1 **Supplementary Table 1.** Molar mass (*M*), O:C and *T*^g for SOA products in volatility

2 bins.

3

4

5 **Supplementary Table 2.** Molar mass distributions for sensitivity studies of *T*^g

6 prediction.

9 **Supplementary Figure 1. Characteristic relations between molecular O:C ratio** 10 **and glass transition temperature of organic compounds.** (a) Measured (circles) 11 and estimated (squares) glass transition temperature (T_g) of organic compounds as a 12 function of O:C ratio. Organic compounds with measured T_g are from Koop et al. [1](#page-9-0)3 $(2011)^1$ $(2011)^1$ $(2011)^1$ and Dette et al. $(2014)^2$. Those with estimated T_g are 654 SOA components 14 from Shiraiwa et al. $(2014)^3$ $(2014)^3$. (b) Comparison of measured and estimated T_g for 179 15 organic compounds^{[1,](#page-9-0)[2](#page-9-1)}. The markers are color-coded by molar mass. The correlation 16 coefficient is 0.97, demonstrating that the estimation method of T_g using EPI and the 17 Boyer-Kauzmann rule is adequate.

20 **Supplementary Figure 2. Global modeling of SOA.** Modeled annual averages of (a) 21 SOA concentrations, (b) relative humidity, (c) molar mass and (d) O:C at the surface 22 during the years 2005-2009.

 Supplementary Figure 3. Modeled annual averages of *T***^g of SOA particles.** (a) Dry condition, (b) 30% RH, (c) 60% RH, and (d) 90% RH at the surface during the years 2005-2009.

 Supplementary Figure 4. Modeled annual averages of the inverse ambient 30 **temperature (1/***T***) scaled by the glass transition temperature (** T_g **) of SOA (** T_g/T **).** (a) Dry condition, (b) 30% RH, (c) 60% RH, and (d) 90% RH at the surface during the years 2005-2009.

 Supplementary Figure 5. Modeled mean vertical profiles. (a) ambient temperature, (b) relative humidity, (c) concentrations of SOA gas precursors, (d) molar mass, (e) 37 O:C, and (f) T_g of dry SOA. The simulation grids covered by the Amazon basin, Europe, East China, U.S., India and Sahara are shown in Fig. 2(a).

41 **Supplementary Figure 6. The Angell plot of viscosity vs.** *T***^g /** *T*. The lines represent 42 different fragility (*D*) of $D = 10$ (the solid line) as the base case for this study as well 43 as $D = 5$ (the dotted line) and $D = 20$ (the dashed line) representing the possible range 44 for SOA. The black dashed line at viscosity of 10^2 Pa s indicates the threshold of 45 liquid and semisolid states.

 Supplementary Figure 7. Global maps of estimated bulk diffusivities. Bulk 49 diffusivities (cm² s⁻¹) of (a) water and (b) organic molecules in SOA particles at the surface, 850 hPa, and 500 hPa.

 Supplementary Figure 8. Water diffusivity in α-pinene SOA. Comparison of water 53 diffusion coefficients in α -pinene SOA (O:C ratio = 0.54) obtained from water uptake [4](#page-9-3) experiments in an electrodynamic balance⁴ (green shaded areas) with values obtained with the semi-empirical estimation method presented in Berkemeier et al. $(2014)^5$ $(2014)^5$ (orange shaded areas) at 260 K and 298 K. Water diffusivities were calculated with $0.57 \quad \text{O:C} = 0.5, T_{g,\text{SOA}} = 278.5 \pm 7 \text{ K}, \ \kappa_{\text{org}} = 0.12 \pm 0.02, \ k_{\text{GT}} = 1.5 \pm 1, \ \rho_{\text{org}} = 1.4 \text{ g cm}^{-3}.$

 Supplementary Figure 9. Sensitivity of molar mass on *T***g.** Modeled annual mean 60 differences of T_g for SOA (without water) between a base case (Table 1) and cases with (a) high and (b) low molar mass values assigned in volatility bins (Supplementary Table 2), respectively.

66 **Supplementary Figure 10. Sensitivity of** k_{GT} **on** T_g **.** Modeled annual mean 67 differences of T_g for SOA between a base case with the Gordon-Taylor constant of 68 *k*_{GT} equaling to 2.5 and cases with (a) a higher k_{GT} of 3.5 and (b) a lower k_{GT} of 1.5, 69 respectively.

71 **Supplementary Figure 11. Sensitivity of** *κ* **on** *T***g.** Modeled annual mean differences 72 of T_g for SOA between a base case with the hygroscopicity parameter of κ equaling to 73 0.1 and cases with (a) a higher *κ* of 0.15 and (b) a lower *κ* of 0.05, respectively.

Supplementary references.

- 1 Koop, T., Bookhold, J., Shiraiwa, M. & Pöschl, U. Glass transition and phase state of organic compounds: dependency on molecular properties and implications for secondary organic aerosols in the atmosphere. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **13**, 19238-19255 (2011).
- 2 Dette, H. P., Qi, M., Schröder, D. C., Godt, A. & Koop, T. Glass-forming properties of 3-Methylbutane-1,2,3-tricarboxylic acid and its mixtures with water and pinonic acid. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **118**, 7024-7033 (2014).
- 3 Shiraiwa, M., Berkemeier, T., Schilling-Fahnestock, K. A., Seinfeld, J. H. & Pöschl, U. Molecular corridors and kinetic regimes in the multiphase chemical evolution of secondary organic aerosol. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **14**, 8323-8341 (2014).
- 4 Lienhard, D. M. *et al.* Viscous organic aerosol particles in the upper troposphere: diffusivity-controlled water uptake and ice nucleation? *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 13599-13613 (2015).
- 88 5 Berkemeier, T., Shiraiwa, M., Pöschl, U. & Koop, T. Competition between water uptake and ice nucleation by glassy organic aerosol particles. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **14**, 12513-12531 (2014).