2AX signal i	Dyvalue	
	11	RAD54B-proficient	RAD54B-deficient	<i>P</i> -value
IR	175	$15.8 \pm 7.05$	$15.6 \pm 7.59$	0.8202
DMSO	175	$6.23 \pm 3.06$	$5.83 \pm 2.7$	0.1928
BMN673	175	$7.52 \pm 4.94$	$9.19 \pm 6.23$	0.0058
Olaparib	175	$7.99 \pm 4.40$	$10.6 \pm 6.88$	< 0.0001

<sup>A</sup>n; number of cells evaluated.

<sup>B</sup>SD; standard deviation.

www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget/

Supplementary Table S9: Student's t-tests identifying statistical differences of percent of cells with activated cleave	d
Caspase-3 signal within <i>RAD54B</i> -deficient cells following BMN673 and olaparib treatment	

Dung Tugatmant	NIA	Percentage of cells with activated	d cleaved Caspase-3 ± SD <sup>B</sup>	D voluo	
	19	RAD54B-proficient	RAD54B-deficient	I -value	
Staurosporine	2	$2.66 \pm 0.28$	$2.35 \pm 0.19$	0.3285	
DMSO	2	$0.05 \pm 0.07$	$0.04\pm0.05$	0.8531	
BMN673	2	$0.50 \pm 0.01$	$0.69\pm0.03$	0.0132	
Olaparib	2	$0.23 \pm 0.08$	$0.70\pm0.01$	0.0125	

<sup>A</sup>N; number of experimental replicates.

<sup>B</sup>SD; standard deviation.

Drug Treatment	n <sup>A</sup>	Mean normalized relative percentage <sup>B</sup> $\pm$ SD <sup>C</sup>	Expected percentage <sup>D</sup>	Percent difference <sup>E</sup>
DMSO	3	$100 \pm 3.69$	N/A	N/A
BMN673	3	$64.57\pm7.73$	N/A	N/A
5-FU	3	$106.33 \pm 2.12$	N/A	N/A
BMN673 + 5-FU	3	$60.31 \pm 7.34$	68.65	12.16

Supplementary Table S10: RMN673 and 5 EU combinatorial drug treatments in PAD54R deficient call					
$\Delta$ in the line of a state of a state of a state of the	Supplementary	rable S10: BMN673 ع	nd 5-FU combinatorial	drug treatments in <i>i</i>	RAD54B-deficient cells

<sup>A</sup>n; number of wells analyzed.

<sup>B</sup>All values are normalized to vehicle control (DMSO).

<sup>c</sup>SD; standard deviation.

<sup>D</sup>Calculated by multiplying the normalized percentage of the two individual drugs.

<sup>E</sup>Calculated as 1 - (Normalized relative percentage/expected percentage) × 100 (N/A; not applicable).

Drug Treatment	n <sup>A</sup>	Mean normalized relative percentage <sup>B</sup> ± SD <sup>C</sup>	Expected percentage <sup>D</sup>	Percent difference <sup>E</sup>
DMSO	3	$100 \pm 0.27$	N/A	N/A
BMN673	3	$74.50 \pm 7.31$	N/A	N/A
LCS-1	3	$93.98 \pm 1.75$	N/A	N/A
BMN673 + LCS-1	3	$5.034 \pm 1.77$	70.02	92.81

<sup>A</sup>n; number of wells analyzed.

<sup>B</sup>All values are normalized to vehicle control (DMSO).

<sup>c</sup>SD; standard deviation.

<sup>D</sup>Calculated by multiplying the normalized percentage of the two individual drugs.

<sup>E</sup>Calculated as 1 - (Normalized relative percentage/expected percentage) × 100 (N/A; not applicable).