

S5: Information on the performance of the 2 overall assessments of AGREE II

<b>Author / Year</b>	<b>Assessment of overall guideline quality provided</b>	<b>Recommendation for use provided</b>	<b>Deviation from AGREE II (overall guideline quality)</b>	<b>Deviation from AGREE II (recommendation for use)</b>
Abdelsattar 2015	-	-	-	-
Acuna-Izcaray 2013	-	X	-	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores: ▪ “strongly recommended“ (standardized domain scores > 60%)
Agrawal 2012	X	X	no	no
Al-Ansary 2013	X	X	no	no
Altman 2015	-	-	-	-
Alvarez-Vargas 2015	X	X	no	no
Arevalo-Rodriguez 2013	-	-	-	-
Armstrong 2016	-	-	-	-
Avin 2015	-	X	-	no
Barber 2015	-	X	-	no
Bekkering 2014	-	-	-	-
Binepal 2015	X	-	no	-

<b>Author / Year</b>	<b>Assessment of overall guideline quality provided</b>	<b>Recommendation for use provided</b>	<b>Deviation from AGREE II (overall guideline quality)</b>	<b>Deviation from AGREE II (recommendation for use)</b>
Birken 2015	X	X	no	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores and overall guideline quality: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “strongly recommended” (standardized score <math>\geq</math> 50% in all domains)</li> <li>▪ “recommended” (overall assessment <math>\geq</math> 50%)</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized score of <math>&lt;</math> 50% in all domains; overall assessment <math>&lt;</math> 50%)</li> </ul>
Bragge 2014	X	-	no	-
Brosseau 2014a	X	X	no	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended“ (standardized domain scores <math>&gt;</math> 60% in 3 out of 6 domains + domain 3 [rigour of development])</li> </ul>
Brosseau 2014b	X	X	no	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended“ (standardized domain scores <math>&gt;</math> 60% in domain 3 + 3-4 other domains)</li> </ul>
Burda 2014	X	X	no	Consensus of individual assessments
Burnett 2014	X	-	Overall assessment calculated	-
Cassis 2015	X	X	-	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores and overall guideline quality: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “strongly recommended” (standardized domain score <math>\geq</math> 50% in all domains; standardized domain score <math>\geq</math> 50% in 5 domains + standardized domain score 40% to 50% in 1 domain)</li> </ul>

Author / Year	Assessment of overall guideline quality provided	Recommendation for use provided	Deviation from AGREE II (overall guideline quality)	Deviation from AGREE II (recommendation for use)
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended” (overall assessment <math>\geq 50\%</math>)</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (overall assessment <math>&lt; 50\%</math>)</li> </ul>
Castellani 2015	-	X	no	no
Chen 2015	-	X	no	<p>Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores and overall guideline quality:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended” (standardized domain score <math>\geq 60\%</math> in all domains)</li> <li>▪ “recommended with modifications” (standardized domain score <math>\geq 30\%</math> in 3 domains + standardized domain score <math>&lt; 60\%</math> in 1 domain)</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized domain score <math>&lt; 30\%</math> in 3 domains)</li> </ul>
Chua 2015	X	-	Overall assessment calculated	-
Colebatch-Bourn 2015	X	X	no	no
Damiani 2014	-	-	-	-
Dersch 2015	X	X	no	<p>Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores:</p> <p>“not recommended” (standardized domain score <math>&lt; 50\%</math> in all domains)</p>
Don-Wauchope 2012	X	X	no	no

<b>Author / Year</b>	<b>Assessment of overall guideline quality provided</b>	<b>Recommendation for use provided</b>	<b>Deviation from AGREE II (overall guideline quality)</b>	<b>Deviation from AGREE II (recommendation for use)</b>
Falconi 2015	X	X	no	no
Fisher 2014	-	X	-	no
Fouche 2014	-	-	-	-
Gamst-Jensen 2014	-	-	-	-
Gandhi 2015	X	X	no	no
Gillon 2014	X	X	no	no
Girardis 2016	X	-	no	no
Goyet 2014	X	-	no	-
Grimmer 2014	X	-	no	-
Gupta 2015	-	-	-	-
Gutarra-Vilchez 2014	-	X	-	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended” (above 60%),</li> <li>▪ “recommended with modifications” (30-60%),</li> <li>▪ "not recommended" (below 30%).</li> </ul>
Haddadi 2015	X	-	Overall assessment calculated	-
Haran 2014	X	-	Overall assessment calculated	-
Harris 2012	-	-	-	-
He 2015	-	-		
Heine 2015	X	X	no	no

<b>Author / Year</b>	<b>Assessment of overall guideline quality provided</b>	<b>Recommendation for use provided</b>	<b>Deviation from AGREE II (overall guideline quality)</b>	<b>Deviation from AGREE II (recommendation for use)</b>
Henig 2013	-	-	-	-
Holmer 2013	-	X	-	Consensus of individual assessments
Holvoet 2015	-	-	-	-
Horner 2014	-	-	-	-
Huang 2015	X	X	no	<p>Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “strongly recommended” (standardized domain scores &gt; 60% in 4 domains)</li> <li>▪ “recommended” (standardized domain scores from 30% to 60% in <math>\geq 4</math> domains + standardized domain scores <math>\geq 60\%</math> in 2 domains)</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized domain scores &lt; 30% in <math>\geq 4</math> domains)</li> </ul>
Huang 2013	X	-	no	-
Jiang 2015	-	-	-	-
Jokhan 2015	X	-	no	-
Joosen 2015	X	-	no	no
Kawala 2014	-	-	-	-
Kim 2014	-	-	-	-
Kirby 2015	X	X	no	no
Koh 2013	X	-	no	-
Kredo 2012	-	-	-	-

<b>Author / Year</b>	<b>Assessment of overall guideline quality provided</b>	<b>Recommendation for use provided</b>	<b>Deviation from AGREE II (overall guideline quality)</b>	<b>Deviation from AGREE II (recommendation for use)</b>
Lambert 2015	X	-	no	-
Langton 2011a	X	-	no	-
Langton 2011b	X	-	no	-
Larmer 2014	-	X	-	no
Lee 2014	X	X	no	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of overall guideline quality: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended” (overall score of 6 or 7)</li> <li>▪ “recommended with modifications” (overall score of 3to 5)</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (overall score of 1 or 2).</li> </ul>
Li 2015	-	X	-	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “strongly recommended” (standardized domain scores 60% in &gt; 5 domains)</li> <li>▪ “weakly recommended” (standardized domain scores 30% &gt; 4 domains + standardized domain scores 60% in 1 domain)</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized domain scores &lt; 30% in &gt; 3domains)</li> </ul>
Li 2016	-	-	-	-
Loder 2012	X	X	no	no
Lopez-Vargas 2013	X	X	no	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of overall guideline quality: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended” (overall score of 5 to 7)</li> </ul>

<b>Author / Year</b>	<b>Assessment of overall guideline quality provided</b>	<b>Recommendation for use provided</b>	<b>Deviation from AGREE II (overall guideline quality)</b>	<b>Deviation from AGREE II (recommendation for use)</b>
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended with modifications” (overall score of 3 or 4)</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (overall score of 1 or 2).</li> </ul>
Luitjes 2013	-	X	-	no
Lytras 2014	X	X	no	no
Marciano 2014	X	X	-	no
Nagler 2014	-	-	-	-
Nelson 2014	X	-	no	-
Norberg 2012	X	-	no	-
Nowobilski 2013	X	X	no	no
Nuckols 2014	X	X	no	no
Nuki 2014	X	-	no	-
Olivera 2015	-	-	-	-
Padjas 2014	-	-	-	-
Pak 2014	X	-	no	-
Parisi 2014	X	X	no	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized domain score &lt; 50%)</li> </ul>
Piano 2013	-	-	-	-
Polus 2012	X	X	no	no

Author / Year	Assessment of overall guideline quality provided	Recommendation for use provided	Deviation from AGREE II (overall guideline quality)	Deviation from AGREE II (recommendation for use)
Qaseem 2013	X	X	no	no
Rapoport 2015	X	X	no	no
Rios 2014	X	X	Overall assessment calculated	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended” (standardized domain score <math>\geq 70\%</math>)</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized domain score <math>&lt; 70\%</math>)</li> </ul>
Rohde 2013	X	X	no	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended” (standardised domain score <math>\geq 66,67\%</math>)</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized domain score <math>&lt; 66,67\%</math>)</li> </ul>
Sabharwal 2014a	X	-	Overall assessment calculated	-
Sabharwal 2014b	X	X	no	no
San Martin-Galindo 2015	X	X	no	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “strongly recommended” (standardized domain scores <math>&gt; 60\%</math> in most domains)</li> <li>▪ “recommended” (standardized domain scores from 30% to 60% in most domains)</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized domain scores <math>&lt; 30\%</math> in most domains)</li> </ul>
Sanclemente	-	X	-	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized



Author / Year	Assessment of overall guideline quality provided	Recommendation for use provided	Deviation from AGREE II (overall guideline quality)	Deviation from AGREE II (recommendation for use)
2014				domain scores: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended” (standardized domain scores <math>\geq 60\%</math>)</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized domain scores <math>&lt; 60\%</math>)</li> </ul>
Santos 2012	-	X	-	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended” (standardized domain scores <math>&gt; 60\%</math>),</li> <li>▪ “recommended with modifications” (standardized domain scores 30-60%),</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized domain scores <math>&lt; 30\%</math>).</li> </ul>
Schildmann 2015	-	-	-	-
Schoenmaker 2013	X	X	Overall assessment calculated	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended” (standardized domain score <math>\geq 50\%</math>)</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized domain score <math>&lt; 50\%</math>)</li> </ul>
Seron 2014	-	X	-	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores with a general cut-off of 70% in all domains <sup>a</sup>
Shen 2014	-	X	-	Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended” (standardized domain scores <math>&gt; 60\%</math> in 4-6 domains),</li> <li>▪ “recommended with modifications” (standardized domain scores 30-60% in most domains + standardized domain scores <math>&gt; 60\%</math> in 3 domains),</li> </ul>

Author / Year	Assessment of overall guideline quality provided	Recommendation for use provided	Deviation from AGREE II (overall guideline quality)	Deviation from AGREE II (recommendation for use)
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized domain scores &lt; 30% in most domains).</li> </ul>
Simons 2016	X	-	no	-
Smith 2015	X	X	no	<p>Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “recommended” (standardized domain score 60 % in domain 3 + 2 other domains)</li> </ul>
Stacey 2013	-	X	-	Reporting of the number of assessors recommending the guideline for use
Syan 2016	X	-	no	-
Tian 2015	-	-	-	-
Tremblay 2010	-	-	-	-
Tudor 2013	X	-	no	-
Tunnicliffe 2015	X	-	Overall assessment calculated	-
Vanclooster 2015	X	-	no	-
Vanommeslae ghe 2015	X	-	no	-
Wang 2014	-	X	-	<p>Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “strongly recommended” (standardized domain score &gt; 60%)</li> </ul>

Author / Year	Assessment of overall guideline quality provided	Recommendation for use provided	Deviation from AGREE II (overall guideline quality)	Deviation from AGREE II (recommendation for use)
				<p>in &gt; 5 domains,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “weakly recommended” (standardized domain scores &gt; 30% in &gt; 4 domains,</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized domain scores &lt; 30% in &gt; 3 domains).</li> </ul>
Werner 2016	X	X	no	no
White 2014	X	X	Overall assessment calculated	no
Wilby 2015	X	X	no	no
Wong 2015	-	-	-	-
Wu 2015a	X	-	no	-
Wu 2015b	X	-	no	-
Wu 2015c	X	-	no	-
Wu 2015d	X	-	no	-
Yaman 2015	X	-	Overall assessment calculated	-
Yan 2013	-	X	-	<p>Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “strongly recommended” (standardized domain score &gt; 60% <math>\geq</math>4 domains),</li> <li>▪ “recommended with modifications” (standardized domain scores 30-60% in most domains + standardized domain scores <math>\geq</math> 60% in <math>\geq</math> 2 domains),</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized domain scores &lt; 30% in most domains).</li> </ul>

<b>Author / Year</b>	<b>Assessment of overall guideline quality provided</b>	<b>Recommendation for use provided</b>	<b>Deviation from AGREE II (overall guideline quality)</b>	<b>Deviation from AGREE II (recommendation for use)</b>
Ye 2014	-	X	-	no
Yuwen 2015	X	X	no	no
Zeng 2014	-	X	-	no
Zhang 2014	-	X		<p>Specification of a fixed cut-off on the basis of standardized domain scores:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ “strongly recommended” (standardized domain score &gt; 50% in 4 domains)</li> <li>▪ “recommended” (standardized domain score &gt; 50% in 3 domains)</li> <li>▪ “weakly recommended” (standardized domain score &gt; 50% in 1-2 domains)</li> <li>▪ “not recommended” (standardized domain score &lt; 50% in all domains)</li> </ul>
<p>x: Information provided in the publication.            -: No information provided in the publication.</p>				