# Supporting Information

# A Quantitative Mechanistic PK/PD Model Directly Connects Btk Target Engagement and *In Vivo* Efficacy

Fereidoon Daryaee<sup>a,1</sup>, Zhuo Zhang<sup>a,1</sup>, Kayla R. Gogarty<sup>a</sup>, Yong Li<sup>a</sup>, Jonathan Merino<sup>a</sup>, Stewart L. Fisher<sup>b,2</sup> and Peter J Tonge<sup>a,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institute for Chemical Biology & Drug Discovery, Department of Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook NY 11794-3400

<sup>b</sup>C4 Therapeutics, Cambridge, MA 02142

<sup>1</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>2</sup>Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed: SLF: <u>stewfisher@slfisherconsulting.com</u>; PJT: <u>peter.tonge@stonybrook.edu</u>

#### **SI Materials and Methods**

#### Synthesis of BDP-CC-292 (5), a fluorescent analog of CC-292

A covalent fluorescent Btk probe, BDP-CC-292, was synthesized from CC-292 as shown in **Scheme S1**. This method involved the synthesis of an azide derivative of CC-292 that was subsequently coupled to an alkyne analog of the fluorescent dye BODIPY using click chemistry. Each intermediate was purified by flash column chromatography and characterized by ESI-MS, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR. The final probe was purified by HPLC and characterized by ESI-MS.



Scheme S1. Synthesis of BDP-CC-292 (5)

#### Demethylated CC-292 (1)

A solution of CC-292 (AVL-292, Ontario Chemicals Incorporated, 98% purity, CAS # 1202757-89-8) in 1 mL DCM was stirred at -80 °C for 5 min after which 120 mg of potassium iodide (KI) and 190 mg 18-Crown-6 were added. BBr<sub>3</sub> was dissolved in 1 mL of DCM and cooled to -80 °C. The solution of BBr<sub>3</sub> in DCM was then added dropwise to the solution of CC-292 which was stirred continuously. After stirring for 1 h at -80 °C, the mixture was warmed to -20 °C and stirred for another 15 min. Upon completion of the reaction, which was confirmed by TLC, the reaction was quenched by the addition of 2 mL saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution. The quenched reaction was stirred at RT for 10 min before the mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residual solution was extracted with 50 mL EtOAc for 3 times, and the organic layers were combined and then dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Evaporation under vacuum yielded crude product (**1**) which was purified by CombiFlash chromatography using a silica column.

ESI MS Calculated for  $C_{21}H_{20}FN_5O_3 m/z$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 410.16, found 410.1, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Methanol- $d_4$ )  $\delta$  ppm 3.85 (t, J=4.80 Hz, 2 H), 4.00 (t, J=4.80 Hz, 2 H), 5.78 (dd, J=9.41, 2.13 Hz, 1 H), 6.33 - 6.40 (m, 1 H), 6.40 - 6.48 (m, 1 H), 6.80 - 6.86 (m, 2 H), 7.25 - 7.30 (m, 1 H), 7.38 - 7.45 (m, 4 H), 7.87 (d, J=4.02 Hz, 1 H), 8.05 (t, J=2.00 Hz, 1 H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, Methanol- $d_4$ )  $\delta$  ppm -168.86 (s., 1F).

#### CC-292-Tosylate (2)

Demethylated CC-292 (1) (10 mg), 0.01 mL triethylamine (TEA) and 1 mg DMAP were added to a 10 mL RBF containing 0.5 mL DCM. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C and then stirred for 5 min. 4-Toluenesulfonyl chloride (TsCl) was dissolved in 0.5 mL DCM in a glass vial and cooled to 0 °C. The TsCl solution was then added to the solution of 1 dropwise at 0 °C, which was then stirred at 0 °C for 10 min and then warmed to RT. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 12 h and when the reaction was shown to be complete by TLC the solution was evaporated under vacuum. Crude product (2) was obtained as a yellow residue and used in the next step without further purification.

#### Azido-CC-292 (3)

Crude CC-292-Tosylate (2) (13 mg) was added to a 10 mL RBF containing 0.5 mL DMF and 3 mg NaN<sub>3</sub>. The mixture was stirred at 65°C overnight and iced cold water was added after TLC demonstrated that the reaction was complete. The aqueous mixture was extracted with 10 mL EtOAc for 3 times and the organic layers were combined and dried with MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After filtering, the solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the crude solid product was purified by CombiFlash chromatography using a silica column.

ESI MS Calculated for  $C_{21}H_{19}FN_8O_2 m/z$  [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 435.44, found 435.1, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, Methanol- $d_4$ )  $\delta$  ppm 3.85 (t, *J*=4.80 Hz, 2 H), 4.00 (t, *J*=4.80 Hz, 2 H), 5.78 (dd, *J*=9.41, 2.13 Hz, 1 H), 6.33 - 6.40 (m, 1 H), 6.40 - 6.48 (m, 1 H), 6.80 - 6.86 (m, 2 H), 7.25 - 7.30 (m, 1 H), 7.38 - 7.45 (m, 4 H), 7.87 (d, *J*=4.02 Hz, 1 H), 8.05 (t, *J*=2.00 Hz, 1 H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, Methanol-d4)  $\delta$  ppm -168.74 (d, *J*=4.09 Hz). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, Methanol- $d_4$ )  $\delta$  ppm 50.04, 67.27, 113.78, 114.30, 115.41, 117.62, 121.39, 126.58, 128.55, 131.13, 134.07, 138.49, 139.12, 139.47 (d, *J*=20.89 Hz, 1 C), 140.69 (d, *J*=246.14 Hz, 1 C), 150.55 (d, *J*=10.90 Hz, 1 C), 153.86, 156.17 (d, *J*=2.73 Hz, 1 C), 164.72.

#### BDP-CC-292 (5)

Azido-CC-292 (**3**) (0.5 mg) and 0.5 mg BDP FL alkyne (**4**, purchased from Lumiprobe) were added to a 1.5 mL glass reaction vial after which 0.2 mL tBuOH was added. Sodium ascorbate (2.3 mg) was dissolved in 0.5 mL H<sub>2</sub>O and 1.4 mg CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O was dissolved in 0.1 mL H<sub>2</sub>O. Subsequently, 0.05 mL of each solution was added by pipette to the glass vial containing 0.2 mL tBuOH, Azido-CC-292 (**3**) and BDP FL Alkyne (**4**). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight, filtered and then purified by HPLC using an analytical PFP column (Phenomenex, PFP, 250 × 4.6, 5  $\mu$ m). Chromatography was performed at a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min using a gradient of 0.020 M NH<sub>4</sub>OAc in H<sub>2</sub>O and MeCN. The mobile phase consisted of 0% MeCN (0 to 5 min), 0 to 50% MeCN (5 to 30 min), 50 to 100% MeCN (30 to 50 min), 100 to 0% MeCN (50 to 60 min). The pure product (**5**) eluted at 35.5 to 37.5 min and was characterized by ESI-MS and NMR spectroscopy.

ESI MS Calculated for  $C_{38}H_{37}BF_3N_{11}O_3 m/z [M+H]^+ 764.31$ , found 764.3,  $m/z [M+Na]^+ 786.31$ , found 786.3, HR MS Calculated for  $C_{38}H_{37}BF_3N_{11}O_3 m/z [M+H]^+ 764.31$ , found 764.3193.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ ppm 1.24 (s., 3 H), 2.36 - 2.38 (m, 2 H), 2.41 (s, 3 H), 2.64 - 2.65 (m, 2 H), 4.29 - 4.35 (m, 4 H), 4.69 - 4.72 (m, 2 H), 5.76 (s., 1 H), 6.33 (d, *J*=3.81 Hz, 1 H), 6.36 (d, *J*=3.20 Hz, 1 H), 6.53 (s, 1 H), 6.75 (d, *J*=9.46 Hz, 2 H), 7.05 (d, *J*=4.27 Hz, 1 H), 7.10 (s, 1 H), 7.12 - 7.19 (m, 3 H), 7.38 - 7.44 (m, 2 H), 7.48 - 7.56 (m, 3 H), 7.88 (d, *J*=11.90 Hz, 1 H), 8.09 (s, 1 H)

#### Equilibrium target occupancy (MATLAB)

CC-292 is an irreversible inhibitor of Btk which binds to the ATP binding site (Scheme S2).

$$E + ATP \longrightarrow E ATP \longrightarrow E + P$$

$$+$$

$$K_{i}$$

$$K_{i}$$

$$K_{5}$$

$$E | \longrightarrow E|^{*}$$

Scheme S2. Kinetic scheme for the reaction of Btk with an irreversible competitive inhibitor.

A model that correlates target occupancy with inhibitor concentration ([I]) at equilibrium was derived assuming the steady-state approximation for enzyme-substrate turnover, rapid equilibrium for initial

enzyme-inhibitor complex formation, and that negligible target degradation and re-synthesis occurred during the exposure of the cells to the inhibitor.

According to mass balance:

$$E_0 = E_t + ES + EI + EI^2$$

Therefore:

$$E_0 = E_t + E_t * \left(\frac{S}{K_m}\right) + E_t * \left(\frac{I}{K_i}\right) + EI^*$$

$$E_0 = E_t * \left(1 + \frac{S}{K_m} + \frac{I}{K_i}\right) + EI^*$$

If 
$$\alpha = \left(1 + \frac{S}{K_m} + \frac{I}{K_i}\right)$$

Then:

$$E_t = \frac{E_0 - EI^*}{\alpha}$$
 Eq. S1

According to drug-target kinetics:

$$\frac{dEI^*}{dt} = k_5 * EI = k_5 * E_t * \left(\frac{I}{K_i}\right)$$
$$\frac{dEI^*}{dt} = \left(\frac{k_5 * I}{K_i}\right) * \left(\frac{E_0 - EI^*}{\alpha}\right)$$

and since  $K_i * \alpha = K_i^{app} + I$ Therefore:

$$\frac{dEI^*}{dt} = \left(\frac{k_5 * I}{K_i^{app} + I}\right) * (E_0 - EI^*)$$

If  $b = \left(\frac{k_5 * I}{K_i^{app} + I}\right)$ 

$$\frac{dEI^*}{dt} = -b * (-E_0 + EI^*)$$

Solution of the above differential equation yields:

$$EI^* = EI_0^* * e^{-b*t} + E_0 * (1 - e^{-b*t})$$

Given that in the cellular target occupancy experiment, the initial concentration of irreversible enzymeinhibitor complex is zero:

$$EI^* = E_0 * (1 - e^{-b*t})$$

Therefore, target occupancy (TO) is defined as:

$$TO = \frac{EI^*}{E_0} = 1 - e^{-b*t}$$
 Eq. S2

and so:

$$TO = 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{k_5 * I}{\kappa_i^{app} + I}\right) * t}$$
Eq. S3

#### Model for cellular and in vivo target occupancy (MATLAB)

The concentrations of E, ES, EI and EI\* change over time as expressed by differential Eq. S4 to S8.

$$\frac{dE_t}{dt} = -k_3 * [I] * E_t + k_4 * EI - k_1 * [S] * E_t + k_2 * ES + k_{cat} * ES$$
Eq. S4

$$\frac{dE.S}{dt} = k_1 * [S] * E_t - k_2 * ES - k_{cat} * ES$$
 Eq. S5

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = k_{cat} * ES$$
 Eq. S6

$$\frac{dE.I}{dt} = k_3 * [I] * E_t - k_4 * EI - k_5 * EI$$
Eq. S7

$$\frac{dEI^*}{dt} = k_5 * EI$$
 Eq. S8

In which,  $E_{t=0} = E_0$ ;  $ES_{t=0} = 0$ ;  $P_{t=0} = 0$ ;  $EI_{t=0} = 0$  and  $EI^*_{t=0} = 0$ , and [S]=substrate concentration ([ATP]) which is assumed to be constant, and [I]= inhibitor concentration which is assumed to be constant in the cellular assays and to be the serum free fraction for the *in vivo* experiments.

Dividing both sides of Eq. S4-S8 by [E<sub>0</sub>] yields the relative fraction for each of the enzyme species.

From mass balance:  $E_0 = E + ES + EI + EI^*$ 

so 
$$E = E_0 - ES - EI - EI^*$$

Converting the above mass-balance to the ratio of each enzyme species with respect to the initial total enzyme concentration, yields

$$E = 1 - ES - EI - EI^*$$
 Eq. S9

Given that a fraction of the enzyme will be degraded and re-synthesized every hour (target turnover), **Eq. S9** can be converted to the following equation,

$$1 + \rho * t = E + ES + EI + EI^*$$
 Eq. S10

where  $\rho$  is the fraction of enzyme that is turned over every hour

Under the steady state approximation,  $\frac{dES}{dt} = 0$ , therefore:

$$[E] = \frac{\kappa_m}{[S]} * [ES]$$
Eq. S11

Assuming rapid-equilibrium for initial enzyme-inhibitor complex formation,

$$[EI] = \frac{[I]}{K_i} * [E]$$

so that

$$[EI] = \frac{[I]}{K_i} * \frac{K_m}{[S]} * [ES]$$
Eq. S12

Substitution into Eq. S10 with the terms containing [ES] in Eq. S11 and S12, yields:

$$1 + \rho * t = \frac{K_m}{[S]} * ES + ES + \frac{[I]}{K_i} * \frac{K_m}{[S]} * [ES] + EI^*$$
$$1 + \rho * t = \left(1 + \frac{K_m}{[S]} + \frac{[I]}{K_i} * \frac{K_m}{[S]}\right) * ES + EI^*$$
$$\left(1 + \frac{K_m}{[S]} + \frac{[I]}{K_i} * \frac{K_m}{[S]}\right) = \beta \text{ and } \frac{K_m}{[S]} = M$$

Eq. S13

 $1 + \rho * t = \beta * ES + EI^*$ 

Derivatization of both sides gives:

$$\rho = \beta * \frac{dES}{dt} + \frac{dEI^*}{dt}$$
 Eq. S14

According to Scheme S2:

$$\frac{dEI^*}{dt} = k_5 * EI$$

Replacing  $\frac{dEI^*}{dt}$  in Eq. S14 with the above definition, and EI with the definition in Eq. S12 and EI\* with its equality presented in the Eq. S13, we have,

$$\rho = \beta * \frac{dES}{dt} + k_5 * \frac{[I]}{K_i} * \frac{K_m}{[S]} * [ES]$$

By rearrangement:

$$\frac{dES}{dt} = \frac{\rho}{\beta} - \left(\frac{k_5}{\beta} * \frac{[I]}{K_i} * \frac{K_m}{[S]}\right) * ES$$

Considering  $\left(\frac{k_5}{\beta} * \frac{[I]}{K_i} * \frac{K_m}{[S]}\right) = k$ 

$$\frac{dES}{dt} = \frac{\rho}{\beta} - k * ES$$

Integration of the above equation gives:

$$ES = \frac{\rho}{\beta * k} + \gamma * e^{-k * t}$$
 Eq. S15

where  $\gamma = ES_0 - \frac{\rho}{\beta * k}$ 

Assuming that all non-ES forms of the enzyme complexes are representative of the occupied form of the enzyme, we have:

$$TO^{t} = \frac{1 + \rho * t - ES}{1 + \rho * t} = 1 - \frac{\frac{\rho}{\beta * k} + \gamma * e^{-k * t}}{1 + \rho * t}$$
Eq. S16

Note that the inhibitor concentration in the above equations, [I], is either the extracellular concentration in the cell-based experiments or the plasma free fraction of the drug and that K<sub>i</sub> is K<sub>i</sub><sup>app</sup>, which is measured based on drug total concentration in cellular assay or plasma free fraction *in vivo*.

To calculate target occupancy, drug concentration was incorporated into **Eq. S16** by incorporating a multidose PK model. Target occupancy was then used in the following equation (**Eq. 17**) to predict drug efficacy (in **Mathematica**) together with a sigmoidal term relates target occupancy and efficacy (target vulnerability):

$$\frac{dAD}{dt} = k_{inf} * \left( 1 - \left( \frac{(TO^t)^n}{(TO^t)^n + (TO_{50})^n} \right) \right) * (AD - AD_0)$$
 Eq. S17

 $k_{inf}$  is a rate constant for the change in AD ( $h^{-1}$ ) while AD is the ankle diameter in mm. AD<sub>0</sub> is the ankle diameter in healthy rats, TO<sub>50</sub> is the target occupancy that results in 50 percent of the maximum efficacy and n is the Hill coefficient that defines how steeply target occupancy and efficacy are correlated.

#### Inclusion of BTK inhibitor residence time in the mechanistic PK/PD model

To evaluate the impact of residence-time of reversible BTK inhibitors on the dynamics of target engagement and efficacy we need to include the BTK-inhibitor dissociation rate constant ( $k_6$ ) in the model (Scheme S3).

$$E + ATP \longrightarrow E ATP \longrightarrow E + P$$

$$\downarrow K_{i}$$

$$E = K_{5} EI^{*}$$

Scheme S3. Kinetic scheme for the reaction of BTK with a reversible competitive inhibitor.

Eq. S7 and S8 can be modified to include  $k_6$ , leading to modified versions of Eq. S15 and S16.

$$\frac{dE.I}{dt} = k_3 * \rho m * [I] * E_t - k_4 * EI - k_5 * EI + k_6 * EI^*$$
Eq. S18 (modified version of Eq. S7)
$$\frac{dE.I^*}{dt} = k_5 * EI - k_6 * EI^*$$
Eq. S19 (modified version of Eq. S8)

According to Eq. S14, we have

$$\rho = \beta * \frac{dES}{dt} + \frac{dEI^*}{dt}$$

Replacing EI\* in the above equation with the definition in **Eq. S19**, and EI with the definition in **Eq. S12** and EI\* with the definition in **Eq. S13**, we have,

$$\rho = \beta * \frac{dES}{dt} + k_5 * \frac{[I]}{K_i} * \frac{K_m}{[S]} * [ES] - k_6 * (1 + \rho * t - \beta * ES)$$

By rearrangement:

$$\frac{dES}{dt} = \frac{\rho + k_6}{\beta} + k_6 * \rho * t - \left(\frac{k_5}{\beta} * \frac{[I]}{K_i} * \frac{K_m}{[S]} + k_6\right) * ES$$

Assuming that  $\left(\frac{k_5}{\beta} * \frac{[I]}{K_i} * \frac{K_m}{[S]} + k_6\right) = k$ , then

$$\frac{dES}{dt} = \frac{\rho + k_6}{\beta} + \frac{k_6}{\beta} * \rho * t - k * ES$$

Integration of the above equation gives

$$ES = \frac{\rho + k_6}{\beta * k} - \frac{k_6 * \rho}{\beta * k^2} + \frac{k_6}{\beta * k} * \rho * t + \gamma * e^{-k * t}$$

Where

$$\gamma = ES_0 - \frac{\rho + k_6}{\beta * k} + \frac{k_6 * \rho}{\beta * k^2}$$

Assuming that all non-ES forms of the enzyme represent occupied, inhibited enzyme, we have,

$$TO^{t} = \frac{1 + \rho * t - ES}{1 + \rho * t} = 1 - \frac{\frac{\rho + k_{6}}{\beta * k} \frac{k_{6} * \rho}{\beta * k^{2}} \frac{k_{6}}{\beta * k^{2}} * \rho * t + \gamma * e^{-k * t}}{1 + \rho * t}$$

Eq. S21 (modified version of Eq. S16)

Incorporation of **Eq. S21** together with a multi-dose one-compartment PK model into **Eq. S17** allows the efficacy of a reversible competitive BTK inhibitor to be predicted.

Eq. S20 (modified version of Eq. S15)

#### Inclusion of self-limitation term into the kinetics-driven PK/PD model

The rat CIA model shows spontaneous remission after 4 days. Using the same approach as that described by Liu et al.(1) we included a rate constant for self-resolution of the disease ( $k_{out}$ ) into a modified version of the PK/PD model (Eq. S23). The transit model used to derive Eq. S23 is shown below (Scheme S4):



Scheme S4. Transit model used to obtain kout

$$\frac{dAD}{dt} = k_{inf} * \left( 1 - \left( \frac{(TO^t)^n}{(TO^t)^n + (TO_{50})^n} \right) \right) * (AD - AD_0) - k_{out} * (AD - AD_0)$$
Eq. S23

$$\frac{dk_1}{dt} = R - k * k_1$$
 Eq. S24

$$\frac{dk_2}{dt} = k * k_1 - k * k_2$$
 Eq. S25

$$\frac{dk_3}{dt} = k * k_2 - k * k_3$$
Eq. S26

$$\frac{dk_{out}}{dt} = k * k_3 - k * k_{out}$$
Eq. S27

AD<sub>0</sub>, is a correction factor for the absolute value of AD. The derivatives for  $k_1$  to  $k_{out}$  represent the development of the self-resolution process over time. The term R in **Eq. S24** is the initial rate of decrease in ankle swelling before the self-resolution process starts. The transit rate constant, k, governs the transition from  $k_1$  to  $k_{out}$ , where  $k_{out}$  is the ultimate rate constant that describes the decrease in ankle swelling.

The initial values of  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $k_3$  and  $k_{out}$  at time 0 are 0.

Values of AD<sub>0</sub>, k and k<sub>inf</sub>, were estimated by fitting the untreated (control) experimental data,  $TO^{t} = 0$ , to Eq. **S23- S27**, using a value of R = 0.001 h<sup>-2</sup> taken from Liu et al. (Table 3).(1) This gave AD<sub>0</sub> (mm) 1.78, k (h<sup>-1</sup>) 0.012 and k<sub>inf</sub> (h<sup>-1</sup>) 0.0031.

**Eq. S23** was then used to simulate efficacy using the values of  $AD_0$ , k and  $k_{inf}$ ,  $TO^t$  from **Eq. S16** and drug concentration from a multi-dose one-compartment PK model.

# **Supplementary Tables**

[CC-292] (nM)	Fraction of Btk in total protein (%)	Std. Dev.
0	3.70	1.62
0.4	2.84	1.46
1.5	2.86	1.81
5.9	2.41	1.46
11.7	2.46	1.68
93.8	2.50	1.60
750	3.56	3.01
 3000	1.34	0.57

 Table S1. The Fraction of Btk in Total Protein in Ramos Cells

#### Table S2. The Change in the Fraction of Btk in Total Protein in Ramos Cells as a Function of Time

Time after Drug Washout (h)	Fraction of Btk in total protein (%)	Std. Dev.
0	3.80	2.69
2	3.07	0.49
18	3.23	0.57
24	2.89	0.45

Table S3. Pharmacokinetic parameters for CC-292 in rats<sup>a</sup>

In vivo data							
Dose (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	C <sub>max</sub> (ng mL <sup>-1</sup> )	t <sub>max</sub> (min)	t <sub>1/2</sub> (h)	k <sub>a</sub> (h⁻¹)	k <sub>e</sub> (h⁻¹)	V <sub>d</sub> /F (L kg⁻¹)	CL/F (L h <sup>-1</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> )
3	235	30	0.7	3.5	1	8	8
30	1003	40.2	1.2	3	0.6	20	12
100	2979	36	9	8.5	0.08	32	2.4

 ${}^{a}C_{max}$ , maximum plasma concentration of CC-292;  $t_{max}$ , time at which the maximum plasma concentration was observed;  $t_{1/2}$ , half-life of CC-292;  $k_{a}$  and  $k_{e}$ , rates of absorption and elimination of CC-292, respectively;  $V_{d}/F$ , apparent volume of distribution; Cl/F, apparent clearance.

CC-292 is 92% protein bound.

In vitro data: CC-292, free fraction of drug (fu) = 0.08

# **Supplementary Figures**



Figure S1. Method for experimentally quantifying target occupancy

### Fluorescence



Total BTK

Western Blot

1. 97.5 ng Pure BTK	5. DMSO	9. 11.718 nM CC-292			
2. 48.75 ng Pure BTK	6. 0.366 nM CC-292	10. 93.75 nM CC-292			
3. 24.38 ng Pure BTK	7. 1.46 nM CC-292	11. 750 nM CC-292			
4. 12.19 ng Pure BTK	8. 5.859 nM CC-292	12. 3000 nM CC-292			

**Figure S2.** SDS-PAGE analysis of Btk engagement. Treatment of Ramos cells with varying concentrations of CC-292 resulted in alteration in fluorescence intensity of BDP-CC-292 bound to Btk whereas the luminescence from the western blot remained constant.

# Fluorescence 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 Free BTK Western Blot 1. 97.5 ng Pure BTK 5 to 7: 2 hours post drug treatment 2. 48.75 ng Pure BTK 8 to 10: 18 hours post drug treatment 3. 24.38 ng Pure BTK 11 to 13: 24 hours post drug treatment 4. 12.19 ng Pure BTK

**Figure S3.** *In vitro* Btk Turnover in Ramos Cells. SDS-PAGE showing the increase in fluorescence of the BDP-CC-292 probe as a function of time after CC-292 washout and also showing a western blot of total Btk.



**Figure S4.** *In vivo* Btk Turnover in B Cells. SDS-PAGE showing the increase in fluorescence of the BDP-CC-292 probe as a function of time after CC-292 washout and also showing a western blot of total Btk.



**Figure S5.** Sensitivity of simulated target occupancy to the values of  $k_5$ ,  $K_i$ ,  $\rho$ , M and  $t_R$ . The experimentally determined *in vivo* target occupancy is shown in red points and the result of fitting to the target occupancy model is shown as a red solid line. In each case the target occupancy has been simulated after increasing or decreasing each parameter by a factor of 5. a)  $k_5$ , b)  $K_i$ , c) M, d)  $\rho$ , e)  $t_R$ .

## **Compound Characterization** Demethylated CC-292 (1) <sup>1</sup>*H NMR*

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, METHANOL-*d*<sub>4</sub>) δ ppm 3.85 (t, *J*=4.80 Hz, 2 H) 4.00 (t, *J*=4.80 Hz, 2 H) 5.78 (dd, *J*=9.41, 2.13 Hz, 1 H) 6.33 - 6.40 (m, 1 H) 6.40 - 6.48 (m, 1 H) 6.80 - 6.86 (m, 2 H) 7.25 - 7.30 (m, 1 H) 7.38 - 7.45 (m, 4 H) 7.87 (d, *J*=4.02 Hz, 1 H) 8.05 (t, *J*=2.00 Hz, 1 H)



# Demethylated CC-292 (1) <sup>19</sup>F NMR 20141003 Pure De-Me-CC-292.002.001.1r.esp

20 10 0 -10 -20 -30 -40 -50 -60 -70 -80 -90 -100 -110 -120 -130 -140 -150 -160 -170 -180 -190 -200 -210 -220 Chemical Shift (ppm)

errelation de capacita par la parte

#### Azido-CC-292 (3) <sup>1</sup>H NMR

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, METHANOL -*d*<sub>4</sub>) δ ppm 3.57 (t, *J*=4.90 Hz, 2 H) 4.12 (t, *J*=4.80 Hz, 2 H) 5.80 (dd, *J*=9.54, 2.26 Hz, 1 H) 6.35 - 6.41 (m, 1 H) 6.42 - 6.50 (m, 1 H) 6.82 - 6.86 (m, 2 H) 7.29 (t, *J*=7.90 Hz, 1 H) 7.39 - 7.50 (m, 4 H) 7.89 (d, *J*=3.89 Hz, 1 H) 8.08 (t, *J*=2.01 Hz, 1 H)



## Azido-CC-292 (3) <sup>19</sup>F NMR

12/9/2014 10:46:28 PM



### Azido-CC-292 (3) <sup>13</sup>C NMR

12/9/2014 10:47:40 PM

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, METHANOL-*d*<sub>4</sub>) δ ppm 50.04 (s, 1 C) 67.27 (s, 1 C) 113.78 (s, 1 C) 114.30 (s, 1 C) 115.41 (s, 1 C) 117.62 (s, 1 C) 121.39 (s, 1 C) 126.58 (s, 1 C) 128.55 (s, 1 C) 131.13 (s, 1 C) 134.07 (s, 1 C) 138.49 (s, 1 C) 139.12 (s, 1 C) 139.47 (d, *J*=20.89 Hz, 1 C) 140.69 (d, *J*=246.14 Hz, 1 C) 150.55 (d, *J*=10.90 Hz, 1 C) 153.86 (s, 1 C) 156.17 (d, *J*=2.73 Hz, 1 C) 164.72 (s, 1 C)

20141003 Pure CC-292 Azide Probe 13C.esp







## BDP-CC-292 (5) HRMS







#### References

1. Liu L, *et al.* (2011) Antiarthritis effect of a novel Bruton's tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitor in rat collageninduced arthritis and mechanism-based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic modeling: relationships between inhibition of BTK phosphorylation and efficacy. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 338(1):154-163.