

## Supplemental figure 1: Ferrostatin-1 and BI-6c9 prevent oxytosis and ferroptosis in MEFs.

a: BODIPY staining and subsequent FACS analysis shows time-dependent increase in lipid peroxidation after erastin (1 µM) treatment in MEF cells. b: MitoSOX staining and subsequent FACS analysis revealed time-dependent mitochondrial ROS formation after erastin (1 µM) exposure in MEF cells. c: Annexin V/PI staining and subsequent FACS analysis showed time-dependent increase in Annexin V (Green fluorescence) and PI (Red fluorescence) positive cells after erastin (1 µM) exposure in MEF cells. d: MTT assay depicts dose-dependent toxicity of erastin in MEFs (17 h). n=8. e: MTT assay shows dose-dependent toxicity of glutamate in MEFs (17 h), which is prevented by BI-6c9. n=8. f: MTT assay revealed protection of BI-6c9 (10 μM) and ferrostatin-1 (2 μM) against erastin (1 μM, 16 h) S.D. (n=8). ###p<0.001 toxicity Data are given as mean + compared to untreated control; \*\*\*p<0.001 compared to erastin-/ glutamate-treated control (ANOVA, Scheffé's test).



# Supplemental figure 2: TMRE and ATP time courses

**a:** TMRE staining and subsequent FACS analysis shows time-dependent loss of mitochondrial membrane potential after erastin (1  $\mu$ M) treatment in HT-22 cells. FCCP (50  $\mu$ M, 5 min) treatment is shown as a positive control. **b:** Measurement of ATP levels reveals a time-dependent loss of ATP production after erastin (1  $\mu$ M) treatment in HT-22 cells. Data are given as mean + S.D. ###p<0.001compared to untreated control, ANOVA, Scheffé's test.

#### Supplemental figure 3: BI-6c9 prevents glutamate and erastin induced cell death



### Supplemental figure 3: BI-6c9 prevents glutamate and erastin induced cell death

**a**: Concentration-response curve of BI-6c9 upon glutamate (3 mM, 14 h) treatment ( $EC_{50}$ = 2.0 µM, pEC<sub>50</sub>= 5.70 ± 0.02, n=8). **b**: Concentration-response curve of BI-6c9 upon erastin (1.5 µM, 14 h) treatment. ( $EC_{50}$ = 1.4 µM, pEC<sub>50</sub>= 5.87 ± 0.04, n=28). **c**: MTT assay revealed a protective effect of BI-6c9 (10 µM) until 8 hours after glutamate treatment (6 mM, 24 h) (n=8/treatment condition). **d**: MTT assay revealed a protective effect of BI-6c9 (10 µM) until 4 hours after erastin treatment (1 µM, 24 h) (n=8/treatment condition). **e**: Real-time impedance measurement with the Xcelligence system demonstrated a protective effect of BI-6c9 (10 µM) until 4 hours of erastin treatment with the Xcelligence system demonstrated a protective effect of BI-6c9 (10 µM) until 4 hours of erastin treatment with the Xcelligence system demonstrated a protective effect of BI-6c9 (10 µM) until 4 hours of erastin treatment (1 µM).

Data are given as mean + S.D. or ± S.D. ###p<0.001 compared to untreated control. \*\*\*p<0.001 compared to erastin-/ glutamate-treated control (ANOVA, Scheffé's test).



### Supplemental figure 4: Ferrostatin-1 and BI-6c9 fail to prevent H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>-induced cell death and necroptosis.

a: MTT assay shows toxicity of  $H_2O_2$  (1 mM, 16 h) in HT-22 cells which is not abolished by ferrostatin-1 or BI-6c9. n=8. b: Necroptosis induced by TNF $\alpha$  (100 ng/mI), SM-164 (50 nM) and QVD (10  $\mu$ M) in HT-22 cells was analyzed by Annexin V/PI staining and subsequent FACS-analysis after 17 h of treatment. BI-6c9 (10  $\mu$ M) and ferrostatin-1 (2  $\mu$ M) fail to prevent necroptosis, while necrostatin fully abolishes cell death (representative FACS plots).

## Supplemental figure 5: Liproxstatin-1 prevents glutamate-induced oxytosis



# Supplemental figure 5: Liproxstatin-1 prevents glutamate-induced oxytosis

a: Concentration-response curve of Liproxstatin-1 (Lip-1) upon glutamate (5 mM, 16-17 h) treatment. Cell viability data were fitted from 0 for glutamate-treated control to 100 for highest protection at 1 µM Lip-1 (EC<sub>ED</sub> = 0.024 µM, pEC<sub>en</sub>= 7.62 ± 0.04, n=3). b: Real-time impedance measurement revealed protection of Lip-1 (150 nM) against glutamate (6 mM) toxicity until 6-8 h post-treatment; (n=8/treatment condition). c: MTT confirmed protection of Lip-1 (150 nM) against glutamate (6 mM, 16-17 h) toxicity until 4-8 h post-treatment; (representative MTT assay; n=6/treatment condition). d: Measurement of glutathione (GSH) depicted rapid decrease of GSH after glutamate (6 mM) which could not be restored upon Lip-1 (150 nM) co-treatment; (n=4/treatment condition). e: Quantification of 500 cells counted blind to treatment (n=3) revealed Lip-1 dependent reduction of glutamate-induced (4 mM, 16 h) mitochondrial fission. f: Representative images (63x objective) showed mitochondrial morphology in the presence and absence of glutamate (4 mM, 16 h) ± Lip-1 (150 nM). Scale bar 50 µM. g: Glutamate treatment (8 mM, 8 h) increased lipid peroxide production (stained with BODIPY 581/591), which was blocked by co-treatment with concentrations of >10-50 nM Lip-1 (representative FACS measurement; n=3/treatment condition). h: Quantification of TMRE fluorescence showed that MMP was fully restored by >10 nM Lip-1 after glutamate exposure (8 mM, 16 h) (representative FACS measurement; n=3/treatment condition). i: Glutamate treatment (7 mM, 16 h) increased mitochondrial ROS production, which was blocked by co-treatment with concentrations of >10-50 nM Lip-1 (representative FACS measurement; n=3/treatment condition).

Data are given as mean ± S.D. ###p<0.001 compared to untreated control; \*\*\*p<0.001 compared to glutamate-treated control (ANOVA, Scheffe's test).

## Supplemental figure 6: Liproxstatin-1 prevents erastin-induced ferroptosis



# Supplemental figure 6: Liproxstatin-1 prevents erastin-induced ferroptosis

a: Concentration-response curve of Liproxstatin-1 (Lip-1) upon erastin (1 µM, 16-17 h) treatment. Cell viability data were fitted from 0 for erastin-treated control to 100 for highest protection at 1 µM Lip-1 (EC<sub>E0</sub> = 0.032 µM, pEC<sub>E0</sub> = 7.49 ± 0.03, n=3). b: Real-time impedance measurement revealed protection of Lip-1 (150 nM) against erastin (1 µM) toxicity until 4-6 h post-treatment; (n=8/treatment condition). c: MTT confirmed protection of Lip-1 (150 nM) against erastin (1 µM, 16-17 h) toxicity until 4 h post-treatment; (representative MTT assay; n=6/treatment condition). d: Measurement of glutathione (GSH) depicted rapid decrease of GSH after erastin (1 µM) which could not be restored upon Lip-1 (150 nM) co-treatment; (n=4/treatment condition). e: Quantification of 500 cells counted blind to treatment (n=3) revealed Lip-1 dependent reduction of erastin-induced (0.5 mM, 16 h) mitochondrial fission. f: Representative images (63x objective) showed mitochondrial morphology in the presence and absence of erastin (1 µM, 16 h) ± Lip-1 (150 nM). Scale bar 50 µM. g: Erastin treatment (1 µM, 8 h) increased lipid peroxide production (stained with BODIPY 581/591), which was blocked by co-treatment with concentrations of >1-10 nM Lip-1 (representative FACS measurement; n=3/treatment condition). h: Quantification of TMRE fluorescence showed that MMP was fully restored by >10 nM Lip-1 after erastin exposure (1 µM, 16 h) (representative FACS measurement; n=3/treatment condition). i: Erastin treatment (1 µM, 16 h) increased mitochondrial ROS production, which was blocked by co-treatment with concentrations of >10-50 nM Lip-1 (representative FACS measurement; n=3/treatment condition).

Data are given as mean ± S.D. ###p<0.001 compared to untreated control; \*\*\*p<0.001 compared to glutamate-treated control (ANOVA, Scheffe's test).

### Supplemental figure 7: Quantification of Bid translocation upon glutamate and erastin exposure

a Control





Erastin + BI-6c9







b





d Erastin + Ferrostatin





## Supplemental figure 7: Quantification of Bid translocation upon glutamate and erastin exposure.

HT-22 cells were co-transfected with pDSRed2-Bid (red) and mitochondrial targeted GFP. After 16 h of erastin (1  $\mu$ M) treatment, cells were fixed and localization of Bid was analyzed by confocal microscopy. Analysis of fluorescence intensities (n=3/treatment condition) by ImageJ software revealed the co-localization of Bid and mitochondria by overlapping of green and red fluorescence after erastin (b) treatment with r=0.78±0.18, which was abolished by co-treatment with BI-6c9 with r=0.3±0.17 (c) and ferrostatin-1 with r=0.14±0.19 (d), respectively. Under control conditions Bid did not co-localize with mitochondria (a), r=0.31±0.05. Data are given as mean ± S.D.



# Supplemental figure 8: AIF knockdown prevents oxytosis and ferroptosis

**a**, **b**: Real-time impedance measurement revealed protection of AIF knockdown (20 nM siAIF, 48 h) against glutamate (5.5  $\mu$ M, a) and erastin (0.5  $\mu$ M, b) toxicity compared to control siRNA (20 nM scr siRNA, 48 h). Data are given as mean ± S.D.