Structural Modeling and Functional Analysis of the Essential Ribosomal Processing Protease Prp from *Staphylococcus aureus*

Erin A Wall^{a,c}, Adam L Johnson^{a,d}, Darrell L Peterson^b, Gail E Christie^{a1}

Departments of ^aMicrobiology and Immunology and ^bBiochemistry and Molecular Biology, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, Richmond VA 23298

^cCurrent address: Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD 20892

dCurrent address: Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Pharmacy, Richmond, VA 23298

¹Communicating author

Supplementary Information

Table S1. Strains and Plasmids

References

Arnaud, M., Chastanet, A., and Debarbouille, M. (2004) New vector for efficient allelic replacement in naturally nontransformable, low-GC-content, gram-positive bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 6887-6891.

Charpentier, E., Anton, A.I., Barry, P., Alfonso, B., Fang, Yl, and Novick. R.P. (2004) Novel cassette=based shuttle vector system for gram-positive bacteria. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 6076-6085.

D'Elia, M.A., Pereira, M.P., Chung, Y.S., Zhao, W., Chau, A., Kenney, T.J., et al. (2006) Lesions in teichoic acid biosynthesis in *Staphylococcus aureus* lead to a lethal gain of function in the otherwise dispensable pathway. *J Bacteriol* **188**: 4183-4189.

Kreiswirth, B.N., Lofdahl, S., Betley, M.J., O'Reilly, M., Schlievert, P.M., Bergdoll, M.S., and Novick, R.P. (1983) The toxic shock syndrome exotoxin structural gene is not detectably transmitted by a prophage. *Nature* **305**: 709-712.

Liu, J., Dehbi, M., Moeck, G, Arhin, F, Bauda, P., Bergeron, D., et al. (2004) Antimicrobial drug discovery through bacteriophage genomics. *Nat.Biotechnol* **22**: 185- 191.

Wall, E.A., Caufield, J.H., Lyons, C.E., Manning, K.A., Dokland, T., and Christie, G.E. (2015) Specific N-terminal cleavage of ribosomal protein L27 in *Staphylococcus aureus* and related bacteria. *Mol Microbiol* **95**: 258-269.

Table S2. Primers

Primers are organized based on the plasmids constructed, and templates are indicated. The annealing sequence for amplification is underlined and the 5' 15-16 bp homologous overhang required for the In-Fusion reaction is separated from the rest of the primer by an asterisk (*). Restriction sites used to linearize the plasmid prior to assembly are shown in bold in the sequence when they were reconstituted in the finished assembly or, in the case of pRW, for cleavage and ligation. Parentheses indicate a codon change for site-directed mutagenesis. Double asterisks (**) indicate that these primers were not used for PCR but were annealed to form a ds DNA fragment that was assembled during the In-Fusion reaction.

Figure S1. SDS-PAGE of Prp pre- and post-purification.

The left lane of this composite gel shows the products present in the His6-SUMO-Prp:His6 tagged Ulp1 cleavage reaction after initial elution from a Ni-NTA column, before re-purification by nickel affinity chromatography. The right lane shows purified, tagless Prp that was eluted during the second nickel affinity chromatography purification step.

Figure S2. Size exclusion chromatography demonstrates that Prp is a dimer in solution

A. A concentrated sample of the cleavage reaction containing His-SUMO-Prp and His-tagged Ulp1 was purified via FPLC on a P10 size-exclusion column (MWCO 20,000). One peak eluted over fractions C8-D10. These fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and showed that tag-less Prp (MW 11,691.79) had co-eluted with His-tagged Ulp1 (MW 27,394.05), indicating that Prp is a dimer with an approximate MW of 23,383.

B. SDS-PAGE gel showing tagless Prp (MW 11,691.79) co-eluted from a P10 size-exclusion column with His-tagged Ulp1 (MW 27,394.05), indicating Prp is a dimer (MW ~23,383). Lane 1 blank, 2-His-SUMO-Prp cleavage reaction, 3-blank, 4-C8, 5-C9, 6-C10, 7-C11, 8-C12, 9-D12, 10-D11, 11-D10

Figure S3. Conversion of fluorescence to substrate concentration.

A. Standard curve generated by cleaving varying amounts of fluorogenic peptide using trypsin. The fluorogenic peptide contains three lysine sites that trypsin can cleave. The equation of the standard curve was used to convert the raw RFU values from Prp assays to concentrations of substrate cleaved.

B. Cleavage of 2 µM fluorogenic substrate with 10µg of trypsin or Prp. The absolute RFU values from four completed reactions were averaged. These results indicate that both reactions proceeded to the same extent of completion. The RFU axis is different from that in A because the gain of the spectrophotometer was adjusted downward.

Figure S4. Effects of competitive peptides on Prp activity

A. The average initial velocity for reactions containing 29.3 nM Prp and 0.8 µM of each peptide inhibitor. Sequences of peptides are given in Table 1. $n \geq 3$; bars indicate 1 standard deviation.

B. Percent activity for each peptide assayed in A. Values were calculated relative to the no-inhibitor control and converted to average percent inhibition.

Figure S5A. Ribbon model of Prp dimer. Catalytic residues and the modeled flexible loop between them are depicted. The His and Cys are arranged in a pro-catalytic conformation and ionized. His 22 is always on a flexible loop due to preceding Gly 21.

Figure S5B. Surface hydrophobicity map of Prp dimer. Conserved residues around the active site are labeled. Hydrophobic regions are depicted in brown and hydrophilic regions in blue, with green representing a median value. A large hydrophobic patch appears below the catalytic center, presenting a likely binding region for hydrophobic residues in the substrate. The hydrophobic patch on the bottom of the other chain could be where residues at the N-terminus of the cleavage motif bind.

Figure S5C. Surface hydrophobicity map of Prp dimer with docked peptide. Conserved residues around the active site are labeled. Docked peptide Ac-NLQFFAS-Am is depicted in white surface and atomic color convention. Here the sidechain of extremely conserved P3 Gln in the substrate protrudes into solvent, apparently making no contact with the enzyme. This paired with the lack of substrate binding by the extremely conserved Asp 31 sidechain makes it seem even more likely that the flexible loop somehow folds over the substrate during catalysis, perhaps associating these two residues.

