1 Cohesive properties of the *Caulobacter crescentus* holdfast 2 adhesin are regulated by a novel c-di-GMP effector protein

Kathrin S. Sprecher, Isabelle Hug, Jutta Nesper, Eva Potthoff, Mohamed-Ali
Mahi, Matteo Sangermani, Volkhard Kaever, Torsten Schwede, Julia Vorholt,
Urs Jenal

7

3

8 Material and Methods

9 Bacterial strains and growth conditions

10 All bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S1 (below). *E. coli* strains were grown at 37°C or 30°C under aeration in Luria Broth 11 12 (LB) medium supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic (solid/liquid in μ g/ml: kanamycin 50/30; chloramphenicol 30/20) and the inducer Isopropyl β -13 D-1-thiogalacto-pyranoside (IPTG 0.3 or 0.75 mM) if required. C. crescentus 14 15 strains were grown at 30°C under aeration in peptone yeast extract (PYE) or M2 16 minimal medium supplemented with 0.1% glucose (M2G). Media were 17 supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic (solid/liquid in µg/ml: kanamycin 18 20/5; nalidixic acid 20/n.a.) or inducer (IPTG 0.2 or 0.5 mM, xylose 0.1%, vanillic 19 acid 0.1 mM or 0.55 mM) if required. Media were solidified by addition of 1.5%, 20 0.75% or 0.3% agar for regular growth plates, top-agar and, motility plates, 21 respectively. Optical density of cultures was measured at 600 nm (E. coli) or 22 660 nm (*C. crescentus*) with a photo spectrometer (Ultrospec 2100 pro, 23 Amersham Biosciences, USA). If required cell cultures were synchronized using 24 density gradient centrifugation as previously described (1).

25

26

28 Attachment assay

29 For 24-hour attachment assay 5 µl overnight culture were added to 155 µl 30 appropriate medium in a 96 well polystyrene microtiter plate and grown for 31 24 h under aeration at 30°C. After absorbance was measured at 660 nm to 32 confirm equal growth, the plates were rinsed thoroughly with water and, 33 incubated for 30 min with 180 µl 0.1% (m/V) crystal violet/ 1% Methanol/ 34 Isopropanol. The plates were rinsed again, dried, and the adherent crystal violet 35 was dissolved in 200 µl 20% acetic acid for 15 min shaking at 30°C before 36 absorption at 600 nm was measured.

For a 30-min attachment assay, overnight cultures were diluted to an OD_{660} of 0.06 in fresh medium, grown to an OD_{660} of 0.3-0.5. Cell numbers were adjusted to an OD_{660} of 0.3 before 160 µl culture was incubated into 96 well polystyrene microtiter plates and grown for 30 min under aeration at 30°C. Crystal violet staining was done as described above.

42

43 Fluorescence microscopy

44 Cells were harvested in mid-log phase (OD₆₆₀ 0.3 - 0.5) and mounted on 1%
45 agarose pads (Sigma, USA) in water for snap shots or in PYE containing
46 appropriate supplements for time-lapse experiments. For 3D-SIM and time lapse
47 microscopy samples were sealed with highly viscous silicone grease (Sigma
48 Aldrich) to avoid agar shrinking.

Fluorescence, phase contrast (PH), and differential interference contrast (DIC) images were taken with a wide-field DeltaVision Core Olympus IX71 microscope (Applied Precision, USA) with SoftWoRx software and environmental chamber, equipped either with UPlanSApo 100x/ 1.40 oil

53 objective (Olympus, Japan) and a coolSNAP HQ-2 CCD camera (Photometrics, 54 USA) or UPlan FL 100x/ 1.3 and UPlanSApo 100x/1.40 oil objectives (Olympus, 55 Japan) and a pco.Edge sCMOS camera (PCO, Germany). Images with mCherry 56 fusion proteins were taken with a Nikon Ti-E inverted motorized microscope 57 with Perfect Focus System and PlanApo 100x/1.4 Oil Ph3 DM objective lens, 58 SPECTRA × light engine (Lumencore), camera pco.Edge 4.2 (PCO, Germany), and 59 VisiView software (Visitron Systems, Germany). Images showing protein 60 localization were deconvolved using SoftWoRx and Huygens software.

61 3D-SIM imaging was performed on a microscope system (DeltaVision OMX-62 Blaze version 4; Applied Precision, USA) equipped with 405, 445, 488, 514, 568, 63 and 642 nm solid-state lasers. Images were acquired using a PlanApoN 60x/1.42 64 oil objective lens (Olympus) and 4 liquid-cooled sCMOS cameras (pco.Edge, full 65 frame 2560 x 2160; Photometrics). Exciting light was directed through a movable optical grating to generate a fine-striped interference pattern on the 66 67 sample plane. The pattern was shifted laterally through five phases and three angular rotations of 60° for each z section. Optical z-sections were separated by 68 69 0.125 µm. The laser line 488 nm was used for 3D-SIM acquisitions. Multichannel 70 imaging was achieved through sequential acquisition of wavelengths by separate 71 cameras.

Raw 3D-SIM images were processed and reconstructed using the DeltaVision OMX SoftWoRx software package (Applied Precision (2, 3)). The resulting size of the reconstructed images was of 512 x 512 px from an initial set of 256 x 256 raw images. The channels were aligned in the image plane and around the optical axis using predetermined shifts as measured using a target lens and the SoftWoRx alignment tool. The channels were then carefully aligned using alignment parameter from control measurements with 0.5 µm diameter multispectral fluorescent beads (Invitrogen, Molecular Probes).

80

81 Holdfast and membrane stain

82 To visualize membrane, mid log phase cultures were mounted on 1% agarose in 83 PYE pads supplemented with 0.66 μ g/ml FM4-64 dye (Molecular probes, USA). 84 To visualize holdfast, mid log phase cultures were mixed with a final 85 concentration of 1 µg/ml wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) coupled to Oregon green 86 (Invitrogen, USA), incubated for 10 min, and visualized by fluorescence 87 microscopy. For time-lapse microscopy experiments 2.66 µg/ml 88 tetramethylrhodamine-WGA was added into the agarose pads.

89

90 Adherence to glass

91 To visualize adherent holdfast on glass a protocol was adapted from (4). 92 500µl/well overnight culture were diluted to an OD₆₆₀ of 0.15 and incubated 93 with round 12 mm borosilicate coverslips (Thermo Scientific, USA) in 24 well 94 polystyrene plates for 2 h at 30°C under aeration. If plasmid induction was 95 required, overnight grown cultures were diluted first 1:10 in medium containing 96 the inducing agent and grown to mid-log phase, before cell numbers were 97 adjusted to an OD₆₆₀ of 0.15 and added to the coverslips. After incubation, the 98 coverslip side facing upwards was stained for 15 min with 2.5 µg/ml WGA 99 coupled to Oregon green, tetramethylrhodamine, or AlexaFluor®350, rinsed 100 with water, and mounted on 1% agarose pads. Co-attachment experiments were 101 performed on glass coverslips as described above, yet the co-cultured strains 102 were mixed 1:1 to a final OD₆₆₀ of 0.15 before incubation. For quantification 10

images were taken in random areas and the mean gray value was measured for
each using the FIJI software (5). The mean gray value measured on glass slides
prepared with the holdfast-minus NA1000 strains was subtracted to correct for
background fluorescence.

107

108 **Quantification of protein localization**

Quantitative fluorescent signal measurements of individual cells were performed using a MATLAB based program developed in our group (WHISIT). WHISIT calculated average pixel fluorescent signal intensity for the membrane and cytoplasmic compartments. The membrane compartment was defined to enclose the first four intracellular pixel flanking the cell outline which was computed by Oufti (6) on phase contrast images, whilst the remaining intracellular pixels were defined as cytoplasmic compartment. The WHISIT program is available online at

- 116 http://ch.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/61676-whisit).
- 117

118 Microfluidics

For the observation of bacteria under flow conditions they were grown in 119 120 polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) based microfluidics devices produced as 121 previously described (7), consisting of a single channel of 10 mm length, 40 µm 122 width, and 25 µm height connecting an in- and outlet. Mid-log phase cells were 123 carefully filled into the channel before a constant flow $(0.002 \,\mu l/s)$ of PYE 124 medium supplemented with 1 µg/ml Oregon green-WGA was installed to allow 125 growth. If necessary movies were corrected for bleaching using ImageJ Plugin 126 CorrectBleach (V2.0.2, Kota Miura; Curtis Rueden; Mark Hiner; Johannes 127 Schindelin; Jens Rietdorf, Centre for Molecular and Cellular Imaging (CMCI),

128 EMBL Heidelberg, [http://wiki.cmci.info/downloads/bleach_corrector])

129 Immunoblots

130 Cells were harvested and normalized in 1x SDS-SB (0.1 M Tris pH 6.8/ 5% 131 Glycerol/ 0.2% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)/ 1% β-Mercaptoethanol/ 0.025% 132 Bromophenol blue) to the same OD_{660} . Samples were boiled for 5 min at 95°C, 133 separated on 12% SDS-acrylamide gel electrophoreses (PAGE), and transferred 134 onto PVDF-membranes (Immobilon-P, Millipore, USA). Proteins were detected 135 using specific primary polyclonal antibodies (anti-CtrA 1:10,00, anti-CC0164 136 1:20,000, anti-ClpX 1:10,000, anti-GFP 1:800 (Invitrogen, USA)) and anti-rabbit 137 secondary antibodies coupled to horseradish peroxidase (1:10,000, Dako, 138 After Denmark). incubation with LumiGLO or LumiGLO reserve 139 chemiluminescent substrate (KPL, USA) luminescence was detected using LAS-4000 luminescent image analyzer (Fujifilm, Japan). Immunoblot bands were 140 141 quantified by measuring mean gray values with FIJI (5, 8).

StrepII-HfsK was purified as described below and injected into rabbits to
raise polyclonal antibodies, (Josman LLC, USA). The serum was used in a
1:20,000 dilution.

145

146 **Cell fractionation**

147 150 ml mid log phase cultures were harvested by centrifugation (8000 xg,
148 20 min, 4°C) and washed in 50 ml PBS. The pellet was resuspended in 7 ml per
149 gram wet weight CellFrac-Buffer (PBS/ 1x cOmplete mini EDTA-free Protease
150 Inhibitor (Roche)/ 2.5 μg/ml DNAseI (Roche)), lysed using a French- pressure
151 cell press at 1 bar (Thermo Electron corporation, USA), and spun to remove cell

debris (10 min, 18,000 xg, 4°C). To separate soluble from insoluble proteins, 1 ml
of this cleared cell lysate was centrifuged at high speed (1 h, 100,000 xg, 4°C).
The supernatant was removed and kept as soluble fraction whereas the pellet
was washed in CellFrac-Buffer. The washed pellet was resuspended in 1 ml
CellFrac-Buffer and kept as the pellet fraction. Cleared lysate, soluble and pellet
fraction were diluted in 5x SDS-SB, boiled for 5 min at 95°C, and further analyzed
using immunoblot.

159

160 **Protein purification**

161 pET28aStrepII plasmids expressing wild-type HfsK and mutant derivatives were 162 transformed into *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3). Cells were grown at 30°C to an OD₆₀₀ of 163 0.6, before the plasmid was induced with 0.75 mM IPTG for 4 h. Cells were 164 harvested and resuspended in 50 mM NAH₂PO₄ / 300 mM NaCl supplemented with 1 µM Pepstatin / 1 mM DTT / 1x cOmplete mini (Roche) / 2.5 µg/ml DNAseI 165 166 (Roche) and lysed using a French- pressure cell press at 1 bar. The StrepII-167 tagged proteins were purified from cleared lysates with Strep-Tactin Superflow 168 plus resins (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol and used in the 169 elution buffer or in 20 mM Tris pH 8.5/200 mM NaCl for further experiments.

The pET28aHis-HfsK plasmid was transformed into NiCo21(DE3) cells and
grown at 37°C to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6. Protein expression was induced with 0.3 mM
IPTG and incubation was continued at 22°C overnight. Cells were resuspended in
20 mM Tris pH 8.5/ 0.75 M NaCl/ 3 mM beta-mercaptoethanol/ 0.1%Tween-20
/ 20 mM/ 1mM PMSF/ 1 μm pepstatin supplemented with protease inhibitor
tablet (Roche) and disrupted using a microfluidizer (M-110L pneumatic,
Microfluidics). Cleared lysate was applied to a 5 ml HisTrap HP column (GE

177 Healthcare) and the His-tagged protein was eluted with a gradient of elution 178 buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 8.5/ 0.5 M NaCl/ 3 mM beta-mercaptoethanol/ 179 0.1%Tween-20/ 500 mM imidazole. The eluted fractions containing HfsK protein were concentrated and injected on a Superdex 200 10/300 GL increase 180 181 gel filtration column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 30 mM HEPES pH 7.4/ 182 0.3 M NaCl/ 3 mM beta-mercaptoethanol/ 5 mM MgCl2. The peak fractions 183 corresponding to HfsK were collected and the concentration adjusted for ITC 184 experiment.

185

186 Isothermal titration calorimetry

187 The interaction of HfsK with c-di-GMP was measured with a VP-ITC isothermal 188 titration calorimeter from MicroCal with 13 μ M HfsK in the cell and 211 μ M 189 c-di-GMP in the syringe (buffer: 30 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 0.3 M NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 190 and 3 mM beta-mercaptoethanol). All solutions were thoroughly degassed and 191 equilibrated to 22°C before filling into the calorimeter. The first injection of 3 µl 192 was followed by 29 injections of 10 μ l and the temperature of the calorimetric 193 cell was maintained at 22°C. The delay between the injections was set to 6–7 min 194 to ensure complete re-equilibration between subsequent injections. The 195 observed data were analyzed using the MicroCal version of ORIGIN and fitted 196 with the "One binding site model" of ORIGIN.

197

198 UV crosslinking with [³³P]c-di-GMP

199 [³³P]c-di-GMP was synthesized using [³³P]GTP (Hartmann Analytic, Germany)

200 and the diguanylate cyclase DgcZ from *E. coli*. DgcZ purification and c-di-GMP

201 productions was performed as previously described (9, 10). Crosslinking 202 experiments were performed as described in (11). In short, 1 µM purified 203 protein, an appropriate concentration of [³³P] c-di-GMP, and reaction buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4 pH 6.5/ 200 mM NaCl/ 1 mM DTT or 20 mM Tris 204 205 pH 8.5/200 mM NaCl/ 1 mM DTT) were mixed and incubated for 10 min at RT. 206 In competition experiments the protein was pre-incubated with unlabeled 207 competitor before [³³P]c-di-GMP was added. Samples were irradiated at 254 nm 208 for 3 min at 4°C, mixed with 5x SDS-Sample Buffer, and boiled for 5 min. The 209 samples were separated on 12% acrylamide gels using SDS-PAGE. The gels were 210 dried and exposed to a phosphor screen that was scanned on a Typhoon FLA 211 7000 imaging system (GE Healthcare). Autoradiogram bands were quantified 212 using FIJI (5, 8) by measuring the mean gray value and binding curves were 213 fitted with GraphPad Prism 6.0.

214

215 SCFS measurements with FluidFM

216 In order to obtain clean substrates for the SCFS experiments, glass dishes (WillCo 217 Wells B.V., The Netherlands) were sonicated in 2-propanol (Scharlau, Spain) and 218 subsequently in ultra-pure water for 10 min at room temperature in a 219 Branson 2210 Ultrasound bath and dried under nitrogen gas stream right before 220 use. Cultures grown overnight in PYE were diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.001 and 221 together with a final concentration of $0.1 \,\mu\text{g/ml}$ Oregon green-WGA were added 222 to the clean glass dishes. SCFS measurements were started right away and could 223 last several hours. Thus, measurements comprise this range of surface contact 224 times for all strains.

225 Preparation and calibration of the cantilever was performed as described 226 earlier (12). Briefly, rectangular, hollow silicon nitride cantilevers containing a 227 hollow pyramid at the free-end (Cytosurge AG, Switzerland) were 36 µm wide, 228 150 μ m long, and had a channel height of 1 μ m, resulting in a stiffness of about 229 2.5 N/m. Circular 300 – 700 nm diameter openings at the pyramidal apex were 230 drilled by focused ion beam after sloping the pyramid's tip to compensate for the 231 10° tilt angle of the AFM probe holder (13). Prior to the experiments the probes were plasma-cleaned for 30 s (Plasma Cleaner PDG-32G, Harrick Plasma, USA) 232 233 and covered with an antifouling coating of 0.5 mg/ml poly-L-lysine (PLL) 234 (20 kDa) that was grafted with poly-ethylene glycol (PEG) (2 kDa) (PLL-g-PEG) 235 (Surface Solution SuSoS AG, Switzerland) in filtered ultra-pure water (14). 236 FluidFM probes were coated from the in- and outside with PLL-*q*- PEG for 1 h 237 and subsequently washed in filtered PBS for 5 min (15). Cantilever sensitivity 238 was calibrated using software-implemented scripts based on the formalism 239 described by Sader and colleagues (16).

A FluidFM connected via tubing to a pressure controller (Cytosurge AG,
Zürich and Nanosurf AG, Liestal, Switzerland) was mounted on an Axio Observer
D1 inverted microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

For previously developed FluidFM applications, the probe was directly approached onto adherent cells prior to underpressure based cell immobilization to the cantilever and subsequent cell detachment of the substrate (12, 14). However, a direct approach onto the cell is not possible for *C. crescentus*, as the flexible parts of the cells are pushed away during the downward movement of the probe. In order to achieve a defined distance above the substrate, the pyramidal tip was approached next to a *Caulobacter* cell and 250 retracted until the desired separation was achieved. Subsequently, to immobilize 251 the cell to the cantilever, the probe was moved in x-y directions above the target 252 cell and 0.8 atm underpressure was applied for reversible cell immobilization at 253 the pyramidal tip opening. Subsequently the probe, together with the attached 254 cell was retracted at a piezo velocity of 1 µm/s, while forces were recorded. 255 Underpressure was maintained during this process until the bacterium was 256 completely detached from the substrate. Subsequently the bacterium was 257 released from the cantilever by an overpressure pulse of 1 atm. SCFS data were 258 analyzed with SPIP software (Image Metrology A/S, Hørsholm, Denmark).

259

260 Statistics

For statistical comparison, paired t-tests were used if not stated otherwise.Calculations were performed with GraphPad Prism.

263

264 **C-di-GMP quantification**

C-di-GMP was extracted from 20 ml liquid culture of strains harboring a deletion
in *hfsA* (holdfast⁻) and *cc00471* (capsule⁻) to prevent EPS based clogging of the
HPLC column. Extraction and quantification was performed as previously
described (17, 18).

269

270 Alignments, phylogenetic analysis and structural model

271 Sequence homologs of HfsK were obtained using Blast search (19) (database

accessed July 2015) and the phylogenetic tree was computed with Geneious 7.1.7

using global alignment with free end gaps and neighbor joining methodology.

The structural model of HfsK was created with the MPI bioinformatics Toolkit (20) using structural homology search with HHpred (21), followed by structure prediction with the build-in modeller function (22). Structure based alignment was adapted from the HHpred structural homology search output and multiple sequence alignments were created using MUSCLE (23).

279

280 Phage and motility assay

Phage lysates were prepared based on (24). In short, mid-log phase bacterial 281 282 culture was mixed with phage lysate, incubated for 10 min at room temperature, 283 mixed with prewarmed PYE top-agar (0.75%) and poured onto a PYE plate. After 284 overnight growth at 30 °C, 5 ml CPB buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5 / 1 mM MgSO₄ / 285 1 mM CaCl₂) were added and incubated again overnight at 4 °C. The next day, 286 lysed cells were scraped from the plate, mixed with 150 µl chloroform and 287 incubated for 1 h. After centrifugation (5,000 xg, 10 min), another 150 µl 288 chloroform were added to the cleared supernatant to get the phage lysate stock. 289 To assess phage susceptibility, 200 µl stationary phase culture was mixed with 290 2.5 ml PYE top-agar (45°C) and poured on top of PYE plates. Onto the solidified 291 agar 5 µl of serial dilutions of phage lysate were spotted. Phage lysates were 292 made in CPB buffer. The plates were incubated for 1 day at 30°C and scanned 293 (ScanMaker i800, Microtek International). To score motility, semi-solid PYE 294 0.3% agar plates were inoculated with a single colony and incubated for 3 days 295 in a humidified chamber at 30°C. The plates were scanned and colony size was 296 measured using FIJI (5, 8).

297

298 **Construction of plasmids**

299 For pNPTS138-based deletion plasmids roughly 500 bp up-and downstream of 300 the target gene were amplified from genomic CB15 DNA with PCR, cut with 301 restriction enzymes as indicated in Table S1 (below), and ligated at the same 302 time into EcoRI/ HindIII (or in case of pNPTS-Δ2278: SpeI/ EcoRI) cut pNPTS138 303 vector. In case of pNPTS138- $\Delta hfsK$ and the inner primers (3585/35876) have 304 extensions that are complementary to each other, thus the two fragments were 305 fused using SOE-PCR and primers 8584/3587. The fused products were cut with 306 EcoRI/HindIII and ligated in the likewise cut pNPTS138 vector.

307

StrepII-fusions were generated using the subcloning vector pET28A-StrepII-MCS 308 309 which was generated by annealing of two complementary oligonucleotides 310 encoding the *strepII* tag (primer 3287/3288), followed by restriction enzyme 311 digest with Ncol/ BamHI and ligation into the likewise cut pET28a vector. pKaS105 was generated by amplification of *hfsK*_{R352A,R353A} from pKaS95 with PCR 312 313 followed by restriction enzyme digest using primers and enzymes as indicated in 314 Table S1 below and ligation into the likewise cut pET28A-StrepII-MCS 315 subcloning vector. pET28strepII-hfsK and pKaS93 were generated by 316 amplification of *hfsK* and *hfsK*_{trnc} from genomic CB15 DNA with PCR followed by 317 restriction enzyme digest using primers and enzymes as indicated in Table S1 318 below and ligation into the likewise cut pET28A-StrepII-MCS subcloning vector. 319 pKaS84 was generated similarly except that in addition SOE-PCR was used to 320 introduce mutation R112A with the mutagenic primers 8890/8891.

321

pKaS2, pKaS1, pKaS9, pKaS22, pKaS67, pKaS95, pKaS106, pKaS111, pKaS12,
pMT687-*hfsK*, pKaS113, and pKaS114 were generated by amplification of the

324 gene of interest from genomic CB15 DNA with PCR followed by restriction 325 enzyme digest using primers and enzymes as indicated in Table S1 below and 326 ligation into the likewise cut pMT552, pMT590, or pMT697 vector. pKaS77 was 327 generated by using primers 8828/8830 as well as template pMT552 to amplify 328 egfp and primers 8829/8831 as well as the template pNPTS138-hfsK-tm (see 329 below) to amplify *secE-tm* with a linker region. As Primer 8829 and 8830 have 330 extensions that are complementary to each other, the two fragments were fused using SOE-PCR generating *egfp-tm* with primers 8828/8831. This was cut with 331 332 EcoRI and NheI and ligated into the cut pKaS22 vector. pNPTS138-hfsK-tm was 333 generated by amplifying with PCR from genomic DNA the last 500 bp of hfsK 334 with primer 4033/8396, *tm* region of *secE* with primer 8395/8398 and 500 bp 335 downstream region of *hfsK* with primer 8397/4036. As the primer pairs 8395/ 336 8396 and 8397/8398 have extensions that are complementary to each other, 337 SOE-PCR was used to first fuse the *hfsK* fragment with *secE-tm* using primers 4033/8398 and then the resulting hfsK-tm fragment with the downstream 338 339 fragment of *hfsK* using primers 4033/4036. The resulting product was cut with 340 HindIII and EcoRI and ligated into the likewise cut pNPTS138 vector.

341

pKaS90 was generated by extracting *hfsK*_{trnc} from pKaS67 by restriction enzyme
digest with NdeI/ KpnI followed by ligation into the linearized vector pKaS77.

346 Plasmids

Plasmid	Description	Source/ Reference
pET28a	Kan ^R , pBR322 based high copy vector with promoter from T7 bacteriophage	Novagen [®]
pNPTS138	Kan ^R , suicide vector with <i>sacB</i> and <i>oriT</i> , for generation of genomic mutations by allelic exchange	D. Alley

Plasmid	Description	Source/ Reference
pMT552	Kan ^R , pVGFPC-2, <i>vanA</i> -P _{van} -MCS- <i>egfp</i> ; for generating C-terminal egfp fusions inserted in the <i>vanA</i> locus expressed from P _{van} or for expression of <i>eafn</i> alone.	(25)
pMT585	Kan ^R , pXGFPC-2, <i>xy</i> /X-P _{xy/-} MCS- <i>egfp</i> ; for generating C-terminal egfp fusions inserted in the <i>xy</i> /X locus expressed from $P_{xy/}$ or for	(25)
pMT590	Kan ^R , pXCHYC-2, <i>xy</i> /X-P _{xy} -MCS- <i>chy</i> ; for generating C-terminal <i>mcherry</i> fusions inserted in the <i>xy</i> /X locus expressed from P_{xy} or	(25)
pMT697	Kan ^R , pXCHYN-2, <i>xyIX</i> -P _{xyl} -chy-MCS; for generating N-terminal <i>mcherry</i> fusions inserted in the <i>xyIX</i> locus expressed from P_{xyl} or	(25)
pMT687	for expression of <i>mcherry</i> alone Kan ^R , RK2 based low-copy vector with P _{xyl}	(25)
pSRK	Kan ^R , pBBR1MCS-2 based high copy vector with P _{lac}	(26)
pET28strepII- <i>hfsK</i>	pET28a plasmid encoding strepII-hfsK	this study
pKaS93	pET28a plasmid encoding strepII-hfsK _{trnc}	this study
pKaS84	pET28a plasmid encoding strepII-hfsK _{R112A}	this study
pKaS105	pET28a plasmid encoding <i>strepII-hfsK</i> _{R352A, R353A}	this study
pET28his- <i>hfsK</i>	pET28a plasmid encoding 6xhis-hfsK	M. Meier
pNPTS138-∆ <i>hfsK</i>	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of Δ <i>hfsK</i> mutation	Y. Cohen
pNPTS138-Δ <i>2278</i>	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of Δ <i>cc2278</i> mutation	Y. Cohen
pKaS110	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of $\Delta 1244$ mutation	this study
pSA223	pNPTS138 derivative to integrate a P _{lac} driven <i>dgcZ-3xflag</i> into the intergenic region of <i>cc3065</i> and <i>cc3066</i>	(27)
pSA81	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of Δ <i>pdeA</i> mutation	(28)
pSA156	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of $\Delta cc0091$ mutation	(27)
pNPTS138-∆ <i>00471</i>	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of $\Delta ccna00471$ mutation	this study
pSA79	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of $\Delta cc1086$ mutation	(27)
pSA90	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of $\Delta cc3148$ mutation	(27)
pNPTS138∆ <i>hfaA</i>	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of Δ <i>hfaA</i> mutation	(29)
pNPTS138-∆ <i>hfaB</i>	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of Δ <i>hfaB</i> mutation	this study
pNPTS138∆ <i>hfaD</i>	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of Δ <i>hfaD</i> mutation	(29)
pKaS59	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of $\Delta hfsA$ mutation	this study
pKaS25	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of Δ <i>hfsE</i> mutation	this study
pKaS26	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of Δ <i>hfsF</i> mutation	this study
pNPTS138∆ <i>hfsG</i>	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of $\Delta hfsG$ mutation	(30)
pNPTS138∆ <i>hfsH</i>	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of $\Delta hfsH$ mutation	(30)
pNPTS138∆ <i>hfsl</i>	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of $\Delta hfsl$ mutation	(30)
pDM25	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of Δh fsJ mutation	D. Meyer
pKaS52	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of Δ <i>hfsABCDEFGH</i> mutation	this study
pNPTS138∆pssY	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of $\Delta pssY$ mutation	(30)
pNPTS138∆ <i>pssZ</i>	pNPTS138 derivative for generation of $\Delta pssZ$ mutation	(30)
pKaS22	pVGFPC-2 derivative for expression of <i>hfsK-egfp</i> from P _{van}	this study
pKaS67	pVGFPC-2 derivative for expression of <i>hfsK_{trnc}-egfp</i> from P _{van}	this study
pKaS77	pVGFPC-2 derivative for expression of <i>hfsK-egfp-tm</i> from P _{van}	this study
pKaS90	pVGFPC-2 derivative for expression of $hfsK_{trnc}$ -egfp-tm from P_{van}	this study
pKaS95	pVGFPC-2 derivative for expression of <i>hfsK</i> _{R352A,R353A} - <i>egfp</i> from	this study

Plasmid	Description	Source/
		Reference
	P _{van}	
pKaS106	pVGFPC-2 derivative for expression of <i>hfsK</i> _{R112A} - <i>egfp</i> from P _{van}	this study
pKaS111	pXCHYC-2 derivative for expression of <i>mcherry-hfsE</i> from P _{xy}	this study
pKaS112	pXCHYC-2 derivative for expression of <i>mcherry-hfsF</i> from P _{xyl}	this study
pKaS2	pXCHYN-2 derivative for expression of <i>hfsG-mcherry</i> from P _{xyl}	this study
oKaS1	pXCHYN-2 derivative for expression of <i>hfsH-mcherry</i> from P _{xy/}	this study
pKaS9	pXCHYN-2 derivative for expression of hfsK-mcherry from P_{xyl}	this study
pMT687-hfsK	pMT687 derivative for expression of <i>hfsK</i> from P _{xyl}	this study
pKaS113	pMT687 derivative for expression of <i>cc2278</i> from P_{xyl}	this study
oKaS114	pMT687 derivative for expression of <i>cc1244</i> from P _{xyl}	this study
pTB4	pSRK derivative for expression of <i>dgcZ</i> from P _{lac}	(27)

Strains			
Strain	Genotype	Description	Source/ Reference
E. coli			
DH5alpha	F ⁻ endA1 hsdR17 (r _k ⁻ m _k ⁺) glnV44 thi-1 recA1 gyrA96 relA1 Δ(lacZYA-argF)U169 deoR Φ80dlacZΔM15)	High efficiency transformation strain	(31)
DH10B	F ⁻ mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) Φ80dlacZΔM15 lacX74 endA1 recA1 Δ(ara, leu)7697 araD139 galU galK nupG λ-	Used in conjugations transferring Invitrogous plasmids to <i>C. crescentus</i> with help n of MT607 as plasmid donor	
S17-1	RP4-2, Tc::Mu, KM-Tn7	Used in conjugations transferring plasmids to <i>C. crescentus</i> as plasmid donor	(32)
MT607	pRK600 (cam ^R)	Conjugation helper strain with F- plasmid	
Rosetta (DE3)	F ⁻ <i>ompT hsdS</i> _B (r _B ⁻ m _B ⁻) <i>gal dcm</i> (DE3) pLysSRARE2 (Cam ^R)	BL21 derivative; compatible with T7 expression vectors	Novagen®
NiCo21 (DE3) pLys	can::CBD fhuA2 [lon] ompT gal (λ DE3) [dcm] arnA::CBD slyD::CBD glmS6Ala Δ hsdS λ DE3 = λ sBamHIo Δ EcoRI-B int::(lacI::PlacUV5::T7 gene1) i21 Δ nin5	BL21 derivative; compatible with T7 expression vector; minimizes <i>E. coli</i> protein contamination in immobilized metal affinity chromatography	New England Biolabs
C. crescentu	IS		
NA1000	CB15N	<i>C. crescentus</i> laboratory strain derived from CB15	(33)
CB15	CB15	C. crescentus wild-type ATCC19089	(34)
UJ5990	ΔhfsK	Markerless deletion of <i>hfsK</i> in CB15 using pNPTS138-∆ <i>hfsK</i>	this study
UJ6136	Δ <i>cc2278</i>	Markerless deletion of <i>cc2278</i> in CB15 using pNPTS138-Δ2278	Y. Cohen
UJ6237	Δcc1244	Markerless deletion of <i>cc1244</i> in CB15 using pKaS110	this study
UJ6155	ΔhfsK Δ <i>cc2278</i>	Markerless deletion of <i>cc2278</i> in CB15 $\Delta hfsK$ using pNPTS138-	this study

Strain	Genotype	Description	Source/
			Reference
		Δ2278	
UJ6238	ΔhfsK Δ <i>cc1244</i>	Markerless deletion of cc1244 in	this study
		CB15 Δ <i>hfsK</i> using pKaS110	
UJ6239	Δcc2278 Δ <i>cc1244</i>	Markerless deletion of <i>cc1244</i> in	this study
1116241	Abfel Acc2270 A1244	CB15 $\Delta cc22/8$ using pKaS110	this study
030241	DIJSK DCC2278 D1244	CB15 AhfsK A2278 using nKaS110	this study
UJ7113	ΔhfsK ΔhfsH	Markerless deletion of <i>hfsH</i> in	this study
	, ,	CB15 Δ <i>hfsK</i> using pNPTS138Δ <i>hfsH</i>	,
UJ9545	ΔhfaA	Markerless deletion of hfaA in	this study
		CB15 using pNPTS138∆hfaA	
UJ6951	ΔhfaB	Markerless deletion of <i>hfaB</i> in	this study
1119547	NhfaD	ΔH Markerless deletion of <i>hfaD</i> in	this study
010047		CB15 using pNPTS138 Δ hfaD	tins study
UJ9583	ΔhfaA ΔhfaD	Markerless deletion of hfaA in	this study
		CB15 ∆ <i>hfaD</i> using pNPTS138∆ <i>hfaA</i>	
UJ7112	ΔhfsH	Markerless deletion of hfaH in	this study
1110020		CB15 using pNPTS138ΔhfsH	the stands.
018820	Δηγεε Δρεεγ Δρεεζ	Markerless deletion of <i>njsE</i> , <i>pssy</i>	this study
		nNPTS138ApssY and	
		pNPTS138Δ <i>pssZ</i>	
UJ8162	∆hfsF	Markerless deletion of <i>hfsF</i> in	this study
		CB15 using pKaS26	
UJ7847	ΔhfsG	Markerless deletion of <i>hfsG</i> in	this study
1110470	Abte ADCDEECH Abtel Abtel Abte	CB15 using pNPTS138ΔhfsG	thic ctudy
019470		hfsABCDEEGH operons hfsl hfsl	this study
		and, <i>hfsK</i> in CB15 using pKaS52,	
		pNPTS138Δhfsl, pDM25 and,	
	0	pNPTS138-Δ <i>hfsK</i>	
UJ5100	cdG°; Δ <i>cc0655</i> Δ <i>cc0740</i> Δ <i>cc0857</i>	Markerless deletion of <i>cc0655</i>	(27)
	Δ <i>cc</i> 0896 Δ <i>agc</i> B Δ <i>pieD</i> Δ <i>cc</i> 3094	cc0740 cc0857 cc0896 agcB pieD	
1118732	rcdG ⁰ : (Acc0091 Acc0655	Markerless deletion of cc0091	this study
	$\Delta cc0740 \ \Delta cc0857 \ \Delta cc0896$	<i>cc1086, cc3148, pdeA</i> in CB15 cdG ⁰	and orday
	Δcc1086 ΔdgcB ΔpleD Δcc3094	using pSA156, pSA79, pSA81, and	
	$\Delta cc3148 \Delta dgcA \Delta pdeA)$	pSA90	
UJ8781	rcdG°+ <i>dgcZ</i> ; (<i>\(\Lambda\)</i> ; (\(\Lambda\)) 2005	Chromosomal integration of P_{lac}	this study
	ΔccU/4U ΔccU85/ ΔccU896	driven dgc2 into CB15 rcdG using	
	Acc3148 AdacA AndeA Pige VdeH-	p3AZZ3	
	3xflag)		
UJ8877	rcdG ⁰ Δ <i>hfsK</i>	Markerless deletion of hfsK in	this study
	0	CB15 rcdG ⁰ using pNPTS138-ΔhfsK	
UJ8878	rcdG°+ <i>dgcZ ∆hfsK</i>	Markerless deletion of $hfsK$ in	this study
		CB15 rCaG + agcz using pNP1S138-	
UJ4463	ΔpleD	Markerless deletion of <i>pleD</i> in	(28)
	~ ~ ~ ~	CB15	()
UJ9078	ΔpleD ΔhfsK	Markerless deletion of hfsk in	this study
		Δ <i>pleD</i> using pNPTS138-ΔhfsK	
UJ9633	ΔhfsA Δccna00471	Markerless deletion of hfsA and	this study

Source/
Reference
this study
this study
this study
this study
(35)
(36)

Primers				
Primer	Sequence	Restriction site	Used for plasmid	
1380	GAATTCTTCGACCGTTCCCAGCCC	EcoRI	pDM25	
1381	GGATCCCGCTGTCCAGACGCTCTA	BamHI	pDM25	
1382	GGATCCTGAGGAACGAACATCTCCGCAG	BamHI	pDM25	
1383	AAGCTTCGACAAGGACGGCCAGAAGGA	HindIII	pDM25	
3287	ATATACCATGGGATGGAGCCACCCGCAGTTCGAAAA AGGATCCAAGCTT	n/a	pET28strepII <i>-hfsK/</i> pKaS93/ pKaS84/ pKaS105	
3288	AAGCTTGGATCCTTTTTCGAACTGCGGGTGGCTCCAT CCCATGGTATAT	n/a	pET28strepII- <i>hfsK/</i> pKaS93/ pKaS84/ pKaS105	
3300	AAGGATCCCCCATCGAAATCGTCAAAGC	BamHI	pET28strepII- <i>hfsK/</i> pKaS93/ pKaS84/ pKaS105	
3301	AAGCAAGCTTTCAGTGCAGTCCGCGCAGCA	HindIII	pET28strepII- <i>hfsK/</i> pKaS84/ pKaS105	
3584	AGAGAAGCTTGCAAGATCACCTCGCCGCGT	HindIII	pNPTS-∆ <i>hfsK</i>	
3585	TTGCCCATCGAAATCGTCAAACTGCTGCGCGGACTGC ACTGA	n/a	pNPTS- <i>ΔhfsK</i>	
3586	TCAGTGCAGTCCGCGCAGCAGTTTGACGATTTCGATG GGCAA	n/a	pNPTS- <i>ΔhfsK</i>	
3587	CTCTGAATTCCGCTGTTCGAGCGCATGGCC	EcoRI	pNPTS-∆ <i>hfsK</i>	
3942	AGACGACCATATGCCCATCGAAATCGTCAAAGC	Ndel	pKaS22/ pKaS67/ pKaS95/ pKaS106/ pKaS9	
3943	GTGGTACCTCAGTGCAGTCCGCGCAGCA	Kpnl	pMT687-hfsK	
3984	ATATACTAGTATCGTGGTGATAGAGGCTCAC	Spel	pNPTS-Δ <i>2278</i>	

3985	ATATAAGCTTCTGCAATCGACAGGCCATTCC	HindIII	pNPTS-Δ <i>2278</i>
3986	ATATAAGCTTGCCTGATGGCGCGCGTCACGG	HindIII	pNPTS-Δ <i>2278</i>
3987	ATATGAATTCCGGCGACGAGACCGAAGACTG	EcoRI	pNPTS-Δ <i>2278</i>
4033	GACAAAGCTTTGCTGACCCACCAGACCGAC	HindIII	pKaS77
4036	TAGAATTCTTCGAGCGCATGGCCGAGGC	EcoRI	pKaS77
4088	GTCCAAGCTTCGACCCCCTGATCGACTG	HindIII	pKaS110
4089	ATGGTACCCGCATTAGGCCTTAAGCATC	Kpnl	pKaS110
4090	GAGGTACCGGTCTGGCGATGATGGTG	Kpnl	pKaS110
4091	TGAATTCCCTGAGCGATTTCCAGCTT	EcoRI	pKaS110
4164	CTTAAGGTCATATGCAGCCGGCGATCCATCTTT	Ndel	pKaS113
4165	ACTGGTACCATCAGGCCTCCGTGTGCTCT	Kpnl	pKaS113
4587	AGACGACCATATGCAGGCCGACCGTATCAAGGT	Ndel	pKaS114
4596	TCAGGTACCTGAGGAGAGGCTACCGAGG	Kpnl	pKaS114
4726	TAGAATTCGCCTGGAAAAGAAGACCAAG	EcoRI	pNPTS-∆ <i>00471</i>
4727	ATGGTACCGATCACGTCATTCGGCATTG	Kpnl	pNPTS-∆ <i>00471</i>
4728	CAGGTACCCACTTCCTCTCGGCCCAATA	Kpnl	pNPTS-Δ <i>00471</i>
4729	CATTGAAGCTTGACTGCGAACGATCGCTAGA	HindIII	pNPTS-Δ <i>00471</i>
5181	GGAATTCGACTTCTATCTAGGGGGCTCG	EcoRI	pNPTS138-∆ <i>hfaB</i>
5182	GAGGTACCTGTGCGCTTGACCATCATTT	Kpnl	pNPTS138-∆ <i>hfaB</i>
5183	GAGGTACCGATATCCGTGATGCTAAGCG	Kpnl	pNPTS138-∆ <i>hfaB</i>
5184	GCTAGAAGCTTTCACGTTGATGTTGTTGCCC	HindIII	pNPTS138-∆ <i>hfaB</i>
5592	GTGGTACCAGCAGTACTTCCGCGACCT	Kpnl	pKaS52
5593	GGAATTCCAGAGTCCTGTTCGGTCAGC	EcoRI	pKaS52
5684	GAGATTACCATATGAACGCGCCCGTCAACGA	Ndel	pKaS2
5685	CCGGTACCGACGGCCTCGCTGTAGAGCG	Kpnl	pKaS2
5686	GAGATTATCATATGCCGATGGAATTCGAGAA	Ndel	pKaS1
5687	CCGGTACCGAGCCCGATCCGCCGCG	Kpnl	pKaS1
5692	TAGGTACCGTTTTCCCAACGACGAGCAT	Kpnl	pKaS111
5693	TAGAATTCCTAGCGCACGGCGGACCGAT	EcoRI	pKaS111
5694	TAGGTACCTTCTGGCGCGCGCGTCCTCGG	Kpnl	pKaS112
5695	TAGAATTCTCATGCGGCTTGCGCCTTTC	EcoRI	pKaS112
6119	AGCAGGTACCGTGCAGTCCGCGCAGCAGGT	Kpnl	pKaS22/ pKaS106/
6071	TTAACCCTACCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	Kool	pKaS9
6074		Kpili Hindili	pKa520
6876		FcoRl	pKa520
6977		Kool	pKa520
6070		Kpnl	pKa320
6870		HindIII	pka525/ pka552
6000		FcoPl	pKa525/ pKa552
6881		Konl	pKa525
0001 9272		Konl	pKa525
8373 8274		HindIII	pKa555
0374 8275		FcoPl	pha333 nKa\$59
8376		Knnl	nKa\$59
8395		n/a	nKaS77
6555	GGT	πα	ριαστη

8396	CAAGATCTTCCTCTAGACCCGTGCAGTCCGCGCAGCA	n/a	pKaS77
	GGT		
8397	TCAAGCTGGCGACCGCGGGATAGCGTCTAGAGCATT	n/a	pKaS77
	TTCC		
8398	GGAAAATGCTCTAGACGCTATCCCGCGGTCGCCAGCT	n/a	pKaS77
	TGA		
8425	GTGGTACCCTCGGCCACACTGCGGACCC	Kpnl	pKaS67
8828	GAATTCGAACGTTACGCGTC	EcoRI	pKaS77
8829	GCATGGACGAGCTGTACAAGGGGTCTAGAGGAAGA	n/a	pKaS77
	тст		
8830	AGATCTTCCTCTAGACCCCTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGC	n/a	pKaS77
8831	AGTGGCTAGCCTATCCCGCGGTCGC	Nhel	pKaS77
8890	TGGGTGCGATCGACTTTTGCCACATGATG	n/a	pKaS84
8891	CAAAAGTCGATCGCACCCACGCCGAGCACGT	n/a	pKaS84
9216	AGTGAAGCTTTCACTCGGCCACACTGCGGACCC	HindIII	pKaS93
9432	GTAGGTACCGTGCAGTCCGCGCAGCAGGTCGATGGC	Kpnl	pKaS95
	GGCCATCGCCTTG		

References

353	1.	Jenal U, Shapiro L. 1996. Cell cycle-controlled proteolysis of a flagellar
354		motor protein that is asymmetrically distributed in the <i>Caulobacter</i>
355		predivisional cell. EMBO J 15:2393–406.
356	2.	Gustafsson MG . 2000. Surpassing the lateral resolution limit by a factor of
357		two using structured illumination microscopy. J Microsc 198 :82–7.
358	3.	Schermelleh L, Carlton PM, Haase S, Shao L, Winoto L, Kner P, Burke B,
359		Cardoso MC, Agard DA, Gustafsson MGL, Leonhardt H, Sedat JW. 2008.
360		Subdiffraction multicolor imaging of the nuclear periphery with 3D
361		structured illumination microscopy. Science 320 :1332–6.
362	4.	Cole JL, Hardy GG, Bodenmiller D, Toh E, Hinz A, Brun YV. 2003. The
363		HfaB and HfaD adhesion proteins of Caulobacter crescentus are localized in
364		the stalk. Mol Microbiol 49 :1671–83.
365	5.	Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M,
366		Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez J-Y,
367		White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A. 2012. Fiji:
368		an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods
369		9 :676–682.
370	6.	Paintdakhi A, Parry B, Campos M, Irnov I, Elf J, Surovtsev I, Jacobs-
371		Wagner C. 2015. Oufti: An integrated software package for high-accuracy,
372		high-throughput quantitative microscopy analysis. Mol Microbiol 1–11.
373	7.	Deshpande S, Pfohl T. 2012. Hierarchical self-assembly of actin in micro-
374		confinements using microfluidics. Biomicrofluidics 6 :34120.
375	8.	Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25
376		years of image analysis. Nat Methods 9 :671–5.
377	9.	Boehm A, Steiner S, Zaehringer F, Casanova A, Hamburger F, Ritz D,
378		Keck W, Ackermann M, Schirmer T, Jenal U. 2009. Second messenger
379		signalling governs Escherichia coli biofilm induction upon ribosomal
380		stress. Mol Microbiol 72 :1500–1516.
381	10.	Zähringer F, Massa C, Schirmer T. 2011. Efficient enzymatic production

382		of the bacterial second messenger c-di-GMP by the diguanylate cyclase
383		YdeH from <i>E. coli</i> . Appl Biochem Biotechnol 163 :71–9.
384	11.	Christen M, Christen B, Folcher M, Schauerte A, Jenal U. 2005.
385		Identification and characterization of a cyclic di-GMP-specific
386		phosphodiesterase and its allosteric control by GTP. J Biol Chem
387		280 :30829–37.
388	12.	Potthoff E, Ossola D, Zambelli T, Vorholt JA. 2015. Bacterial adhesion
389		force quantification by fluidic force microscopy. Nanoscale 7 :4070–4079.
390	13.	Grüter RR, Vörös J, Zambelli T. 2013. FluidFM as a lithography tool in
391		liquid: spatially controlled deposition of fluorescent nanoparticles.
392		Nanoscale 5 :1097–1104.
393	14.	Potthoff E, Guillaume-Gentil O, Ossola D, Polesel-Maris J, LeibundGut-
394		Landmann S, Zambelli T, Vorholt JA. 2012. Rapid and serial
395		quantification of adhesion forces of yeast and Mammalian cells. PLoS One
396		7 :e52712.
397	15.	Huang N-P, Michel R, Voros J, Textor M, Hofer R, Rossi A, Elbert DL,
398		Hubbell JA, Spencer ND. 2001. Poly(l-lysine)-g-poly(ethylene glycol)
399		Lavers on Metal Oxide Surfaces: Surface-Analytical Characterization and
400		Resistance to Serum and Fibrinogen Adsorption. Langmuir 17 :489–498.
401	16.	Sader IE. Chon IWM. Mulvanev P. 1999. Calibration of rectangular atomic
402		force microscope cantilevers. Rev Sci Instrum 70 :3967–3969.
403	17.	Boehm A. Kaiser M. Li H. Spangler C. Kasper CA. Ackermann M. Kaever
404		V. Sourijk V. Roth V. Jenal II. 2010. Second messenger-mediated
405		adjustment of hacterial swimming velocity. Cell 141 :107–16
406	18	Snangler C Böhm A Jenal II Seifert B Kaever V 2010 A liquid
407	10.	chromatography-coupled tandem mass spectrometry method for
408		quantitation of cyclic di-guanosine mononhosphate I Microbiol Methods
409		81.726-731
410	19	Johnson M. Zaretskava I. Ravtselis V. Merezhuk V. McGinnis S. Madden
411	17.	TI . 2008 NCRI BLAST: a better web interface Nucleic Acids Res 36 ·W5-9
412	20	Alva V Nam S-7 Söding I Lunas AN 2016 The MPI bioinformatics
413	20.	Toolkit as an integrative platform for advanced protein sequence and
414		structure analysis Nucleic Acids Res 44 :W410–W415
415	21	Söding I Biegert A Lunas AN 2005 The HHnred interactive server for
416	21.	notein homology detection and structure prediction. Nucleic Acids Res
410 A17		$32 \cdot W214_{-8}$
417 A18	22	Hildebrand A Remmert M Riegert A Söding I 2009 Fast and accurate
410	22.	automatic structure production with HHprod Protoing Struct Funct
419		Riginforma 77 :129–122
420	22	Edgar DC 2004 MUSCI E: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy.
421	23.	and high throughput Nucloic Acide Dec 22 ,1702. 7
422	24	Ely P 1001 Constitution of Caulobaster grassentus p 272, 294. In Mothods in
423	24.	Ely B. 1991. Genetics of <i>Callobacter crescentus</i> , p. 572–564. In Methods III
424	25	Enzymology.
425	25.	Thandichief M, Intesta AA, Shapiro L . 2007. A comprehensive set of
420 427		plasmus for valimate- and xylose-inducible gene expression in
427	26	<i>Caulobacter Crescentus</i> . Nucleic Acias Kes 35 :e137.
420	20.	MIAH SK, GAINES J, KOUP KM, FAFFANG SK. 2008. Broad-Host-Kange
429		Expression vectors with fightly Regulated Promoters and Their Use To
430		Examine the influence of frak and fram Expression on fit Plasmid

431		Quorum Sensing. Appl Environ Microbiol 74 :5053–5062.
432	27.	Abel S, Bucher T, Nicollier M, Hug I, Kaever V, Abel Zur Wiesch P, Jenal
433		U . 2013. Bi-modal distribution of the second messenger c-di-GMP controls
434		cell fate and asymmetry during the <i>Caulobacter</i> cell cycle. PLoS Genet
435		9 :e1003744.
436	28.	Abel S, Chien P, Wassmann P, Schirmer T, Kaever V, Laub MT, Baker
437		TA, Jenal U. 2011. Regulatory cohesion of cell cycle and cell differentiation
438		through interlinked phosphorylation and second messenger networks.
439		Mol Cell 43 :550–60.
440	29.	Hardy GG, Allen RC, Toh E, Long M, Brown PJB, Cole-Tobian JL, Brun
441		YV . 2010. A localized multimeric anchor attaches the <i>Caulobacter</i> holdfast
442		to the cell pole. Mol Microbiol 76 :409–27.
443	30.	Toh E, Kurtz HD, Brun YV. 2008. Characterization of the Caulobacter
444		crescentus holdfast polysaccharide biosynthesis pathway reveals
445		significant redundancy in the initiating glycosyltransferase and
446		polymerase steps. J Bacteriol 190 :7219–31.
447	31.	Hanahan D. 1983. Studies on transformation of Escherichia coli with
448		plasmids. J Mol Biol 166 :557–80.
449	32.	Simon R, Priefer U, Pühler A. 1983. A broad host range
450		mobilizationsystem for in vivo genetic engineering: transposon
451		mutagenesis in gram negative bacteria. Bio/Technology 1 :784–791.
452	33.	Evinger M, Agabian N. 1977. Envelope-associated nucleoid from
453		<i>Caulobacter crescentus</i> stalked and swarmer cells. J Bacteriol 132 :294–
454		301.
455	34.	Stove Poindexter JL, Cohen-Bazire G. 1964. The fine structure of stalked
456		bacteria belonging to the family Caulobacteraceae. J Cell Biol 23 :587–607.
457	35.	Schmidt JM, Stanier RY. 1965. Isolation and Characterization of
458		Bacteriophages Active against Stalked Bacteria. J Gen Microbiol 39 :95–
459		107.
460	36.	Ely B, Johnson RC. 1977. Generalized transduction in Caulobacter
461		crescentus. Genetics 87:391–399.
462		