

Calculations for spatial calibration

We have modeled the problem of finding a transformation from any sensor coordinate frame to the coordinate frame of the first marker as path finding problem in a bipartite undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with $V = M \cup S$, $M \cap S = \emptyset$ and $E = \{(s, m) \in S \times M, (m, s) \in M \times S \mid \text{"sensor } s \text{ sees marker } m"\}$ where M denotes the set of all markers and S the set of all sensors. We define the relation “s can see m indirectly” as “there is a path in G from s to m ”. Here we outline proofs for the following two claims: (1) G is connected $\Leftrightarrow \forall s \in S \forall m \in M : s$ can see m (indirectly) and (2) \exists path p from $s \in S$ to $m \in M$ in $G \Rightarrow$ the position and orientation of sensor s can be expressed relative to marker m .

Proof of claim 1 (by contradiction):

(1.1) G is connected $\Rightarrow \forall s \in S \forall m \in M : s$ can see m (indirectly)

Let G be connected, $s^* \in S, m^* \in M$ and s^* cannot see m^* (indirectly). Since s^* cannot see m^* indirectly, it follows from the definitions that there is no path in G from s^* to m^* . Since G is an undirected graph, it therefore follows that G is not connected which is a contradiction to the assumptions. Hence, the claim is true.

(1.2) G is connected $\Leftarrow \forall s \in S \forall m \in M : s$ can see m (indirectly)

Let \forall sensor $s \forall$ marker $m : s$ can see m (indirectly). Assuming G is not connected, it follows by definition: $\exists s^* \in S, m^* \in M : \text{there is no path between } s^* \text{ and } m^*$. Using the definition of the “sees-indirectly” relation it follows $\exists s^* \in S, m^* \in M : \overline{s^* \text{ sees } m^* \text{ indirectly}}$, which is a contradiction to the assumptions. Hence, the claim is true.

It follows: G is connected $\Leftrightarrow \forall$ sensor $s \forall$ marker $m : s$ can see m (indirectly).

Calculations to express any sensor s in the coordinate frame any marker m (claim 2):

$t_{m,s}$: position of marker m in sensor s ' coordinate-frame

$R_{m,s}$: orientation of marker m in sensor s ' coordinate-frame

$t_{m,n}$: position of marker m in marker n 's coordinate-frame

$R_{m,n}$: orientation of marker m in marker n 's coordinate-frame

Due to the calibration procedure we are given $t_{m,s}$ and $R_{m,s}$ for all sensor-marker-combinations for which the sensor s sees marker m , i.e. for which holds: $(s, m) \in E$.

Case 1: $(s, m) \in E$

The orientation of sensor s can be expressed in the marker m 's coordinate-frame by $R_{s,m} = R_{m,s}^T$. Correspondingly, the position of sensor s can be expressed in marker m 's coordinate-frame by $t_{s,m} = -R_{m,s}^T t_{m,s}$.

Case 2: $(s, m) \notin E$

Let $p = (s_1 = s, m_1, s_2, m_2, \dots, s_{N-1}, m_{N-1}, s_N, m_N = m)$ be a path from sensor s to marker m in G with $m_i \in M$, $s_i \in S$. Hence, $(s_i, m_i) \in E$ for $i = 1 \dots N$ and $(s_{i+1}, m_i) \in E$ for $i = 1 \dots N - 1$. Due to the calibration procedure we are given t_{m_i, s_i} , $t_{m_i, s_{i+1}}$, R_{m_i, s_i} and $R_{m_i, s_{i+1}}$. Using the considerations for the first case we can easily calculate t_{s_i, m_i} and R_{s_i, m_i} . We are looking for $t_{s, m} = t_{s_1, m_N}$ and $R_{s, m} = R_{s_1, m_N}$.

1) Calculate for $i = 1 \dots N - 1$:

$$t_{m_i, m_{i+1}} = R_{s_{i+1}, m_{i+1}} t_{m_i, s_{i+1}} + t_{s_{i+1}, m_{i+1}}$$

$$R_{m_i, m_{i+1}} = R_{s_{i+1}, m_{i+1}} R_{m_i, s_{i+1}}$$

2) Calculate for $j = N - 2 \dots 1$ (in that order):

$$R_{m_j, m_N} = R_{m_{j+1}, m_N} R_{m_j, m_{j+1}}$$

$$t_{m_j, m_N} = R_{m_{j+1}, m_N} t_{m_j, m_{j+1}} + t_{m_{j+1}, m_N}$$

3) Calculate:

$$R_{s, m} = R_{s_1, m_N} = R_{m_1, m_N} R_{s_1, m_1}$$

$$t_{s, m} = t_{s_1, m_N} = R_{m_1, m_N} t_{s_1, m_1} + t_{m_1, m_N}$$