

Online Resource 2. Leaf traits among species and groups (means \pm CI, 95%; g_s = stomatal conductance; A_{n280} = light-saturated net photosynthesis at an intercellular [CO₂] of 280 μmol mol⁻¹; V_{cmax} = maximum rates of photosynthetic carboxylation; J_{max} = maximum rates of electron transport; g_I = parameter describing the relationship between photosynthesis and stomatal conductance in combined leaf gas exchange models (see Eq 3); WUE = water use efficiency; K_p = leaf area-specific plant hydraulic conductance; LMA = leaf mass area; g_{smax} = maximum stomatal conductance calculated from stomatal density and dimensions; N_R = fractional leaf N invested in rubisco; N_B = fractional leaf N invested in bioenergetics; N_{LH} = fractional leaf N invested in light harvesting).

Group	Family	Species	g_s at		g_s change at					WUE (mmol CO ₂ mol H ₂ O ⁻¹)
			ambient [CO ₂] (mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	elevated [CO ₂] (%)	A_{n280} (μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	V_{cmax} (μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	J_{max} (μmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	g_I		
Gymnosperms	Araucariaceae	<i>Araucaria angustifolia</i>	0.047 ± 0.020	-13.1 ± 10.2	2.4 ± 1.2	16.1 ± 4.4	n ± n	4.04 ± 1.61	3.92 ± 1.29	
	Cupressaceae	<i>Cupressus lusitanica</i>	0.181 ± 0.061	-5.4 ± 7.7	9.8 ± 1.7	56.4 ± 6.9	129.2 ± 19.2	3.44 ± 0.99	4.46 ± 0.88	
	Pinaceae	<i>Pinus patula</i>	0.245 ± 0.077	-10.6 ± 7.1	14.3 ± 3.0	70.5 ± 12.5	131.6 ± 24.4	4.93 ± 1.77	4.38 ± 0.56	
	Podocarpaceae	<i>Podocarpus latifolius</i>	0.112 ± 0.032	-17.3 ± 12.1	8.1 ± 2.2	41.0 ± 10.0	92.1 ± 12.0	3.32 ± 0.49	3.91 ± 0.28	
	Podocarpaceae	<i>Podocarpus falcatus</i>	0.081 ± 0.022	-15.8 ± 16.0	6.6 ± 1.1	44.7 ± 10.7	108.2 ± 21.9	2.25 ± 0.71	5.29 ± 0.79	
Monocots			Mean	0.133 ± 0.070	-12.4 ± 4.1	8.2 ± 3.8	45.7 ± 17.7	115.3 ± 18.3	3.59 ± 0.86	4.39 ± 0.49
	Arecaceae	<i>Phoenix reclinata</i>	0.089 ± 0.044	-18.8 ± 17.9	4.2 ± 2.3	22.1 ± 10.3	71.2 ± 23.3	3.49 ± 2.22	4.15 ± 1.28	
	Poaceae	<i>Dendrocalamus giganteus</i>	0.268 ± 0.083	-27.4 ± 6.2	10.4 ± 3.5	52.9 ± 13.5	93.2 ± 28.2	5.07 ± 1.83	3.09 ± 0.26	
	Poaceae	<i>Bambusa vulgaris</i>	0.134 ± 0.022	-26.2 ± 8.8	8.3 ± 0.6	42.7 ± 5.4	76.5 ± 10.0	3.60 ± 0.68	4.09 ± 0.96	
	Heliconiaceae	<i>Heliconia sp.</i>	0.215 ± 0.119	-41.7 ± 8.0	11.0 ± 3.2	57.1 ± 10.4	106.1 ± 15.4	2.43 ± 0.63	4.92 ± 1.08	
	Musacea	<i>Musa sapientum</i>	0.235 ± 0.093	-32.7 ± 17.9	16.0 ± 5.6	82.2 ± 10.5	171.9 ± 21.5	2.67 ± 1.31	7.88 ± 3.95	
Rosids			Mean	0.188 ± 0.065	-29.4 ± 7.5	10.0 ± 3.8	51.4 ± 19.2	103.8 ± 35.5	3.45 ± 0.91	4.83 ± 1.60
	Chrysobalanaceae	<i>Macaranga kilimandscharica</i>	0.167 ± 0.043	-22.2 ± 3.0	9.6 ± 3.4	52.5 ± 13.6	103.8 ± 19.7	3.56 ± 0.53	3.94 ± 0.47	
	Rosaceae	<i>Prunus caretta</i>	0.220 ± 0.043	-17.8 ± 14.7	10.3 ± 2.2	49.3 ± 10.0	95.6 ± 11.2	5.11 ± 1.12	3.46 ± 0.69	
	Myrtaceae	<i>Eucalyptus maculata</i>	0.138 ± 0.053	-26.3 ± 7.0	9.4 ± 2.0	54.1 ± 8.2	131.7 ± 26.8	2.54 ± 0.70	5.25 ± 0.56	
	Meliaceae	<i>Carapa grandiflora</i>	0.073 ± 0.017	-24.2 ± 13.8	6.5 ± 3.0	34.6 ± 13.7	84.9 ± 16.1	3.17 ± 1.85	4.97 ± 1.51	
	Meliaceae	<i>Cedrela serrate</i>	0.126 ± 0.019	-20.2 ± 10.3	9.9 ± 1.8	56.3 ± 11.4	115.8 ± 17.3	2.49 ± 0.31	5.98 ± 1.53	
	Malvaceae	<i>Brachychiton acerifolius</i>	0.040 ± 0.011	-17.8 ± 6.2	5.5 ± 1.6	35.3 ± 9.0	56.8 ± 17.3	1.00 ± 0.42	7.66 ± 1.41	
Asterids			Mean	0.127 ± 0.052	-21.4 ± 2.7	8.5 ± 1.6	47.0 ± 7.7	98.1 ± 20.7	2.98 ± 1.09	5.21 ± 1.20
	Bignoniaceae	<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i>	0.318 ± 0.074	-20.8 ± 10.8	9.9 ± 3.3	68.1 ± 17.4	138.3 ± 40.7	6.24 ± 1.77	2.56 ± 0.47	
	Boraginaceae	<i>Cordia alliodora</i>	0.344 ± 0.265	-7.8 ± 27.8	13.1 ± 4.4	64.1 ± 21.8	137.4 ± 53.7	4.39 ± 0.86	3.80 ± 0.62	
	Oleaceae	<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i>	0.087 ± 0.041	-34.4 ± 7.1	9.2 ± 3.5	51.6 ± 20.2	99.9 ± 22.7	1.40 ± 0.67	7.39 ± 1.04	
	Solanaceae	<i>Cyphomandra betacea</i>	0.242 ± 0.056	-21.8 ± 16.6	19.9 ± 2.8	96.1 ± 13.0	201.9 ± 10.9	2.70 ± 1.03	4.79 ± 0.50	
	Asteraceae	<i>Tithonia diversifolia</i>	0.476 ± 0.073	-18.7 ± 11.9	26.8 ± 0.9	128.6 ± 4.9	188.1 ± 14.2	4.08 ± 0.70	4.71 ± 0.46	
			Mean	0.293 ± 0.125	-20.7 ± 8.3	15.8 ± 6.6	81.7 ± 27.0	153.1 ± 36.4	3.76 ± 1.60	4.65 ± 1.56

n = Not measured

Stomatal CO₂ responsiveness and photosynthetic capacity of tropical woody species in relation to taxonomy and functional traits

Thomas B. Hasper, Mirindi E. Dusenge, Friederike Breuer, Felicien U. Félicien K. Uwizeye, Göran Wallin, Johan Uddling

Oecologia
Electronic Supplemental Material (ESM)

Continuation

Group	Family	Species	K_p (mmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ MPa ⁻¹)	Stomata density (stomata mm ⁻²)	Stomatal length (μm)	Wood density (g cm ⁻³)	LMA (g m ⁻²)	g_{smax} (mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	N _R (%)	N _B (%)	N _{LH} (%)
Gymnosperms	Araucariaceae	<i>Araucaria angustifolia</i>	0.78 ± 0.37	68.4 ± 3.2	38.63 ± 2.76	0.51 ± 0.03	119.0 ± 18.7	1.12 ± 0.09	5.5 ± 3.6	n ± n	22.9 ± 4.8
	Cupressacaea	<i>Cupressus lusitanica</i>	1.35 ± 0.68	27.0 ± 4.2	37.58 ± 5.26	0.60 ± 0.07	315.9 ± 23.6	0.43 ± 0.12	10.3 ± 1.5	2.62 ± 0.49	13.9 ± 2.4
	Pinaceae	<i>Pinus patula</i>	2.12 ± 0.91	57.0 ± 2.4	15.64 ± 3.14	0.48 ± 0.05	172.5 ± 10.0	0.38 ± 0.04	19.3 ± 2.7	3.79 ± 0.30	19.1 ± 8.3
	Podocarpaceae	<i>Podocarpus latifolius</i>	1.32 ± 0.13	131.3 ± 8.2	36.16 ± 3.61	0.58 ± 0.04	141.8 ± 28.2	2.01 ± 0.29	13.1 ± 1.3	3.51 ± 0.68	17.4 ± 4.9
	Podocarpaceae	<i>Podocarpus falcatus</i>	0.34 ± 0.09	96.4 ± 5.7	32.98 ± 3.63	0.63 ± 0.25	120.7 ± 11.2	1.35 ± 0.18	15.4 ± 3.3	4.03 ± 0.76	17.1 ± 3.9
	<i>Mean</i>		1.18 ± 0.59	76.0 ± 34.8	32.20 ± 8.33	0.56 ± 0.05	174.0 ± 72.1	1.06 ± 0.60	12.7 ± 4.6	3.49 ± 0.61	18.1 ± 2.9
Monocots	Arecaceae	<i>Phoenix reclinata</i>	2.02 ± 1.32	174.9 ± 7.0	16.92 ± 1.83	0.47 ± 0.03	144.6 ± 7.6	1.25 ± 0.11	6.0 ± 2.6	2.05 ± 0.60	7.5 ± 3.5
	Poaceae	<i>Dendrocalamus giganteus</i>	4.01 ± 1.85	381.0 ± 7.6	18.19 ± 1.83	0.77 ± 0.12	39.6 ± 9.3	2.94 ± 1.18	26.3 ± 1.7	5.24 ± 0.45	18.5 ± 3.0
	Poaceae	<i>Bambusa vulgaris</i>	2.44 ± 0.56	57.1 ± 3.5	18.20 ± 2.83	0.60 ± 0.17	47.9 ± 7.5	0.44 ± 0.06	23.0 ± 1.7	4.30 ± 0.46	17.1 ± 2.8
	Heliconiaceae	<i>Heliconia sp.</i>	2.93 ± 0.95	171.6 ± 5.0	25.40 ± 2.18	n ± n	60.7 ± 5.0	1.85 ± 0.05	22.4 ± 2.9	4.57 ± 0.54	6.2 ± 0.7
	Musaceae	<i>Musa sapientum</i>	3.70 ± 1.50	138.1 ± 3.4	26.33 ± 3.38	n ± n	52.9 ± 10.4	1.54 ± 0.13	30.7 ± 6.0	6.96 ± 0.68	7.0 ± 1.3
	<i>Mean</i>		3.02 ± 0.73	184.5 ± 104.9	21.01 ± 3.92	0.61 ± 0.17	69.1 ± 37.6	1.60 ± 0.80	21.7 ± 8.2	4.63 ± 1.55	11.2 ± 5.3
Rosids	Chrysobalanaceae	<i>Macaranga kilimandscharica</i>	4.04 ± 2.32	203.1 ± 3.3	16.19 ± 1.81	0.49 ± 0.04	85.3 ± 12.7	1.39 ± 0.50	18.9 ± 3.6	4.67 ± 0.60	14.8 ± 2.9
	Rosaceae	<i>Prunus careta</i>	3.05 ± 0.92	228.7 ± 10.2	26.10 ± 2.24	0.72 ± 0.05	98.9 ± 23.3	2.53 ± 0.32	16.5 ± 1.6	3.59 ± 0.31	11.1 ± 3.2
	Myrtaceae	<i>Eucalyptus maculata</i>	1.88 ± 0.81	202.1 ± 4.1	26.27 ± 3.50	0.66 ± 0.02	170.6 ± 22.7	2.25 ± 0.24	19.0 ± 3.9	4.99 ± 0.46	12.8 ± 2.7
	Meliaceae	<i>Carapa grandiflora</i>	1.74 ± 1.07	783.3 ± 5.2	14.78 ± 2.25	0.53 ± 0.05	118.3 ± 13.8	4.91 ± 0.66	11.1 ± 3.6	2.69 ± 0.40	14.0 ± 2.0
	Meliaceae	<i>Cedrela serrate</i>	1.94 ± 0.63	413.5 ± 6.6	14.18 ± 1.71	0.42 ± 0.03	72.1 ± 7.8	2.48 ± 0.89	19.8 ± 1.3	4.49 ± 0.11	12.2 ± 1.5
	Malvaceae	<i>Brachychiton acerifolius</i>	0.86 ± 0.24	184.2 ± 10.8	28.43 ± 2.62	0.42 ± 0.03	92.0 ± 9.9	2.22 ± 0.35	11.7 ± 3.1	2.04 ± 0.56	12.5 ± 4.3
	<i>Mean</i>		2.25 ± 0.90	335.8 ± 188.1	20.99 ± 5.28	0.54 ± 0.10	106.2 ± 28.0	2.63 ± 0.95	16.2 ± 3.1	3.74 ± 0.95	12.9 ± 1.0
Asterids	Bignoniaceae	<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i>	5.28 ± 0.90	142.5 ± 3.0	14.14 ± 2.89	0.46 ± 0.04	119.2 ± 26.1	0.85 ± 0.07	17.2 ± 2.6	3.76 ± 0.43	6.3 ± 2.9
	Boraginaceae	<i>Cordia alliodora</i>	3.57 ± 0.90	135.0 ± 8.6	22.86 ± 4.03	0.44 ± 0.04	62.1 ± 7.3	1.31 ± 0.55	27.4 ± 7.4	6.05 ± 1.66	6.1 ± 0.8
	Oleaceae	<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i>	1.18 ± 0.69	308.8 ± 4.9	21.70 ± 2.03	0.56 ± 0.02	106.4 ± 21.3	2.84 ± 0.22	13.0 ± 4.9	2.76 ± 0.63	13.6 ± 2.5
	Solanaceae	<i>Cyphomandra betacea</i>	4.24 ± 1.13	136.9 ± 5.9	36.79 ± 3.71	0.09 ± 0.01	63.3 ± 5.0	2.13 ± 0.54	24.4 ± 4.3	5.96 ± 0.60	10.0 ± 1.0
	Asteraceae	<i>Tithonia diversifolia</i>	5.87 ± 1.08	n ± n	n ± n	0.32 ± 0.08	37.6 ± 4.8	n ± n	51.7 ± 7.0	7.96 ± 0.50	8.5 ± 2.2
	<i>Mean</i>		4.03 ± 1.60	180.8 ± 83.7	23.87 ± 9.25	0.37 ± 0.16	77.7 ± 29.7	1.78 ± 0.86	26.7 ± 13.2	5.30 ± 1.80	8.9 ± 2.7

n = Not measured

Stomatal CO₂ responsiveness and photosynthetic capacity of tropical woody species in relation to taxonomy and functional traits

Thomas B. Hasper, Mirindi E. Dusenge, Friederike Breuer, Felicien U. Félicien K. Uwizeye, Göran Wallin, Johan Uddling