## Prognostic significance of positive peritoneal cytology in resectable pancreatic cancer: a systemic review and metaanalysis

**Supplementary Materials** 

Supplementary Table 1: Risk of bias in the included retrospective cohort studies (by the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment tool)

References	Selection/4	Comparability/2	Outcome/3	Total score
Iwagami	3	2	3	8
Satoi	3	1	2	6
Hirabayashi	3	1	2	6
Aoyama	3	1	3	7
Yamada	3	1	2	6
Yoshioka	3	2	3	8
Ferrione	3	1	2	6
Meszoely	3	1	2	6
Konishi	3	1	2	6
Yachida	3	1	2	6

## Appendix: Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for quality assessment

## Selection a) truly representative of the average potentially resectable Representativeness of the exposed cohort pancreatic cancer in the community (\*\*) b) somewhat representative of the average potentially resectable pancreatic cancer in the community (\*) c) selected group of users eg nurses, volunteers d) no description of the derivation of the cohort Selection of the non-exposed cohort a) drawn from the same community as the exposed cohort (\*\*) b) drawn from a different source c) no description of the derivation of the non- exposed cohort Ascertainment of exposure a) surgical records (%) b) structured interview (\*\*) c) written self report d) no description Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present a) yes (**%**) at start of study b) no Comparability Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or a) The tumor size between exposed cohort and non-exposed analysis cohort had no statistical differences(\*) b) The age(sex, T, N stage etc) between exposed cohort and nonexposed cohort had no statistical differences (\*\*) Outcome Assessment of outcome a) independent blind assessment (\*\*) b) record linkage (\*\*) c) self report d) no description Was follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur? a) yes (24 months) (※) (death or recurrence) b) no a) complete follow up - all subjects accounted for (X) Adequacy of follow up of cohorts b) subjects lost to follow up unlikely to introduce bias - small number lost (less than 25%) or description provided of those lost) ( $\times$ ) c) follow up rate < 75% and no description of those lost d) no statement

Note: A study can be awarded a maximum of one star for each numbered item within the Selection and Outcome categories. A maximum of two stars can be given for Comparability.