

## SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

### **Relative sensitivity of immunohistochemistry, multiple reaction monitoring mass spectrometry, *in situ* hybridization and PCR to detect Coxsackievirus B1 in A549 cells**

Jutta E. Laiho<sup>1‡</sup>, Maarit Oikarinen<sup>1‡</sup>, Sarah J. Richardson<sup>2</sup>, Gun Frisk<sup>3</sup>, Julius Nyalwidhe<sup>4,5\*</sup>, Tanya C. Burch<sup>4,5,\*</sup>, Margaret A. Morris<sup>4,6,\*</sup>, Sami Oikarinen<sup>1</sup>, Alberto Pugliese<sup>7</sup>, Francesco Dotta<sup>8</sup>, Martha Campbell-Thompson<sup>9</sup>, Jerry Nadler<sup>6\*</sup>, Noel G. Morgan<sup>2</sup>, Heikki Hyöty<sup>1,10</sup> and the JDRF nPOD-Virus Group

<sup>1</sup>Department of Virology, School of Medicine, University of Tampere, Tampere Finland;

<sup>2</sup>University of Exeter Medical School, Exeter, Devon, UK; <sup>3</sup>Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden; <sup>4</sup>Microbiology and Molecular Cell Biology,

<sup>5</sup>Leroy T. Canoles Jr. Cancer Research Center, <sup>6</sup>Internal Medicine, \*Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, USA; <sup>7</sup> Diabetes Research Institute and Departments of Medicine, Microbiology and Immunology, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, <sup>8</sup>Diabetes Unit, Dept. of Medicine Surgery and Neurosciences, University of Siena; Fondazione Umberto Di Mario ONLUS – Toscana Life Sciences, Siena, Italy, <sup>9</sup>University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA; <sup>10</sup>Fimlab Laboratories, Pirkanmaa Hospital District, Tampere, Finland

### **Additional information about study design and methods**

#### Proteomics

The final MRM assay conditions used in the study were: ion spray voltage of 2800 V, curtain gas, 20 psi; Nebulizer gas 10, and interface heater temperature of 125°C. Collision energy 22.3; Dwell

time 100 msec. LC conditions, Buffer A; 0.1% formic acid/water, Buffer B; 0.1% formic acid/acetonitrile; Column, PicoFrit (75  $\mu$ M inner diameter, 2  $\mu$ M tip opening, New Objective, Woburn, MA) resin 10 cm of reverse phase 5  $\mu$ M, 100 Å Magic C18 resin (Michrom Bioresources, Auburn, CA). Flow rate, 500nl/min; gradient 5–10% Solvent B in 3 min, 10–60% solvent B in 48 min, and 60–95% solvent B for 5 min before re-equilibration with 95% solvent A for 7 min.

### Immunohistochemistry

In house antibodies against the four capsid proteins (VP1-VP4) of CVB4 virus (GenBank database – accession no. DQ480420):

The antibodies were raised in rabbits as follows: cloned recombinant VP1, VP2, VP3 and VP4 fused with His-tag (VP1-His, VP2-His, VP3-His, and VP4-His) were expressed in E.Coli, VP-His proteins were purified employing nickel columns and used for rabbit immunization. Two laboratories (Exeter and Tampere) optimized these antibodies for their IHC techniques using EV-infected cells in FFPE cell arrays. The final dilutions which were selected based on optimization are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

**Supplementary Table 1.** Concentrations of the CVB4 VP1-VP4 antibodies used in the IHC stainings in Exeter and Tampere.

Laboratory	VP1A	VP1B	VP2B	VP3A	VP3B	VP4B
Exeter (UK)	1:3000	1:6000	1:3000	1:2500	1:9000	1:2000
Tampere (FIN)	1:3000	1:4000	1:3200	1:1500	1:5000	1:2500

## Additional information for the Results

**Supplementary Table 2.** The RT-PCR results of different dilutions of CVB1 infected A549 cells

<b>Sample dilutions</b>	<b>real-time PCR Tampere (FIN) CT-value</b>	<b>semi-nested PCR Uppsala (SWE)</b>
<b>Undiluted</b>	13,6	positive
<b>10<sup>-1</sup></b>	14,3	positive
<b>10<sup>-2</sup></b>	16,2	positive
<b>10<sup>-3</sup></b>	18,9	positive
<b>10<sup>-4</sup></b>	23,7	positive
<b>10<sup>-5</sup></b>	26,2	positive
<b>10<sup>-6</sup></b>	30,6	positive
<b>10<sup>-7</sup></b>	40,6	positive
<b>10<sup>-8</sup></b>	negative	positive
<b>Negative control<sup>1</sup></b>	negative	negative

<sup>1</sup> Uninfected A549 cells treated in the same way as infected cells