

## **SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES**

**Supplementary Table 1.** Reasons for not completing treatment and exclusions from the per protocol set for analyses of primary endpoint variables.

**Supplementary Table 2** Actual dose of study treatment received on and after week 8 (Visit 4, full analysis set excluding patients with incorrectly assigned doses)

**Supplementary Table 3.** Additional baseline characteristics

**Supplementary Table 4** Sensitivity analysis: baseline correction of primary endpoint analysis (Per protocol sets)

**Supplementary Table 5** Change from baseline in primary analysis variables (per protocol analysis sets for NT-proBNP and LAV) and heart rate (full analysis set excluding patients with incorrectly assigned doses) based on heart rhythm at baseline

**Supplementary Table 6** Echocardiography (full analysis set excluding patients with incorrectly assigned doses)

**Supplementary Table 7** Biomarkers (full analysis set excluding patients with incorrectly assigned doses)

**Supplementary Methods**

**Supplementary Table 1.** Reasons for not completing treatment and exclusions from the per protocol set for analyses of primary endpoint variables.

	Placebo	Vericiguat				
		1.25 mg		2.5 mg	2.5 to 10	
				mg	mg	
Randomized to receive treatment	93	96	96	96	96	
Completed treatment	80	82	83	80	86	
Not completed treatment	13	14	13	16	10	
Primary reason						
Adverse event	3	4	8	6	5	
Death	0	0	0	3	1	
Logistical difficulties	0	1	1	0	0	
Protocol decision points	1	3	0	0	0	
Protocol violation	0	0	0	2	0	
Withdrawal by patient	9	6	4	5	4	
Valid for Per protocol set for NT-proBNP*	73	77	78	57	60	
Excluded from analysis	20	19	18	39	36	
Non-adherence with study drug	1	3	1	5	2	
Discontinued study drug >10 d before completing 12 weeks	11	14	14	15	10	
Patient did not reach Visit 5 (day 84)	13	14	13	16	10	
Visit 1 was done but no NT-proBNP value	3	1	2	2	3	
Visit 5 was done but no NT-proBNP value	6	6	6	12	4	
Incorrect medication assigned on Visit 3	0	0	0	4	23	
Incorrect medication assigned on Visit 4	0	0	0	19	24	
Concomitant nitrates or NO donors	6	4	3	5	2	
Valid for Per protocol set analysis set for LAV*	67	77	78	57	59	
Excluded from analysis	26	19	18	39	37	
Non-adherence with study drug	1	3	1	5	2	
Discontinued study drug >10 d before completing 12 weeks	11	14	14	15	10	
Patient did not reach Visit 5 (day 84)	13	14	13	16	10	
No valid LAV value at visit 1	5	2	0	3	2	
No valid LAV value at visit 5	13	9	7	11	6	
Incorrect medication assigned on Visit 3	0	0	0	4	23	
Incorrect medication assigned on Visit 4	0	0	0	19	24	

---

Concomitant nitrates or NO donors	6	4	3	5	2
-----------------------------------	---	---	---	---	---

---

LAV, left atrial volume; NO, nitric oxide; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide.

\*More than one reason may apply

**Supplementary Table 2.** Actual dose of study treatment received on and after week 8 (Visit 4, full analysis set excluding patients with incorrectly assigned doses)

	Vericiguat				
	Placebo	1.25 mg	2.5 mg	2.5 to 5 mg	2.5 to 10 mg
<b>Patients treated N (%)</b>	82 (100)	83 (100)	86 (100)	62 (100)	62 (100)
0 mg	82 (100)	0	1 (1.2)	0	0
1.25 mg	0	83 (100)	1 (1.2)	1 (1.6)	0
2.5 mg	0	0	84 (97.7)	9 (14.5)	1 (1.6)
5 mg	0	0	0	52 (83.9)	14 (22.6)
10 mg	0 <sup>1</sup>	0	0	0	47 (75.8)

Data are displayed as n (%). <sup>1</sup> Blinded sham titration to highest dose step in 77.5% of patients in placebo arm.

**Supplementary Table 3.** Additional baseline characteristics

	Vericiguat					
	Placebo	1.25 mg	2.5 mg	2.5 mg to 5 mg	2.5 mg to 10 mg	Total
	(n = 93)	(n = 96)	(n = 96)	(n = 96)	(n = 96)	(n = 477)
Region, N (%)						
Eastern Europe	27 (29.0%)	31 (32.3%)	27 (28.1%)	24 (25.0%)	29 (30.2%)	138 (28.9%)
Western Europe	45 (48.4%)	50 (52.1%)	42 (43.8%)	46 (47.9%)	45 (46.9%)	228 (47.8%)
Asia/Pacific	17 (18.3%)	9 (9.4%)	17 (17.7%)	15 (15.6%)	17 (17.7%)	75 (15.7%)
North America	4 (4.3%)	6 (6.3%)	10 (10.4%)	11 (11.5%)	5 (5.2%)	36 (7.5%)
Arterial hypertension, N (%)	85 (91.4%)	86 (89.6%)	85 (88.5%)	86 (89.6%)	90 (93.8%)	432 (90.6%)
Chronic kidney disease, N (%)	43 (46.2%)	48 (50.0%)	34 (35.4%)	33 (34.4%)	38 (39.6%)	196 (41.1%)
LVEF at baseline, n (%)						
≤50%	12 (12.9)	13 (13.5)	12 (12.5)	13 (13.5)	9 (9.4)	59 (12.4)
>50%	77 (82.8)	80 (83.3)	83 (86.5)	79 (82.3)	85 (88.5)	404 (84.7)
Missing	4 (4.3)	3 (3.1)	1 (1.0)	4 (4.2)	2 (2.1)	14 (2.9)
KCCQ-CSS, median (IQR)	52.8 (37.5–73.7)	58.1 (40.6–72.4)	58.3 (39.6–75.0)	58.9 (33.3–72.9)	52.3 (36.5–71.4)	55.7 (38.0–73.4)
EQ-5D US index score, median (IQR)	0.78 (0.69–0.84)	0.77 (0.59–0.83)	0.77 (0.59–0.84)	0.78 (0.69–0.84)	0.78 (0.59–0.84)	0.78 (0.59–0.84)
Atrial fibrillation in baseline ECG (N)	35	41	40	38	36	190
NT-proBNP (n)	33	40	39	38	35	185
NT-proBNP (pg/mL), median (IQR)	2254 (932–3644)	1877 (1157–2636)	1729 (1065–3260)	2301 (1381–4517)	2407 (1492–4246)	1983 (1170–3754)
LAV (n)	32	40	39	37	35	183

LAV (mL), mean (SD)	104 (67)	107 (54)	101 (32)	95 (27)	89 (27)	99 (44)
No atrial fibrillation in baseline ECG (N)	58	55	56	58	60	287
NT-proBNP (n)	57	55	55	56	58	281
NT-proBNP (pg/mL), median (IQR)	678 (298–1661)	616 (279–2441)	629 (185–1743)	577 (305–1513)	733 (314–1593)	650 (279–1619)
LAV (n)	56	54	56	55	59	280
LAV (mL), mean (SD)	79 (26)	74 (28)	77 (26)	76 (30)	83 (25)	78 (27)
Initial presentation for worsening HF						
Hospitalization (n)	72	73	68	75	70	358
Time from stabilization to randomization (days), mean (SD)	11.6 (8.8)	11.6 (8.7)	13.3 (14.3)	14.3 (9.0)	13.5 (9.9)	12.9 (9.0)
Intravenous diuretic (n)	20	23	27	21	26	117
Time from stabilization to randomization (days), mean (SD)	10.6 (7.1)	13.0 (8.2)	10.7 (6.0)	15.5 (8.9)	14.2 (8.6)	12.8 (7.9)

---

ECG, electrocardiogram; EQ-5D, 5-dimension EuroQol questionnaire; IQR, interquartile range; HF, heart failure; KCCQ, Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire; LAV, left atrial volume; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; SD, standard deviation.

**Supplementary Table 4.** Primary endpoints: Model A, NT-proBNP with adjustment for baseline values. Model B, LAV with adjustment for baseline values. ANCOVA with dose group as explanatory variable and additional covariates.

<b>Model A</b>		<b>1.25mg</b>	<b>2.5mg</b>	<b>2.5 to 5mg</b>	<b>2.5 to 10mg</b>
Covariate: baseline NT-proBNP	Covariate effect: $P < 0.0001$				
	LS-Mean Differences relative to Placebo	0.03	0.13	0.19	0.14
	$P$ -value	0.7716	0.2827	0.1394	0.2541
covariates: baseline NT- proBNP A. Fib. vs. No A. Fib.	Covariate effect: $P < 0.0001$ (baseline NT-proBNP) $P = 0.0001$ (A. Fib. vs. No A. Fib.)				
	LS-Mean Differences to Placebo	0.04	0.13	0.16	0.14
	$P$ -value	0.7159	0.2743	0.1964	0.2444
<b>Model B</b>		<b>1.25mg</b>	<b>2.5mg</b>	<b>2.5 to 5mg</b>	<b>2.5 to 10mg</b>
Covariate: baseline LAV	Covariate effect: $P < 0.0001$				
	LS-Mean Differences to Placebo	1.24	0.97	1.83	1.55
	$P$ -value	0.4988	0.5976	0.3559	0.4291
covariates: baseline LAV A. Fib. vs. No A. Fib.	Covariate effect: $P < 0.0001$ $P = 0.0427$				
	LS-Mean Differences to Placebo	1.32	1.00	1.49	1.44
	$P$ -value	0.4709	0.5836	0.4532	0.4618

A. Fib., atrial fibrillation; ANCOVA, analysis of covariance; LAV, left atrial volume; LS, least-squares; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide.

**Supplementary Table 5** Change from baseline in primary analysis variables (per protocol analysis sets for NT-proBNP and LAV) and heart rate (full analysis set excluding patients with incorrectly assigned doses) based on heart rhythm at baseline

<b>Endpoint</b>	<b>Dose group</b>	<b>Heart rhythm at baseline</b>	<b>n</b>	<b>Mean change from baseline (SD)</b>
<b>LAV (mL)</b>	Placebo	A.fib.	28	-5.36 (18.6)
		No A. Fib.	39	-1.92 (5.17)
	Pooled 2.5/5/10 mg	A.fib.	89	-1.59 (15.5)
		No A. Fib.	105	-1.85 (10.1)
<b>Mean log scale (SD – log scale)</b>				
<b>log(NT-proBNP) (pg/mL)</b>	Placebo	A.fib.	31	0.075 (0.368)
		No A. Fib.	42	-0.227 (0.961)
	Pooled 2.5/5/10 mg	A.fib.	89	-0.026 (0.757)
		No A. Fib.	106	0.092 (0.802)
<b>LS-mean change from baseline (95% CI)</b>				
<b>Heart Rate (bpm)</b>	Placebo	A.fib.		-1.2 (-4.9–2.5)
		No A. Fib.		6.6 (3.1–10.1)
	Vericiguat 2.5–10 mg	A.fib.		-3.4 (-7.4–0.5)
		No A. Fib.		-1.9 (-6.0–2.3)

A.fib., atrial fibrillation; bpm, beats per minute; LAV, left atrial volume; LS, least-squares; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; SD, standard deviation



**Supplementary Table 6. Echocardiography at rest: change from baseline to Week 12**

Measurement	Placebo								Vericiguat								Regression analysis <sup>1</sup>											
	1.25 mg				2.5 mg				2.5 to 5 mg				2.5 to 10 mg															
	n	BL	n	Change (SD)	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change								
<b>LV Structure</b>																												
LV end-diastolic volume (mL)	89	90.9 (31.4)	75	-2.69 (21.6)	93	88.1 (28.6)	80	-2.15 (19.7)	95	87.1 (30.0)	81	-1.25 (22.8)	73	82.7 (28.7)	59	0.63 (15.6)	67	90.8 (34.6)	59	-0.58 (21.1)	0.25							
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								0.55				1.44				3.32				2.11							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-6.01-7.10)				(-5.60-8.48)				(-3.28-9.93)				(-5.26-9.47)				(-0.36-0.86)			
LV end-systolic volume (mL)	89	39.0 (17.3)	75	-1.36 (10.9)	93	38.5 (14.8)	80	-1.27 (9.4)	95	38.2 (17.0)	82	-1.49 (11.2)	73	35.6 (13.7)	59	-0.41 (7.4)	67	40.6 (19.0)	59	-1.21 (10.5)	0.06							
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								0.09				-0.13				0.95				0.15							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-3.14-3.31)				(-3.62-3.36)				(-2.33-4.22)				(-3.54-3.83)				(-0.24-0.36)			
LV mass index (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	77	124.3 (36.7)	61	-1.72 (16.0)	75	119.5 (25.6)	55	-1.95 (12.1)	77	128.8 (38.0)	60	-1.00 (15.1)	62	115.9 (33.8)	46	-0.65 (15.1)	54	126.3 (35.9)	45	-1.43 (14.9)								
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								-0.24				0.72				1.07				0.29							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-5.51-5.04)				(-4.89-6.33)				(-4.98-7.12)				(-5.78-6.35)							
LVED inter-ventricular septum thickness (mm)	77	12.9 (2.00)	61	0.004 (0.46)	75	12.8 (1.79)	55	-0.12 (0.44)	77	13.3 (2.11)	60	-0.09 (0.54)	62	12.9 (2.10)	46	-0.06 (0.51)	54	13.4 (2.72)	45	-0.19 (0.56)	-0.01							
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								-0.13				-0.09				-0.06				-0.19							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-0.29-0.04)				(-0.27-0.09)				(-0.25-0.13)				(-0.39-0.01)				(-0.03-0.005)			
LVED posterior wall thickness (mm)	77	12.5 (1.92)	61	0.02 (0.61)	75	12.5 (1.44)	55	-0.10 (0.56)	77	12.9 (2.04)	60	-0.08 (0.78)	62	12.6 (2.07)	46	-0.12 (0.55)	54	12.9 (2.44)	45	-0.23 (0.83)	-0.02							
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								-0.13				-0.10				-0.14				-0.25							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-0.34-0.09)				(-0.35-0.15)				(-0.36-0.09)				(-0.52-0.03)				(-0.04-0.003)			

**Supplementary Table 6. Echocardiography at rest: change from baseline to Week 12**

Measurement	Placebo								Vericiguat								Regression analysis <sup>1</sup>							
	1.25 mg				2.5 mg				2.5 to 5 mg				2.5 to 10 mg											
	n	BL	n	Change (SD)	n	BL	n	Change (SD)	n	BL	n	Change (SD)	n	BL	n	Change (SD)	n	BL	n	Change (SD)				
<b>Diastolic function</b>																								
E-wave (m/sec)	86	1.07 (0.40)	72	-0.01 (0.23)	93	0.99 (0.33)	77	0.05 (0.20)	94	0.99 (0.36)	80	0.02 (0.20)	72	1.04 (0.39)	58	0.08 (0.22)	63	1.01 (0.33)	56	0.03 (0.21)	0.003			
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>				0.06				0.03				0.09				0.04							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>				(-0.01-0.13)				(-0.04-0.10)				(0.02-0.17)				(-0.03-0.12)				(-0.003-0.010)			
A-wave (m/sec)	50	0.88 (0.32)	37	0.03 (0.22)	52	0.76 (0.24)	41	0.08 (0.17)	51	0.77 (0.29)	40	0.03 (0.14)	38	0.83 (0.31)	25	0.04 (0.13)	30	0.73 (0.35)	24	-0.05 (0.21)	-0.01			
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>				0.05				0.0005				0.01				-0.08							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>				(-0.04-0.13)				(-0.08-0.08)				(-0.09-0.10)				(-0.19-0.03)				(-0.02--0.004)			
E/A ratio	50	1.26 (0.74)	37	-0.14 (0.45)	52	1.27 (0.67)	41	-0.09 (0.40)	51	1.38 (0.95)	40	-0.08 (0.53)	38	1.40 (0.94)	25	-0.004 (0.52)	30	1.42 (0.77)	24	0.13 (0.61)	0.04			
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>				0.04				0.05				0.13				0.26							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>				(-0.15-0.23)				(-0.17-0.28)				(-0.12-0.38)				(-0.01-0.53)				(0.02-0.06)			
Deceleration time (DT, msec)	86	209.3 (59.9)	70	1.31 (60.0)	92	198.9 (58.4)	75	0.57 (55.6)	94	193.9 (58.8)	80	3.81 (59.6)	71	187.8 (59.3)	57	-5.14 (63.0)	63	186.6 (63.2)	56	-9.6 (55.1)				
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>				-0.74				2.49				-6.45				-10.91							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>				(-19.7-18.2)				(-16.8-21.8)				(-28.1-15.2)				(-31.5-9.63)							
Isovolumetric relaxation time (IVRT, msec)	85	101.3 (31.6)	65	-6.32 (24.0)	89	101.5 (27.8)	69	-3.88 (23.4)	91	101.9 (26.5)	74	0.24 (28.1)	71	93.0 (22.4)	53	-0.61 (22.2)	59	98.0 (27.4)	49	-5.93 (29.3)				
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>				2.44				6.56				5.72				0.39							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>				(-5.67-10.55)				(-2.28-15.41)				(-2.80-14.23)				(-9.52-10.30)							
Medial e' (cm/sec)	88	6.48 (1.93)	74	0.38 (1.68)	91	7.18 (2.89)	76	-0.24 (2.16)	93	6.64 (2.78)	79	0.45 (2.17)	72	7.05 (2.89)	56	0.06 (2.16)	63	6.80 (2.35)	55	0.91 (2.73)	0.079			
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>				-0.621				0.068				-0.319				0.534							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>				(-1.25-0.00)				(-0.55-0.69)				(-0.99-0.35)				(-0.24-1.31)				(0.018-0.139)			

**Supplementary Table 6. Echocardiography at rest: change from baseline to Week 12**

Measurement	Placebo								Vericiguat								Regression analysis <sup>1</sup>											
	1.25 mg				2.5 mg				2.5 to 5 mg				2.5 to 10 mg															
	n	BL	n	Change (SD)	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change								
Lateral e' (cm/sec)	88	8.95 (2.73)	74	0.15 (1.99)	92	9.59 (3.31)	77	0.05 (2.98)	92	9.43 (4.14)	79	0.04 (3.06)	73	9.95 (3.66)	58	0.28 (2.27)	62	9.11 (3.14)	54	0.61 (2.44)	0.048							
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								-0.096				-0.111				0.132				0.461							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-0.91-0.72)				(-0.94-0.72)				(-0.60-0.87)				(-0.32-1.24)				(-0.03-0.12)			
Medial E/e'	86	18.4 (9.75)	72	-0.70 (5.57)	91	15.9 (8.24)	76	0.79 (3.86)	93	16.7 (8.59)	77	-1.07 (4.91)	71	16.4 (8.1)	56	0.64 (4.09)	63	16.1 (7.02)	55	-1.02 (3.83)								
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								1.49				-0.37				1.33				-0.33							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-0.06-3.04)				(-2.07-1.32)				(-0.42-3.09)				(-2.06-1.41)							
Lateral E/e'	86	13.5 (7.66)	72	-0.28 (3.88)	92	11.5 (5.03)	77	0.48 (4.40)	92	11.9 (6.55)	77	-0.06 (3.56)	72	12.0 (7.27)	58	0.47 (4.3)	62	12.6 (6.95)	54	-0.08 (4.71)								
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								0.75				0.22				0.75				0.20							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-0.59-2.10)				(-0.98-1.43)				(-0.67-2.17)				(-1.32-1.71)							
PV S (m/sec)	46	0.45 (0.17)	25	0.01 (0.12)	48	0.46 (0.17)	29	-0.02 (0.14)	57	0.45 (0.19)	31	0.02 (0.21)	41	0.45 (0.17)	18	-0.03 (0.19)	35	0.45 (0.18)	21	-0.02 (0.15)								
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								-0.03				0.01				-0.04				-0.03							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-0.10-0.04)				(-0.08-0.11)				(-0.13-0.06)				(-0.11-0.05)							
PV D (m/sec)	62	0.62 (0.28)	39	-0.04 (0.27)	65	0.58 (0.22)	44	-0.03 (0.24)	72	0.59 (0.26)	52	0.07 (0.21)	59	0.66 (0.26)	42	0.03 (0.16)	47	0.62 (0.22)	36	0.05 (0.20)								
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								0.01				0.11				0.07				0.10							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-0.10-0.12)				(0.01-0.22)				(-0.03-0.17)				(-0.01-0.21)							
PV Ar (m/sec)	34	0.27 (0.11)	17	0.01 (0.11)	29	0.27 (0.08)	17	0.01 (0.07)	33	0.25 (0.06)	24	0.02 (0.08)	25	0.26 (0.06)	14	0.001 (0.06)	18	0.26 (0.08)	14	-0.01 (0.05)	-0.003							
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								0.01				0.02				-0.004				-0.01							
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-0.06-0.07)				(-0.04-0.08)				(-0.07-0.06)				(-0.08-0.05)				(-0.01-0.002)			

**Supplementary Table 6. Echocardiography at rest: change from baseline to Week 12**

Measurement	Placebo								Vericiguat								Regression analysis <sup>1</sup>						
	1.25 mg				2.5 mg				2.5 to 5 mg				2.5 to 10 mg										
	n	BL	n	Change (SD)	n	BL	n	Change (SD)	n	BL	n	Change (SD)	n	BL	n	Change (SD)							
<b>Systemic and vascular</b>																							
Left ventricular stroke volume (mL)	89	51.8 (17.4)	75	-1.34 (13.2)	93	49.6 (16.8)	80	-0.88 (11.2)	95	48.9 (15.3)	81	0.14 (12.2)	73	47.1 (17.4)	59	1.04 (9.1)	67	50.3 (17.6)	59	0.63 (12.8)	0.19		
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								0.46								1.47	2.38	1.96				
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-3.41–4.33)								(-2.54–5.48)		(-1.60–6.35)		(-2.51–6.44)		(-0.16–0.54)
Aortic valve – velocity time integral – antegrade flow (cm)	86	37.2 (14.9)	70	-0.20 (7.61)	87	31.8 (10.9)	70	0.76 (7.26)	89	32.6 (11.9)	75	-0.85 (10.38)	72	32.9 (12.1)	55	0.42 (6.86)	63	32.0 (11.5)	55	2.05 (5.38)			
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								0.96								-0.65		0.62		2.25		
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-1.53–3.44)								(-3.65–2.35)		(-1.98–3.21)		(-0.15–4.64)		
Systemic arterial compliance (SAC, mL/mmHg)	89	0.89 (0.35)	74	-0.04 (0.27)	93	0.87 (0.35)	80	-0.02 (0.28)	94	0.9 (0.39)	79	-0.01 (0.39)	70	0.83 (0.34)	56	0.07 (0.33)	67	0.89 (0.38)	59	-0.002 (0.42)	0.005		
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								0.02								0.02		0.11		0.04		
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-0.07–0.11)								(-0.08–0.13)		(0.00–0.21)		(-0.08–0.16)		(-0.005,0.01)
Effective arterial elastance (E <sub>a</sub> , mmHg/mL)	89	2.57 (0.97)	74	0.13 (0.79)	93	2.67 (0.93)	80	0.08 (0.87)	94	2.66 (0.98)	79	-0.05 (0.75)	71	2.76 (1.09)	57	-0.07 (0.92)	67	2.64 (0.84)	59	-0.05 (0.71)	-0.01		
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								-0.04								-0.18		-0.19		-0.18		
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-0.31–0.22)								(-0.42–0.07)		(-0.49–0.10)		(-0.44–0.08)		(-0.04–0.01)
LVES elastance (E <sub>es</sub> , mmHg/mL)	80	1.32 (0.74)	63	0.12 (0.61)	84	1.3 (0.70)	70	0.01 (0.56)	93	1.31 (0.69)	75	-0.07 (0.54)	67	1.47 (0.74)	51	0.03 (0.65)	58	1.49 (0.88)	50	-0.16 (0.73)	-0.01		
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								-0.11								-0.20		-0.09		-0.28		
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-0.31–0.09)								(-0.39–0.00)		(-0.33–0.14)		(-0.53–0.03)		(-0.03–0.005)
E <sub>a</sub> /E <sub>es</sub>	80	2.59 (2.22)	63	0.37 (5.75)	84	1.86 (3.35)	70	-0.24 (3.55)	93	2.71 (5.8)	75	-1.29 (6.85)	67	2.01 (2.64)	51	0.52 (3.48)	58	1.68 (9.49)	50	1.53 (11.1)			
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								-0.61								-1.67		0.14		1.16		
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-2.23–1.01)								(-3.82–0.49)		(-1.67–1.96)		(-2.05–4.36)		

Supplementary Table 6. Echocardiography at rest: change from baseline to Week 12

Measurement	Placebo								Vericiguat								Regression analysis <sup>1</sup>							
	1.25 mg				2.5 mg				2.5 to 5 mg				2.5 to 10 mg											
	n	BL	n	Change (SD)	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change				
<b>Right heart</b>																								
Right atrial pressure (mmHg)	66	7.35 (4.06)	41	0.81 (3.43)	74	6.93 (3.34)	43	0.72 (3.75)	74	7.39 (3.87)	51	0.33 (3.76)	52	9.25 (4.23)	31	-0.03 (3.53)	55	8.35 (4.23)	34	0.03 (3.88)				
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								-0.08				-0.47				-0.84				-0.78			
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-1.65–1.48)				(-1.98–1.03)				(-2.48–0.81)				(-2.46–0.91)			
TAPSE (mm)	86	18.9 (3.99)	70	0.04 (2.52)	89	19.1 (4.16)	73	0.36 (2.47)	91	18.5 (4.10)	73	0.01 (2.89)	71	18.6 (4.85)	56	0.02 (2.43)	62	18.2 (4.13)	54	0.78 (2.39)				
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								0.32				-0.03				-0.02				0.74			
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-0.51–1.14)				(-0.93–0.87)				(-0.90–0.86)				(-0.14–1.63)			
RVFAC (%)	50	40.5 (7.97)	28	0.38 (4.52)	53	40.5 (7.66)	33	0.29 (4.50)	60	38.4 (8.93)	37	1.7 (4.08)	52	40.4 (8.17)	33	0.25 (3.34)	42	38.5 (9.45)	28	-1.24 (4.90)				
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								-0.09				1.33				-0.13				-1.62			
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-2.41–2.23)				(-0.81–3.47)				(-2.15–1.89)				(-4.14–0.91)			
RVSP (mmHg)	56	40.8 (13.9)	30	1.15 (11.3)	66	39.5 (13.8)	38	3.42 (12.2)	67	38.3 (13.6)	40	3.17 (9.5)	43	48.2 (15.9)	26	-2.15 (13.1)	45	45.9 (13.7)	26	0.87 (14.9)				
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>								2.27				2.02				-3.3				-0.28			
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>								(-3.48–8.02)				(-2.94–6.97)				(-9.83–3.24)				(-7.30–6.73)			

**Supplementary Table 6. Echocardiography at rest: change from baseline to Week 12**

Measurement	Placebo				Vericiguat								Regression analysis <sup>1</sup>			
	1.25 mg		2.5 mg		2.5 to 5 mg		2.5 to10 mg									
	n	BL	n	Change (SD)	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change

A, late ventricular filling; BL, baseline; CI, confidence interval; D, diastolic peak velocity – antegrade flow, Ar, atrial reversal peak velocity; DT, deceleration time; E, mitral valve early diastolic filling - antegrade flow; e', mitral valve annulus - peak early diastolic tissue velocity; ES, end-systolic; FAS, full analysis set; IVRT, isovolumetric relaxation time; LV, left ventricular: LVED, left ventricular end-diastolic; LVES, left ventricular end-systolic; PV, pulmonary veins; RVFAC, right ventricular fractional area change; RVSP, right ventricular systolic peak gradient; S, systolic peak velocity – antegrade flow; SD, standard deviation; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion.

<sup>1</sup> Linear regression with dose group as explanatory variable and baseline value as additional covariate

**Supplementary Table 7. Summary statistics for efficacy biomarkers and change from baseline to Week 12 (full analysis set excluding patients with incorrectly assigned doses)**

Biomarker	Placebo				Vericiguat															
	n		BL		1.25 mg				2.5 mg				2.5 to 5 mg				2.5 to 10 mg			
	n	BL	n	Change (SD)	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change
Osteopontin (ng/mL)	91	53.9 (40.8)	79	3.60 (39.7)	95	59.5 (51.3)	80	9.33 (55.2)	93	48.1 (47.7)	79	4.33 (45.2)	75	50.7 (40.4)	60	12.8 (44.7)	68	42.3 (31.5)	60	6.31 (35.4)
Median (IQR)		44.9 (20.0–81.1)		50.1 (20.0–81.3)						20.0 (20.0–62.9)				44.3 (20.0–71.9)				20.0 (20.0–55.6)		
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>				5.73				0.73				9.15				2.71			
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>				(-9.36–20.81)				(-12.64–14.10)				(-5.06–23.36)				(-10.13–15.55)			
TIMP-4* (log pg/mL)	90	7.88 (0.46)	78	-0.023 (0.399)	94	7.90 (0.504)	80	0.026 (0.390)	93	7.86 (0.45)	81	0.077 (0.405)	75	7.95 (0.43)	60	-0.014 (0.450)	68	7.89 (0.38)	61	0.013 (0.370)
	<b>Difference of log-transformed means vs. placebo:</b>				0.048				0.099				0.008				0.035			
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>				(-0.07–0.17)				(-0.03–0.22)				(-0.13–0.15)				(-0.09–0.16)			
cGMP (pmol/mL)	91	152.9 (99.2)	79	10.3 (113.7)	95	165.7 (95.8)	80	11.1 (99)	93	162.3 (104.8)	79	19.4 (146)	75	148.5 (102.5)	60	17.7 (135.8)	68	148.7 (115)	60	40.2 (156.4)
Median (IQR)		146.5 (79.9–196.8)		156.9 (93.0–219.1)						146.0 (96.0–200.8)				116.2 (73.4–190.8)				117.3 (74.8–184.7)		
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>				0.88				9.19				7.45				29.97			
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>				(-32.5–34.3)				(-31.9–50.3)				(-34.4–49.3)				(-15.3–75.3)			
PIIINP (µg/L)	91	10.2 (6.91)	79	0.05 (3.67)	93	11.0 (6.39)	79	-0.56 (4.69)	92	11.2 (9.39)	80	-1.41 (7.07)	74	12.1 (13.38)	58	-1.72 (13.22)	68	12.1 (10.98)	61	-0.91 (7.90)
Median (IQR)		8.9 (6.5–11.7)		9.2 (7.0–13.1)						8.2 (6.2–12.4)				9.6 (6.7–13.7)				9.3 (7.5–13.2)		
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo:</b>				-0.61				-1.46				-1.77				-0.96			
	<b>(95% CI of difference):</b>				(-1.93–0.71)				(-3.22–0.31)				(-4.85–1.32)				(-2.95–1.03)			

**Supplementary Table 7. Summary statistics for efficacy biomarkers and change from baseline to Week 12 (full analysis set excluding patients with incorrectly assigned doses)**

Biomarker	Placebo				Vericiguat															
	n		BL		1.25 mg				2.5 mg				2.5 to 5 mg				2.5 to 10 mg			
	n	BL	n	Change (SD)	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change	n	BL	n	Change
GDF-15* (log pg/mL)	91	7.86 (0.65)	79	-0.015 (0.380)	95	7.82 (0.57)	80	0.048 (0.394)	93	7.71 (0.66)	79	-0.007 (0.377)	75	7.89 (0.64)	60	0.010 (0.439)	68	7.73 (0.71)	60	0.025 (0.346)
	<b>Difference of log-transformed means vs. (95% CI of difference):</b>				0.063 (-0.06–0.18)				0.008 (-0.11–0.13)				0.114 (-0.02–0.25)				0.039 (-0.08–0.16)			
sST2* (log pg/mL)	90	10.03 (0.58)	78	0.084 (0.608)	94	9.92 (0.63)	80	0.131 (0.622)	93	9.96 (0.69)	81	0.182 (0.575)	75	10.04 (0.61)	60	0.046 (0.554)	68	9.96 (0.80)	61	0.051 (0.618)
	<b>Difference of log-transformed means vs. (95% CI of difference):</b>				-0.48 (-0.15–0.24)				0.098 (-0.09–0.28)				-0.038 (-0.24–0.16)				-0.032 (-0.24–0.17)			
Gal-3 (pg/mL)	90	15.2 (10.60)	78	-2.22 (8.21)	93	14.0 (6.37)	79	-0.16 (7.33)	91	12.6 (5.77)	79	-0.70 (3.81)	75	15.5 (11.09)	60	1.26 (11.14)	68	12.9 (7.72)	61	-2.01 (6.60)
Median (IQR)	13.4 (8.6–18.8)		14.1 (8.4–17.6)		12.6 (7.9–15.9)		13.8 (8.6–19.2)		11.6 (7.7–15.8)											
	<b>Difference of means vs. placebo: (95% CI of difference):</b>				2.06 (-0.40–4.51)				1.52 (-0.49–3.53)				3.48 (0.22–6.73)				0.21 (-2.34–2.76)			

BL, baseline; cGMP, cyclic guanosine monophosphate; CI, confidence interval; Gal-3, Galectin-3; GDF-15, growth differentiation factor 15; PIIINP, N-terminal pro-collagen III propeptide; SD, standard deviation; sST2, soluble suppression of tumorigenicity; TIMP-4, tissue metalloproteinase inhibitor 4.

Analyses were only performed if at least 2 patients per subgroup/treatment combination were available for analysis.

\*Data were log-transformed to derive normal distribution of data.



## **Supplementary Methods.**

Patients received background therapy for comorbidities at the physician's discretion. Total treatment duration was 12 weeks, followed by a safety follow-up visit 16 weeks after randomization.

### Statistical methods:

Two secondary analyses of the two primary endpoints were pre-specified: pairwise comparisons of each individual vericiguat treatment group with placebo, to be tested hierarchically with two-sample t-tests at the one-sided significance level  $\alpha = 2.5\%$ , from highest to lowest treatment group, in case a positive result for the respective endpoint was observed in the respective primary analysis of the respective endpoint. In addition, a linear regression analysis across all dose arms was specified to check for a general trend of dose-response. If the primary analysis of a primary endpoint was non-significant, the corresponding secondary analyses were to be deemed exploratory.

Several transformations of biomarker endpoints were assessed with QQ-plots and Kolmogoroff-Smirnov goodness-of-fit tests to derive approximately normally distributed data (data not shown). For GDF-15, sST2 and TIMP-4, the log-transformation resulted in approximately normally distributed changes from baseline and comparisons are hence displayed on the log-transformed scale.